IN THE

MICHIEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1978

No. 83, Original

STATE OF MARYLAND,
STATE OF ILLINOIS,
STATE OF INDIANA,
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS,
STATE OF MICHIGAN,
STATE OF NEW YORK,
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND
PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS,
STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiffs,

V.

STATE OF LOUISIANA.

Defendant.

ANSWER TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER

STEPHEN H. SACHS Attorney General Of Maryland 1400 One South Calvert Building Baltimore, Maryland 21202

WILLIAM J. SCOTT Attorney General of Illinois 500 South Second Springfield, Illinois 62706

THEODORE L. SENDAK Attorney General of Indiana 219 State House Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

FRANCIS X. BELLOTTI Attorney General of Massachusetts One Ashburton Place Boston, Massachusetts 02108 FRANK J. KELLEY
Attorney General of Michigan
525 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, Michigan 48913
ROBERT ABRAMS
Attorney General of New York
#2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047
DENNIS J. ROBERTS II
Attorney General of Rhode Island
Providence County Courthouse
Providence, Rhode Island 02903

BRONSON C. LA FOLLETTE Attorney General of Wisconsin 114E State Capitol Madison, Wisconsin 53702

September 20, 1979

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1978

No. 83, Original

STATE OF MARYLAND,
STATE OF ILLINOIS,
STATE OF INDIANA,
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS,
STATE OF MICHIGAN,
STATE OF NEW YORK,
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND
PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS,
STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA,

Defendant.

ANSWER TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER

The State of Maryland, the State of Illinois, the State of Indiana, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the State of Michigan, the State of New York, the State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations, and the State of Wisconsin ("the plaintiff states"), by their attorneys, in answer to the motion for the appointment of a special master, state that the motion is premature and should be denied for the following reasons:

1. The plaintiff states filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings and supporting brief on September 18, 1979.

- 2. The issues raised by the motion of the plaintiff states are purely legal and thus may be decided appropriately on the basis of the pleadings. See, e.g., Douglas v. Seacoast Products, 431 U.S. 265, 271-72 (1977); Philadelphia v. New Jersey, 430 U.S. 141 (1977); United States v. Louisiana, 363 U.S. 1 (1960) (all indicating that the determination of a supremacy clause issue presents a purely legal question); Boston Stock Exchange v. State Tax Commission, 429 U.S. 318 (1977) (commerce clause violation found even in the absence of a record).
- 3. The urgency of the issues raised by this case; the enormous burden that Louisiana's First Use Tax places on the plaintiff states and their citizens in excess of one-quarter billion dollars annually which is not adequately addressed by the possibility of refunds, FPC v. Tennessee Gas Transmission Company, 371 U.S. 145, 154-55 (1962); and the possible spectre of interim retaliatory state taxation in response to Louisiana's First Use Tax, all require that this Court expeditiously decide this case without the appointment of a special master. See South Carolina v. Katzenbach, 383 U.S. 301, 307 (1966) (dispensing with the appointment of a master in an original jurisdiction case to resolve important questions under the Voting Rights Act of 1965).

WHEREFORE, having fully answered the motion for the appointment of a special master, the plaintiff states urge that the motion is premature and should be denied and that oral argument be set on the plaintiff states' motion for judgment on the pleadings.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN H. SACHS Attorney General David H. Feldman Assistant Attorney General Chief of Litigation DIANA GRIBBON MOTZ ROBERT A. Zarnoch Assistant Attorneys General 1400 One South Calvert Building Baltimore, Maryland 21202 JOHN K. KEANE, JR. People's Counsel of Maryland State Office Building 301 West Preston Street, #900 Baltimore, Maryland 21201 STATE OF ILLINOIS William J. Scott Attorney General 500 South Second Springfield, Illinois 62706 HERCULES F. Bolos Special Assistant Attorney General THOMAS J. SWABOWSKI Assistant Attorney General 228 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60601 STATE OF INDIANA THEODORE L. SENDAK Attorney General Donald P. Bogard Chief Counsel ROBERT B. WENTE Deputy Attorney General 219 State House

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Assistant Attorneys General

COMMONWEALTH OF

MASSACHUSETTS

Francis X. Bellotti

Attorney General

One Ashburton Place Boston, Massachusetts 02108

GARRICK COLE ALAN D. MANDL

STATE OF MARYLAND

STATE OF MICHIGAN FRANK J. KELLEY Attorney General ROBERT A. DERENGOSKI Solicitor General 525 West Ottawa Street Lansing, Michigan 48913 ARTHUR E. D'HONDT Don L. Keskey MARK S. MEADOWS Assistant Attorneys General 1000 Long Boulevard Suite 11 Lansing, Michigan 48910 STATE OF NEW YORK ROBERT ABRAMS Attorney General 2 World Trade Center New York, New York 10047 STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS Dennis J. Roberts II Attorney General Providence County Courthouse Providence. Rhode Island 02903 WILLIAM GRANFIELD BRODY Assistant Attorney General 250 Benefit Street Providence, Rhode Island 02903 STATE OF WISCONSIN Bronson C. La Follette Attorney General CHARLES A. BLECK Assistant Attorney General 114E State Capitol Madison, Wisconsin 53702 STEVEN M. SCHUR Chief Counsel Wisconsin Public Service Commission 4802 Sheboygan Avenue Madison, Wisconsin 53702



