

**SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

EPIC SYSTEMS CORPORATION,)
 Petitioner,)
 v.) No. 16-285
JACOB LEWIS,)
 Respondent.)

ERNST & YOUNG LLP, et al.,)
 Petitioners,)
 v.) No. 16-300
STEPHEN MORRIS,)
 Respondent.)

 and

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,)
 Petitioner,)
 v.) No. 16-307
MURPHY OIL USA, INC., et al.,)
 Respondents.)

Pages: 1 through 71
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: October 2, 2017

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION
Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
contracts@hrccourtreporters.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

- - - - -

EPIC SYSTEMS CORPORATION,)

Petitioner,)

v.) No. 16-285

JACOB LEWIS,)

Respondent.)

- - - - -

ERNST & YOUNG LLP, et al.,)

Petitioners,)

v.) No. 16-300

STEPHEN MORRIS,)

Respondent.)

- - - - -

and

- - - - -

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,)

Petitioner,)

v.) No. 16-307

MURPHY OIL USA, INC., et al.,)

Respondents.)

- - - - -

Washington, D.C.

Monday, October 2, 2017

1 The above-entitled matter came on
2 for oral argument before the Supreme Court of
3 the United States at 10:06 a.m.

4

5 APPEARANCES:

6 PAUL D. CLEMENT, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
7 the Petitioners in Nos. 16-285 and 16-300
8 JEFFREY B. WALL, Principal Deputy Solicitor
9 General, Department of Justice, Washington,
10 D.C.; for United States as amicus curiae,
11 supporting the Petitioners in Nos. 16-285 and
12 16-300, and Respondents in No. 16-307

13 RICHARD F. GRIFFIN, JR., Washington, D.C.; on
14 behalf of the Petitioner in No. 16-307

15 DANIEL R. ORTIZ, Charlottesville, Virginia; on
16 behalf of the Respondents in Nos. 16-285 and
17 16-300

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|-------|
| 1 | C O N T E N T S | |
| 2 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF: | PAGE: |
| 3 | PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ, | |
| 4 | On behalf of Petitioners in | |
| 5 | Nos. 16-285 and 16-300 | 4 |
| 6 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF: | |
| 7 | JEFFREY B. WALL, ESQ., | |
| 8 | For United States, as amicus curiae, | |
| 9 | Supporting Petitioners in Nos. 16-285 | |
| 10 | and 16-300, and Respondents in | |
| 11 | No. 16-307 | 21 |
| 12 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF: | |
| 13 | RICHARD F. GRIFFIN, JR., ESQ. | |
| 14 | On behalf of Petitioner, acting as | |
| 15 | Respondent in No. 16-307 | 34 |
| 16 | ORAL ARGUMENT OF: | |
| 17 | DANIEL R. ORTIZ, ESQ. | |
| 18 | On behalf of Respondents in | |
| 19 | Nos. 16-285 and 16-300 | 55 |
| 20 | REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF: | |
| 21 | PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ. | |
| 22 | On behalf of Petitioners in | |
| 23 | Nos. 16-285 and 16-300 | 66 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

(10:06 a.m.)

CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument first this term in Case 16-285, Epic Systems Corporation versus Lewis, and the consolidated cases.

Mr. Clement.

ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ,
ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS IN NOS. 16-285 AND 16-300

MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it please the Court:

Respondents claim that arbitration agreements providing for individual arbitration that would otherwise be enforceable under the FAA are nonetheless invalid by operation of another federal statute.

This Court's cases provide a well-trod path for resolving such claims. Because of the clarity with which the FAA speaks to enforcing arbitration agreements as written, the FAA will only yield in the face of a contrary congressional command and the tie goes to arbitration. Applying those principles to Section 7 of the NLRA, the result is clear that the FAA should not yield.

1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: In that event, you
2 would --

3 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- much of an
4 advantage.

5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You would have a
6 judicial forum, if the employer doesn't want
7 arbitration. In fact --

8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I fully understand
9 that. But the point is you're saying that the
10 employers are now constrained in the kind of
11 arbitration agreements they can have.

12 MR. GRIFFIN: They're -- they're
13 constrained with respect to limiting employees'
14 ability to act concertedly in the same way
15 that, from the beginning of the National Labor
16 Relations Act, individual agreements could not
17 be used to require employees to proceed
18 individually in dealing with their employers on
19 terms and conditions.

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about the
21 position that the Board -- I think both
22 Mr. Clement and Mr. Wall emphasized that for 70
23 odd years, the Board was not taking the
24 position that it is now taking, that it was not
25 objecting to bilateral one-on-one arbitration.

1 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, with due respect
2 to my colleagues, that's an inaccurate summary
3 of the Board's precedent, Your Honor. The
4 Board's precedent has always said that
5 individual agreements that require employees to
6 individually waive their right to proceed
7 collectively are violations of the National
8 Labor Relations Act. That's what this Court
9 held in 1940 in National Licorice.

10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What do you do with
11 the GC's -- the general counsel memorandum
12 that said you can waive the right to file a
13 collective lawsuit?

14 MR. GRIFFIN: With all due respect to
15 the general counsel at the time, that
16 memorandum was never adopted by the Board as
17 the law of the Board and, in fact, was
18 explicitly rejected in the Horton decision and
19 subsequently in Murphy Oil.

20 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I'm curious
21 about the -- the point that has been made that
22 the Board doesn't allow class proceedings.
23 There must be a reason -- you must have some
24 explanation for how that can be reconciled with
25 your -- your position, but I'd like to know

1 what it is.

2 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, it's a misnomer to
3 say that the Board doesn't allow class
4 proceedings, Your Honor. The way a proceeding
5 under the National Labor Relations Act works is
6 the Board doesn't have any independent
7 investigatory authority or ability to initiate
8 suits on its own.

9 What happens is charges are filed.
10 Those charges are filed by employers,
11 employees, individuals -- they could be filed
12 by a group of as many employees as you want.

13 The general counsel of the Board
14 acting through the regions decides whether or
15 not to pursue the complaint, and then the
16 general counsel proceeds in the public interest
17 to litigate the case administratively.

18 So it's not the type of proceeding
19 that -- that lends itself to the concept of
20 class actions, but it doesn't stop as many
21 employees as want to. And, in fact, frequently
22 the union will be filing a charge that's a
23 representative charge in very much the same way
24 that a class representative would be pursuing a
25 class action in court.

1 JUSTICE ALITO: And the other question
2 I have is, how do you draw a distinction
3 between a -- an agreement precluding class
4 arbitration and all of the other Rules of Civil
5 Procedure that limit the ability of employees
6 to engage in collective litigation?

7 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, here -- here, Your
8 Honor, we -- we actually have agreement with --
9 with the other side. The Board's rule does not
10 require any modification to the class
11 procedures in court. What the Board's rule
12 says is you can't preclude people from
13 proceeding jointly by virtue of an unlawful
14 agreement imposed upon them by their employer.

15 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, wait a minute.
16 Why -- you say that -- what is the scope of
17 the -- of the right to engage in concerted
18 activity? Why -- if that's the case, why would
19 it not abrogate any limitation in the rules of
20 procedure that predated the enactment of that?

21 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, the -- the Board's
22 position, Your Honor, is --

23 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I want to --

24 MR. GRIFFIN: -- is the employees have
25 to take these -- these provisions as they find

1 them. So I'll give you an example.

2 In your -- in this Court's decision in
3 Washington Aluminum, there were a group of
4 employees who were faced with a frigid
5 workplace. In response to those conditions,
6 they walked out. That was in 19-- and that
7 activity was held to be protected. That was in
8 1962.

9 Subsequently, in 1970, the
10 Occupational Safety and Health Act was passed.
11 After the Occupational Safety and Health Act
12 was passed, people had a choice. They could
13 either walk out if they were faced with unsafe
14 conditions, or they could jointly file a
15 petition or a claim or a complaint with OSHA.
16 That was a subsequently enacted provision that
17 allowed employees to choose a different path to
18 address their workplace terms and conditions of
19 employment.

20 The same is true with the subsequently
21 enacted rules, whether it's 216(b) of the Fair
22 Labor Standards Act, whether it's Rule 23 of
23 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. These
24 are all means and mechanisms that were adopted
25 subsequently that employees can choose to use

1 if they're available. Our position is the only
2 --

3 JUSTICE ALITO: So -- so is the
4 argument is that the -- that the -- that
5 restrictions in Rule 23 abrogate Rule --
6 Section 7 because they were enacted later?

7 MR. GRIFFIN: No, that's not it at
8 all, Your Honor.

9 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, then I don't
10 understand your answer.

11 MR. GRIFFIN: The -- the answer is
12 people who have Section 7 rights are just like
13 any other plaintiff and the requirements of
14 Rule 23 with respect to numerosity or
15 typicality are --

16 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Griffin, is this
17 one way to think about the question? Of
18 course, Section 7 doesn't extend to the ends of
19 the Earth. If there are three employees who go
20 out jointly rioting in the streets, they run up
21 against anti-riot laws and they go to jail just
22 like everybody else.

23 What Section 7 does and what Section 8
24 does is to establish a set of rules that deal
25 with how employers can deal with employees.

1 And one of the things that Section 7 and
2 Section 8 say in concert, if you will, is that
3 employers can't demand as conditions of
4 employment the waivers of concerted rights.
5 And that's all you're saying here.

6 MR. GRIFFIN: That's -- that's
7 entirely correct, Your Honor. And -- and
8 specifically Section 8(a)(1) prohibits
9 interference with the employees' exercise of
10 their rights --

11 JUSTICE BREYER: You think all the
12 rules apply. The rules of the forums apply.

13 MR. GRIFFIN: Absolutely.

14 JUSTICE BREYER: And both sides are in
15 agreement on that.

16 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.

17 JUSTICE BREYER: The question is
18 whether you can resort to -- can they stop you
19 from resorting to administrative and judicial
20 forums?

21 MR. GRIFFIN: That's correct, Your
22 Honor.

23 JUSTICE BREYER: And in grievance
24 arbitration, by the way, how -- I just wonder,
25 because that's very common. Are there

1 instances where -- there will probably be a
2 worker representative going to the employer,
3 but are there instances where the grievance is
4 a grievance that is shared by people, but not
5 perfectly shared, so Jones, Smith, and Brown
6 will go to the representative and say,
7 representative, please let's go before the
8 arbitrator, and you represent all three?

9 MR. GRIFFIN: Certainly, Your Honor,
10 there are many instances where the union will
11 take a grievance with respect to overtime
12 that's not paid to multiple people on the same
13 shift.

14 This Court's decisions with respect to
15 the Steelworkers Trilogy all involve
16 arbitration situations that involve multiple
17 parties' representative.

18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Let's say the
19 arbitral forum says -- the rules of the
20 arbitral forum says you can proceed
21 individually, but you can -- and you can
22 proceed collectively, but only if the class
23 represents more than 50 people. Is that all
24 right under your theory?

25 MR. GRIFFIN: That's a rule of the

1 arbitral forum, and the employee takes the
2 rules of the forum as they find them.

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you have a
4 right to act collectively, but only if there
5 are 51 or more of you?

6 MR. GRIFFIN: What -- no, Your Honor.
7 What you have an opportunity to do is to try
8 and utilize the rules that are available in the
9 forum without the employer intervening through
10 a -- a prohibition that's violative of Section
11 7.

12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: No, the hypothetical
13 -- and the Chief can protect his own question
14 -- the hypothetical is the contract says you
15 have to have 50.

16 MR. GRIFFIN: Oh, I understood -- I'm
17 sorry. I misunderstood --

18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That's my
19 understanding of the question.

20 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, I misunderstood
21 the question. I thought we were talking about
22 the arbitral forum itself has rules --

23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes.

24 MR. GRIFFIN: -- as opposed to the
25 arbitration agreement between the parties.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, the
2 arbitral forum has rules, just like the Federal
3 Rules of Civil Procedure. And what you're
4 saying is, well, once you get into federal
5 court, of course you've got to follow the rules
6 of the forum. And we have arbitral forums as
7 well, and I'm just saying --

8 MR. GRIFFIN: And I'm saying that
9 those rules are equivalent, that you take --
10 the employee takes the rules of the forum as
11 they find them.

12 What is prohibited here under the
13 National Labor Relations Act is an agreement by
14 the employer that's imposed that limits the
15 employee's right to take the rules as the --

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. Maybe
17 I'm not understanding.

18 MR. GRIFFIN: So it would be okay if
19 the forum said that.

20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes.

21 MR. GRIFFIN: It's not okay if there's
22 an agreement between the employer and the
23 employee that limits their right to proceed.

24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So -- so all
25 the employer -- well, and why can the arbitral

1 forum enforce the rule that says, basically,
2 you cannot act collectively if it's fewer than
3 50 people?

4 MR. GRIFFIN: Because the prohibition
5 in the National Labor Relations Act in Section
6 8(a)(1) runs to employer interference restraint
7 or coercion with respect to the rules, with
8 respect to exercise of the rights under Section
9 7. It doesn't say anything --

10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. So the
11 employer has to say --

12 MR. GRIFFIN: -- about the forum's
13 involvement.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but most
15 arbitration agreements tell you what the forum
16 is, whether it's the AAA or something else.

17 So, if the employer/employee agreement
18 says you shall arbitrate this under this
19 particular arbitration forum, and those rules
20 say we're -- we'll do collective arbitration,
21 but only if you have more than 51 people
22 because we think it's more efficient to have a
23 smaller number arbitrate individually, that
24 would be okay under your position?

25 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, Your Honor.

1 JUSTICE ALITO: And what if the rules
2 of the arbitral forum say no class arbitration?

3 MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, it would
4 be -- it would be just as though, in the
5 analogous circumstances, Congress said there
6 were to be no class actions in court.

7 The employee -- our position is that
8 the employee's right to proceed is -- is in the
9 forum under the rules of the forum. If
10 anything is prohibited --

11 JUSTICE ALITO: If that's the -- if
12 that's the -- if that's the rule, you have not
13 achieved very much because, instead of having
14 an agreement that says no class, no class
15 action, no class arbitration, you have an
16 agreement requiring arbitration before the XYZ
17 arbitration association, which has rules that
18 don't allow class arbitration.

19 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, the provisions of
20 the National Labor Relations Act run to
21 prohibitions against employer restraint --

22 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is that -- is that
23 -- is there any arbitral forum -- I know the
24 AAA allows class arbitration.

25 MR. GRIFFIN: The -- the National

1 Academy of Arbitrators filed a brief -- amicus
2 brief in this case, Your Honor, supporting the
3 position that the Board took in Murphy Oil, and
4 it addresses the circumstances under which, in
5 both labor arbitration and employment
6 arbitration, employees are able to proceed in
7 joint collective representative actions.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: There's one anomaly
9 here, and I think you agreed that the Fair
10 Labor Standards Act, where the substantive
11 right comes from --

12 MR. GRIFFIN: That's correct.

13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- that under the
14 Fair Labor Standards Act, which provides for an
15 opt-in class proceeding, that right can be
16 waived.

17 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, Your Honor,
18 we -- we don't agree with respect to employees
19 who have National Labor Relations Act rights,
20 who also have FLSA rights, that there can be a
21 waiver of the right to proceed jointly.

22 It's -- if -- if you imagine it in
23 mathematical terms, there's a set of people who
24 have rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act.
25 There's a lesser included subset of people who

1 have rights under both the Fair Labor Standards
2 Act and the National Labor Relations Act.

3 And as to that lesser-included set,
4 there's no ability to waive the right in an
5 agreement with an employer to proceed
6 collectively.

7 JUSTICE KAGAN: Do you have a view,
8 Mr. Griffin, as to whether bringing a class
9 action is itself concerted activity by a single
10 named plaintiff?

11 MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah -- yes, Your Honor.
12 That -- that law is essentially unchallenged
13 here, and the Board's law is that, if an
14 individual takes action to initiate, to induce,
15 or to prepare for group action, that that is
16 concerted activity as understood under Section
17 7.

18 And -- and the Board specifically held
19 in Murphy Oil -- and we've briefed this in our
20 brief -- that -- that a class action fits
21 within the notion of initiating, inducing,
22 preparing for.

23 In fact, the Lewis case involved an
24 individual who filed a class action and then
25 was joined immediately by a number of other

1 plaintiffs. And each of these cases involves
2 concerted activity.

3 There isn't a question of concert here
4 because there were four people involved in
5 filing the Murphy Oil action, there were two
6 involved in -- in Morris, and, as I said, Lewis
7 was joined by others in that action.

8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counselor, do you
9 have any idea of how many union contracts
10 provide exclusively for arbitration of
11 disputes, individual and collective?

12 MR. GRIFFIN: It -- it is a fairly
13 ubiquitous term in -- in -- in union collective
14 bargaining agreements.

15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And so is this the
16 unusual case where the union hasn't negotiated
17 that kind of contract?

18 MR. GRIFFIN: Well, this -- this
19 involves individual employees. There's no
20 union present in these cases, Your Honor. And
21 pursuant to Circuit City, while there was an
22 issue up until that point whether or not the
23 FAA applied to employment contracts, this Court
24 has decided that, so now, these individual
25 cases are where they stand.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Involve non-union
2 members.

3 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, exactly.

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
5 counsel.

6 Mr. Ortiz.

7 ORAL ARGUMENT OF DANIEL R. ORTIZ, ESQ.,
8 ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS IN
9 NOS. 16-285 AND 16-300

10 MR. ORTIZ: Mr. Chief Justice, and may
11 it please the Court:

12 If I may begin by answering a little
13 bit more fully Justice Sotomayor's question at
14 the end.

15 Apparently -- approximately 55 percent
16 of non-union private employees have contracts
17 that are covered by mandatory arbitration
18 agreements, and that covers about 60 million
19 people. Twenty-three percent of those
20 employees have non-individual -- sorry,
21 non-joint, non-class, non-collective, research
22 says, which represents
23 about 25 million employees.

24 If I may, I'd like to respond to a few
25 points --

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So this
2 decision in your favor would invalidate the
3 25 -- agreements covering 25 million employees?

4 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, Your Honor.

5 If I may respond to a few points of
6 Mr. Wall's, there seems to be a belief on the
7 employer's side that allowing employees to
8 waive Section 20 -- Rule 23, Rule 20, and
9 Section 16(b) rights under the Fair Labor
10 Standards side -- Fair Labor Standards Act,
11 except when the -- Section 7 of the NLRA is in
12 the picture, somehow creates an anomaly.

13 That is not the case, Your Honors.
14 All these other -- Rule 20, Rule 23, and
15 Section 16 create remedial mechanisms, but they
16 create no substantive rights.

17 Rule -- Section 7 of the NLRA, Section
18 2 of the Norris-LaGuardia Act, on the other
19 hand, create substantive rights, but they
20 create no procedural mechanisms. There's
21 nothing really odd about not allowing employees
22 covered by Section 7 -- or sort of coercing
23 them in this way.

24 Second, Mr. Wall suggested the
25 Concepcion and Italian Colors actually control

1 here. They do not. Concepcion, for example,
2 concerns state law. This Court followed
3 preemption analysis and was very concerned, in
4 particular, about the application of the state
5 law in that case.

6 It was California's unconscionability
7 doctrine. And this Court found that it was
8 applied in a discriminatory manner which tended
9 to target arbitration. That was the problem
10 with it.

11 Also, Your Honor, although this Court
12 found that affecting an essential attribute of
13 arbitration was important in that case, that is
14 very different here as well.

15 Collective arbitration is much more
16 traditional in the labor and employment context
17 than it is in the consumer context.

18 It is --

19 JUSTICE BREYER: Is there anything
20 wrong, from your point of view, which taking
21 this case in a very unsatisfactory way to
22 everybody, except perhaps it's simple, is you
23 just simply read the words what the employer
24 cannot stop is joint effort, like making a
25 joint claim, nothing to do with class actions,

1 just making a joint claim, resorting to
2 administrative and judicial forums for the
3 purpose of making that joint claim?

4 Now, the contracts seem to be an
5 employer effort to stop an employee from doing
6 that because they don't allow him to do that
7 either in administrative or judicial forums.

8 Now, suppose end of opinion, okay?
9 Now, from your point of view, does that solve
10 the case? Or does it just create a lot of
11 problems? Is it totally out to lunch or what?

12 MR. ORTIZ: No, Your Honor. We think
13 that would absolutely solve the case correctly.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but, of
15 course, there's another statute that has either
16 equally or plainer language which says that
17 arbitration agreements will be enforced
18 according to their terms.

19 Does it complicate the case to add
20 that into it?

21 MR. ORTIZ: It complicates it one
22 step, but what the FAA gives the FAA also takes
23 away, Your Honor. That same provision of the
24 FAA, Section 2, actually reserves -- creates an
25 exception for -- for contracts that -- for

1 contractual provisions that are illegal, and
2 this Court has also said that there are two
3 other doctrines that are --

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that
5 kind of begs the question. We're trying to
6 figure out if this is illegal. You can't
7 assume that that type of arbitration agreement
8 is illegal, and, therefore, it's covered by a
9 clause that prevents the enforcement of illegal
10 arbitration agreements.

11 MR. ORTIZ: Sure, you can, Your Honor.
12 Section 7 clearly prohibits this kind of
13 behavior, and in Kaiser Steel, this Court
14 itself said that such contracts are illegal and
15 cannot be enforced by a court. They easily fit
16 within the meaning of the savings clause.

17 JUSTICE BREYER: Why do you not -- I
18 mean, look, I quoted a statute, didn't I?

19 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, you did, Your Honor.
20 The language clearly controls.

21 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. And the
22 statute was passed after the Arbitration Act,
23 wasn't it?

24 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, Your Honor.

25 JUSTICE BREYER: And Justice Cardozo

1 said when in a comparable context, we exclude
2 cases where the contract is in contravention of
3 a statute. And that's why Justice Kagan
4 provided the example of the discrimination
5 case.

6 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, Your Honor.

7 JUSTICE BREYER: So I'm not quite
8 ready to say it's more complicated.

9 MR. ORTIZ: No, no. It's -- Your
10 Honor, I'm sorry if I suggested that.

11 (Laughter.)

12 MR. ORTIZ: The section -- Section 2
13 of the FAA was taken -- was not just inspired
14 by the New York Arbitration Act but was taken
15 word for word from the New York Arbitration
16 Act. And then Judge Cardozo of the New York
17 Court of Appeals basically said, in
18 interpreting that provision of the New York
19 Arbitration Act, near the time when it was
20 enacted by the New York State legislature, that
21 it would not cover at all illegal agreements.

22 And Congress was aware of that history
23 of interpretation. In fact, the Berkowitz case
24 was brought to its attention when it was
25 considering the Federal Arbitration Act.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where -- where
2 are you on my 50-employee hypothetical? Do you
3 agree with the NLRB that it is all right to
4 have a provision which says there is no class
5 arbitration unless there are more than 50
6 people involved?

7 MR. ORTIZ: The employer, Your Honor,
8 cannot coerce employees into that forum, unless
9 there is an alternative forum available with,
10 say, the courts where --

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, okay.

12 MR. ORTIZ: -- fewer than 50 employees
13 could proceed.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But is your
15 answer then that you disagree with the position
16 of the NLRB? Because I understood them to say
17 that, yes, once you're in the forum, you have
18 to abide by the rules of the forum. And one of
19 the rules of the forum that I hypothesized is
20 one that's saying you've got to have at least
21 50 people before you can have a collective
22 action. Now, if it's an arbitration agreement,
23 that means you are already out of the courts.
24 So the question is, is that a valid agreement
25 or not?

1 MR. ORTIZ: Well, when you get to the
2 arbitral forum --

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yeah.

4 MR. ORTIZ: -- you are bound by cause.
5 But when an employer tries to coerce by making
6 it a condition of continued employment that
7 employees agree to a set of arbitral rules that
8 make collective action impossible and at the
9 same time takes away --

10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, my point
11 is it doesn't make collective action
12 impossible. It requires that there be at least
13 51 employees before you can have collective
14 action. In other words, it's a rule like the
15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure which says you
16 cannot have a class action whenever you want
17 to, but you have to satisfy certain rules like
18 numerosity.

19 MR. ORTIZ: No, no, I -- I'm sorry,
20 Your Honor. I --

21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sorry it's so
22 complicated.

23 MR. ORTIZ: No, no, no, no.

24 (Laughter.)

25 MR. ORTIZ: But so long as there's an

1 alternative available where a group of 50 -- of
2 less than 50 people could pursue, whether
3 that's before --

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, there's no
5 alternative available because you're agreeing
6 to arbitrate. You're agreeing to go to the
7 arbitral forum, and it has certain rules.

8 MR. ORTIZ: Well, under --

9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERT: The whole point
10 is no, you can't -- you can't engage in
11 collective action if there are fewer than 51
12 people.

13 MR. ORTIZ: Then, in our view, Your
14 Honor, no, the -- the employer could not insist
15 on that.

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. Let's
17 assume for the sake of argument that the
18 employer here has 49 employees and he gives a
19 contract to the employee that says you have to
20 arbitrate with me in this forum that doesn't
21 have class actions unless there are 50 more
22 employees.

23 That would be a different claim than
24 involved here, wouldn't it?

25 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, Your Honor, it would

1 be.

2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It would be the
3 intent to interfere with collective action.
4 But let's assume it's an Ernst & Young that has
5 5,000 employees, I don't actually know the
6 number, but for sake of argument, 5,000
7 employees. What would be wrong by choosing an
8 arbitral forum that limits class actions to 50
9 people?

10 The federal rules say that you have to
11 have a class that's big enough in numerosity to
12 warrant class treatment. And, arguably -- and
13 if there's only 20 or 25 employees, a judge
14 could, using its -- his or her discretion, say:
15 No, I'm not going to have a class action with
16 25 people.

17 MR. ORTIZ: No, no, but the
18 difference, Your Honor, is that under the
19 federal rules, you can still have a joint
20 action with two, three, four, five people, up
21 to 50.

22 And as I was assuming the hypothetical
23 from the Chief Justice, under the -- the rules
24 of the -- the arbitral forum he was putting
25 forward, it would be either 50 or more, or

1 nothing or one.

2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And no joint
3 activity of any --

4 MR. ORTIZ: No joint activity below
5 50.

6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- of any kind?

7 MR. ORTIZ: Right.

8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. Now I
9 understand.

10 MR. ORTIZ: That was the problem. So
11 I'm sorry if -- if I was not clear about that.

12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Yeah, that's --

13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, your --
14 your understanding is correct, I just wanted to
15 make certain I understood that your position
16 was different than the position of the NLRB on
17 that.

18 MR. ORTIZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 JUSTICE ALITO: On the right to -- if
20 the right to engage in concerted activity
21 includes the right to have -- to file a class
22 action in federal court, how can an agreement
23 provide that -- waive that right and require
24 arbitration, even if arbitrations -- even if
25 class arbitration is allowed, or can it not do

1 that?

2 MR. ORTIZ: Your Honor, under Section
3 7, as long as joint legal action is available
4 in one forum, that would be sufficient.

5 JUSTICE ALITO: Why? Where do you get
6 that out of the language of the statute?

7 MR. ORTIZ: May I proceed, Your Honor?

8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure.

9 MR. ORTIZ: Your Honor, it's -- it
10 represents an accommodation, if you will, with
11 this Court's jurisprudence where this Court has
12 said in a series of cases that the arbitral
13 forum is equivalent to the judicial forum, so
14 as long as one can proceed in one or the other,
15 there should be no Section 7 violation. Thank
16 you.

17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
18 counsel.

19 Mr. Clement, you have four minutes
20 remaining.

21 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.,
22 ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS IN NOS. 16-285 AND 16-300

23 MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Mr. Chief
24 Justice. Just a few points in rebuttal.

25 First of all, I just want to emphasize

1 that, as Justice Kennedy said, you do have the
2 right to concerted activity in the sense that
3 three or more employees could decide that they
4 want to go to the arbitral forum and then they
5 would arbitrate individually, but they could
6 have the same lawyer and the like.

7 They also have other options.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about the
9 confidentiality agreements which, I take it,
10 puts a damper on how -- how jointly these
11 people can proceed?

12 MR. CLEMENT: Well, they can proceed
13 very jointly before they get there. The
14 confidentiality agreement's not going to take
15 -- stop the same lawyer from thinking about the
16 three cases in conjunction --

17 JUSTICE KAGAN: But, Mr. Clement,
18 usually, usually when you have a right, the
19 fact that there is one way to exercise a right
20 left over does not make it okay if we've taken
21 away another 25 ways of exercising the right.
22 You know, when we think about the First
23 Amendment, we don't say we can ban leafleting
24 because you can always write an op ed. And the
25 same thing applies here.

1 The fact that there's something left
2 over by way of concerted activity does not make
3 it okay under Section 7 and Section 8 to
4 deprive employees of many other means of
5 protected activity.

6 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Your Honor, I'm
7 not sure you should blame me for that, because
8 as I understood the colloquy with Justice
9 Alito, that's exactly their position. As long
10 as there's an avenue for concerted activity
11 open, that's good enough.

12 And I did want to mention there's
13 another avenue for concerted activity, which is
14 the three employers -- employees, rather, can
15 go to the Wage and Hour Division of the Labor
16 Department, and the Wage and Hour Division, if
17 it thinks there's a problem, can bring an
18 action that won't be subject to the arbitration
19 agreement under this Court's decision in Waffle
20 House.

21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement, how
22 -- and these are related questions, which is
23 how does an employee with these confidentiality
24 agreements or even with this agreement in
25 place -- how are they able to bring a pattern

1 or practice or disparate treatment cause of
2 action? And explain to me why employers would
3 prefer an arbitration of 100 different claims,
4 let's say in a religious accommodation case,
5 where half the arbitrators say you must honor
6 this -- those 50 people's religious claims and
7 the other 50 arbitrators say no, you don't have
8 to.

9 Where -- how are employers and
10 employees helped with such a system and how
11 with these individual arbitration claims that
12 have become more recent in -- in modern
13 times -- this is not -- these bilateral
14 arbitration agreements have not been the norm;
15 they've been the norm in more recent times.
16 When the Court said that we weren't going to
17 recognize class actions in arbitrations, that's
18 when employers jumped to this. But how do you
19 deal with those two policy considerations?

20 MR. CLEMENT: Let me try to deal with
21 them, Justice Sotomayor. But let me -- let me
22 first correct what I think is just a
23 disagreement between the two us, which is I
24 think, and this Court said as much in *Italian*
25 *Colors* and *Concepcion*, bilateral arbitration is

1 actually the only kind of arbitration there was
2 until roughly Basil, and then you started
3 having the possibility of class arbitrations.

4 So the kind of arbitration that
5 Congress was trying to protect in 1925 was
6 bilateral arbitration. Now --

7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, it was
8 bilateral commercial arbitration.

9 MR. CLEMENT: Okay, but again, this
10 Court crossed that bridge in Circuit City.
11 Now, when you get to -- you raised a concern
12 about what if you can only bring a pattern and
13 practice case with, you know, more than one
14 plaintiff?

15 Well, you know, the parties really
16 haven't briefed that, but that did come up a
17 lot in Italian Colors because the Second
18 Circuit had a rule that said that you could
19 only bring a pattern and practice case pursuant
20 to a class action.

21 And try as I might to say that that
22 was a problem with effective vindication, I
23 only got four votes. So the Court seemed to
24 say that that wasn't a sufficient problem.
25 Thank you, Your Honor.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
2 counsel. The cases are submitted.

3 (Whereupon, at 11:09 a.m., the case
4 was submitted.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| \$ | 8 | agencies [2] 7:1,10 | arbitral [24] 20:16,19 22:3 24:19 35:11,18,24 37:7 47:19,20 48:1, 22 49:2,6,25 51:2,23 62:2,7 63:7 64:8,24 66:12 67:4 |
| \$1,800 [1] 22:2 | 8 [5] 13:20 21:18 45:23 46:2 68:3 8(a) (1) [2] 46:8 50:6 | agency [1] 32:22 | arbitrate [13] 10:23 12:2 21:10 33: 16 35:1,7,17,19 50:18,23 63:6,20 67:5 |
| 1 | A | ago [3] 21:17 29:6,18 | arbitration [97] 4:12,13,20,23 5:22 7:20,24 9:15 10:13 11:3,5,22 12:5, 10,17 13:4,8,10 15:25 16:1 20:1,5, 7,16 22:12,19 25:3,7,14,17,20 27: 17,22,25 28:4 30:12 32:1,2,3,17, 25 33:11,14 34:12,19 35:3,13 36: 9,12,15 37:9,10 39:24 40:7,11,25 43:4 46:24 47:16 48:25 50:15,19, 20 51:2,15,16,17,18,24 52:5,6 54: 10 55:17 57:9,13,15 58:17 59:7, 10,22 60:14,15,19,25 61:5,22 65: 24,25 68:18 69:3,11,14,25 70:1,4, 6,8 |
| 10:06 [2] 2:3 4:2 | a.m [3] 2:3 4:2 71:3 | agree [12] 11:21 12:2 20:4 25:11 31:25 32:19 35:1,7,15 52:18 61:3 62:7 | arbitrations [6] 34:14 37:16,22 65: 24 69:17 70:3 |
| 100 [1] 69:3 | aaa [3] 25:17 50:16 51:24 | agreed [3] 10:23 21:14 52:9 | arbitrator [3] 18:5 39:5 47:8 |
| 102 [2] 18:13,23 | abide [2] 20:17 61:18 | agreeing [2] 63:5,6 | arbitrators [3] 52:1 69:5,7 |
| 103 [2] 18:14,25 | ability [8] 13:3 25:6 29:20 37:6 40: 14 42:7 43:5 53:4 | agreement [30] 12:5 20:1,9,9 26:2, 22 32:1,2 34:19 35:2,15 36:13 39: 5 43:3,8,14 46:15 48:25 49:13,22 50:17 51:14,16 53:5 59:7 61:22, 24 65:22 68:19,24 | arguably [2] 17:1 64:12 |
| 11:09 [1] 71:3 | above-entitled [1] 2:1 | agreement's [1] 67:14 | argument [19] 2:2 3:2,6,12,16,20 4:4,8 8:23 10:7 21:1 22:15 28:5 34:1 45:4 55:7 63:17 64:6 66:21 |
| 16 [1] 56:15 | abrogate [2] 43:19 45:5 | agreements [26] 4:13,20 9:15 11: 22 14:16 22:12,20 23:10,11 24:9 25:11 30:13 34:13 40:11,16 41:5 50:15 54:14 55:18 56:3 58:17 59: 10 60:21 67:9 68:24 69:14 | arguments [1] 22:17 |
| 16(b) [1] 56:9 | absent [1] 32:21 | agrees [1] 36:9 | aside [2] 23:3 27:2 |
| 16-285 [12] 2:7,11,16 3:5,9,19,23 4: 4,9 21:3 55:9 66:22 | absolutely [3] 32:16 46:13 58:13 | ahead [1] 29:14 | association [1] 51:17 |
| 16-300 [11] 2:7,12,17 3:5,10,19,23 4:9 21:3 55:9 66:22 | academy [1] 52:1 | aid [7] 7:2,5 16:8,16 18:20 19:16 23:1 | assume [5] 5:7 29:8 59:7 63:17 64:4 |
| 16-307 [6] 2:12,14 3:11,15 21:4 34: 3 | accommodation [2] 66:10 69:4 | al [2] 1:9,20 | assumed [1] 19:12 |
| 19 [1] 44:6 | according [2] 28:5 58:18 | alimony [1] 16:15 | assumes [5] 19:9 20:14 29:17,17, 23 |
| 1925 [2] 12:7 70:5 | account [1] 37:5 | alito [19] 29:9 30:16,18,21,23 31:6 37:8,18 41:20 43:1,15,23 45:3,9 51:1,11 65:19 66:5 68:9 | assuming [2] 28:14 64:22 |
| 1930s [1] 25:24 | achieved [1] 51:13 | allow [7] 22:13 35:2 38:12 41:22 42:3 51:18 58:6 | attempted [1] 27:14 |
| 1935 [1] 26:8 | act [38] 18:14 21:12 23:2,16 27:24 30:25 31:15 34:10,14,16 35:15,16 38:5 40:14,16 41:8 42:5 44:10,11, 22 48:4 49:13 50:2,5 51:20 52:10, 14,19,24 53:2,2 56:10,18 59:22 60:14,16,19,25 | allowed [2] 44:17 65:25 | attempting [1] 32:23 |
| 1940 [1] 41:9 | acted [1] 13:12 | allowing [2] 56:7,21 | attention [1] 60:24 |
| 1962 [1] 44:8 | acting [3] 3:14 34:3 42:14 | allows [2] 35:2 51:24 | attorney [4] 37:20 38:9,21 39:20 |
| 1970 [1] 44:9 | action [50] 5:2,8,17 6:7 7:22 9:24 14:22 15:14 16:1 17:1,2,21 18:17 20:19 22:25,25 23:24 25:9,14 26: 3,10 27:14 28:1 38:2,4 39:9,19 42: 25 51:15 53:9,14,15,20,24 54:5,7 61:22 62:8,11,14,16 63:11 64:3, 15,20 65:22 66:3 68:18 69:2 70: 20 | alone [1] 22:2 | attribute [5] 12:10 32:24 33:11,13 57:12 |
| 2 | actions [9] 21:10 37:16 42:20 51:6 52:7 57:25 63:21 64:8 69:17 | already [2] 13:9 61:23 | atypical [1] 9:23 |
| 2 [5] 1:24 22:21 56:18 58:24 60:12 | activities [4] 13:24 21:13 29:19 38:13 | alternative [3] 61:9 63:1,5 | authority [1] 42:7 |
| 20 [5] 26:17 56:8,8,14 64:13 | activity [35] 5:12 6:4 7:9 14:4 16:8, 12,13,17,23 17:10,22 20:12 23:1,6 24:12 26:10 27:21,23 28:4,8 33:8 37:14 43:18 44:7 53:9,16 54:2 65: 3,4,20 67:2 68:2,5,10,13 | although [2] 35:16 57:11 | automatically [1] 26:22 |
| 2010 [2] 9:16 21:16 | actually [6] 14:17 43:8 56:25 58: 24 64:5 70:1 | aluminum [1] 44:3 | available [8] 16:25 36:5 45:1 48:8 61:9 63:1,5 66:3 |
| 2017 [1] 1:24 | add [1] 58:19 | ambiguity [3] 30:4 31:18 33:20 | avenue [2] 68:10,13 |
| 21 [1] 3:11 | additional [1] 16:15 | amendment [1] 67:23 | aware [1] 60:22 |
| 216(b) [1] 44:21 | address [1] 44:18 | amicus [5] 2:10 3:8 14:23 21:2 52: 1 | away [3] 58:23 62:9 67:21 |
| 23 [9] 11:3 24:22 26:18 30:5 44:22 45:5,14 56:8,14 | addresses [1] 52:4 | analogous [1] 51:5 | B |
| 25 [6] 55:23 56:3,3 64:13,16 67:21 | adds [1] 31:8 | analysis [1] 57:3 | back [4] 8:2 9:4 26:8 37:3 |
| 3 | adea [2] 14:22 32:9 | anomaly [2] 52:8 56:12 | bad [1] 27:7 |
| 34 [1] 3:15 | administrative [6] 6:24 8:12,19 46:19 58:2,7 | another [5] 4:16 34:20 58:15 67: 21 68:13 | ban [1] 67:23 |
| 4 | administratively [1] 42:17 | answer [12] 9:8,10,12,12,18 17:11 29:10,16 39:8 45:10,11 61:15 | bargaining [2] 11:9 54:14 |
| 4 [1] 3:5 | adopted [3] 5:6 41:16 44:24 | answering [1] 55:12 | bargains [2] 12:15,16 |
| 49 [1] 63:18 | advantage [1] 40:4 | anti-riot [1] 45:21 | barked [1] 14:20 |
| 5 | advantages [1] 39:18 | anybody [1] 17:3 | barring [1] 34:8 |
| 5,000 [2] 64:5,6 | adversaries [2] 13:6 28:2 | apparently [1] 55:15 | basically [6] 13:21 18:24 23:5 31: 10 50:1 60:17 |
| 50 [15] 47:23 48:15 50:3 61:5,12,21 63:1,2,21 64:8,21,25 65:5 69:6,7 | affecting [1] 57:12 | appeals [1] 60:17 | basis [1] 70:2 |
| 50-employee [1] 61:2 | afl-cio [2] 12:24 14:23 | appearances [1] 2:5 | basis [1] 33:12 |
| 51 [4] 48:5 50:21 62:13 63:11 | age [1] 33:12 | application [1] 57:4 | |
| 55 [2] 3:19 55:15 | | applied [2] 54:23 57:8 | |
| 6 | | applies [3] 11:6 28:15 67:25 | |
| 60 [1] 55:18 | | apply [5] 26:19,20 27:3 46:12,12 | |
| 66 [1] 3:23 | | applying [1] 4:23 | |
| 7 | | approaching [1] 10:12 | |
| 7 [44] 4:24 5:9,12,14 7:11,14 9:14 13:2,20 15:3,8 16:7 18:3,22,24 19: 2,5,6,13,20 20:2,10 21:18 25:2 29: 5 30:7 31:14 32:22 33:6 45:6,12, 18,23 46:1 48:11 50:9 53:17 56: 11,17,22 59:12 66:3,15 68:3 | | appropriate [1] 31:2 | |
| 70 [1] 40:22 | | approximately [1] 55:15 | |
| 77 [2] 9:13 24:15 | | arbiter [1] 15:17 | |

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| <p>become ^[1] 69:12 begin ^[1] 55:12 beginning ^[2] 9:19 40:15 begs ^[1] 59:5 behalf ^[12] 2:6,14,16 3:4,14,18,22 4:9 9:25 34:2 55:8 66:22 behavior ^[1] 59:13 belief ^[1] 56:6 believes ^[1] 38:19 below ^[1] 65:4 benefit ^[2] 6:13,13 berkowitz ^[1] 60:23 best ^[2] 15:6 33:18 between ^[9] 12:15,16 18:1 23:23 32:14 43:3 48:25 49:22 69:23 beyond ^[2] 15:5 29:4 big ^[1] 64:11 bilateral ^[13] 9:15 12:5 13:4,16 14: 17 19:25 20:7 22:19 40:25 69:13, 25 70:6,8 bit ^[1] 55:13 blame ^[1] 68:7 board ^[26] 1:17 6:9 9:13 11:20 15: 7,9,13,13 18:4 21:17 24:16 25:5 29:5,18 30:2 37:4 40:21,23 41:16, 17,22 42:3,6,13 52:3 53:18 board's ^[10] 34:6 35:6,22 36:10 41: 3,4 43:9,11,21 53:13 body ^[1] 13:11 both ^[13] 16:3,5 25:15 28:8 31:5, 10,14,24 34:16 40:21 46:14 52:5 53:1 bound ^[1] 62:4 boy ^[1] 14:18 breyer ^[22] 7:17 9:11 10:8 25:8 26: 6,13,25 27:2,6,11 38:15 39:7,17 46:11,14,17,23 57:19 59:17,21,25 60:7 bridge ^[2] 12:22 70:10 brief ^[5] 14:24 31:4 52:1,2 53:20 briefed ^[3] 14:25 53:19 70:16 briefing ^[6] 9:24 33:9 68:17,25 70:12, 19 bringing ^[1] 53:8 broadness ^[1] 23:7 brought ^[2] 39:9 60:24 brown ^[2] 38:18 47:5 business ^[1] 25:9</p> | <p>20 22:1,23 26:9 33:21 39:20 54:1, 20,25 60:2 66:12 67:16 71:2 cause ^[3] 23:24 62:4 69:1 century ^[1] 31:11 certain ^[4] 13:22 62:17 63:7 65:15 certainly ^[2] 9:2 47:9 changing ^[1] 9:3 characterize ^[1] 26:4 charge ^[2] 42:22,23 charges ^[2] 42:9,10 charlottesville ^[1] 2:15 chief ^[46] 4:3,10 20:21 21:5 30:16, 20 31:22 33:3,22 34:4,23 35:10, 14 36:7,11,21,25 47:18 48:3,13,23 49:1,16,20,24 50:10,14 55:4,10 56:1 58:14 59:4 61:1,11,14 62:3, 10,21 63:4,9 64:23 65:13 66:8,17, 23 71:1 choice ^[1] 44:12 choose ^[4] 27:22 38:13 44:17,25 choosing ^[1] 64:7 circuit ^[9] 12:22,23 14:9,11,19 15: 1 54:21 70:10,18 circuit's ^[1] 27:17 circumstances ^[2] 51:5 52:4 city ^[8] 12:22,24 14:9,11,19 15:1 54:21 70:10 civil ^[6] 10:15 32:10 43:4 44:23 49: 3 62:15 claim ^[11] 4:12 10:24 11:23 22:1 23:20 38:25 44:15 57:25 58:1,3 63:23 claims ^[7] 4:18 18:16 21:11 22:16 69:3,6,11 clarity ^[1] 4:19 class ^[56] 7:15 9:24 15:13 16:1 17: 1,2,4,14,21 18:17 20:15,19 24:18, 21 25:3,9 26:3 27:14 30:9,10 37: 10,13,15,16 41:22 42:3,20,24,25 43:3,10 47:22 51:2,6,14,14,15,18, 24 52:15 53:8,20,24 57:25 61:4 62:16 63:21 64:8,11,12,15 65:21, 25 69:17 70:3,20 clause ^[8] 7:2,5 8:15 28:20 29:19 34:14 59:9,16 clear ^[13] 4:24 7:4 24:7,8,11 26:2, 15,18 28:12 32:11,15,20 65:11 clearly ^[5] 18:18,20 25:23 59:12, 20 clement ^[53] 2:6 3:3,21 4:7,8,10 5: 3,10,20,20 6:6,15 7:12 9:10 10:20, 25 11:1,7,8,19 12:12,21 13:6 14:2, 6,14 15:19 16:4,20 17:5,8,16,19 18:8,9,11 19:8,14,22 20:13,22 21: 8 31:25 40:22 66:19,21,23 67:12, 17 68:6,21 69:20 70:9 closely ^[1] 31:1 coequal ^[1] 34:21 coerce ^[2] 61:8 62:5 coercing ^[1] 56:22 coercion ^[1] 50:7 coexist ^[1] 31:12 collaborate ^[3] 15:10 16:11 17:3 colleague ^[1] 23:9</p> | <p>colleagues ^[1] 41:2 collect ^[1] 35:8 collective ^[26] 6:7 13:11 14:22 21: 10 25:4 28:4,7 29:21 35:3,17 37: 15 38:2,4 41:13 43:6 50:20 52:7 54:11,13 57:15 61:21 62:8,11,13 63:11 64:3 collectively ^[8] 35:9,21 36:14 41: 7 47:22 48:4 50:2 53:6 colloquy ^[1] 68:8 colors ^[4] 22:18 56:25 69:25 70:17 combining ^[1] 23:13 come ^[3] 26:8,23 70:16 comes ^[2] 19:18 52:11 comfortably ^[1] 31:12 command ^[6] 4:22 24:7 28:12 32: 11,15,21 commercial ^[2] 12:19 70:8 commit ^[1] 10:6 common ^[2] 24:2 46:25 comparable ^[1] 60:1 compel ^[1] 27:16 complaint ^[2] 42:15 44:15 complicate ^[1] 58:19 complicated ^[2] 60:8 62:22 complicates ^[1] 58:21 conceding ^[2] 10:9,17 concepcion ^[5] 8:25 22:19 56:25 57:1 69:25 concept ^[1] 42:19 concepts ^[1] 13:18 concern ^[1] 70:11 concerned ^[1] 57:3 concerns ^[1] 57:2 concert ^[3] 21:12 46:2 54:3 concerted ^[35] 5:2,8,11 6:3 13:24 16:8,11,17,22 17:10,22 18:18,19 19:16 20:12 21:13 23:1,6 24:12 26:10 27:21,23 29:19 33:8 39:19 43:17 46:4 53:9,16 54:2 65:20 67: 2 68:2,10,13 concernedly ^[6] 16:24 25:12 34: 10 38:6,8 40:14 concession ^[2] 5:1,4 concluding ^[1] 32:9 conclusion ^[3] 19:9 20:14 29:17 condition ^[1] 62:6 conditions ^[8] 6:24 8:11,20 40:19 44:5,14,18 46:3 confidentiality ^[4] 23:11 67:9,14 68:23 conflict ^[4] 19:1,5,20 32:14 confronted ^[1] 10:3 congress ^[4] 25:5 51:5 60:22 70:5 congressional ^[6] 4:22 24:7 28: 12 32:11,15,21 conjunction ^[1] 67:16 considerations ^[1] 69:19 considered ^[1] 39:21 considering ^[1] 60:25 consistent ^[1] 37:1 consolidated ^[1] 4:6 constrained ^[2] 40:10,13 construction ^[1] 23:5</p> | <p>consumer ^[1] 57:17 context ^[7] 6:17 11:6 15:5 29:24 57:16,17 60:1 continue ^[1] 33:2 continued ^[1] 62:6 contract ^[17] 6:2 8:16 11:9,13,15, 16 12:11 13:19,21,22 18:6 24:1 28:18 48:14 54:17 60:2 63:19 contract's ^[1] 13:25 contracted ^[1] 13:12 contracts ^[11] 7:21 10:10 12:19 13:20 34:9 54:9,23 55:16 58:4,25 59:14 contractual ^[2] 13:17 59:1 contrary ^[1] 4:21 contravention ^[2] 8:16 60:2 control ^[1] 56:25 controls ^[1] 59:20 converted ^[1] 30:1 core ^[1] 22:6 corporation ^[2] 1:3 4:5 correct ^[8] 34:6 35:3 39:11 46:7, 21 52:12 65:14 69:22 correctly ^[1] 58:13 cost ^[1] 22:3 costs ^[2] 22:13 32:3 couldn't ^[1] 26:23 counsel ^[10] 9:16 21:16 29:7 41: 11,15 42:13,16 55:5 66:18 71:2 counselor ^[1] 54:8 couple ^[4] 14:6 16:13,21 32:6 course ^[9] 10:8,14 15:7,10,16 26: 14 45:18 49:5 58:15 court ^[49] 1:1 2:2 4:11 5:7 12:8,18, 22,25 13:9 14:19,25 19:3,7 21:6 22:18 23:20 25:19 26:18 27:22,25 28:20 31:7 32:8 33:14 34:5,18 35: 23 36:14,16 41:8 42:25 43:11 49: 5 51:6 54:23 55:11 57:2,7,11 59:2, 13,15 60:17 65:22 66:11 69:16,24 70:10,23 court's ^[8] 4:17 24:6 33:21 37:5 44:2 47:14 66:11 68:19 courthouse ^[8] 5:13,15,18,19,20 14:1 18:4 24:18 courthouses ^[1] 7:1 courtroom ^[2] 23:8,15 courts ^[7] 7:10 10:2 15:16 26:17 36:24 61:10,23 cover ^[2] 29:20 60:21 covered ^[8] 7:2,11 14:16 18:21 19: 12 55:17 56:22 59:8 covering ^[1] 56:3 covers ^[1] 55:18 coworkers ^[1] 15:11 create ^[6] 15:14 56:15,16,19,20 58: 10 creates ^[2] 56:12 58:24 crossed ^[2] 12:22 70:10 curiae ^[3] 2:10 3:8 21:2 curious ^[1] 41:20</p> |
| C | | | |
| <p>california's ^[1] 57:6 called ^[1] 11:12 came ^[4] 2:1 7:3 27:16 32:8 cannot ^[10] 24:12 26:1,1 35:21 39: 8 50:2 57:24 59:15 61:8 62:16 cardoza ^[3] 8:14 59:25 60:16 case ^[40] 4:4 7:20,23 9:2 10:13 12: 5 14:21 15:23 16:2 17:13,13,21, 23 25:22 27:8,12 28:21 32:8,13 33:17 36:4 38:9 42:17 43:18 52:2 53:23 54:16 56:13 57:5,13,21 58: 10,13,19 60:5,23 69:4 70:13,19 71:3 cases ^[18] 4:6,17 8:14,15 15:23 17:</p> | | | <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>d.c ^[4] 1:23 2:6,10,13</p> |

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>d.r [3] 21:17 24:23 31:13 dah-dah-dah [1] 39:1 damper [1] 67:10 daniel [3] 2:15 3:17 55:7 deal [7] 8:3 9:4 12:15 45:24,25 69:19,20 dealing [2] 14:21 40:18 decades [1] 21:15 decide [6] 5:16 16:3,5 25:6 31:3 67:3 decided [3] 15:1 38:10 54:24 decides [2] 9:24 42:14 decision [5] 27:18 41:18 44:2 56:2 68:19 decisions [1] 47:14 declare [1] 13:21 defense [5] 10:21,22,23 24:1,2 delegate [1] 25:5 demand [1] 46:3 department [2] 2:9 68:16 deprive [1] 68:4 deputy [1] 2:8 dictate [1] 15:6 differ [1] 22:12 difference [1] 64:18 differences [1] 38:23 different [9] 14:24 36:23 38:11 39:17 44:17 57:14 63:23 65:16 69:3 directed [3] 5:14 6:17,21 directly [1] 15:3 disagree [1] 61:15 disagreement [1] 69:23 discretion [1] 64:14 discriminate [2] 33:6,12 discrimination [1] 60:4 discriminatory [2] 32:1 57:8 dismissal [2] 21:22 24:17 disparate [1] 69:1 disputes [2] 35:1 54:11 distinction [2] 17:25 43:2 division [2] 68:15,16 doctrine [1] 57:7 doctrines [1] 59:3 dog [4] 11:12,14 12:11 14:20 doing [5] 14:4 15:2 16:22 25:16 58:5 done [3] 28:21 36:9 39:24 door [2] 23:8,15 doors [2] 24:19,19 double-check [1] 14:8 dozen [1] 23:19 draw [1] 43:2 driving [1] 5:25 due [3] 20:13 41:1,14 duress [2] 13:19 24:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <p>each [3] 25:10 38:19 54:1 earth [1] 45:19 easier [1] 16:2 easily [1] 59:15 eastex [2] 6:22 7:3 ed [1] 67:24 effect [1] 34:16</p> | <p>effective [1] 70:22 effectively [3] 36:1,19 37:6 efficient [1] 50:22 effort [3] 20:3 57:24 58:5 either [4] 44:13 58:7,15 64:25 emphasize [1] 66:25 emphasized [1] 40:22 employ [2] 6:4 8:21 employee [15] 5:24 11:17 14:9 15:25 17:8,9 20:4 25:25 48:1 49:10,23 51:7 58:5 63:19 68:23 employee's [3] 12:1 49:15 51:8 employees [52] 5:22 6:8,23 9:25 15:8,24 21:9,11,19 22:15 27:13 31:16 34:10,11 37:19 38:14,19 39:25 40:17 41:5 42:11,12,21 43:5,24 44:4,17,25 45:19,25 52:6,18 54:19 55:16,20,23 56:3,7,21 61:8,12 62:7,13 63:18,22 64:5,7,13 67:3 68:4,14 69:10 employees' [3] 38:5 40:13 46:9 employer [35] 5:24 6:5 10:3,5,21,22 11:10 13:13 14:8 17:7 20:3 26:1 32:3 33:5,7 36:8 38:13,25 40:6 43:14 47:2 48:9 49:14,22,25 50:6,11 51:21 53:5 57:23 58:5 61:7 62:5 63:14,18 employer's [2] 34:17 56:7 employer/employee [1] 50:17 employers [15] 8:20 17:24 23:12,13,13 39:23 40:10,18 42:10 45:25 46:3 68:14 69:2,9,18 employment [8] 14:15,22 44:19 46:4 52:5 54:23 57:16 62:6 enacted [4] 44:16,21 45:6 60:20 enactment [1] 43:20 end [2] 55:14 58:8 ends [1] 45:18 enforce [3] 26:22 34:19 50:1 enforceable [7] 4:14 11:2 19:3,7,21 22:20 32:5 enforced [4] 24:9 30:13 58:17 59:15 enforcement [2] 34:8 59:9 enforcing [1] 4:19 engage [5] 38:14 43:6,17 63:10 65:20 enough [4] 38:20 39:2 64:11 68:11 enter [2] 13:3,8 entire [1] 8:2 entirely [1] 46:7 entitled [1] 14:5 entitlement [2] 24:21 30:10 epic [2] 1:3 4:4 equal [1] 13:13 equally [1] 58:16 equivalent [5] 31:15 35:25 36:18 49:9 66:13 ernst [3] 1:9 22:1 64:4 esq [10] 3:3,7,13,17,21 4:8 21:1 34:1 55:7 66:21 essential [2] 11:14 57:12 essentially [6] 6:25 17:4 19:2 31:</p> | <p>9 36:20 53:12 establish [1] 45:24 et [2] 1:9,20 even [8] 11:21 22:14 28:19,22 32:16 65:24,24 68:24 event [1] 40:1 events [1] 14:10 eventually [1] 14:25 everybody [2] 45:22 57:22 everyone [1] 24:15 everything [2] 27:3 30:11 exactly [5] 22:17 38:22,23 55:3 68:9 example [6] 9:23 15:7 18:15 44:1 57:1 60:4 except [3] 24:3 56:11 57:22 exception [1] 58:25 exclude [4] 8:14,15 11:18 60:1 exclusively [1] 54:10 excuse [1] 37:17 exercise [3] 46:9 50:8 67:19 exercising [1] 67:21 expensive [1] 12:17 expires [1] 29:12 explain [2] 7:19 69:2 explanation [1] 41:24 explicitly [1] 41:18 express [1] 34:20 extend [2] 21:12 45:18 extends [1] 15:5 extreme [1] 25:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <p>faa [26] 4:15,19,20,25 5:9 12:6,14,20 14:16 21:15 22:21 23:4,6,21,25 24:8,22 30:4 31:12,19 33:18 54:23 58:22,22,24 60:13 face [4] 4:21 29:3 30:4 31:19 faced [3] 23:20 44:4,13 fact [10] 6:22 9:1 14:15 40:7 41:17 42:21 53:23 60:23 67:19 68:1 fair [7] 44:21 52:9,14,24 53:1 56:9,10 fairly [1] 54:12 far [1] 11:21 favor [1] 56:2 fdr [1] 8:2 features [1] 11:14 federal [17] 4:16 21:14 23:21 24:3,23 30:5 34:13,21 36:23 44:23 49:2,4 60:25 62:15 64:10,19 65:22 fees [1] 22:13 fellow [1] 9:25 few [3] 55:24 56:5 66:24 fewer [3] 50:2 61:12 63:11 fifth [1] 27:17 fight [1] 23:23 figure [1] 59:6 file [8] 15:9,11 17:2 27:14 38:24 41:12 44:14 65:21 filed [6] 14:23 42:9,10,11 52:1 53:24 filing [2] 42:22 54:5 find [5] 9:13 12:23 43:25 48:2 49:</p> | <p>11 finding [1] 34:12 fine [3] 11:24 30:12 36:10 finish [2] 29:10,15 first [14] 4:4 11:20 14:7 17:13 24:15,23,25 29:20 32:7 34:7,18 66:25 67:22 69:22 fit [1] 59:15 fits [2] 30:11 53:20 five [4] 21:17 29:5,18 64:20 flsa [6] 21:9,11,15 28:13 29:25 52:20 folks [1] 16:21 follow [1] 49:5 followed [1] 57:2 footing [1] 13:13 forbid [3] 7:21,22 10:11 forbids [1] 25:16 force [1] 5:25 forced [1] 25:11 forget [2] 19:19 39:23 forgo [1] 21:10 forum [64] 6:9 8:19 9:20,22 10:12,14 11:3,5 12:17 13:11 15:6,17,17,21 16:23,25 20:5,16,17,19 22:3 24:19 26:12 27:21 28:8 30:10 35:8,18,24,25 36:5 37:7 40:6 47:19,20 48:1,2,9,22 49:2,6,10,19 50:1,15,19 51:2,9,9,23 61:8,9,17,18,19 62:2 63:7,20 64:8,24 66:4,13,13 67:4 forum's [1] 50:12 forums [10] 6:25 7:24 8:12 28:8 36:18 46:12,20 49:6 58:2,7 forward [1] 64:25 found [2] 57:7,12 four [6] 10:11 36:3 54:4 64:20 66:19 70:23 frankly [1] 31:7 fraud [2] 13:18 24:2 freestanding [1] 20:15 frequent [1] 42:21 frigid [1] 44:4 front [2] 10:10 12:25 full [1] 34:16 fully [3] 34:24 40:8 55:13 functionally [1] 36:18 fundamental [4] 12:10 32:24 33:11,13 further [1] 7:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <p>gc's [1] 41:11 gender [1] 33:13 general [7] 2:9 9:16 21:16 41:11,15 42:13,16 gets [5] 5:13 10:2 18:3,4,5 gilmer [1] 14:21 ginsburg [21] 5:19 6:7,11 11:7,20 12:13,21 15:18 21:20,24 22:10 31:21 34:22 40:1,5,20 41:10 51:22 52:8,13 67:8 give [2] 30:9 44:1 given [2] 11:4,5</p> |
|--|---|--|--|

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <p>gives [3] 34:16 58:22 63:18 giving [1] 21:11 goals [2] 7:7,8 goodness [1] 15:2 got [5] 29:4,4 49:5 61:20 70:23 government's [1] 30:24 grant [2] 31:16,17 granted [2] 29:21,22 grievance [4] 46:23 47:3,4,11 griffin [56] 2:13 3:13 33:24 34:1,4, 23 35:4,12,22 36:8,16,23 37:3,11, 24 38:3,12 39:6,11,15 40:12 41:1, 14 42:2 43:7,21,24 45:7,11,16 46: 6,13,16,21 47:9,25 48:6,16,20,24 49:8,18,21 50:4,12,25 51:3,19,25 52:12,17 53:8,11 54:12,18 55:3 group [4] 42:12 44:3 53:15 63:1 guess [1] 15:12 guy [1] 16:14 guys [2] 18:16 33:8</p> | <p>immediately [1] 53:25 important [1] 57:13 impose [1] 26:1 imposed [2] 43:14 49:14 impossible [2] 62:8,12 improve [3] 6:23 8:11 34:11 improving [1] 8:20 inaccurate [1] 41:2 inc [1] 1:20 inception [1] 12:14 included [1] 52:25 includes [2] 9:16 65:21 including [1] 24:15 incompatible [1] 9:14 independent [1] 42:6 individual [20] 4:13 11:23 13:23 22:1,8 27:16,25,25 34:12,25 37:9, 22 40:16 41:5 53:14,24 54:11,19, 24 69:11 individually [9] 35:7,16,20 39:21 40:18 41:6 47:21 50:23 67:5 individuals [4] 16:10 34:25 35:6 42:11 induce [1] 53:14 inducing [1] 53:21 information [1] 37:21 initiate [3] 5:17 42:7 53:14 initiating [1] 53:21 inside [1] 24:20 insist [1] 63:14 inspired [1] 60:13 instances [3] 47:1,3,10 instead [1] 51:13 intent [1] 64:3 interest [1] 42:16 interfere [3] 33:7 34:9 64:3 interfered [1] 24:13 interference [3] 6:5 46:9 50:6 interpret [2] 29:5 30:3 interpretation [3] 8:10 9:5 60:23 interpreted [1] 8:5 interpreting [1] 60:18 interrupt [1] 30:15 intervening [1] 48:9 invalid [1] 4:15 invalidate [3] 13:17,20 56:2 invalidated [1] 28:18 investigatory [1] 42:7 involve [3] 47:15,16 55:1 involved [5] 53:23 54:4,6 61:6 63: 24 involvement [1] 50:13 involves [2] 54:1,19 isn't [7] 7:17 11:25 12:13,13 19:24 24:10 54:3 issue [16] 5:21 7:18 9:17 10:18,20 11:23 13:9,16 14:12,22,24,25 30: 25 31:2 36:19 54:22 italian [4] 22:18 56:25 69:24 70:17 itself [7] 6:10 7:6 15:7 42:19 48:22 53:9 59:14</p> | <p>jail [1] 45:21 jeffrey [3] 2:8 3:7 21:1 join [2] 8:18 18:16 joined [2] 53:25 54:7 joining [5] 7:23 8:8,9 25:13 33:8 joint [14] 7:22 8:8 26:10 37:14 38: 25 52:7 57:24,25 58:1,3 64:19 65: 2,4 66:3 jointly [8] 25:13 36:6 43:13 44:14 45:20 52:21 67:10,13 jones [2] 38:18 47:5 jr [2] 2:13 3:13 judge [3] 10:13 60:16 64:13 judicial [13] 6:25 7:23 8:12,18 10: 12 13:11 35:13,25 40:6 46:19 58: 2,7 66:13 jumped [1] 69:18 jurisprudence [1] 66:11 justice [188] 2:9 4:3,10 5:1,5,11,19 6:6,11,18,20 7:12,17 8:5 9:11 10: 8,25 11:7,20 12:12,13,21 13:5 14: 3,7,12 15:18,22 16:18 17:5,6,12, 17 18:6,9,13,15 19:10,11,15 20:8, 21 21:5,20,24 22:10,22 23:17,22 24:5,11 25:8 26:6,13,25 27:2,6,11, 19 28:10,14,17,22,25 29:7,9,14 30: 14,16,16,18,18,20,21,23 31:6,21, 22,22,23,24 32:7,12 33:1,3,4,10, 22 34:4,22,23 35:10,14 36:7,11,21, 25 37:8,17,17 38:1,7,15,16 39:7,8, 13,16,16 40:1,3,5,8,20 41:10,20 43:1,15,23 45:3,9,16 46:11,14,17, 23 47:18 48:3,12,18,23 49:1,16,20, 24 50:10,14 51:1,11,22 52:8,13 53:7 54:8,15 55:1,4,10,13 56:1 57: 19 58:14 59:4,17,21,25,25 60:3,7 61:1,11,14 62:3,10,21 63:4,9,16 64:2,23 65:2,6,8,12,13,19 66:5,8, 17,24 67:1,8,17 68:8,21 69:21 70: 7 71:1</p> | <p>latter [1] 16:17 laughter [2] 60:11 62:24 law [11] 8:1 13:18 24:1,2,3 25:24 41:17 53:12,13 57:2,5 laws [2] 32:10 45:21 lawsuit [1] 41:13 lawyer [3] 39:9 67:6,15 leafleting [1] 67:23 least [6] 7:18 8:2 17:15 23:10 61: 20 62:12 leaving [1] 20:6 left [2] 67:20 68:1 legal [4] 26:10,10 34:15 66:3 legally [1] 14:5 legislature [1] 60:20 legislatures [1] 6:25 lends [1] 42:19 less [2] 12:17 63:2 lesser [1] 52:25 lesser-included [1] 53:3 lewis [4] 1:6 4:5 53:23 54:6 liberty [2] 6:2 11:16 licorice [1] 41:9 limit [1] 43:5 limitation [1] 43:19 limiting [1] 40:13 limits [3] 49:14,23 64:8 listen [1] 9:8 litigate [1] 42:17 litigation [1] 43:6 little [1] 55:12 llp [1] 1:9 logically [1] 13:7 long [10] 7:6 11:22 35:1,2,7 36:14 62:25 66:3,14 68:9 long-standing [1] 34:8 longer [3] 9:12,18 13:25 look [2] 24:6 59:18 looked [1] 9:17 lot [4] 23:18 34:11 58:10 70:17 lunch [1] 58:11</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>half [2] 23:19 69:5 hand [1] 56:19 handful [2] 9:25 23:19 happens [1] 42:9 harder [4] 17:2,6,9 28:5 health [2] 44:10,11 hear [3] 4:3 9:8 10:13 heard [1] 13:9 hearings [1] 38:11 heart [1] 8:2 heartland [1] 33:18 held [3] 41:9 44:7 53:18 helped [1] 69:10 helping [1] 16:14 higher [1] 18:19 highlight [1] 21:7 historical [1] 26:7 history [1] 60:22 honor [41] 35:4 37:4,11,25 39:12 41:3 42:4 43:8,22 45:8 46:7,22 47: 9 48:6 50:25 51:3 52:2,17 53:11 54:20 56:4 57:11 58:12,23 59:11, 19,24 60:6,10 61:7 62:20 63:14, 25 64:18 65:18 66:2,7,9 68:6 69:5 70:25 honors [1] 56:13 horton [4] 21:17 24:23 31:13 41: 18 hour [2] 68:15,16 house [1] 68:20 huge [1] 15:15 hypothesized [1] 61:19 hypothetical [4] 48:12,14 61:2 64: 22</p> | <p>individually [9] 35:7,16,20 39:21 40:18 41:6 47:21 50:23 67:5 individuals [4] 16:10 34:25 35:6 42:11 induce [1] 53:14 inducing [1] 53:21 information [1] 37:21 initiate [3] 5:17 42:7 53:14 initiating [1] 53:21 inside [1] 24:20 insist [1] 63:14 inspired [1] 60:13 instances [3] 47:1,3,10 instead [1] 51:13 intent [1] 64:3 interest [1] 42:16 interfere [3] 33:7 34:9 64:3 interfered [1] 24:13 interference [3] 6:5 46:9 50:6 interpret [2] 29:5 30:3 interpretation [3] 8:10 9:5 60:23 interpreted [1] 8:5 interpreting [1] 60:18 interrupt [1] 30:15 intervening [1] 48:9 invalid [1] 4:15 invalidate [3] 13:17,20 56:2 invalidated [1] 28:18 investigatory [1] 42:7 involve [3] 47:15,16 55:1 involved [5] 53:23 54:4,6 61:6 63: 24 involvement [1] 50:13 involves [2] 54:1,19 isn't [7] 7:17 11:25 12:13,13 19:24 24:10 54:3 issue [16] 5:21 7:18 9:17 10:18,20 11:23 13:9,16 14:12,22,24,25 30: 25 31:2 36:19 54:22 italian [4] 22:18 56:25 69:24 70:17 itself [7] 6:10 7:6 15:7 42:19 48:22 53:9 59:14</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>kagan [23] 6:20 7:13 8:6 17:5 18:9, 13 19:10,11,15 20:8 30:14,18 31: 23,24 32:7,12 33:1,4,10 45:16 53: 7 60:3 67:17 kaiser [1] 59:13 kennedy [20] 5:1,5,11 15:22 16:18 17:6,12,17 37:17 38:1,7,16 39:8, 13,16 40:3,8 48:12,18 67:1 kennedy's [1] 18:15 key [1] 7:13 kind [11] 11:8 22:17 26:24 37:13 40:10 54:17 59:5,12 65:6 70:1,4</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>made [2] 29:6 41:21 magic [1] 32:19 mandatory [1] 55:17 manner [1] 57:8 many [7] 39:18,23 42:12,20 47:10 54:9 68:4 margins [1] 33:19 mathematical [1] 52:23 matter [2] 2:1 7:10 matters [1] 31:7 mean [11] 5:5 6:21 7:18 16:15 17: 6 20:10 24:16 25:9 26:11 27:7 59: 18 meaning [1] 59:16 means [5] 25:12 37:18 44:24 61: 23 68:4 meant [1] 12:15 mechanisms [3] 44:24 56:15,20 members [1] 55:2 memo [1] 21:16 memorandum [2] 41:11,16</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>idea [2] 22:6 54:9 identical [2] 31:10 39:2 illegal [8] 13:22 28:7 59:1,6,8,9,14 60:21 imagine [1] 52:22 imbalance [1] 6:1</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>jacob [1] 1:6</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>labor [27] 1:17 8:1 10:6 15:9,11 23: 16 25:24,25 34:15 40:15 41:8 42: 5 44:22 49:13 50:5 51:20 52:5,10, 14,19,24 53:1,2 56:9,10 57:16 68: 15 language [5] 19:2,18 58:16 59:20 66:6 later [1] 45:6</p> | |

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>men ^[1] 32:3 mention ^[1] 68:12 mentioned ^[1] 36:2 merchants ^[2] 12:16,16 might ^[5] 16:16 17:21,22 38:17 70:21 million ^[3] 55:18,23 56:3 minute ^[2] 38:15 43:15 minutes ^[1] 66:19 misnomer ^[1] 42:2 missed ^[1] 38:17 misunderstood ^[2] 48:17,20 modern ^[1] 69:12 modification ^[1] 43:10 monday ^[1] 1:24 morris ^[2] 1:12 54:6 most ^[3] 5:12 9:19 50:14 move ^[5] 24:24,25 29:6 30:2,5 moved ^[1] 27:16 much ^[10] 12:17 21:18 22:3 39:25, 25 40:3 42:23 51:13 57:15 69:24 multiple ^[4] 35:24 36:17 47:12,16 murphy ^[11] 1:20 17:18 27:5,10,14, 15 36:4 41:19 52:3 53:19 54:5 must ^[3] 41:23,23 69:5 mutual ^[11] 6:13,13,14 7:2,5 16:8, 12,16 18:20 19:16 23:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>named ^[1] 53:10 national ^[12] 1:17 34:15 40:15 41: 7,9 42:5 49:13 50:5 51:20,25 52: 19 53:2 near ^[1] 60:19 need ^[1] 28:23 negotiated ^[1] 54:16 never ^[4] 25:17 28:21 31:17 41:16 new ^[7] 8:3 9:4 60:14,15,16,18,20 nightmare ^[1] 26:4 nlga ^[2] 18:23 20:2 nlra ^[21] 4:24 5:8,25 6:3,12 7:15 8: 4 9:6 13:2 14:18 15:3,4 21:12 22: 7 24:9,25 28:13 31:2 33:19 56:11, 17 nlra's ^[1] 30:3 nlr ^[9] 9:16 13:17,21 23:1 29:1,2 61:3,16 65:16 nlr's ^[1] 23:6 nobody ^[1] 12:24 non-class ^[1] 55:21 non-collective ^[1] 55:21 non-individual ^[1] 55:20 non-joint ^[1] 55:21 non-union ^[2] 55:1,16 non-waivable ^[1] 30:1 nonetheless ^[2] 4:15 10:19 norm ^[2] 69:14,15 normal ^[1] 13:19 norris-laguardia ^[9] 8:4 9:5 11: 17 18:14 19:18 23:2 30:25 31:15 56:18 nos ^[11] 2:7,11,16 3:5,9,19,23 4:9 21:3 55:9 66:22 note ^[1] 29:12</p> | <p>nothing ^[7] 14:1 20:6 26:15 32:16 56:21 57:25 65:1 notion ^[1] 53:21 notwithstanding ^[1] 24:22 number ^[3] 50:23 53:25 64:6 numbers ^[2] 22:6,7 numerosity ^[5] 10:4,21 45:14 62: 18 64:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>object ^[2] 5:22,23 objecting ^[1] 40:25 obtained ^[1] 39:19 obviously ^[1] 17:23 occasions ^[3] 12:9 35:24 36:17 occupational ^[2] 44:10,11 october ^[1] 1:24 odd ^[2] 40:23 56:21 oil ^[11] 1:20 17:18 27:5,10,14,15 36: 4 41:19 52:3 53:19 54:5 okay ^[18] 8:13,22 26:5 32:12 35:14 36:11 39:2,7 49:16,18,21 50:10, 24 58:8 61:11 67:20 68:3 70:9 once ^[8] 5:17 6:8 7:15 18:8 19:17 24:20 49:4 61:17 one ^[32] 10:18 12:4 15:23,25 16:2, 14 17:22 20:18 21:7,8,25 22:4 23: 10 25:13 28:11 30:18 33:2,4 39:9, 10 45:17 46:1 52:8 58:21 61:18, 20 65:1 66:4,14,14 67:19 70:13 one-on-one ^[2] 5:23 40:25 only ^[17] 4:21 8:21 19:11 23:22 26: 11,20 27:24 31:13 45:1 47:22 48: 4 50:21 64:13 70:1,12,19,23 op ^[1] 67:24 open ^[1] 68:11 operation ^[1] 4:15 opinion ^[2] 5:6 58:8 opportunity ^[2] 31:3 48:7 opposed ^[1] 48:24 opposite ^[1] 6:22 opt-in ^[1] 52:15 options ^[1] 67:7 oral ^[9] 2:2 3:2,6,12,16 4:8 21:1 34: 1 55:7 ordinary ^[1] 26:16 origins ^[1] 17:14 ortiz ^[32] 2:15 3:17 55:6,7,10 56:4 58:12,21 59:11,19,24 60:6,9,12 61:7,12 62:1,4,19,23,25 63:8,13, 25 64:17 65:4,7,10,18 66:2,7,9 osha ^[1] 44:15 other ^[33] 10:15 11:6 15:5,25 16:2 19:6 21:14 23:12,13,21 24:8,22 25:1,4,13 26:17 29:22,24 32:23 35:19 43:1,4,9 45:13 53:25 56:14, 18 59:3 62:14 66:14 67:7 68:4 69: 7 others ^[1] 54:7 otherwise ^[1] 4:14 ought ^[1] 24:9 out ^[10] 7:19 9:12 10:16 44:6,13 45: 20 58:11 59:6 61:23 66:6 over ^[2] 67:20 68:2</p> | <p>overrides ^[1] 25:1 overrode ^[2] 23:21,24 overtime ^[2] 38:20 47:11 overturning ^[1] 8:1 own ^[3] 14:23 42:8 48:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>page ^[2] 3:2 27:10 paid ^[2] 36:3 47:12 part ^[2] 11:16 12:3 particular ^[4] 22:25 28:6 50:19 57: 4 parties ^[3] 33:15 48:25 70:15 parties' ^[1] 47:17 passed ^[3] 44:10,12 59:22 path ^[2] 4:18 44:17 pattern ^[3] 68:25 70:12,19 paul ^[5] 2:6 3:3,21 4:8 66:21 pay ^[2] 32:3 39:2 payments ^[1] 22:13 people ^[25] 7:23 25:16 36:3 37:14, 19 43:12 44:12 45:12 47:4,12,23 50:3,21 52:23,25 54:4 55:19 61:6, 21 63:2,12 64:9,16,20 67:11 people's ^[1] 69:6 percent ^[2] 55:15,19 perfectly ^[4] 11:23,24 30:12 47:5 perhaps ^[2] 26:15 57:22 periphery ^[1] 33:19 permits ^[1] 21:9 person ^[2] 25:13 39:10 petition ^[1] 44:15 petitioner ^[5] 1:4,18 2:14 3:14 34: 2 petitioners ^[10] 1:10 2:7,11 3:4,9, 22 4:9 21:3 32:20 66:22 pick ^[1] 33:15 picked ^[1] 29:24 picking ^[1] 36:20 picture ^[1] 56:12 place ^[1] 68:25 plain ^[1] 22:20 plainer ^[1] 58:16 plaintiff ^[3] 45:13 53:10 70:14 plaintiffs ^[1] 54:1 please ^[6] 4:11 21:6 34:5 37:20 47: 7 55:11 point ^[15] 14:10,14,20 15:3 21:7 27:20 33:17 36:12 40:9 41:21 54: 22 57:20 58:9 62:10 63:9 pointing ^[1] 27:17 points ^[4] 28:11 55:25 56:5 66:24 policy ^[1] 69:19 position ^[22] 12:1 13:7 30:24 31:4 34:17,25 35:6,23 37:2,4 40:21,24 41:25 43:22 45:1 50:24 51:7 52:3 61:15 65:15,16 68:9 possibility ^[1] 70:3 potential ^[1] 22:4 practically ^[1] 22:16 practice ^[7] 10:6 15:9,12 25:25 69: 1 70:13,19 precedent ^[3] 34:8 41:3,4 precise ^[1] 9:17</p> | <p>preclude ^[1] 43:12 precluding ^[1] 43:3 predated ^[1] 43:20 predispute ^[1] 25:6 preemption ^[1] 57:3 prefer ^[1] 69:3 premise ^[2] 5:6 26:7 prepare ^[1] 53:15 preparing ^[1] 53:22 present ^[1] 54:20 presenting ^[1] 38:9 preserve ^[1] 12:8 pretty ^[2] 14:8 24:24 prevail ^[2] 17:7 39:22 prevails ^[1] 5:9 prevents ^[1] 59:9 principal ^[1] 2:8 principle ^[3] 11:25 16:3,5 principles ^[1] 4:23 private ^[1] 55:16 probably ^[1] 47:1 problem ^[9] 13:15 15:15 26:5 31: 19 57:9 65:10 68:17 70:22,24 problems ^[1] 58:11 procedural ^[2] 29:25 56:20 procedure ^[6] 10:15 43:5,20 44: 23 49:3 62:15 procedures ^[4] 15:14 29:22,23 43: 11 proceed ^[26] 7:15 16:24 20:15 22: 2 24:21 25:12 30:10 31:23 35:9 36:6,13 38:6 40:17 41:6 47:20,22 49:23 51:8 52:6,21 53:5 61:13 66: 7,14 67:11,12 proceeding ^[6] 37:14 38:8 42:4, 18 43:13 52:15 proceedings ^[2] 41:22 42:4 proceeds ^[1] 42:16 prohibit ^[2] 13:3 23:12 prohibited ^[2] 49:12 51:10 prohibition ^[3] 34:20 48:10 50:4 prohibitions ^[1] 51:21 prohibits ^[2] 46:8 59:12 promise ^[3] 8:22 19:1,5 protect ^[6] 8:7 22:8,9 30:8 48:13 70:5 protected ^[8] 5:12 6:4 9:20 12:6 24:17 38:4 44:7 68:5 protecting ^[1] 21:19 protection ^[8] 6:14,14 7:2,5 16:9, 16 18:21 19:17 protects ^[5] 5:15 8:17 15:8 22:24 38:5 prove ^[1] 17:9 provide ^[3] 4:17 54:10 65:23 provided ^[2] 23:24 60:4 provides ^[1] 52:14 providing ^[1] 4:13 provision ^[7] 14:23 22:24 28:6 44: 16 58:23 60:18 61:4 provisions ^[4] 22:11 43:25 51:19 59:1 public ^[1] 42:16 purpose ^[2] 8:19 58:3</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>purposes ^[1] 36:18 pursuant ^[2] 54:21 70:19 pursue ^[3] 29:21 42:15 63:2 pursuing ^[1] 42:24 put ^[1] 31:13 puts ^[1] 67:10 putting ^[2] 23:3 64:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>question ^[20] 7:4 12:4 17:11 18:17 28:3 29:11,16 39:16,17,18 43:1 45:17 46:17 48:13,19,21 54:3 55:13 59:5 61:24 questions ^[2] 21:8 68:22 quick ^[1] 28:11 quickly ^[2] 29:15 37:18 quite ^[2] 39:22 60:7 quoted ^[1] 59:18 quoting ^[1] 18:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>race ^[1] 33:12 radical ^[1] 24:24 radically ^[1] 9:3 raise ^[3] 10:21,22 14:24 raised ^[3] 14:13 22:23 70:11 raising ^[1] 10:6 rather ^[2] 12:18 68:14 rational ^[1] 39:22 read ^[4] 8:25 19:23 28:25 57:23 reading ^[1] 31:14 ready ^[1] 60:8 reality ^[1] 21:25 really ^[8] 12:7,11 17:13,14 18:2 31:13 56:21 70:15 reason ^[3] 19:24 25:1 41:23 reasons ^[2] 32:7 34:7 rebuttal ^[3] 3:20 66:21,24 recent ^[2] 69:12,15 recognition ^[1] 6:1 recognize ^[2] 11:8 69:17 recognized ^[1] 21:18 reconciled ^[1] 41:24 recovery ^[1] 22:4 referred ^[1] 12:9 reflects ^[1] 15:15 regarding ^[1] 30:25 regardless ^[1] 7:25 regions ^[1] 42:14 regulatory ^[1] 15:17 rejected ^[3] 22:18,18 41:18 related ^[2] 6:14 68:22 relations ^[10] 1:17 34:15 40:16 41:8 42:5 49:13 50:5 51:20 52:19 53:2 relies ^[1] 34:7 religious ^[2] 69:4,6 remainder ^[1] 20:20 remaining ^[1] 66:20 remarkable ^[1] 12:23 remedial ^[1] 56:15 removing ^[1] 28:7 repeats ^[1] 18:24 represent ^[4] 17:4 37:20,23 47:8</p> | <p>representative ^[7] 42:23,24 47:2,6,7,17 52:7 representing ^[1] 17:23 represents ^[3] 47:23 55:22 66:10 require ^[5] 34:18 40:17 41:5 43:10 65:23 requirements ^[1] 45:13 requires ^[4] 16:7,7 35:16 62:12 requiring ^[1] 51:16 research ^[1] 55:21 reserve ^[1] 20:20 reserves ^[1] 58:24 resolve ^[2] 27:8,11 resolving ^[3] 4:18 28:3 31:18 resort ^[7] 6:24 7:13 8:12 9:20 10:1 15:16 46:18 resorting ^[2] 46:19 58:1 respect ^[17] 19:9 20:13 22:11 24:6 26:7 37:5,9,10 40:13 41:1,14 45:14 47:11,14 50:7,8 52:18 respond ^[2] 55:24 56:5 respondent ^[4] 1:7,13 3:15 34:3 respondents ^[8] 1:21 2:12,16 3:10,18 4:12 21:4 55:8 response ^[1] 44:5 responses ^[1] 11:19 restraint ^[2] 50:6 51:21 restrict ^[1] 35:21 restrictions ^[1] 45:5 result ^[1] 4:24 resulted ^[1] 22:14 retaliate ^[1] 27:15 retaliation ^[3] 21:23 24:17 30:8 richard ^[3] 2:13 3:13 34:1 rights ^[23] 15:8 16:24 19:5,6 22:9 29:21 32:10 36:1,19 37:2,6 38:6 45:12 46:4,10 50:8 52:19,20,24 53:1 56:9,16,19 rioting ^[1] 45:20 robert ^[1] 63:9 roberts ^[38] 4:3 20:21 30:16,20 31:22 33:3,22 34:23 35:10,14 36:7,11,21,25 47:18 48:3,23 49:1,16,20,24 50:10,14 55:4 56:1 58:14 59:4 61:1,11,14 62:3,10,21 63:4 65:13 66:8,17 71:1 roughly ^[1] 70:2 rule ^[31] 10:14 11:3 23:4 24:22 25:16 26:17,17,18,20,21 30:5 34:6 37:12,18 43:9,11 44:22 45:5,5,14 47:25 50:1 51:12 56:8,8,14,14,17 62:14,15 70:18 rules ^[42] 9:22 11:4 15:6,20 18:12 20:4,18,18 24:23 26:16,19 30:5 43:4,19 44:21,23 45:24 46:12,12 47:19 48:2,8,22 49:2,3,5,9,10,15 50:7,19 51:1,9,17 61:18,19 62:7,17 63:7 64:10,19,23 run ^[2] 45:20 51:20 runs ^[1] 50:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>safety ^[2] 44:10,11 sake ^[2] 63:17 64:6</p> | <p>same ^[18] 11:13 16:3,5 25:19 27:10 37:20 38:21,22 39:20 40:14 42:23 44:20 47:12 58:23 62:9 67:6,15,25 satisfy ^[4] 10:4,5 28:11 62:17 savings ^[4] 8:15 28:20 34:14 59:16 saying ^[18] 6:16 7:19 8:1 12:25 20:11 23:5 24:3,12 25:15 26:14 27:24 32:13 40:9 46:5 49:4,7,8 61:20 says ^[22] 6:12 10:3 11:10,25 18:25 19:18 25:18 32:16 33:5,6 43:12 47:19,20 48:14 50:1,18 51:14 55:22 58:16 61:4 62:15 63:19 scope ^[1] 43:16 second ^[6] 10:4 12:3 28:19 34:12 56:24 70:17 section ^[60] 4:24 5:8,12,14 7:11,14 9:14 13:2,20,20 15:3,8 16:7 18:3,13,21,23,24,25 19:2,5,6,12,20 20:2,10 22:21 25:2 29:5 30:7 31:14 32:22 33:6 45:6,12,18,23,23 46:1,2,8 48:10 50:5,8 53:16 56:8,9,11,15,17,17,22 58:24 59:12 60:12,12 66:2,15 68:3,3 sections ^[2] 21:18 31:15 see ^[4] 8:24 19:25 23:18 39:21 seek ^[6] 8:11 15:24,24 17:4 24:18 30:8 seeking ^[3] 6:23 18:19 36:3 seeks ^[2] 12:8 16:1 seem ^[2] 13:16 58:4 seeded ^[1] 70:23 seems ^[3] 25:10 39:22 56:6 seen ^[2] 9:1 25:18 sense ^[1] 67:2 series ^[1] 66:12 set ^[5] 20:4 45:24 52:23 53:3 62:7 shall ^[6] 19:3,7,20 33:5,7 50:18 share ^[1] 37:21 shared ^[2] 47:4,5 shift ^[1] 47:13 shop ^[1] 16:14 short ^[2] 9:10,12 side ^[3] 43:9 56:7,10 sides ^[1] 46:14 sign ^[1] 11:11 similar ^[1] 39:3 simple ^[3] 26:2 33:17 57:22 simplifying ^[1] 25:22 simply ^[2] 33:20 57:23 since ^[2] 12:7 25:24 single ^[2] 38:8 53:9 sinister ^[1] 20:6 situation ^[3] 22:5,9 28:15 situations ^[1] 47:16 slight ^[1] 35:5 smaller ^[1] 50:23 smith ^[2] 38:18 47:5 solicitor ^[1] 2:8 solve ^[2] 58:9,13 somehow ^[4] 13:17 23:7 29:25 56:12 sorry ^[11] 6:18 17:9 26:15 30:20</p> | <p>48:17 55:20 60:10 62:19,21 63:16 65:11 sort ^[2] 13:1 56:22 sotomayor ^[33] 6:18 10:25 12:12 13:5 14:3,7,12 18:6 22:22 23:17,22 24:5,11 27:19 28:10,14,17,22,25 29:7,14 54:8,15 55:1 63:16 64:2 65:2,6,8,12 68:21 69:21 70:7 sotomayor's ^[1] 55:13 speaks ^[1] 4:19 specifically ^[2] 46:8 53:18 stack ^[1] 28:13 stand ^[1] 54:25 standards ^[7] 44:22 52:10,14,24 53:1 56:10,10 standpoint ^[1] 36:10 started ^[2] 7:19 70:2 starts ^[1] 13:24 state ^[6] 13:18 24:1,2 57:2,4 60:20 statement ^[1] 23:7 states ^[5] 1:1 2:3,10 3:8 21:2 statute ^[16] 4:16 8:3,17,17 19:23 23:21,23 24:8 28:18 29:23 34:21 58:15 59:18,22 60:3 66:6 statutes ^[7] 21:14 25:1,5 31:10,17 32:14 34:16 statutory ^[3] 22:24 23:4 36:1 steel ^[1] 59:13 steelworkers ^[1] 47:15 step ^[1] 58:22 stephen ^[1] 1:12 still ^[1] 64:19 stipulated ^[1] 28:2 stop ^[8] 9:7 37:13,13 42:20 46:18 57:24 58:5 67:15 stopping ^[3] 14:4 27:20,23 stops ^[7] 13:23 23:8,14 37:13,14,15,15 streets ^[1] 45:20 strength ^[2] 22:5,7 stretched ^[1] 29:19 strictly ^[1] 13:3 subject ^[4] 9:21 15:20 18:11 68:18 submitted ^[2] 71:2,4 subsequently ^[5] 41:19 44:9,16,20,25 subset ^[1] 52:25 substantive ^[4] 30:1 52:10 56:16,19 substitute ^[1] 13:10 sufficient ^[2] 66:4 70:24 suggested ^[2] 56:24 60:10 suit ^[2] 17:14 33:9 suits ^[1] 42:8 summarize ^[1] 26:9 summary ^[1] 41:2 supply ^[3] 32:10,15,23 supporting ^[4] 2:11 3:9 21:2 52:2 suppose ^[3] 12:3 16:18 58:8 supreme ^[2] 1:1 2:2 swept ^[1] 7:5 system ^[1] 69:10 systems ^[2] 1:3 4:5</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

Official - Subject to Final Review

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <p>table ^[1] 30:6</p> <p>target ^[1] 57:9</p> <p>tended ^[1] 57:8</p> <p>tension ^[1] 31:14</p> <p>term ^[4] 4:4 13:14,18 54:13</p> <p>terms ^[6] 13:19 22:21 40:19 44:18 52:23 58:18</p> <p>test ^[3] 28:12,15 32:19</p> <p>text ^[2] 29:4 31:9</p> <p>theory ^[1] 47:24</p> <p>there's ^[22] 7:14 14:1 17:16,18,19 20:6 23:18 49:21 52:8,23,25 53:4 54:19 56:20 58:15 62:25 63:4 64:13 68:1,10,12,17</p> <p>therefore ^[1] 59:8</p> <p>they've ^[1] 69:15</p> <p>thinking ^[2] 13:16 67:15</p> <p>thinks ^[1] 68:17</p> <p>third ^[3] 22:23,24 34:17</p> <p>though ^[3] 16:6 32:16 51:4</p> <p>three ^[23] 10:11 12:9 17:16,19,23 18:16 23:11 28:11 33:8,14 34:7 37:19,22,23 38:10,18,21 45:19 47:8 64:20 67:3,16 68:14</p> <p>three-quarters ^[1] 31:11</p> <p>threshold ^[1] 5:13</p> <p>thrown ^[1] 10:16</p> <p>tie ^[1] 4:22</p> <p>tied ^[1] 31:1</p> <p>title ^[4] 32:10,14,16 33:5</p> <p>together ^[14] 8:8,9,18 10:12 13:12,13 15:24 25:15,21 30:11 33:9 34:10 37:15 39:4</p> <p>took ^[3] 7:8 29:18 52:3</p> <p>totally ^[1] 58:11</p> <p>traditional ^[2] 19:25 57:16</p> <p>treatment ^[5] 24:18 25:4 30:9 64:12 69:1</p> <p>trick ^[1] 26:24</p> <p>tries ^[1] 62:5</p> <p>triggered ^[1] 12:20</p> <p>trilogy ^[1] 47:15</p> <p>trouble ^[1] 32:9</p> <p>true ^[7] 6:2 11:9,15 13:6 19:17 26:11 44:20</p> <p>truly ^[1] 22:5</p> <p>trumps ^[1] 23:6</p> <p>try ^[5] 9:11 28:19 48:7 69:20 70:21</p> <p>trying ^[3] 16:11 59:5 70:5</p> <p>twenty-three ^[1] 55:19</p> <p>two ^[21] 7:23 8:4,10,18 10:11 11:19 15:23,23 16:7,10 17:20 18:1 25:20 36:17,23 37:14 54:5 59:2 64:20 69:19,23</p> <p>type ^[4] 22:25 38:13 42:18 59:7</p> <p>typicality ^[3] 10:5,22 45:15</p> | <p>unchallenged ^[1] 53:12</p> <p>unconscionability ^[1] 57:6</p> <p>under ^[31] 4:14 5:8 14:18 18:21 19:12 21:14 22:20 29:25 33:21 34:13,15 39:5 42:5 47:24 49:12 50:8,18,24 51:9 52:4,13,24 53:1,16 56:9 63:8 64:18,23 66:2 68:3,19</p> <p>undermining ^[1] 9:3</p> <p>understand ^[8] 5:21 30:7 34:24 36:22 37:1 40:8 45:10 65:9</p> <p>understanding ^[5] 33:2,4 48:19 49:17 65:14</p> <p>understood ^[8] 21:19 24:16 31:11 48:16 53:16 61:16 65:15 68:8</p> <p>undertaking ^[3] 19:1,4,19</p> <p>unenforceable ^[1] 34:13</p> <p>unfair ^[5] 10:6 15:9,11 23:15 25:25</p> <p>union ^[9] 13:8,10 14:9 42:22 47:10 54:9,13,16,20</p> <p>united ^[5] 1:1 2:3,10 3:8 21:2</p> <p>unlawful ^[2] 14:17 43:13</p> <p>unless ^[4] 39:10 61:5,8 63:21</p> <p>unlike ^[1] 25:4</p> <p>unpack ^[1] 23:19</p> <p>unsafe ^[1] 44:13</p> <p>unsatisfactory ^[1] 57:21</p> <p>until ^[3] 21:16 54:22 70:2</p> <p>unusual ^[1] 54:16</p> <p>up ^[9] 7:3 12:25 24:18 28:13 29:24 45:20 54:22 64:20 70:16</p> <p>usa ^[1] 1:20</p> <p>useful ^[1] 14:19</p> <p>using ^[1] 64:14</p> <p>utilize ^[1] 48:8</p> | <p>waived ^[2] 37:2 52:16</p> <p>waiver ^[4] 19:6,19 20:1 52:21</p> <p>waivers ^[1] 46:4</p> <p>walk ^[1] 44:13</p> <p>walked ^[1] 44:6</p> <p>wall ^[33] 2:8 3:7 20:23 21:1,5,20,22 22:10,22 23:17 24:5,14 26:6,25 27:4,9,13 28:10,16,19,24 29:2,9,13,15 30:14 31:5 32:6,18 33:10,23 40:22 56:24</p> <p>wall's ^[1] 56:6</p> <p>wanted ^[3] 11:18 30:23 65:14</p> <p>wants ^[2] 9:24 13:8</p> <p>warrant ^[1] 64:12</p> <p>washington ^[5] 1:23 2:6,9,13 44:3</p> <p>wasting ^[1] 13:1</p> <p>way ^[20] 8:5 9:1 11:6 12:4 13:1 16:6 18:2 19:23,25 26:9 30:4 40:14 42:4,23 45:17 46:24 56:23 57:21 67:19 68:2</p> <p>ways ^[1] 67:21</p> <p>weak ^[1] 12:1</p> <p>well-trod ^[1] 4:17</p> <p>whatever ^[3] 16:24 20:17 26:18</p> <p>whatsoever ^[1] 28:9</p> <p>whenever ^[1] 62:16</p> <p>whereupon ^[1] 71:3</p> <p>whether ^[13] 7:8 18:18 23:23 27:22 35:20 42:14 44:21,22 46:18 50:16 53:8 54:22 63:2</p> <p>whole ^[1] 63:9</p> <p>whom ^[1] 33:15</p> <p>will ^[15] 4:20 8:21 9:7 10:15 22:3 25:7,12 32:3 42:22 46:2 47:1,6,10 58:17 66:10</p> <p>win ^[2] 9:1 28:6</p> <p>within ^[3] 25:7 53:21 59:16</p> <p>without ^[2] 9:3 48:9</p> <p>women ^[1] 32:4</p> <p>wonder ^[1] 46:24</p> <p>word ^[2] 60:15,15</p> <p>words ^[8] 7:13 8:8,9 19:6 32:19 35:19 57:23 62:14</p> <p>work ^[2] 11:10 36:4</p> <p>worker ^[5] 8:17 9:23 22:8 25:11 47:2</p> <p>workers ^[5] 8:10,18 10:11 13:12 16:13</p> <p>working ^[3] 6:23 8:11,20</p> <p>workplace ^[11] 5:14,16 6:8,12,16 7:6,9 15:5 16:22 44:5,18</p> <p>workplace-related ^[1] 7:7</p> <p>works ^[1] 42:5</p> <p>worried ^[1] 7:25</p> <p>write ^[1] 67:24</p> <p>written ^[1] 4:20</p> | <p>yellow ^[3] 11:12,14 12:11</p> <p>yield ^[2] 4:21,25</p> <p>york ^[5] 60:14,15,16,18,20</p> <p>young ^[3] 1:9 22:1 64:4</p> <p>yourself ^[1] 19:15</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>ubiquitous ^[1] 54:13</p> <p>ultimate ^[1] 7:7</p> <p>ultimately ^[1] 16:4</p> <p>unable ^[1] 22:16</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>valid ^[3] 11:24 13:25 61:24</p> <p>validly ^[1] 21:13</p> <p>variation ^[1] 35:5</p> <p>venue ^[1] 36:20</p> <p>versus ^[1] 4:5</p> <p>view ^[4] 53:7 57:20 58:9 63:13</p> <p>views ^[1] 37:5</p> <p>vii ^[4] 32:10,14,16 33:5</p> <p>vindicate ^[2] 22:16 37:6</p> <p>vindicating ^[2] 36:1,19</p> <p>vindication ^[1] 70:22</p> <p>violated ^[2] 10:19 39:1</p> <p>violates ^[2] 10:14 34:20</p> <p>violation ^[1] 66:15</p> <p>violations ^[1] 41:7</p> <p>violative ^[1] 48:10</p> <p>virginia ^[1] 2:15</p> <p>virtue ^[1] 43:13</p> <p>void ^[1] 26:3</p> <p>votes ^[1] 70:23</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>waffle ^[1] 68:19</p> <p>wage ^[2] 68:15,16</p> <p>wages ^[3] 16:19,20 18:19</p> <p>wait ^[3] 10:3 38:15 43:15</p> <p>waive ^[8] 20:9 21:14 36:13 41:6,12 53:4 56:8 65:23</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <p>xyz ^[1] 51:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>years ^[7] 8:5 9:13 21:17 24:15 29:6,18 40:23</p> |