FILED

JOSEPH F. SPANIOL, JR

IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1990

STATE OF DELAWARE,

Plaintiff,

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

V.

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

MOTION OF THE STATES OF NEW JERSEY,
NORTH DAKOTA AND WYOMING FOR
LEAVE TO FILE COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION;
COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION;
AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

BERNARD NASH (Counsel of Record)

GEORGE KAUFMANN ANDREW P. MILLER LESLIE R. COHEN JUDITH E. SCHAEFFER

DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 785-9700 Special Counsel for Applicants for Intervention

July 16, 1990

[State Attorneys General Listed on Inside Cover]

# ATTORNEYS GENERAL OF THE APPLICANTS FOR INTERVENTION

Robert J. Del Tufo, Attorney General State of New Jersey Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex CN080 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (609) 292-4925

Nicholas J. Spaeth, Attorney General State of North Dakota State Capitol 600 E. Boulevard Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota 58505 (701) 224-2210

Joseph B. Meyer, Attorney General State of Wyoming 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 (307) 777-7841

### In The Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1990

No. 111 Original

STATE OF DELAWARE,

Plaintiff,

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

### MOTION OF THE STATES OF NEW JERSEY, NORTH DAKOTA AND WYOMING FOR LEAVE TO FILE COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

Pursuant to Rule 9 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, the States of New Jersey, North Dakota and Wyoming (hereinafter the "States"), sovereign states of the United States of America, by and through their Attorneys General and Special Counsel, move this Court for an order permitting them to intervene as Plaintiffs in the above-entitled case and permitting their proposed Complaint in Intervention, attached hereto, to be filed. In support of this motion, and as more fully set forth in the States' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention, the States allege as follows:

- 1. The States incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Motion of the States of Arkansas, Florida, Iowa, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire and West Virginia (hereinafter "Arkansas, et al.") for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention.
- 2. In Litigation Management Order No. 1, dated as of October 18, 1989, the Special Master established a discovery schedule and a timetable for the filing of Motions for Leave to Intervene by prospective intervenors. He stated that "[p]arties not meeting this timetable will be required, absent compelling reasons, to fit in to ongoing discovery and motions without disruption of that scheduling track" (¶8).
- 3. In Discovery Order No. 5, dated March 16, 1990, the Special Master modified and extended the discovery schedule.
- 4. The States' Complaint in Intervention is identical to the Complaint in Intervention filed by the States of Alabama, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Montana, Nevada, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah and Washington, and the Commonwealths of Kentucky and Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Alabama, et al.") and the Complaint in Intervention filed by Arkansas, et al. As referenced in the attached proposed Complaint in Intervention, the States seek a determination of their rights to certain unclaimed intangible personal property, as further described in Paragraphs 11 through 16 of the Motion of Arkansas, et al. for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention, which are adopted and incorporated herein.
- 5. The States' interests are not adequately represented by the original or intervening parties for the same reasons set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Motion of Arkansas, et al. for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention.
- 6. Intervention by the States will not delay the progress of this case, since the States are represented by the

same counsel representing Alabama, et al. and Arkansas, et al.; they seek leave to file a Complaint in Intervention identical to that filed by Alabama, et al. and by Arkansas, et al.; they are prepared to comply with the schedule specified in Litigation Management Order No. 1, as modified and extended by Discovery Order No. 5; and they agree to "fit in to ongoing discovery and motions" without disruption of that schedule.

7. For the foregoing reasons, the States are entitled to intervene as a matter of right pursuant to Rule 24 (a) (2), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### II.

8. Alternatively, the States adopt and incorporate herein Paragraphs 20 through 25 of the Motion of Arkansas, et al. for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention.

#### III.

- 9. The States also adopt and incorporate herein Paragraphs 26 and 27 of the Motion of Arkansas, *et al.* for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention.
- 10. Wherefore, the States pray that their Motion for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNARD NASH
(Counsel of Record)
GEORGE KAUFMANN
ANDREW P. MILLER
LESLIE R. COHEN
JUDITH E. SCHAEFFER
DICKSTEIN SWADDO &

DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 785-9700
Special Counsel for
Applicants for Intervention

Robert J. Del Tufo, Attorney General State of New Jersey Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex CN080 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (609) 292-4925

Nicholas J. Spaeth, Attorney General State of North Dakota State Capitol 600 E. Boulevard Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota 58505 (701) 224-2210

Joseph B. Meyer, Attorney General State of Wyoming 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 (307) 777-7841

July 16, 1990

# Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1990

No. 111 Original

STATE OF DELAWARE,

Plaintiff,

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

STATES OF NEW JERSEY, NORTH DAKOTA AND WYOMING, Plaintiffs in Intervention,

v.

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

# COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION OF THE STATES OF NEW JERSEY, NORTH DAKOTA AND WYOMING

The States of New Jersey, North Dakota and Wyoming (hereinafter the "States"), Plaintiffs in Intervention, by and through their Attorneys General and Special Counsel, file this Complaint in Intervention and allege as follows:

1. The States incorporate by reference as though fully recited herein Paragraphs 1 through 8 and the Prayer for Relief of the Complaint in Intervention of the States of Arkansas, Florida, Iowa, Mississippi, Missouri, New

Hampshire and West Virginia, save for substituting their names as Plaintiffs in Intervention in Paragraph 4.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNARD NASH
(Counsel of Record)
GEORGE KAUFMANN
ANDREW P. MILLER
LESLIE R. COHEN
JUDITH E. SCHAEFFER
DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN
2101 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 785-9700
Special Counsel for
Applicants for Intervention

Robert J. Del Tufo, Attorney General State of New Jersey Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex CN080 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (609) 292-4925

Nicholas J. Spaeth, Attorney General State of North Dakota State Capitol 600 E. Boulevard Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota 58505 (701) 224-2210

Joseph B. Meyer, Attorney General State of Wyoming 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 (307) 777-7841

July 16, 1990

### In The Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1990

No. 111 Original

STATE OF DELAWARE,

Plaintiff,

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Defendant.

# BRIEF OF THE STATES OF NEW JERSEY, NORTH DAKOTA AND WYOMING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

The States of New Jersey, North Dakota and Wyoming (hereinafter the "States"), by and through their Attorneys General and Special Counsel, hereby adopt and incorporate by reference as though fully recited herein, in support of their Motion for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention, the Brief filed with this Court on November 17, 1989, by the States of Arkansas, Florida, Iowa, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire and West Virginia (hereinafter "Arkansas, et al.") in Support of their Motion for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention.

In Litigation Management Order No. 1, dated as of October 18, 1989, the Special Master established a discovery schedule and a timetable for the filing of Motions for Leave to Intervene by prospective intervenors. He stated that "[p]arties not meeting this timetable will be required, absent compelling reasons, to fit in to ongoing

discovery and motions without disruption of that scheduling track" (¶8). In Discovery Order No. 5, dated March 16, 1990, the Special Master modified and extended the discovery schedule.

The States' motion should be granted for the reasons set forth in the Brief of Arkansas, et al. Intervention by the States will not delay the progress of this case. since the States are represented by the same counsel representing the States of Alabama, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Montana, Nevada, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah and Washington, and the Commonwealths of Kentucky and Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Alabama, et al.") and Arkansas, et al.; they seek leave to file a Complaint in Intervention identical to that filed by Alabama, et al. and by Arkansas, et al.; they are prepared to comply with the schedule specified in Litigation Management Order No. 1, as modified and extended by Discovery Order No. 5; and they agree to "fit in to ongoing discovery and motions" without disruption of that schedule.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, the Motion of the States of New Jersey, North Dakota and Wyoming for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

BERNARD NASH

(Counsel of Record) GEORGE KAUFMANN ANDREW P. MILLER LESLIE R. COHEN JUDITH E. SCHAEFFER DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 785-9700 Special Counsel for

Applicants for Intervention

Robert J. Del Tufo, Attorney General State of New Jersey Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex CN080 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (609) 292-4925

Nicholas J. Spaeth, Attorney General State of North Dakota State Capitol 600 E. Boulevard Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota 58505 (701) 224-2210

Joseph B. Meyer, Attorney General State of Wyoming 123 State Capitol Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 (307) 777-7841

July 16, 1990

		÷	







