

FILED

FEB 12 1959

JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1958

STATES OF WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA, OHIO and PENNSYLVANIA,
Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,
Defendants.No. 2 Original

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,
et al,
Defendants.No. 3 Original

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,
et al,
Defendants.No. 4 Original**MOTION TO ALLOW AND FIX TIME FOR FILING OF REPLY BRIEF
BY COMPLAINANTS TO DEFENDANTS' BRIEF.**

STATE OF WISCONSIN

John W. Reynolds
Attorney GeneralRoy Tulane
Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Miles Lord
Attorney GeneralRaymond A. Haik
Special Assistant Attorney
General

STATE OF OHIO

Mark McElroy
Attorney GeneralRobert E. Boyd
Assistant Attorney GeneralHerbert H. Naujoks
Special Assistant to the
Attorneys General

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

Ann X. Alpern
Attorney GeneralLois G. Forer
Deputy Attorney General

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Paul L. Adams
Attorney GeneralSamuel J. Torina
Solicitor GeneralNicholas V. Olds
Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF NEW YORK

Louis J. Lefkowitz
Attorney GeneralRichard H. Shepp and
Dunton F. Tynan
Assistant Attorneys General

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1958

STATES OF WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA, OHIO and PENNSYLVANIA, Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, Defendants.

No. 2 Original

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al, Defendants.

No. 3 Original

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Complainants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS and the SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO, et al, Defendants.

No. 4 Original

MOTION TO ALLOW AND FIX TIME FOR FILING OF REPLY BRIEF BY COMPLAINANTS TO DEFENDANTS' BRIEF.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

The complainant states of Wisconsin, Minnesota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Michigan and New York, by their respective attorneys general, respectfully move this Honorable Court that a time be fixed and allowed for the filing of a reply brief by the complainant states in answer to the defendants' brief filed in this Court on January 19, 1959:

Complainant states show that the reasons for requiring additional time to file a reply brief are that certain im-

portant information and data needed for the benefit of the court will not be available for some time. This information relates to a further study now being made by the Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army, concerning damages to navigation and shipping suffered by the complainant Great Lakes' states by reason of diversion of domestic pumpage water at Chicago by defendants; and to data relating to the efficiency of operations of other sewage disposal plants in the Great Lakes' area which will show that (in our opinion) the operations of defendant Chicago Sanitary District Sewage Disposal Plant at Stickney are not in compliance with the recommendations of Special Master Charles Evans Hughes, nor in conformity with a judgment and decree of this court.

Wherefore complainants move this Honorable Court that the date for the filing of their reply brief be fixed as of March 31, 1959.

Respectfully submitted,

STATE OF WISCONSIN

John W. Reynolds
Attorney General

Roy Tulane
Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Miles Lord
Attorney General

Raymond A. Haik
Special Assistant Attorney
General

STATE OF OHIO

Mark McElroy
Attorney General

Robert E. Boyd
Assistant Attorney General

Herbert H. Naujoks
Special Assistant to the
Attorneys General

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

Ann X. Alpern
Attorney General

Lois G. Forer
Deputy Attorney General

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Paul L. Adams
Attorney General

Samuel J. Torina
Solicitor General

Nicholas V. Olds
Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF NEW YORK

Louis J. Leffkowitz
Attorney General

Richard H. Shepp and
Dunton F. Tynan
Assistant Attorneys General

