

1 commerce over which the United States has jurisdiction.
2 There's some question whether just growing marijuana for
3 your own use by itself is commerce, or whether it's an
4 activity that affects commerce that would bring it
5 within --

6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Let's say --

7 MR. YANG: -- the element of Raich.

8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Let's -- let's say that
9 this Court had a decision that home-grown marijuana has
10 an affect on interstate commerce. Let's say that
11 that's -- then there's a trial. What instruction --
12 can -- can any evidence be introduced?

13 MR. YANG: Well, if the Court had said that
14 just growing marijuana --

15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Is contested commerce
16 part -- part of the indictment?

17 MR. YANG: I -- I think if you're -- if
18 you're asking whether the Court has decided that growing
19 marijuana is itself commerce over which the United
20 States has --

21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Let's -- let's assume --
22 let's assume the Court has decided that --

23 MR. YANG: Well, then there -- there's --

24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- and then there's a
25 trial.

1 Is -- is the defendant entitled to introduce
2 any evidence on that point?

3 MR. YANG: No.

4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So --

5 MR. YANG: Because that's a legal --

6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So does the jury have any
7 discretion to -- to return a verdict of not guilty if it
8 finds that there was a robbery of a drug dealer -- of --
9 of -- of drugs that have been home grown?

10 MR. YANG: Under your predicate, no, there
11 would not. I mean, this is --

12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: What --

13 MR. YANG: This is not new in the law,
14 right? I mean, juries are instructed on the law. They
15 determine any of the relevant facts that would be
16 relevant to the elements of the offense, but they have
17 to do that within the framework of the law as instructed
18 by the judge.

19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it may -- that
20 may be familiar in the law, but I'm not aware of any
21 case where the burden is on the government to prove
22 something beyond a reasonable doubt, an element of the
23 crime, and you're saying there's no way that the
24 defendant -- no evidence that defendant could
25 introduce -- could rebut the government's showing, in

1 any case.

2 MR. YANG: I don't --

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What -- what's your
4 best authority for that proposition?

5 MR. YANG: I guess it depends on what you
6 consider to be the element of the offense and how you
7 define it as a legal matter.

8 What we're -- what we're saying is the jury
9 does have to find beyond a reasonable doubt that the
10 jurisdictional element was met. But just as if
11 Congress, for instance, as it -- as it could, could
12 directly prohibit -- if Congress directly prohibited
13 robberies of marijuana from marijuana dealers,
14 Statute 18 U.S.C. 10,000-something, that prohibits that,
15 and it says the jurisdictional element of this case is
16 that the robbery -- is that the robbery has to target
17 marijuana of a marijuana dealer, the jury would be
18 deciding precisely that.

19 And here, what we have is the same type of
20 thing through the Hobbs Act, which I believe my brother
21 has admitted both at, I think it's page 18 of his brief
22 and at oral argument, extends to the full extent of the
23 Commerce Clause. The whole purpose of enacting an
24 "affects commerce" provision is so you don't go through
25 and have Congress -- the burden of it, you know, then

1 drug dealers and we have to do, you know, robberies of
2 this business and that. Congress exercised the full
3 scope of its power. And so --

4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Does the government have
5 to prove anything in this case different from what it
6 would have to prove if this was a charge of robbery
7 under State law?

8 MR. YANG: Well, yes. I mean, a robbery
9 under State law wouldn't be relevant, at least under our
10 theory of the jurisdictional -- it doesn't matter
11 whether it's marijuana or whatever. It would just be a
12 robbery of an individual within the definition of
13 robbery. But here, in order to -- the additional proof
14 which is relevant to the jurisdictional element is that
15 the robbery targeted the marijuana of marijuana dealers.
16 And by the nature of the targeting of a commodity, the
17 inventory of a commercial entity engaged in an
18 interstate business that Congress regulates, as this
19 Court --

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Still, it's very odd that
21 this is a Federal case. I mean, they -- in fact, they
22 took, what, a couple of cell phones, \$40?

23 MR. YANG: What you're seeing is part of the
24 whole Federal investigation here, if you remember that
25 this was an investigation into the Southwest Goonz,

1 which was a gang that was engaged in particularly
2 violent and dangerous robberies in Roanoke. The DEA
3 tracked about 30 home invasions to this gang. There
4 were other prosecutions. This particular defendant was
5 a bit of a tagalong, and he was prosecuted. But the
6 main participants in this endeavor, which -- you know,
7 the DEA was contacted by local law enforcement which
8 said this is becoming a serious problem in Roanoke, and
9 DEA came in and busted this gang. This is just one
10 particular defendant.

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The tagalong, he got
12 20 years.

13 MR. YANG: Well, and he was involved in some
14 very serious crimes. Actually, I think 30 home
15 invasions.

16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Actually, I think got 21,
17 my chambers was telling me. You might check that.

18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A year's a year.

19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Which is, to me, one --
20 one year too many, but whatever.

21 Going -- going back to this case, the
22 example that you gave with reference to the maritime
23 jurisdiction, what you tell the jury is, ladies and
24 gentlemen of the jury, if you find that the incidents
25 that have been considered in this court occurred on the

1 high seas, then the jurisdictional element is satisfied.

2 MR. YANG: Yes. And you'd probably have to
3 define what the high seas were as well.

4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Pardon me?

5 MR. YANG: You'd also have to define what
6 the high seas were for the jury.

7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Okay. Then in this case,
8 it suffices, in your view, if you say if you find there
9 was a robbery of a drug dealer, then the jurisdictional
10 element is satisfied?

11 MR. YANG: We think it's a little easier
12 than that in this case. If you find that there was a
13 robbery targeting the inventory, the marijuana of a drug
14 dealer engaged in the trade of that marijuana, then
15 it's -- there's a very direct and I think undeniable
16 effect on --

17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And the only defense
18 evidence that could be introduced in the case is that
19 the -- that the drugs were not involved? The defense
20 could show that drugs were not involved.

21 MR. YANG: They could, and that would target
22 direct -- there were other --

23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But that's about all they
24 can do with reference to the jurisdictional element?

25 MR. YANG: I think that's right in this

1 particular case because that's the only factual question
2 that's really relevant.

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, maybe the person
4 wasn't a dealer.

5 MR. YANG: Yes, that's -- yes.

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. Did you
7 concede that earlier, that it's not covered by the Hobbs
8 Act if the person's not a dealer?

9 MR. YANG: Well, I didn't concede it. What
10 I said is you'd have to have a different theory.

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: You said it was a very
12 different thing.

13 MR. YANG: It was a different thing. And it
14 supports --

15 JUSTICE KAGAN: And it would be a much
16 harder thing.

17 MR. YANG: It would be harder.

18 JUSTICE KAGAN: And it would be at least an
19 awfully good argument by the defendant that if the
20 person was not a dealer, it's not affecting commerce in
21 the regular -- in the relevant way?

22 MR. YANG: That's correct.

23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Even though Congress
24 can regulate that transaction?

25 MR. YANG: Well, there -- there would be a

1 question. I think that there are arguments to be made
2 that would go beyond the arguments that we're making
3 here, and we're not pressing those today for various
4 reasons. I think as the Court has recognized, this is
5 an area of some difficulty at times. Lopez recognizes
6 that commercial power is necessarily one of degree, and
7 that the Court's decisions have not provided precise
8 formulation in the nature of things it cannot.

9 And so what we're -- the Court -- I'm
10 sorry -- but the Court's taken an incremental approach,
11 and we're doing something similar here.

12 JUSTICE ALITO: I asked Mr. Jones whether
13 the Hobbs Act exercises the full measure of Congress's
14 Commerce Clause, an authority which I thought a number
15 of cases have said. Do you agree with that?

16 MR. YANG: It does. It does. Not only
17 that, but I think --

18 JUSTICE ALITO: Because then you do run into
19 these limitation -- in the search for a limiting
20 principle, which you seem to be addressing. So Congress
21 could prohibit and has prohibited a person from
22 possessing even a very small amount of marijuana, right,
23 a single cigarette, a single marijuana cigarette?

24 MR. YANG: That's correct.

25 JUSTICE ALITO: So if one person steals a

1 marijuana cigarette from another person, robs that
2 person of one marijuana cigarette, that's a violation of
3 the Hobbs Act?

4 MR. YANG: Well, there's a different
5 argument there, and let me tell you why. The pure
6 possession -- and we're not talking about the actual
7 trade in marijuana but just the personal possession,
8 that raises different questions. That was addressed by
9 Raich. Now, there is an argument that could be made
10 that that would fall within the Hobbs Act. At the same
11 time --

12 JUSTICE ALITO: It falls within the Commerce
13 Clause, doesn't it?

14 MR. YANG: It falls -- certainly the
15 possession is something that Congress can regulate as
16 Raich teaches. Now, whether the robbery of one
17 possessing, that's yet additional -- an additional link
18 into the Commerce Clause inquiry. And what I can say is
19 that the courts of appeals have recognized, as this
20 Court has, the -- in a search for the outer limits of
21 the Commerce Clause, the courts have pulled back and
22 they've suggested that when you're robbing just a mere
23 individual who's not a business or engaged in a business
24 in the -- in the context of the robbery, that raises
25 different questions and it's much more difficult to

1 establish necessary nexus.

2 We're not actually taking that on in this
3 case because we don't think we have to. What we have in
4 this case is robbery of the commodity, marijuana, from
5 people engaged in its trade when we know -- we know that
6 falls well within commerce over which the United States
7 has jurisdiction.

8 JUSTICE ALITO: Suppose someone robbed the
9 farmer in *Wickard v. Filburn* of the wheat that the
10 farmer was growing for personal consumption. Would that
11 be a violation of the Hobbs Act?

12 MR. YANG: *Wickard* is a little bit more
13 complicated because it was personal consumption but in
14 the context of a commercial enterprise, right? He was
15 growing wheat for his animals and growing wheat -- he
16 also grew wheat for sale. So -- but the wheat that
17 we're talking about is the wheat that he was growing for
18 his own use in his business. I think there would be a
19 stronger argument there than what you'd have if you just
20 happened to stumble upon someone, you rob them, and you
21 picked up, you know, some wheat or a marijuana cigarette
22 out of their house.

23 Again, we don't deny that there are, at the
24 fringes, difficult questions that this Court may have to
25 address at some point. But we're talking --

1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: What is your understanding
2 of what the defendant wanted to -- the evidence the
3 defendant wanted to introduce?

4 MR. YANG: I think the defendant wanted to
5 introduce evidence that not all marijuana in Virginia is
6 coming from out of State. And that's not legally
7 relevant to our --

8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Was there any challenge to
9 the status of these victims as dealers?

10 MR. YANG: I think there might have been
11 indirectly through cross-examination, but what we're
12 talking about here is, remember, is an attempt. This is
13 an -- the Hobbs Act --

14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I understand that.

15 MR. YANG: -- not only -- not only covers --

16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: It was an attempt against
17 persons who were in the drug trade?

18 MR. YANG: And at least at a minimum who the
19 defendants believed were in the drug trade, and that's
20 really what the relevant question is here. Because if,
21 for instance, there's -- you know, two people make an
22 elaborate plan to rob a bank and then they end up
23 showing up at a business that's not a bank, it's
24 actually someone's house, they can be charged with
25 either a conspiracy or an attempt charge based on the

1 facts as they -- as they understood them.

2 And here, I don't think there's much of an
3 argument that evidence was sufficient to show that these
4 individuals had the understanding that the two victims
5 here were drug dealers that were engaging in
6 marijuana --

7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Yang, I'd like to --
8 for you to explain to me what the difference in charges
9 are between this robbery and a regular robbery, let's
10 say of a business. Because as you've noted, there are
11 many circuits that say if you just rob a person
12 individually, that's not a Hobbs Act robbery. But if
13 you rob a business, it is. So how does a judge charge
14 in a regular Hobbs Act case and this kind of case?

15 MR. YANG: Well, it will depend, I think, in
16 part on the government's theory of the case. The
17 government makes charging decisions and presents its
18 theory, and the judge would have to charge with
19 respect --

20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I understand the
21 charges, counsel.

22 MR. YANG: So in the normal case, and this
23 is the -- this is kind of the -- the mind run of cases
24 that all the courts of appeals have accepted are as kind
25 of a depletion-of-assets theory case.

1 Now, in those types of cases when you're
2 involving the robbery of a business, the government puts
3 on evidence -- this could be any type of business pretty
4 much -- that the business engages in some kind of
5 interstate commerce. That's kind of showing something
6 particularized, just like here you're showing it's the
7 marijuana dealer.

8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Engages how, either by
9 buying goods in commerce --

10 MR. YANG: Buying goods from out of State,
11 selling to out-of-State customers, buying equipment from
12 out of State, all types of things.

13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let's assume that that's
14 true.

15 MR. YANG: Uh-huh.

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Is the jury charged that
17 this particular robbery had to affect that?

18 MR. YANG: Yes, because what they end up --
19 the theory, depletion of assets theory, which again,
20 this has been established in all the courts of appeals
21 for quite some time, is that even if you're robbing
22 not -- something that has not moved in commerce, say,
23 money --

24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Right.

25 MR. YANG: -- what you're doing is you're

1 depleting the assets of an enterprise that is engaging
2 in interstate commerce. And so by doing that, you're
3 hindering its ability to engage in interstate commerce
4 on a prospective basis.

5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So -- so the jury is
6 charged to make that determination, that this act of
7 robbing the money hindered the commerce in some way?

8 MR. YANG: Or has the potential to.

9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So how is that different
10 than his argument, your adversary's argument that --

11 MR. YANG: Oh, I think that that is his
12 argument. I think he accepts in his brief the depletion
13 of assets theory and says that the government has to
14 show that this marijuana moved in interstate commerce,
15 or that the dealers sold to people out of State, which I
16 will note parenthetically is a little difficult when
17 we're talking about an attempt, and we're talking about
18 people who trade in marijuana. They don't always -- are
19 not always forthcoming in their admissions to law
20 enforcement, but that's his theory.

21 Our position is that is not necessary
22 because we know already -- although that's one means of
23 showing an effect on interstate commerce, there are
24 other means. And the theory here is that the robbery
25 affects commerce over which the United States has

1 jurisdiction.

2 We know that commerce of the United States
3 has jurisdiction includes the inter- or intrastate trade
4 in marijuana, and that, therefore, in this theory --
5 which is different, it's a different way of proving the
6 same jurisdictional element -- that under our theory
7 here, the evidence was sufficient because there was
8 sufficient evidence to show that these -- the Southwest
9 Goonz, including Petitioners, were targeting these two
10 victims because they expected to gain marijuana from the
11 individuals who they thought were drug dealers.

12 If the Court has no further questions, we'd
13 ask that you affirm.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

15 Mr. Jones, you have five minutes remaining.

16 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF DENNIS E. JONES

17 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

18 MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 The -- I'd like to digress to -- to the
20 question about a single cigarette, if -- if that is
21 stolen, would -- or subject of robbery, would -- would
22 that affect the Hobbs Act.

23 Taking the extension that my friend is
24 suggesting here, if we read the last phrase of the Hobbs
25 Act, it says, "All other commerce over which the United

1 States has jurisdiction."

2 The application of Raich to controlled
3 substances, the Controlled Substance Act, if the -- if
4 it has no limits on -- on this element of interstate
5 commerce, then the answer to that is if a person comes
6 up and -- and robs an individual of a single joint of
7 marijuana, it could possibly trigger a Hobbs Act
8 conviction because it's all. It encompasses all.

9 I don't think that under any interpretation
10 of -- of the Hobbs Act has it -- has it been discussed
11 that we're going to make robberies a -- a generalized
12 Hobbs Act --

13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That isn't this case.
14 This case is a robbery of a drug dealer, correct?

15 MR. JONES: That's correct. And what we're
16 saying, Your Honor, is that in -- in the robbery of a
17 drug dealer, it still requires an independent finding
18 about whether or not there was this effect on commerce.
19 And that's what's lacking here. That's -- that's what's
20 lacking in -- in this case, and that's what we're
21 suggesting should take place.

22 The government should have to prove that
23 element so that the jury, in its deliberations, would
24 make that independent finding on that element on the
25 jurisdictional element.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Any questions?

With that, Your Honor, we would ask the
Court to reverse.

CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
Case is submitted.

(Whereupon, at 10:58 a.m., the case in the
above-entitled matter was submitted.)

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>a.m 1:13 3:2 49:6</p> <p>ability 4:15 9:22 46:3</p> <p>Abingdon 1:15</p> <p>able 5:13,13 20:16,17</p> <p>above-entitled 1:11 49:7</p> <p>absence 20:14</p> <p>academic 25:12</p> <p>accepted 44:24</p> <p>accepts 46:12</p> <p>accused 3:12</p> <p>acknowledged 19:25</p> <p>act 3:11 5:16,18 5:22,25 6:15 6:16,20 7:14 7:16 11:1,4 13:5,9,16,23 13:24 14:1,6 14:15 15:3,25 17:11 32:25 35:20 39:8 40:13 41:3,10 42:11 43:13 44:12,14 46:6 47:22,25 48:3 48:7,10,12</p> <p>activities 22:6</p> <p>activity 18:10 33:4</p> <p>acts 17:11</p> <p>actual 29:11 30:1 41:6</p> <p>added 21:1</p> <p>additional 36:13 41:17,17</p> <p>address 6:18 19:11 20:17 42:25</p> <p>addressed 6:13 6:14 12:7 17:23 41:8</p>	<p>addresses 6:20</p> <p>addressing 22:7 40:20</p> <p>admissions 46:19</p> <p>admitted 8:13 35:21</p> <p>adversary's 46:10</p> <p>affect 13:17,24 14:2,15 15:2 24:14 26:12 28:17,17,18 31:20 32:25 33:10 45:17 47:22</p> <p>affirm 47:13</p> <p>agent 4:11,11</p> <p>aggregate 18:11</p> <p>ago 21:13</p> <p>agree 13:15,19 14:5,21 16:7 40:15</p> <p>ALITO 11:3,8 11:15,23 12:13 17:24 18:25 40:12,18,25 41:12 42:8</p> <p>allowed 26:11 30:19 31:24</p> <p>Amendments 17:20</p> <p>amount 4:19 40:22</p> <p>analyzed 25:5</p> <p>animals 42:15</p> <p>anomalies 26:10</p> <p>answer 8:2 9:8 48:5</p> <p>ANTHONY 1:3 1:17 2:6 22:18</p> <p>appeals 23:13 41:19 44:24 45:20</p> <p>APPEARAN... 1:14</p> <p>application 48:2</p>	<p>applies 30:12</p> <p>approach 40:10</p> <p>area 5:11 9:18 18:6 40:5</p> <p>argue 21:16</p> <p>argument 1:12 2:2,5,8 3:3,7 7:22 20:7 22:18 35:22 39:19 41:5,9 42:19 44:3 46:10,10,12 47:16</p> <p>arguments 40:1 40:2</p> <p>articles 4:21 28:20</p> <p>asked 40:12</p> <p>asking 31:3 33:18</p> <p>aspect 23:8</p> <p>assets 23:24 45:19 46:1,13</p> <p>Assistant 1:17</p> <p>assume 33:21,22 45:13</p> <p>assumes 21:15</p> <p>ATF 4:11</p> <p>attempt 31:16 43:12,16,25 46:17</p> <p>attenuated 23:21</p> <p>authority 11:5 11:17 16:23 19:6 35:4 40:14</p> <p>aware 34:20</p> <p>awfully 39:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>back 15:10 17:19 37:21 41:21</p> <p>bank 43:22,23</p> <p>based 8:2 11:9 25:14 43:25</p>	<p>basically 18:15 28:8</p> <p>basis 11:23 21:19 46:4</p> <p>Beach 9:18</p> <p>becoming 37:8</p> <p>beginning 13:22</p> <p>behalf 1:15,19 2:4,7,10 3:8 22:19 47:17</p> <p>believe 17:22 20:23 21:1 35:20</p> <p>believed 5:10 43:19</p> <p>belonged 23:1</p> <p>best 35:4</p> <p>beyond 3:19 12:22 14:7 17:3 23:10 24:12,17,23,25 26:19 28:12,12 29:16,23 30:1 30:16,22 34:22 35:9 40:2</p> <p>bit 37:5 42:12</p> <p>board 11:25</p> <p>borne 5:18</p> <p>Botetourt 4:22</p> <p>brief 4:2,18 9:14 9:15 26:2 28:8 35:21 46:12</p> <p>bring 33:4</p> <p>brother 23:24 35:20</p> <p>burden 30:25 34:21 35:25</p> <p>business 9:22,25 13:1 15:12,13 15:17,18,23 16:1 20:19 23:17 36:2,18 41:23,23 42:18 43:23 44:10,13 45:2,3,4</p> <p>businesses 16:12 23:14</p>	<p>busted 37:9</p> <p>buying 45:9,10 45:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 2:1 3:1</p> <p>call 4:10</p> <p>case 3:4,22,22 6:10,22 8:23 9:1,16 18:9,19 19:11,13,17,23 20:2,14,23 21:3,19 22:8 22:23 26:2,11 27:21 28:3,21 29:8 30:12 31:12 32:2 34:21 35:1,15 36:5,21 37:21 38:7,12,18 39:1 42:3,4 44:14,14,16,22 44:25 48:13,14 48:20 49:5,6</p> <p>case-by-case 11:23</p> <p>cases 9:13 10:2 16:22 40:15 44:23 45:1</p> <p>causation 23:21</p> <p>cell 36:22</p> <p>certainly 20:24 22:15 41:14</p> <p>certainty 25:9</p> <p>chain 23:21</p> <p>challenge 28:4 43:8</p> <p>challenges 16:14 16:21,21</p> <p>challenging 18:7</p> <p>chambers 37:17</p> <p>characteristics 22:22</p> <p>charge 28:1,9 36:6 43:25 44:13,18</p> <p>charged 43:24</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>45:16 46:6 charges 44:8,21 charging 44:17 check 37:17 Chief 3:3,9 16:9 16:20 22:15,20 23:7 24:11,16 24:23 25:11 30:15,18,21 31:9,11,18,23 32:17 34:19 35:3 37:11,18 39:6,23 47:14 49:4 China 9:23 cigarette 40:23 40:23 41:1,2 42:21 47:20 Circuit 4:23 9:16 circuits 44:11 cited 4:17 9:14 Clause 11:5,17 18:13 19:2,4 22:24 23:19 24:2 35:23 40:14 41:13,18 41:21 cleaner 9:17 cleaning 9:24 clear 13:23 15:25 21:5 28:3 clearly 13:4 client 20:25 coat 9:22 Coleman 13:5 come 12:6 17:7 24:6 comes 48:5 coming 26:14 43:6 commerce 3:16 3:17 5:20,24 5:25 6:1,1,1,4 6:10,17,19 7:6 7:8,9,18,19</p>	<p>8:18,19,21,22 9:2,4,21 10:5,7 11:5,17 12:12 12:20 13:2,7 13:24,25 14:16 14:16 15:4,7 16:2,2,3,12,13 16:16,16,24,25 17:4 18:12,13 19:2,4 22:5,11 22:13,24 23:14 23:19,22 24:2 24:9,10,14,21 25:24 26:13 27:13 28:14,14 28:21 29:5,12 29:17 31:21 32:12 33:1,3,4 33:10,15,19 35:23,24 39:20 40:14 41:12,18 41:21 42:6 45:5,9,22 46:2 46:3,7,14,23 46:25 47:2,25 48:5,18 commercial 13:8 20:3 23:2 23:3,8,12 36:17 40:6 42:14 commodities 28:21 commodity 10:3 15:1,6,8 23:1 36:16 42:4 completely 6:8 complicated 42:13 component 12:19 comprehend 8:11 concede 39:7,9 conceded 7:22 concerning 21:6 21:8</p>	<p>concerns 23:19 concluded 9:11 conclusion 24:7 25:13 26:8 conclusions 17:7 conducted 20:3 Congress 11:3,8 14:7,11 15:2,5 16:10,22 17:2 17:21 23:5 24:3,12 25:1,2 25:4,9,13,16 25:19 26:12,15 26:19 27:7,13 35:11,12,25 36:2,18 39:23 40:20 41:15 Congress's 16:14 22:24 26:16 40:13 congressional 17:5,6 Conrad 18:23 21:4 consequence 3:14 consider 35:6 considered 8:15 37:25 considering 21:7 conspiracy 43:25 constitute 19:12 Constitution 17:20 constitutional 18:6 consumption 32:20,23 42:10 42:13 contacted 37:7 contested 33:15 context 25:7 41:24 42:14 contexts 23:23 contradict 31:2 contrary 26:16</p>	<p>30:19 controlled 6:14 6:16 11:1,11 11:13,16 13:4 13:5,9 14:6 48:2,3 controls 27:8 controversial 18:6 conviction 3:24 48:8 correct 19:8 20:12 29:18,20 30:5 39:22 40:24 48:14,15 correctly 9:20 counsel 5:9 44:21 47:14 49:4 County 4:22 couple 4:21 36:22 course 6:14 15:17 25:16 28:4 court 1:1,12 3:10 17:23 22:9,21 23:25 26:17,23 28:13 33:9,13,18,22 36:19 37:25 40:4,9 41:20 42:24 47:12 49:3 Court's 11:7 40:7,10 courts 23:12,16 23:25 41:19,21 44:24 45:20 covered 39:7 covers 43:15 create 17:10 creates 3:15 crime 3:18 7:15 11:16 24:18 34:23 crimes 37:14</p>	<p>criminal 12:21 17:15,17,18 25:15 cross-examina... 43:11 cross-examined 5:8 current 4:22 14:23 customers 45:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 3:1 D.C 1:8,18 dangerous 37:2 daunting 25:20 DAVID 1:3 day 25:8 26:6 DEA 4:11,18,20 37:2,7,9 dealer 5:14 10:18 12:24 13:1 19:12 20:11 21:14,16 21:19,24 27:2 31:16 34:8 35:17 38:9,14 39:4,8,20 45:7 48:14,17 dealers 5:4 10:9 20:20 24:8 26:4 32:3,5 35:13 36:1,15 43:9 44:5 46:15 47:11 dealing 7:12 16:6 19:19 dealt 4:3 5:2,14 20:9 decide 19:3,6,7 decided 30:4 33:18,22 deciding 35:18 decision 26:16 26:17 33:9 decisions 40:7 44:17</p>
---	--	--	--	---

defendant 29:16 30:18 31:1,12 31:15,24 32:6 32:21 34:1,24 34:24 37:4,10 39:19 43:2,3,4	47:5,5 difficult 24:6 41:25 42:24 46:16 difficulty 40:5 digress 47:19 direct 38:15,22 directly 23:18 35:12,12 disagree 13:20 14:4 disagreeing 13:13 discretion 34:7 discussed 48:10 dissent 19:7 distinction 23:13 district 22:9 divergent 26:14 doing 13:1 15:16 40:11 45:25 46:2	dry 9:17 <hr/> E <hr/> E 1:15 2:1,3,9 3:1,1,7 47:16 earlier 39:7 easier 38:11 easily 14:11 economic 9:3 23:18 effect 3:17 5:19 5:23,25 6:19 6:21 7:6,7,15 7:15,18,24 8:18,21,23 9:3 9:8,21 11:21 12:5,6,10,19 16:12,16,25 17:3 18:9,12 18:17 22:4 38:16 46:23 48:18 effect-on-com... 3:23 either 3:13,15 4:10 6:10 14:16 20:4,21 43:25 45:8 elaborate 43:22 element 3:14,18 3:21,24 12:22 17:13,14,14,16 17:17,18,22,25 18:1,4,5,8 22:11 26:24 28:12 30:1,16 31:7,14,25 32:22 33:7 34:22 35:6,10 35:15 36:14 38:1,10,24 47:6 48:4,23 48:24,25 elements 3:11,18 12:21 24:18 25:24,25 26:1 34:16	embellished 19:16 enact 11:9,15 enacted 11:3,11 enacting 35:23 encompasses 48:8 endeavor 37:6 enforcement 37:7 46:20 engage 46:3 engaged 19:19 23:14 24:8 32:12 36:17 37:1 38:14 41:23 42:5 engages 45:4,8 engaging 44:5 46:1 enterprise 10:4 19:19 20:3 42:14 46:1 entire 25:3 26:9 entirely 15:23 entitled 31:1 34:1 entity 36:17 equipment 45:11 eradication 4:20 espouses 23:24 ESQ 1:15,17 2:3 2:6,9 essence 7:14 establish 3:21 17:14 28:13 42:1 established 23:3 25:17 45:20 establishment 31:13 evaluate 17:1 evaluated 16:17 events 4:21 21:2 evidence 3:20 10:2,7 12:10 19:15 20:2,15	20:18,23 21:1 21:3,6,8,13,24 22:4,12 27:1 28:5 30:19 31:2,12,24 33:12 34:2,24 38:18 43:2,5 44:3 45:3 47:7 47:8 example 9:15,23 13:3 17:11 19:17 37:22 exclusively 4:3 5:3,5,6,15 excuse 6:13 11:14 exercise 11:4 16:14 exercised 23:5 36:2 exercises 16:11 40:13 exercising 11:17 24:13 expect 21:10 expected 47:10 experience 4:12 expert 9:3 experts 8:15 explain 9:8 25:5 26:1 44:8 explained 25:5 25:22 explaining 29:9 explanation 28:19 extends 35:22 extension 47:23 extent 35:22 extortion 3:13 3:15 <hr/> F <hr/> fact 10:7 13:7 25:7 29:8 31:4 36:21 facts 19:11
--	--	---	---	---

30:12,13 31:2 34:15 44:1 factual 25:24 26:1 39:1 failure 8:6,8 22:3 fairly 23:16 fall 17:19 24:1 41:10 falls 18:12 22:23 41:12,14 42:6 familiar 34:20 farmer 42:9,10 February 1:9 Federal 36:21 36:24 Federally-con... 23:4 field 4:12 Fifth 17:20 figure 13:13 Filburn 42:9 find 18:16 20:21 27:16 29:5,15 29:25 30:13 35:9 37:24 38:8,12 finding 48:17,24 finds 34:8 first 3:4,11 22:25 31:19 fit 16:15 Fitzgerald 20:22 Fitzgerald's 21:8 five 47:15 follow 26:7 formulation 40:8 forthcoming 46:19 forward 5:19 found 29:23 four 20:1 Fourth 4:23 9:16 framework	34:17 friend 47:23 Friendly 29:2 fringes 42:24 frustrated 21:5 fuel 13:6 full 11:4 35:22 36:2 40:13 further 47:12 <hr/> G <hr/> G 3:1 gain 47:10 gang 20:19 37:1 37:3,9 Gaudin 26:6 General 1:18 generalized 48:11 generally 14:3 gentlemen 26:25 37:24 Georgia 10:1 GINSBURG 4:1 4:24 10:8,19 10:22 20:18 27:20,24 28:6 36:4,20 give 13:14 17:20 26:23 given 4:2 15:25 29:8 go 10:10 17:7 19:24 35:24 40:2 goes 15:10 27:11 31:18 going 4:16 5:11 5:19 20:3 21:12 31:25 37:21,21 48:11 Gonzales 19:8 good 27:9 39:19 goods 8:21,24 9:2 45:9,10 Goonz 36:25 47:9	government 3:22 5:18,23 6:25 8:22,25 10:14 14:19 28:11 34:21 36:4 44:17 45:2 46:13 48:22 government's 9:15 34:25 44:16 government-f... 29:7 governs 32:25 grew 42:16 group 20:24 grow 4:15 grower 32:7 growing 11:12 11:24 12:15 32:8 33:2,14 33:18 42:10,15 42:15,17 grown 4:7,25 31:5 32:20 34:9 guess 13:12 15:10 25:18 35:5 guilty 34:7 <hr/> H <hr/> hangers 9:23 happened 18:24 32:14 42:20 hard 8:11,11 harder 39:16,17 hear 3:3 heard 27:1 hearings 17:5,6 high 38:1,3,6 hindered 46:7 hindering 46:3 historical 30:11 History 11:6 Hobbs 3:11 5:16 5:18,22,25	6:13,20,25 7:14,16 11:4 13:16,23,24 14:1,7,15 15:3 15:25 17:11 32:25 35:20 39:7 40:13 41:3,10 42:11 43:13 44:12,14 47:22,24 48:7 48:10,12 hold 16:10 home 32:7,20,23 34:9 37:3,14 home-grown 4:4 5:3 33:9 Honor 4:9 7:3 8:12 9:6 10:20 16:7 47:18 48:16 49:2 house 10:10 32:13,18 42:22 43:24 <hr/> I <hr/> illegal 28:21 impact 11:21 22:4,13 implicates 23:19 in-State 4:16 31:5 incidents 37:24 includes 13:25 47:3 including 47:9 incremental 40:10 independent 48:17,24 indicated 28:25 indictment 33:16 indirectly 43:11 individual 10:6 23:20 36:12 41:23 48:6 individually	44:12 individuals 20:25 23:15 24:1 44:4 47:11 inference 20:5,5 influence 11:21 12:11 22:13 ingredients 13:5 inquiry 41:18 instance 20:1 35:11 43:21 instances 10:5 instruct 26:6 27:12 30:9 instructed 18:14 18:15,20 27:2 29:9 34:14,17 instruction 18:20,21,22 26:23 28:24 29:7 30:12 33:11 instructions 26:7 28:2,5,7 28:11 29:4 instructs 30:24 intend 11:4 intention 32:9 inter- 30:4 47:3 interesting 19:4 interference 3:16 12:11 interpretation 15:7 19:1 27:9 48:9 interpreted 18:5 18:8 interstate 5:20 5:23 6:4,10,17 7:6,23 8:4,18 8:21,22 9:2,4 10:5,6 12:20 13:2,6,18,25 14:3,16 16:2 16:12,13,16,16 16:24,25 17:4
--	---	--	--	--

<p>18:12 20:10 21:22 22:5,10 22:10 23:3 24:14 25:23 28:21 29:5 30:4 33:10 36:18 45:5 46:2,3,14,23 48:4</p> <p>intrastate 5:14 6:5,9 7:1,12 13:18 14:1,3 14:17 15:23 16:1,5,11 20:9 26:13,15 29:11 47:3</p> <p>introduce 31:24 34:1,25 43:3,5</p> <p>introduced 33:12 38:18</p> <p>introduction 3:20</p> <p>invasions 37:3 37:15</p> <p>inventory 23:1,1 36:17 38:13</p> <p>investigation 36:24,25</p> <p>involve 16:24 26:2</p> <p>involved 27:21 37:13 38:19,20</p> <p>involving 45:2</p> <p>irrelevant 6:9</p> <p>issue 6:18 18:2,3</p> <p>issues 19:6 32:24</p> <p>item 8:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>joint 48:6</p> <p>Jones 1:15 2:3,9 3:6,7,9 4:9 5:7 5:12,17 6:12 7:3,13 8:1,5,8 8:12,20,24 9:6 9:10,13 10:17</p>	<p>10:20,25 11:6 11:14,19 12:4 12:16 13:11,12 13:20 14:5,11 14:22,25 15:14 15:19 16:7,18 17:5 18:19 19:9 20:13,22 21:17,20,25 22:2,16 40:12 47:15,16,18 48:15</p> <p>judge 18:23 21:4 21:4 27:12 28:1 29:6,8 30:8,24 34:18 44:13,18</p> <p>judge's 28:2</p> <p>judges 26:5</p> <p>juries 19:5 26:6 26:7,11,13 34:14</p> <p>jurisdiction 6:2 6:4,5,11 7:1,11 7:12 16:4,5,11 16:15 23:6,9 24:9,13,22 25:2,4,9,13,17 25:19 26:9,13 26:15,20 27:8 27:14,19 28:15 29:12,17,25 30:3,7,9 31:21 33:1 37:23 42:7 47:1,3 48:1</p> <p>jurisdictional 3:14,17 17:25 18:1,4,4,8 26:24 28:12 30:14 31:7,14 32:21 35:10,15 36:10,14 38:1 38:9,24 47:6 48:25</p> <p>juror 29:4</p> <p>jury 18:14 19:3</p>	<p>26:23,25 27:12 27:16,18,21,23 27:24 28:5,7 29:10,15,22 30:10,11,24 34:6 35:8,17 37:23,24 38:6 45:16 46:5 48:23</p> <p>Justice 1:18 3:3 3:9 4:1,24 5:12 5:21 6:24 7:4 7:20,21 8:2,3,6 8:10,17,25 9:7 9:11 10:8,19 10:22 11:3,8 11:15,23 12:13 13:10,12,21 14:10,13,23 15:10,11,15,20 16:9,20 17:24 18:25 20:6,18 21:11,18,21 22:1,15,20 23:7 24:11,16 24:23 25:11 26:22 27:4,6 27:15,20,24 28:6,23 29:3 29:13,19,21,23 30:2,15,18,21 31:9,10,11,18 31:23 32:4,16 32:17 33:6,8 33:15,21,24 34:4,6,12,19 35:3 36:4,20 37:11,16,18,19 38:4,7,17,23 39:3,6,11,15 39:18,23 40:12 40:18,25 41:12 42:8 43:1,8,14 43:16 44:7,20 45:8,13,16,24 46:5,9 47:14 48:13 49:4</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>Kagan 5:12,21 6:24 7:4,20 8:3 13:10,12,21 14:10,13,23 15:10,15,20 20:6 29:13,19 29:21,23 30:2 31:10 32:4,16 39:3,11,15,18</p> <p>KENNEDY 7:21 8:2,6,10 8:17,25 9:7,11 21:11,18,21 22:1 26:22 27:4,6,15 28:23 29:3 33:6,8,15,21 33:24 34:4,6 34:12 37:16,19 38:4,7,17,23 43:1,8,14,16 48:13</p> <p>Kennedy's 15:11</p> <p>key 9:8</p> <p>kind 7:10 14:19 14:20 20:7 23:18 28:18 30:21 32:8 44:14,23,24 45:4,5</p> <p>know 16:4,21 18:6 21:9,9,18 24:3,22 25:1 26:12 28:10,17 28:20 32:7 35:25 36:1 37:6 42:5,5,21 43:21 46:22 47:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lacking 48:19,20</p> <p>ladies 26:25 37:23</p> <p>lane 13:8</p>	<p>language 7:14 15:3</p> <p>law 17:9 18:7 26:6 27:2 31:7 34:13,14,17,20 36:7,9 37:7 46:19</p> <p>leaders 20:24</p> <p>legal 19:6 25:24 26:5,8,18,21 30:12 34:5 35:7</p> <p>legally 43:6</p> <p>let's 33:6,8,8,10 33:21,21,22 44:9 45:13</p> <p>liability 18:21</p> <p>likelihood 4:10</p> <p>limine 5:9</p> <p>limitation 40:19</p> <p>limiting 40:19</p> <p>limits 41:20 48:4</p> <p>link 41:17</p> <p>links 23:20</p> <p>little 21:5 38:11 42:12 46:16</p> <p>local 4:11,21 8:4 18:10 21:22 37:7</p> <p>long 27:11</p> <p>longer 23:21</p> <p>look 19:14</p> <p>looking 12:25 26:20</p> <p>Lopez 16:22 40:5</p> <p>lot 15:16</p> <p>lye 13:6</p> <p>Lynch 10:13,17 19:17,20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>ma'am 15:19</p> <p>main 37:6</p> <p>majority 19:7</p> <p>making 20:7 40:2</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>manufacture 13:3</p> <p>manufactured 10:1</p> <p>marijuana 4:4,6 4:15,19 5:3,4,5 5:15 10:11,15 10:23 11:12,22 11:24 12:1,3,8 12:15 13:17,17 14:1,2,16,17 14:20 19:22,25 20:9 23:4 24:3 24:4,4,7,8 25:3 25:10,14 26:3 26:4,9,16,20 27:5,6,13 29:10 30:3 31:4,12,17 32:2,3,5,9,20 33:2,9,14,19 35:13,13,17,17 36:11,15,15 38:13,14 40:22 40:23 41:1,2,7 42:4,21 43:5 44:6 45:7 46:14,18 47:4 47:10 48:7</p> <p>maritime 30:7,8 37:22</p> <p>market 23:4</p> <p>matter 1:11 6:16 14:20 16:23 24:1 25:7 27:2 31:7 35:7 36:10 49:7</p> <p>mean 5:22 14:21 15:11,15 28:14 30:5 32:6,15 32:23 34:11,14 36:8,21</p> <p>meaning 18:3</p> <p>means 6:1 18:5 19:4 46:22,24</p> <p>measure 11:4 40:13</p>	<p>mere 41:22</p> <p>met 22:11 35:10</p> <p>methampheta... 13:3,4</p> <p>Mexico 9:24</p> <p>mind 44:23</p> <p>minimum 28:25 43:18</p> <p>minutes 21:13 47:15</p> <p>money 20:21 45:23 46:7</p> <p>morning 3:4</p> <p>motion 5:9</p> <p>moved 45:22 46:14</p> <p>movement 12:8</p> <p>murder 30:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>N 2:1,1 3:1</p> <p>nature 23:18 36:16 40:8</p> <p>necessarily 4:17 40:6</p> <p>necessary 3:20 42:1 46:21</p> <p>need 12:9 24:20</p> <p>needed 12:13,14</p> <p>new 34:13</p> <p>news 4:21</p> <p>nexus 42:1</p> <p>normal 23:25 44:22</p> <p>note 46:16</p> <p>noted 44:10</p> <p>notion 15:22</p> <p>number 22:10 40:14</p> <p>numerous 9:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>O 2:1 3:1</p> <p>obtain 3:12</p> <p>occur 26:2</p> <p>occurred 37:25</p> <p>occurs 25:6</p>	<p>odd 36:20</p> <p>offense 17:15,18 17:19 34:16 35:6</p> <p>officer 4:12</p> <p>officers 8:14</p> <p>oh 7:23 21:13 46:11</p> <p>Okay 15:20 38:7</p> <p>once 19:5</p> <p>opening 5:24</p> <p>opportunity 4:3 4:5 19:10</p> <p>oral 1:11 2:2,5 3:7 22:18 35:22</p> <p>order 30:13 36:13</p> <p>organizer 20:23</p> <p>out-of-State 45:11</p> <p>outer 41:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 3:1</p> <p>page 2:2 28:8 35:21</p> <p>Pardon 38:4</p> <p>parenthetically 46:16</p> <p>part 33:16,16 36:23 44:16</p> <p>participant 23:2 23:3</p> <p>participants 37:6</p> <p>particular 10:4 11:25 31:4 37:4,10 39:1 45:17</p> <p>particularized 3:20 20:15 22:4,12 45:6</p> <p>particularly 37:1</p> <p>passage 17:10</p> <p>people 22:6 42:5</p>	<p>43:21 46:15,18</p> <p>period 4:18</p> <p>person 4:13 12:14 19:20,21 20:11 32:8 39:3,20 40:21 40:25 41:1,2 44:11 48:5</p> <p>person's 39:8</p> <p>personal 12:15 41:7 42:10,13</p> <p>persons 43:17</p> <p>petition 22:1,2</p> <p>Petitioner 1:4,16 2:4,10 3:8 47:17</p> <p>Petitioner's 22:22,25</p> <p>Petitioners 47:9</p> <p>phones 36:22</p> <p>phosphorous 13:6</p> <p>phrase 15:4 47:24</p> <p>picked 42:21</p> <p>piece 12:12,25</p> <p>pieces 6:7</p> <p>place 4:8 17:23 20:16 48:21</p> <p>plain 7:14 15:3 17:12 19:16</p> <p>plan 4:20 43:22</p> <p>plea 27:22</p> <p>please 3:10 22:21</p> <p>plus 14:24</p> <p>point 5:8,10 14:7 19:21 21:23 22:2 27:16,17 32:11 34:2 42:25</p> <p>police 4:11 8:14 10:10</p> <p>portions 28:9</p> <p>Portsmouth/V... 9:18</p> <p>position 9:5 12:4</p>	<p>46:21</p> <p>possessed 10:15</p> <p>possessing 40:22 41:17</p> <p>possession 41:6 41:7,15</p> <p>possibly 48:7</p> <p>potential 46:8</p> <p>pounds 19:22</p> <p>power 22:24 36:3 40:6</p> <p>precedence 11:7</p> <p>precedent 17:21 18:7</p> <p>precise 40:7</p> <p>precisely 35:18</p> <p>precluded 5:10 22:6</p> <p>predicate 34:10</p> <p>present 22:3 30:19 31:2</p> <p>presented 21:15 21:15 22:9</p> <p>presents 44:17</p> <p>pressing 40:3</p> <p>pretty 15:12 45:3</p> <p>prevent 31:13</p> <p>principle 40:20</p> <p>prior 20:1</p> <p>probably 38:2</p> <p>problem 15:21 15:21 37:8</p> <p>proceeds 28:22</p> <p>process 17:8</p> <p>produced 19:15</p> <p>production 11:13</p> <p>prohibit 11:24 12:2 13:11,24 35:12 40:21</p> <p>prohibited 35:12 40:21</p> <p>prohibiting 11:10,12</p> <p>prohibits 13:16 14:2,15 35:14</p>
--	--	--	--	--

promulgate 17:9	25:12,23 26:5	rebut 34:25	resisting 15:22	36:15 38:9,13
proof 5:2,18 8:7	26:14,18,21	REBUTTAL	respect 26:24	41:16,24 42:4
8:8,12,13	31:19 32:4	2:8 47:16	44:19	44:9,9,12 45:2
16:10 25:15,20	33:2 39:1 40:1	recall 9:19	Respondent	45:17 46:24
25:22 36:13	43:20 47:20	recognized	1:19 2:7 22:19	47:21 48:14,16
property 3:12	questions 8:16	23:17 40:4	result 12:23	robbing 26:3
proposition	41:8,25 42:24	41:19	22:5	32:11,13,18,20
13:14 35:4	47:12 49:1	recognizes 40:5	resulted 3:24	41:22 45:21
prosecuted	quite 28:18	recollection	results 26:14	ROBERTS 3:3
10:13,14,18	45:21	18:23	retired 4:10,11	16:9,20 22:15
37:5		record 8:13	return 34:7	23:7 24:11,16
prosecuting	R	reducing 28:16	reverse 49:3	24:23 25:11
24:17	R 3:1	reduction 12:7	review 25:6	30:15,18,21
prosecutions	Raich 6:4,14,22	28:20	reviewing 21:6	31:9,11,18,23
37:4	6:25 7:11,13	reference 37:22	right 9:7 11:8	32:17 34:19
prospective 46:4	11:9,10,18	38:24	14:25 15:13,18	35:3 37:11,18
prove 3:23 17:2	13:25 14:6,24	regular 39:21	21:21 29:19	39:6,23 47:14
20:11 24:12	15:25 16:4	44:9,14	31:5,22 32:12	49:4
26:19 30:22	18:5 19:1 24:3	regulate 14:8,14	34:14 38:25	robs 41:1 48:6
34:21 36:5,6	25:2,5,5 26:11	16:23 39:24	40:22 42:14	rulings 20:17
48:22	26:21 29:8	41:15	45:24	rumored 19:20
proven 3:19	30:4 32:8 33:7	regulated 15:5,9	Roanoke 37:2,8	run 40:18 44:23
12:22 18:1	41:9,16 48:2	regulates 12:17	rob 20:20 25:10	
provided 17:21	raised 21:23	14:6 24:4	31:16 42:20	S
40:7	raises 32:24	36:18	43:22 44:11,13	S 2:1 3:1
proving 11:25	41:8,24	regulating 12:18	robbed 19:20,21	sale 12:2 42:16
47:5	rational-basis	regulation 6:17	23:15 42:8	satisfaction 17:8
provision 35:24	25:6,18	6:21 7:17	robberies 13:16	satisfied 18:24
pulled 41:21	read 29:3,4	12:19 17:17	13:24 14:2,15	24:19 28:16
purchase 9:22	47:24	relevant 28:9	22:23,25 23:13	30:25 32:22
purchased 9:25	reading 6:22	30:11,13 31:2	24:1 32:25	38:1,10
pure 41:5	17:12 19:16	34:15,16 36:9	35:13 36:1	saying 7:25
purely 18:10	really 15:21	36:14 39:2,21	37:2 48:11	13:22 17:12
26:18	20:10 39:2	43:7,20	robbing 46:7	20:8 29:4 31:6
purpose 35:23	43:20	relitigate 26:11	robbery 3:13,15	34:23 35:8
pursuant 5:9	reason 25:4	remaining 47:15	8:18,20 9:2,4	48:16
20:16 25:19	reasonable 3:19	remember 36:24	9:17,19 10:9	says 7:9,11
put 6:7 9:3	12:22 17:3	43:12	12:23,24 14:19	13:25 16:3,21
11:11 31:12	23:10 24:12,18	replicated 28:8	15:1,12,17	35:15 46:13
puts 45:2	24:23,25 26:19	require 7:5	18:17 21:7,8	47:25
	28:12,13 29:16	12:10	23:17,20 24:3	scope 36:3
Q	29:24 30:1,16	required 3:23	24:7,9,21	se 18:21
qualifying 4:13	30:23 34:22	requires 3:12	25:23 26:2	search 40:19
question 4:7	35:9	5:23,25 7:7	27:1,19 31:19	41:20
12:1 15:11	reasoning 11:10	48:17	31:20 32:2	seas 38:1,3,6
16:19 17:25	11:18	reserve 22:14	34:8 35:16,16	second 3:13
20:8 21:14,15	reasons 40:4	residences 20:4	36:6,8,12,13	31:25

<p>see 5:21 19:11 seeing 36:23 self-evident 15:12 selling 19:25 32:10 45:11 sense 21:4 separate 6:18 serious 37:8,14 show 4:3,24 5:5 5:13,14,23 7:24 8:23 9:1,3 10:14 11:20 14:19 22:12 24:17,20 25:19 28:11 31:15 38:20 44:3 46:14 47:8 showed 10:2 showing 5:19 19:18 20:2 28:16 34:25 43:23 45:5,6 46:23 shown 4:6,19 shows 21:1 shying 25:21 silly 30:22 similar 40:11 simply 20:1,17 single 40:23,23 47:20 48:6 sir 8:1 19:9 21:20 situation 12:14 15:5 Sixth 17:20 small 40:22 sold 10:4 46:15 Solicitor 1:17 solvents 9:24 somebody 16:14 16:20 24:17 32:12,19 someone's 43:24 sorry 12:2 39:6 40:10</p>	<p>sort 6:7 SOTOMAYOR 44:7,20 45:8 45:13,16,24 46:5,9 Southwest 36:25 47:8 special 30:6,8 specific 12:25 speculate 27:25 sphere 23:12 standalone 12:20 15:6 standard 16:10 16:17 25:14,18 25:20,22 28:10 standpoint 14:5 start 21:6,7 State 4:8,14 5:1 5:4 10:16 12:8 12:8 36:7,9 43:6 45:10,12 46:15 stated 19:24 statement 5:24 States 1:1,6,12 3:5 4:15 6:2,3 6:11 7:1,10,11 16:3,5 24:10 24:22 26:8 27:14 28:14 29:12,17 30:3 30:7,9,23 31:21 33:1,20 42:6 46:25 47:2 48:1 statistics 4:18 status 43:9 statute 7:5,8,9 11:9,15 14:18 14:24 15:7 27:8 35:14 statute's 16:17 statutes 6:8 11:12 steal 11:16 steals 40:25</p>	<p>stolen 47:21 stop 12:23 strange 26:10 strict 18:21 stronger 42:19 stumble 32:14 42:20 stumbled 32:18 subject 4:20 10:3 12:24 15:1,8 27:7 47:21 submission 9:1 submit 5:2 submitted 49:5 49:7 substance 6:15 6:16 11:1,11 11:16 13:5,9 14:6 23:5 48:3 substances 11:13 48:3 substantial 18:12 suffices 38:8 sufficiency 28:4 sufficient 28:19 44:3 47:7,8 suggested 41:22 suggesting 15:24 20:10 47:24 48:21 supply 18:18 supports 39:14 suppose 5:12,13 10:8,8,9 25:11 42:8 Supreme 1:1,12 sure 6:3 16:18 29:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 2:1,1 tagalong 37:5,11 take 9:15 10:11 19:14 21:19 48:21</p>	<p>taken 9:19 18:11 40:10 talking 15:4 21:22 41:6 42:17,25 43:12 46:17,17 target 35:16 38:21 targeted 22:25 36:15 targeting 26:3 32:1,7 36:16 38:13 47:9 Taylor 1:3 3:4 3:25 20:25 teaches 26:7 41:16 tell 13:14 18:23 32:24 37:23 41:5 telling 37:17 tells 11:6,7 terms 5:16,17 13:23 15:24 testimony 19:17 21:9 Thank 47:14,18 49:4 theft 11:10 12:2 18:16 theory 22:8 23:24 36:10 39:10 44:16,18 44:25 45:19,19 46:13,20,24 47:4,6 they'd 20:20 thing 5:7,22 32:19 35:20 39:12,13,16 things 4:1 32:18 40:8 45:12 think 4:22 5:9 5:22 6:12,13 8:16,17,20,24 9:18 10:20,25 11:7 12:4 15:4</p>	<p>15:13,15 17:24 18:15,19 19:5 19:22 23:11,16 24:6 27:10,11 27:11,12 28:3 28:17 29:6 31:3,5 32:23 33:17 35:21 37:14,16 38:11 38:15,25 40:1 40:4,17 42:3 42:18 43:4,10 44:2,15 46:11 46:12 48:9 third 23:2 thought 20:20 40:14 47:11 three 19:25 22:22 Tillery 9:15 time 4:18,23 19:6,21,25 41:11 45:21 times 40:5 Title 11:2 today 40:3 told 29:15 toto 12:25 tracked 37:3 trade 24:4,5,8 25:3,10,14 26:9,16,20 27:5,7,13 29:10,11,11 30:3 38:14 41:7 42:5 43:17,19 46:18 47:3 trading 32:3 traffic 13:18 trafficking 6:6,9 7:2 11:22 13:17 14:1,2 14:17,17,20 transaction 39:24 transported</p>
---	--	--	---	--

<p>10:1 travel 13:6,8 traveled 10:5,6 13:2 trial 5:9 33:11 33:25 tried 21:5 trigger 48:7 triggers 13:9 true 23:15 45:14 trying 13:13 20:20 21:17 Tuesday 1:9 two 3:11 21:1 23:1 32:18 43:21 44:4 47:9 twofold 22:9 type 18:11 25:7 35:19 45:3 types 45:1,12 typically 19:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>U.S.C 12:16 35:14 Uh-huh 45:15 ultimately 3:24 unable 10:14 undeniable 38:15 undermine 31:6 underscore 22:23 understand 16:18 21:12 29:14 43:14 44:20 understanding 43:1 44:4 understood 44:1 uniformly 23:16 Union 5:1 United 1:1,6,12 3:4 6:2,3,11 7:1,10,11 16:3 16:5 24:10,21</p>	<p>26:8 27:14 28:14 29:12,17 30:2,7,9,23 31:21 33:1,19 42:6 46:25 47:2,25 use 12:15 14:8 14:14 33:3 42:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>v 1:5 3:4 42:9 Va 1:15 various 40:3 verdict 34:7 victims 4:3 5:2 5:11 10:9,12 23:14 43:9 44:4 47:10 view 6:20 11:20 12:16,18,21 17:19 18:20 38:8 violation 41:2 42:11 violent 37:2 Virginia 4:7,14 4:20 9:18 10:1 10:24 43:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>want 19:3,7 wanted 5:2 14:8 20:11 43:2,3,4 warrant 10:10 Washington 1:8 1:18 wasn't 19:13 20:11,18 39:4 way 18:14,15 27:11 34:23 39:21 46:7 47:5 ways 12:6 We'll 3:3 we're 5:7 13:13 15:4 17:12</p>	<p>21:12,21 25:21 35:8,8 40:2,3,9 40:11 41:6 42:2,17,25 43:11 46:17,17 48:11,15,20 we've 16:21 28:8 weren't 20:16 23:7 wheat 42:9,15 42:15,16,16,17 42:21 Wickard 42:9 42:12 wisdom 17:13 witness 4:10 word 7:15 9:8 14:8,14,14 15:16 words 6:23 29:1 work 15:16 Worley 10:12,17 19:23,24 21:7 21:8 wouldn't 14:21 15:13 23:8,9 32:11 36:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <p>x 1:2,7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>Yang 1:17 2:6 22:17,18,20 23:11 24:15,20 24:25 25:21 27:3,5,10,17 27:23 28:2,7 29:2,6,18,20 29:22,25 30:5 30:16,20 31:1 31:15,22 32:1 32:5,13,23 33:7,13,17,23 34:3,5,10,13 35:2,5 36:8,23 37:13 38:2,5</p>	<p>38:11,21,25 39:5,9,13,17 39:22,25 40:16 40:24 41:4,14 42:12 43:4,10 43:15,18 44:7 44:15,22 45:10 45:15,18,25 46:8,11 Yeah 14:22 18:25 year 37:18,20 year's 37:18 years 4:12,16,16 4:17 20:1 37:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>10,000-someth... 35:14 10:05 1:13 3:2 10:58 49:6 14-6166 1:4 3:4 18 35:14,21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>20 19:22 37:12 2013 4:22 2016 1:9 21 11:2 12:16 37:16 22 2:7 23 1:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 2:4 30 37:3,14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>40 9:19 36:22 47 2:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>8 28:8 801 12:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p>
--	---	---	---	--