IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1925.

Original in Equity Number [redacted]

STATE OF MICHIGAN,
Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF ILLINOIS AND THE SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,
Defendants.

Notice and Motion for Consolidation with No. 16 in Equity, Wisconsin, et al., vs. Illinois, et al., to Take Proofs and for Hearing.

OSCAR E. CARLSTROM,
Attorney General of Illinois,
CYRUS E. DIETZ,
HUGH S. JOHNSON,
Solicitors for Defendant, State of Illinois.
HECTOR A. BROUILLET, Attorney,
MORTON S. CRESSY,
Solicitors for Defendant, The Sanitary District of Chicago.

BARNARD & MILLER PRINT, CHICAGO

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1925.

Original in Equity Number 27.

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF ILLINOIS AND THE SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,

Defendants.

NOTICE.

To Honorable

ANDREW B. DOUGHERTY,
Attorney General, State of Michigan, Complainant, Solicitor for Complainant;

To Honorable

HERMAN L. EKERN,
Attorney General of Wisconsin;

RAYMOND T. JACKSON,
Special Assistant Attorney General of Wisconsin;

RALPH M. HOYT,
Special Assistant Attorney General of Wisconsin;

CLIFFORD L. HILTON,
Attorney General of Minnesota;

C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General of Ohio;

NEWTON D. BAKER,
Special Assistant Attorney General of Ohio;

GEORGE W. WOODRUFF,
Attorney General of Pennsylvania;

PHILLIP WELLS,
Deputy Attorney General of Pennsylvania;
Solicitors for Complainants in No 16, Original in Equity, October Term, 1925, entitled *"States of Wisconsin, et al.* v. *State of Illinois, et al."*

To Honorable

NORTH T. GENTRY,
Attorney General of Missouri;

FRANK M. THOMPSON,
Attorney General of Tennessee;

FRANK E. DAUGHERTY,
Attorney General of Kentucky;

PERCY SAINT,
Attorney General of Louisiana;

DANIEL N. KIRBY,

CORNELIUS LYNDE,
Solicitors for Intervening Defendants in No. 16.
Original in Equity, October Term, 1925 entitled *"States of Wisconsin, et al.* v. *State of Illinois, et al."*

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Monday, May 24, 1926, at the opening of court, we shall present the motion of the defendants in the above entitled cause for leave to file their respective answers and for consolidation of said cause for the purpose of taking proofs and hearing with cause No. 16, original in equity, October Term, 1925, en-

titled "*States of Wisconsin, et al.* v. *State of Illinois, et al.*" in accordance with copy of motion hereto attached; at which time and place you may be present, if you see fit.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
By OSCAR E. CARLSTROM,
Attorney General of Illinois.

CYRUS E. DIETZ,
HUGH S. JOHNSON,
Its Solicitors.

SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,
By HECTOR A. BROUILLET,
MORTON S. CRESSY,
Its Solicitors.
Defendants.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States,

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1925.

Original in Equity Number 27.

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF ILLINOIS AND THE SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,

Defendants.

MOTION.

The defendants, The State of Illinois, by Oscar E. Carlstrom, Attorney General of Illinois, Cyrus E. Dietz and Hugh S. Johnson, its solicitors, and The Sanitary District of Chicago, by Hector A. Brouillet, Attorney, and Morton S. Cressy, its solicitors, move the court for leave to file their answers herein on or before June 1, 1926, and the consolidation of this cause with cause No. 16, original in equity, October term, 1925, entitled "*States of Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Minnesota, Complainants* v. *State of Illinois and The Sanitary District of Chicago, Defendants, States of Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky and Louisiana, Intervening Defendants,*" for the purpose of taking proofs and hearing.

In support of said motion defendants respectfully show that an inspection of the bill of complaint in this

cause and the amended bill of complaint in No. 16, *Wisconsin, et al.* v. *Illinois et al.*, discloses that there are involved in the two cases substantially similar subject matter and substantially similar questions, so that the two cases may be properly presented to the court upon one record and may be heard by the court together; that counsel for all parties to the said cause No. 16, *Wisconsin, et al.* v. *State of Illinois, et al.*, have agreed to such consolidation for the purpose of taking proofs and hearing; that this motion is pursuant to the agreement of counsel representing all parties in said case of *Wisconsin, et al.* v. *Illinois, et al.*, and notice to all counsel has been given.

A draft of the order pursuant to this motion is herewith presented.

Respectfully submitted,

STATE OF ILLINOIS,

By OSCAR E. CARLSTROM,
Attorney General of Illinois.

CYRUS E. DIETZ,
HUGH S. JOHNSON,
Its Solicitors.

THE SANITARY DISTRICT OF CHICAGO,

By HECTOR A. BROUILLET,
MORTON S. CRESSY,
Its Solicitors,
Defendants.