

(Slip Opinion)

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D.C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 52, Orig.

United States of America,	}	On Exceptions to Report of Special Master.
Plaintiff,		
v.		
State of Florida.		

[March 17, 1975]

PER CURIAM.

Before the Court for consideration are the exceptions of the State of Florida and of the United States to the Report of the Special Master filed February 19, 1974. Oral argument has been had.

The case consolidates two proceedings. In the first, the United States seeks a decree defining the seaward boundary of the submerged lands of the continental shelf in the Atlantic Ocean in which Florida has rights to the natural resources. 395 U. S. 955. In the second, the State of Florida and the United States seek a decree defining more specifically than does the decree entered in *United States v. Louisiana*, 364 U. S. 502, the seaward boundary of the submerged lands of the continental shelf in the Gulf of Mexico in which Florida has rights to the natural resources. 403 U. S. 949.

In its exceptions to the Report, the State of Florida maintains that in his recommendations the Master should have recognized that the said boundaries extend to the boundaries defined in the State's 1868 constitution, rather than to the limits specified in the Submerged Lands Act of 1953, Tit. I, § 2 (b); that the Master should have recognized that the Florida Keys and the Straits of

Florida southwest of longitude 25 degrees 40 minutes north are part of the Gulf of Mexico, rather than of the Atlantic Ocean; that the Master erred in construing the 1868 Constitution of the State as to its Atlantic Ocean boundary and as to its boundary between the Dry Tortugas Islands and Cape Romano; and that the Master erred in failing to recognize "Florida Bay" as an historic bay and thus as inland waters of the State.

Having considered each of these exceptions, we conclude that they are correctly answered in the Report of the Special Master. The exceptions of the State of Florida are therefore overruled.

In its exceptions to the Report, the United States maintains that the Master erred in recommending the recognition of a portion of Florida Bay as a "juridical" bay, and in recommending the drawing of "closing lines" around three groups of islands that make up the Florida Keys. It appears that these recommendations of the Master were made without benefit of the contentions now advanced by the United States and the opposing contentions now presented by the State of Florida. The exceptions of the United States are therefore referred to the Special Master for his prompt consideration. He is authorized to conduct any supplemental proceedings he may find useful with respect to the exceptions of the United States and is requested to file a supplemental report restricted to the issues raised in those exceptions.

It is so ordered.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.