SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARIZONA v. CALIFORNIA ET AL.

No. 8, Orig. Decided February 28, 1966.

ORDER.

ORDERED.

The joint motion to amend Article VI of the Decree in this case entered on March 9, 1964, is hereby granted and Article VI of said decree is hereby amended to read as follows:

VI. Within three years from the date of this decree [March 9, 1964], the States of Arizona, California, and Nevada shall furnish to this Court and to the Secretary of the Interior a list of the present perfected rights, with their claimed priority dates, in waters of the mainstream within each state, respectively, in terms of consumptive use, except those relating to federal establishments. named party to this proceeding may present its claim of present perfected rights or its opposition to the claims of others. The Secretary of the Interior shall supply similar information, within a similar period of time, with respect to the claims of the United States to present perfected rights within each state. If the parties and the Secretary of the Interior are unable at that time to agree on the present perfected rights to the use of mainstream water in each state, and their priority dates, any party may apply to the Court for the determination of such rights by the Court.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE and Mr. JUSTICE FORTAS took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARIZONA BECARRIORNIA DE AL

Vo. S. Orig. - Dreidick Poloning US, 1945

AHCHO.

LUMBARAGE!

The joint motion to amond Attitle VI of the Derma in this case entered on March B. 1964, is hereby granted and Arricle VI of and doorse is hereby amended to read us follows:

I wand 9, 1964, the rates of Arizona, Uniformial and Nevada shall turnish to this Court and to the Feeredary of the Interior a list of the present perfected rights, with their claimed priority dates, in variets of the mainstream within each state, respectively, in terms of consumption des, excipt those relating to federal establishments. Any manest party to this proceeding may present is close of present perfected rights or its opposition to the claims of ottors. The secretary of the Interior shall supply similar information, within a similar period of time, with botted rights caline so the Linted States to present perfected rights caline and another than the parties are the secretary of the Interior are unable at that time to aire on the present perfected rights to the interior are unable at that time to aired on the present perfected rights to the other perior are party what in each state, and their priority dates, any party may apply to the Court.

Tan Craus Justice and Mr. Justice Fourist took no past is the consideration or decision of this motion.