Supreme Court, U.S. FILED

MAY 3 0 1978

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States RODAK, JR., CLERK

October Term 1976 No. 8, Original of October Term 1965

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, COACHELLA VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, and COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO,

Defendants,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and STATE OF NEVADA,

Interveners,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF UTAH,

Impleaded Defendants.

Joint Motion for the Entry of a Supplemental Decree; Proposed Supplemental Decree; and Memorandum in Support of Proposed Supplemental Decree

(See list of attorneys on next page)

May 26, 1978

For the United States For the Coachella Valley County of America Water District WADE H. McCREE, JR. MAURICE C. SHERRILL General Counsel Solicitor General Department of Justice REDWINE & SHERRILL Washington, D.C. 20530 Suite 1020 (202) 739-2201 3737 Main Street Riverside, California 92501 For the State of Arizona (714) 684-2520 RALPH E. HUNSAKER Chief Counsel For the Imperial Irrigation Arizona Water Commission District 222 North Central Avenue R. L. KNOX, JR. Suite 800 Chief Counsel Phoenix, Arizona 85004 HORTON, KNOX, CARTER (602) 263-3888 & FOOTE For the State of California Suite 101, Law Building EVELLE J. YOUNGER 895 Broadway El Centro, California 92243 Attorney General (714) 352-2821 Tishman Building 3580 Wilshire Boulevard For Metropolitan Water District Los Angeles, California 90010 of Southern California (213) 736-2304 ROBERT P. WILL SANFORD N. GRUSKIN General Counsel Chief Assistant Attorney RICHARD PAUL GERBER General Deputy General Counsel R. H. CONNETT P.O. Box 54153 N. GREGORY TAYLOR Terminal Annex Assistant Attorneys General Los Angeles, California 90054 EDWIN J. DUBIEL (213) 626-4282 DOUGLAS B. NOBLE For the City of Los Angeles EMIL STIPANOVICH, JR. ANITA E. RUUD **BURT PINES** Deputy Attorneys General City Attorney EDWARD C. FARRELL Tishman Building 3580 Wilshire Boulevard Chief Assistant City Attorney Los Angeles, California 90010 for Water and Power (213) 736-2304 KENNETH W. DOWNEY Assistant City Attorney For Palo Verde Irrigation District GILBERT W. LEE ROY H. MANN Deputy City Attorney Counsel Department of Water & Power CLAYSON, ROTHROCK 111 North Hope Street & MANN P.O. Box 111 601 South Main Street Los Angeles, California 90051 P.O. Box 670 (213) 481-3296 Corona, California 91720

(714) 737-1910

For the City of San Diego
JOHN W. WITT
City Attorney
C. M. FITZPATRICK
Senior Chief Deputy
City Attorney
202 C Street
Mail Station 3A
San Diego, California 92101

For the County of San Diego DONALD L. CLARK County Counsel JOSEPH KASE, JR. Assistant County Counsel LLOYD M. HARMON, JR.

(714) 236-6220

Deputy County Counsel 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355 San Diego, California 92101 (714) 236-3991 For the State of Nevada
ROBERT LIST
Attorney General
LYLE RIVERA
Chief Deputy Attorney General
BRIAN MC KAY
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Mail Room Complex
Las Vegas, Nevada 89158
(702) 384-2751

THOMAS G. NELSON
Special Counsel to State of
Nevada, Division of Colorado
River Resources

PARRY, ROBERTSON, DALY & LARSON P.O. Box 525 Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 733-3722

SUBJECT INDEX

Pa	age
Joint Motion for the Entry of a Supplemental Decree	1
Proposed Supplemental Decree	3
Ţ	
Arizona	7
A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights	7
B. Water Projects Present Perfected Rights	7
C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
California	12
A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights	
B. Water Districts and Projects Present Perfected Rights	12
C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights	13
III	
Nevada	20
A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights	20
Memorandum in Support of the Joint Motion for the Entry of the Proposed Supplemental Decree	21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases	age
State of Arizona v. State of California, et al., 373 U.S. 546 (1963)	21
State of Arizona v. State of California, et al., 376 U.S. 340 (1964)3,	21
State of Arizona v. State of California, et al., 383 U.S. 268 (1966)4, 21,	22
Miscellaneous	
Executive Order 5105 (May 3, 1929)	20
Regulation	
Code of Federal Regulations, Title 3, 1964 Cumulative Pocket Supplement, p. 276	20

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term 1976
No. 8, Original of
October Term 1965

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, COACHELLA VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, and COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO,

Defendants,

United States of America and State of Nevada,

Interveners,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF UTAH,

Impleaded Defendants.

Joint Motion for the Entry of a Supplemental Decree

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Intervener, STATE OF ARIZONA, Complainant, the California Defendants (STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, COACHELLA VALLEY

COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) and STATE OF NEVADA, Intervener, respectfully move this Court to enter the Proposed Supplemental Decree submitted herewith, to which the above parties have agreed.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term 1976 No. 8, Original of October Term 1965

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, COACHELLA VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, and COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO,

Defendants,

United States of America and State of Nevada,

Interveners,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF UTAH,

Impleaded Defendants.

Proposed Supplemental Decree

The United States of America, Intervener, State of Arizona, Complainant, the California Defendants (State of California, Palo Verde Irrigation District, Imperial Irrigation District, Coachella Valley County Water District, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, County of San Diego), and State of Nevada, Intervener, pursuant to Article VI of the Decree entered in the case on March 9, 1964, at 376 U.S. 340, and amended

on February 28, 1966, at 383 U.S. 268, have agreed to the present perfected rights to the use of mainstream water in each State and their priority dates as set forth herein. Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that said present perfected rights in each State and their priority dates are determined to be as set forth below, subject to the following:

- (1) The following listed present perfected rights relate to the quantity of water which may be used by each claimant and is not intended to limit or redefine the type of use otherwise set forth in said Decree.
- (2) This determination shall in no way affect future adjustments resulting from determinations relating to settlement of Indian reservation boundaries referred to in Article II(D) (5) of said Decree.
- (3) Article IX of said Decree is not affected by this list of present perfected rights.
- (4) Any water right listed herein may only be exercised for beneficial uses.
- (5) In the event of a determination of insufficient mainstream water to satisfy present perfected rights pursuant to Article II(B) (3) of said Decree, the Secretary of the Interior shall, before providing for the satisfaction of any of the other present perfected rights except for those listed herein as "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PERFECTED RIGHTS" (rights numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) in the order of their priority dates without regard to State lines, first provide for the satisfaction in full of all rights of the

Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Cocopah Indian Reservation, Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Colorado River Indian Reservation, and the Fort Moiave Indian Reservation as set forth in Article II(D) (1)-(5) of said Decree, provided that the quantities fixed in paragraphs (1) through (5) of Article II(D) of said Decree shall continue to be subject to appropriate adjustment by agreement or decree of this Court in the event that the boundaries of the respective reservations are finally determined. Additional present perfected rights so adjudicated by such adjustment shall be in annual quantities not to exceed the quantities of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of the practicably irrigable acres which are included within any area determined to be within a reservation by such final determination of a boundary and for the satisfaction of related uses. The quantities of diversions are to be computed by determining net practicably irrigable acres within each additional area using the methods set forth by the Special Master in this case in his Report to this Court dated December 5, 1960, and by applying the unit diversion quantities thereto, as listed below:

INDIAN RESERVATION	UNIT DIVERSION QUANTITY ACRE-FEET PER IRRIGABLE ACRE
Cocopah (Arizona)	6.37
Colorado River (Californi	a) 6.67
Chemehuevi (California)	5.97
Ft. Mojave (California)	6.46

The foregoing reference to a quantity of water necessary to supply consumptive use required for irrigation, and as that provision is included within paragraphs (1) through (5) of Article II(D) of said Decree, shall constitute the means of determining quantity of adjudicated water rights but shall not constitute a restriction of the usage of them to irrigation or other agricultural application. If all or part of the adjudicated water rights of any of the five Indian Reservations is used other than for irrigation or other agricultural application, the total consumptive use, as that term is defined in Article I(A) of said Decree, for said Reservation shall not exceed the consumptive use that would have resulted if the diversions listed in subparagraph (i) of paragraphs (1) through (5) of Article II(D) of said Decree and the equivalent portions of any supplement thereto had been used for irrigation of the number of acres specified for that Reservation in said paragraphs and supplement and for the satisfaction of related uses. Effect shall be given to this paragraph notwithstanding the priority dates of the present perfected rights as listed below. However, nothing in this paragraph (5) shall affect the order in which such rights listed below "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PERFECTED RIGHTS" (numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) shall be satisfied. Furthermore, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to determine the order of satisfying any other Indian water rights claims not herein specified.

I

ARIZONA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights

The federal establishments named in Article II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (2), (4) and (5), of the Decree entered March 9, 1964 in this case, such rights having been decreed in Article II:

Defined Area of Land		Annual Diversions Area of Land (acre-feet)		Priority Date		
1)	Cocopah Indian Reservation	2,744	431	Sept.	27,	1917
2)	Colorado River Indian	358,400	53,768	Mar.	3,	1865
	Reservation	252,016	37,808	Nov.	22,	1873
	•	51,986	7,799	Nov.	16,	1874
3)	Fort Mohave Indian	27,969	4,327			1890
	Reservation	68,447	10,589	Feb.		1911

B. Water Projects Present Perfected Rights

- (4) The Valley Division, Yuma Project in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 254,200 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 43,562 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1901.
- (5) The Yuma Auxiliary Project, Unit B in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 6,800 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 1,225 acres and for the satisfaction of related

¹The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

- uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.
- (6) The North Gila Valley Unit, Yuma Mesa Division, Gila Project in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 24,500 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 4,030 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.

C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights

1. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed acre-feet of diversion from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
7) 160 acres in Lots 21, 24, and 25, Sec. 29 and Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, and the SW 1/4 of the SE1/4, Sec. 30, T.16S., R.22E., San Bernardino Base and Meridian, Yuma	960	1915
County, Arizona (Powers) ²		

²The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the Claimants identified with a parcel in Arizona's 1967 list submitted to this Court.

	Annual Diversions	Priority
Defined Area of Land	(acre-feet)	_ Date
8) Lots 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 22 and S1/2 of SW1/4, Sec. 30, T.16S., R.22E., San Bernardino Base and Meridian, Yuma County, Arizona. (United States) ³	1,140	1915
9) 60 acres within Lot 2, Sec. 15 and Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 22, T.10N., R.19W, G&SRBM. (Graham) ²	360	1910
10) 180 acres within the N1/2 of the S1/2 and the S1/2 of the N1/2 of Sec. 13 and the SW1/4 of the NE1/4 of Sec. 14, T.18N., R.22W., G&SRBM. (Hulet) ² 11)	1,080	1902
45 acres within the NE1/4 of the SW1/4,) the SW1/4 of the SW1/4 and the SE1/4) of the SW1/4 of Sec. 11, T.18N., R.22W.,) G&SRBM. 80 acres within the N1/2 of the SW1/4 of) Sec. 11, T.18N., R.22W., G&SRBM. 10 acres within the NW1/4 of the NE1/4) of the NE1/4 of Sec. 15, T.18N., R.22W.,) G&SRBM. 40 acres within the SE1/4 of the SE1/4) of Sec. 15, T.18N., R.22W., G&SRBM. (Hurschler) ²	1,050	1902
12) 40 acres within Sec. 13, T.17N., R.22W.,	240	1902
G&SRBM. (Miller) ² 13) 120 acres within Sec. 27, T.18N., R.21W.,) G&SRBM. 15 acres within the NW1/4 of the NW) 1/4, Sec. 23, T.18N., R.22W., G&SRBM.) (McKellips and Granite Reef Farms) ⁴	810	1902

³Included as a part of the Powers' claim in Arizona's 1967 list submitted to this Court. Subsequently, the United States and Powers agreed to a Stipulation of Settlement on land ownership whereby title to this property was quieted in favor of the United States.

⁴The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are the names of claimants, added since the 1967 list, upon whose water use these present perfected rights are predicated.

Defined Area of Land 14)	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
180 acres within the NW1/4 of the NE1/4, the SW1/4 of the NE1/4, the NE1/4 of the SW1/4, the NW1/4 of the SE1/4, the NE1/4 of the SE1/4, and the SW1/4 of the SE1/4, and the SE1/4, of the SE1/4, Sec. 31, T.18N., R.21W., G&SRBM. (Sherrill & Lafollette) ⁴	1,080	1902
53.89 acres as follows: Beginning at a point 995.1 feet easterly of the NW corner of the NE1/4 of Sec. 10, T.8S., R.22W., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian; on the northerly boundary of the said NE1/4, which is the true point of beginning, then in a southerly direction to a point on the southerly boundary of the said NE1/4 which is 991.2 feet E. of the SW corner of said NE1/4 thence easterly along the S. line of the NE1/4, a distance of 807.3 feet to a point, thence N. 0°7' W., 768.8 feet to a point, thence E. 124.0 feet to a point, thence northerly 0°14' W., 1,067.6 feet to a point, thence E. 130 feet to a point, thence northerly 63°10' W., 506.0 feet to a point, thence northerly 90°15' W., 562.9 feet to a point on the northerly boundary of the said NE1/4, thence easterly along the said northerly boundary of the said NE1/4, thence easterly along the said northerly boundary of the said NE1/4, 116.6 feet to the true point of the beginning containing 53.89 acres. All as more particularly described and set forth in that survey executed by Thomas A. Yowell, Land Surveyor on June 24, 1969. (Molina) ⁴	318	1928
60 acres within the NW1/4 of the NW1/4) and the north half of the SW1/4 of the) NW1/4 of Sec. 14, T.8S., R.22W.,) G&SRBM. 70 acres within the S1/2 of the SW1/4 of) the SW1/4, and the W1/2 of the SW1/4,) Sec. 14, T.8S., R.22W., G&SRBM. (Sturges) ⁴	780	1925

Annual

Defined Area of Land	Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
17) ***		
120 acres within the N1/2 NE1/4, NE1/4	720	1912
NW1/4, Section 23, T.18N., R.22W., G&		
SRBM (Zozaya) ⁴		
18)	0.40	4000
40 acres in the W1/2 of the NE1/4 of Sec-	960	1902
tion 30, and 60 acres in the W1/2 of the		
SE1/4 of Section 30, and 60 acres in the	• •	
E1/2 of the NW1/4 of Section 31, comprising a total of 160 acres all in Township		
18 North, Range 21 West of the G&SRBM.		
(Swan) ⁴		
19)		
7 acres in the East 300 feet of the W1/2	42	1900
of Lot 1 (Lot 1, being the SE1/4 SE1/4,		
40 acres more or less), Section 28, Town-		. 3.57
ship 16 South, Range 22 East, San Bernar-		
dino Meridian, lying North of U.S. Bureau		* 7
of Reclamation levee right of way. EX-	©.	
CEPT that portion conveyed to the United		
States of America by instrument recorded in Docket 417, page 150 EXCEPTING any		
portion of the East 300 feet of W1/2 of	. **	
Lot 1 within the natural bed of the Colo-		
rado River below the line of ordinary high	•	
water and also EXCEPTING any artificial		
accretions waterward of said line of or-	to the second	
dinary high water, all of which comprises		:
approximately seven (7) acres (Milton and	•	
Jean Phillips) ⁴		* .

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land		Annual Diversions d Area of Land (acre-feet)		Priority Date	
20)	City of Parker ²	630	400	1905	
21)	City of Yuma ²	2,333	1,478	1893	

II CALIFORNIA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights

The federal establishments named in Article II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (1), (3), (4), and (5) of the Decree entered March 9, 1964 in this case such rights having been decreed by Article II:

Annual Diversions (acre-feet) ⁵	Net Acres ⁵	Priority Date
11,340	1,900	Feb. 2, 1907
		•
51,616	7,743	Jan. 9, 1884
		•
10,745	1,612	Nov. 22, 1873
40,241	6,037	Nov. 16, 1874
3,760	564	May 15, 1876
		•
·		
13,698	2,119	Sep. 18, 1890
	Diversions (acre-feet) ⁵ 11,340 51,616 10,745 40,241 3,760	Diversions (acre-feet) ⁵ Net Acres ⁵ 11,340 1,900 51,616 7,743 10,745 1,612 40,241 6,037 3,760 564

B. Water Districts and Projects Present Perfected Rights

26)

The Palo Verde Irrigation District in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 219,780 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 33,604 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, which-

⁵The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

ever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1877.

27)

The Imperial Irrigation District in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 2,600,000 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 424,145 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1901.

28)

The Reservation Division, Yuma Project, California (non-Indian portion) in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 38,270 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 6,294 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.

C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights

1. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the bound-

aries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
29)	·	,—————
130 acres within Lots 1, 2, and 3, SE1/4 of NE1/4 of Section 27, T.16S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Wavers) ⁶	780	1856
30)	. *	
40 acres within W1/2, W1/2 of E1/2 of Section 1, T.9N., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Stephenson) ⁶	240	1923
31) 20 acres within Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 19, T.13S., R.23E., and Lots 2, 3, and 4 of Sec. 24, T.13S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Mendivil) ⁶	120	1893
32) 30 acres within NW1/4 of SE1/4, S1/2 of SE1/4, Sec. 24, and NW1/4 of NE1/4, Sec. 25, all in T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Grannis) ⁶	180	1928
33) 25 acres within Lot 6, Sec. 5; and Lots 1 and 2, SW1/4 of NE1/4, and NE1/4 of SE1/4 of Sec. 8, and Lots 1 & 2 of Sec. 9, all in T.13S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Morgan) ⁶ 34)	150	1913
18 acres within E1/2 of NW1/4 and W1/2 of NE1/4 of Sec. 14, T.10S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Milpitas) ⁶ 35)	108	1918
10 acres within N1/2 of NE1/4, SE1/4 of NE1/4, and NE1/4 of SE1/4, Sec. 30, T.9N., R.23E., S.B.B. & M. (Simons) ⁶	60	1889

⁶The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the claimant identified with a parcel in California's 1967 list submitted to this Court.

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
36)		
16 acres within E1/2 of NW1/4 and N1/2 of SW1/4, Sec. 12, T.9N., R.22E., S.B.B.	96	1921
& M.		
(Colo. R. Sportsmen's League) ⁶		
37)		4044
11.5 acres within E1/2 of NW1/4, Sec. 1,	69	1914
T.10S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M.		
(Milpitas) ⁶		
38)	2.2	
11 acres within S1/2 of SW1/4, Sec. 12,	66	1921
T.9N., R.22E., S.B.B. & M.		
(Andrade) ⁶		
39)		
6 acres within Lots 2, 3, and 7 and NE1/4	36	1904
of SW1/4, Sec. 19, T.9N., R.23E., S.B.B.		
& M.		
(Reynolds) ⁶		
40)		
10 acres within N1/2 of NE1/4, SE1/4 of	60	1905
NE1/4 and NE1/4 of SE1/4, Sec. 24,		
T.9N., R.22E., S.B.B. & M.		
(Cooper) ⁶		
41)		
20 acres within SW1/4 of SW1/4, (Lot 8)	120	1925
Sec. 19, T.9N., R.23E., S.B.B. & M.		
(Chagnon) ⁷		
42)		
20 acres within NE1/4 of SW1/4, N1/2 of	120	1915
SE1/4, SE1/4 of SE1/4, Sec. 14, T.9S.,		
R.21E., S.B.B. & M.		
(Lawrence) ⁷		

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i)

⁷The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are the names of the homesteaders upon whose water use these present perfected rights, added since the 1967 list submitted to this Court, are predicated.

or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Annual C Diversions	Annual Consumptive Use	Priority
Defined Area of Land	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	Date
43) City of Needles ⁶ 44)	1,500	950	1885
Portions of: Secs. 5, 6, 7 & 8, T.7N., R.24E.; Sec. 1, T.7N., R.23E.; Secs. 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 22, 23, 25, 26, 35, & 36, T.8N., R.23E.; Secs. 19, 29, 30, 32 & 33, T.9N., R.23E., S.B.B. & M. (Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co.) ⁶ 45)	1,260	273	1896
Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & SW1/4 NW 1/4 of Sec. 5, T.13S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Conger) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1921
Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 of Sec. 32, T.11S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (G. Draper) ⁷ 47)	1.0	0.6	1923
Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and SE1/4 SW1/4 of Sec. 20, T.11S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (McDonough) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1919
SW1/4 of Sec. 25, T.8S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Faubion) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1925
W1/2 NW1/4 of Sec. 12, T.9N., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Dudley) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1922
50) N1/2 SE1/4 and Lots 1 and 2 of Sec. 13, T.8S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Douglas) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1916
51) N1/2 SW1/4, NW1/4 SE1/4, Lots 6 and 7, Sec. 5, T.9S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Beauchamp) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1924
52) NE1/4 SE1/4, SE1/4 NE1/4, and Lot 1, Sec. 26, T.8S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Clark) ⁷		0.6	1916

TO THE TOTAL	Diversions		Priority
Defined Area of Land	(acre-leet)	(acre-feet)	Date
53) N1/2 SW1/4, NW1/4 SE1/4, SW1/4 NE1/4, Sec. 13, T.9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Lawrence) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1915
54) N1/2 NE1/4, E1/2 NW1/4, Sec. 13, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (J. Graham) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1914
55) SE1/4, Sec. 1, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Geiger) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1910
56) Fractional W1/2 of SW1/4 (Lot 6) Sec. 6, T.9S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Schneider) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1917
57) Lot 1, Sec. 15; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 14; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 23; all in T.13S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Martinez) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1895
58) NE1/4, Sec. 22, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Earle) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1925
59) NE1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 22, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Diehl) ⁷ 60)	1.0	0.6	1928
N1/2 NW1/4, N1/2 NE1/4, Sec. 23, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Reid) ⁷ 61)	1.0	0.6	1912
W1/2 SW1/4, Sec. 23, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Graham) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1916
S1/2 NW1/4, NE1/4 SW1/4, SW1/4 NE1/4, Sec. 23, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Cate) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1919
63) SE1/4 NE1/4, N1/2 SE1/4, SE1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 23, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (McGee) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1924
64) SW1/4 SE1/4, SE1/4 SW1/4, Sec. 23, NE1/4 NW1/4, NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec. 26; all in T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1924

See A A See e∆	Annual Consumptive			
Defined Area of Land	Diversions (acre-feet) (a	Use acre-feet)	Priority Date	
65) W1/2 SE1/4, SE1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 26, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Randolph) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1926	
66) E1/2 NE1/4, SW1/4 NE1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4, Sec. 26, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1928	
67) S1/2 SW1/4, Sec. 13, N1/2 NW1/4, Sec. 24; all in T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Keefe) ⁷ 68)	1.0	0.6	1926	
SE1/4 NW1/4, NW1/4 SE1/4, Lots 2, 3 & 4, Sec. 25, T.13S., R.23E., S.B.B. & M. (C. Ferguson) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1903	
69) Lots 4 & 7, Sec. 6; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 7; all in T.14S., R.24E., S.B.B. & M. (W. Ferguson) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1903	
70) SW1/4 SE1/4, Lots 2, 3, and 4, Sec. 24, T.12S., R.21E., Lot 2, Sec. 19, T.12S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Vaulin) ⁷ 71)	1.0	0.6	1920	
Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Sec. 25, T.12S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Salisbury) ⁷ 72)	1.0	0.6	1920	
Lots 2, 3, SE1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 15, NE1/4 NE1/4, Sec. 22; all in T.13S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Hadlock) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1924	
73) SW1/4 NE1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4, and Lots 7 & 8, Sec. 6, T.9S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Streeter) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1903	
74) Lot 4, Sec. 5; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 7; Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 8; Lot 1, Sec. 18; all in T.12S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (J. Draper) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1903	

Defined Area of Land	Annual C Diversions (acre-feet)	Annual onsumptive Use (acre-feet)	Priority Date
75) SW1/4 NW1/4, Sec. 5; SE1/4 NE1/4 and Lot 9, Sec. 6; all in T.9S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Fitz) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1912
76) NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec. 26; Lots 2 & 3, W1/2 SE1/4, Sec. 23; all in T.8S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Williams) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1909
77) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5, Sec. 25, T.8S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Estrada) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1928
78) S1/2 NW1/4, Lot 1, frac. NE1/4 SW1/4, Sec. 25, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Whittle) ⁷	1.0	0.6	1925
79) N1/2 NW1/4, Sec. 25; S1/2 SW1/4, Sec. 24; all in T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Corington)	•	0.6	1928
80) S1/2 NW1/4, N1/2 SW1/4, Sec. 24, T.9S., R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Tolliver) ⁷	. 1.0	0.6	1928

III NEVADA.

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights.

The federal establishments named in Article II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (5) and (6) of the Decree entered on March 9, 1964 in this case, such rights having been decreed by Article II:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Net Acres	Priority Date	
81) Fort Mohave Indian Reservation	12,5348	1,9398	Sept. 18, 1890	
82) Lake Mead National Recreation Area (The Over Area of Lake Mead N.R provided in Executive Order 5105)	ton	3009	May 3, 1929 ¹⁰	

⁸The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

⁹Refers to acre-feet of annual consumptive use, not to net acres.

¹⁰Article II(D)(6) of said Decree specifies a priority date of March 3, 1929. Executive Order 5105 is dated May 3, 1929, (see C.F.R. 1964 Cumulative Pocket Supplement, page 276, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Special Master's Report in this case, pages 294-295).

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term 1976 No. 8, Original of October Term 1965

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Complainant,

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, COACHELLA VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, and COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO,

Defendants,

United States of America and State of Nevada,

Interveners,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF UTAH,

Impleaded Defendants.

Memorandum in Support of the Joint Motion for the Entry of the Proposed Supplemental Decree

This action was commenced in 1952, the opinion in the case was issued in 1963, 373 U.S. 546, and the Decree was entered in 1964, 376 U.S. 340, and amended on February 28, 1966, 383 U.S. 268. Article VI of the Decree, as amended, provides (383 U.S. at 268-269):

Within three years from the date of this decree [March 9, 1964], the States of Arizona, Cali-

fornia, and Nevada shall furnish to this Court and to the Secretary of the Interior a list of the present perfected rights, with their claimed priority dates, in waters of the mainstream within each state, respectively, in terms of consumptive use, except those relating to federal establishments. Any named party to this proceeding may present its claim of present perfected rights or its opposition to the claims of others. The Secretary of the Interior shall supply similar information, within a similar period of time, with respect to the claims of the United States to present perfected rights within each state. If the parties and the Secretary of the Interior are unable at that time to agree on the present perfected rights to the use of mainstream water in each state, and their priority dates, any party may apply to the Court for the determination of such rights by the Court.

Pursuant to Article VI, in March of 1967, the State of Arizona, the State of California, and the Secretary of the Interior submitted the lists required by that Article to the Court. The parties were unable to reach agreement, although negotiations continued for nearly 10 years. Accordingly, on May 2, 1977, Arizona, Nevada, California, and seven California public agencies² (the State Parties) filed a Joint Motion for a Determination of Present Perfected Rights and the Entry of a Supplemental Decree pursuant to Article

¹The State of Nevada, Intervener, asserted no present perfected rights.

²Palo Verde Irrigation District, Imperial Irrigation District, Coachella Valley County Water District, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, and County of San Diego.

VI, accompanied by a Proposed Supplemental Decree reach agreement, although negotiations ontinued for setting forth the present perfected rights claimed by the parties, as well as a provision according priority to the present perfected rights of the Cocopah, Colorado River, Chemehuevi, Quechan (Fort Yuma), and Fort Mojave Tribes.³ In November 1977, the United States filed its Response to the Joint Motion urging the Court to enter the Proposed Supplemental Decree, provided that it were amended in several respects. The Court requested that the State Parties reply to the United States' Response, and negotiations continued.

The United States and the State Parties⁴ have now reached agreement on a Proposed Supplemental Decree which includes a provision giving the Indians present perfected rights priority and lists the present perfected rights with their claimed priority dates, in waters of the mainstream within each state, respectively, in terms of consumptive use. Accordingly, no further issues remain to be determined pursuant to Article VI. Nevertheless, in order to avoid future controversies, the moving parties apply to the Court for the entry of the Proposed Supplemental Decree submitted herewith, which embodies their agreement.

Dated: May 26, 1978.

Respectfully submitted,

⁸We note that some of these tribes have moved to intervene and it would, accordingly, seem right to defer action on the present Joint Motion until the question of any such intervention is resolved.

⁴The States of New Mexico and Utah, the impleaded defendants, claim no present perfected rights and are not parties to this Motion.

United States of America, WADE H. McCree, Jr., Solicitor General,

By WADE H. McCree, Jr.,

State of Arizona,

RALPH E. HUNSAKER,

Chief Counsel,

Arizona Water Commission,

By RALPH E. HUNSAKER,

State of California,

Evelle J. Younger,
Attorney General,
Sanford N. Gruskin,
Chief Assistant Attorney General,

R. H. CONNETT,
N. GREGORY TAYLOR,
Assistant Attorneys General,

Edwin J. Dubiel,
Douglas B. Noble,
Emil Stipanovich, Jr.,
Anita E. Ruud,
Deputy Attorneys General,

By Douglas B. Noble,

Palo Verde Irrigation District, Roy H. Mann, Counsel, CLAYSON, ROTHROCK & MANN,
By Roy H. Mann,
Coachella Valley County Water District, MAURICE C. SHERRILL, General Counsel, REDWINE & SHERRILL,
By Maurice C. Sherrill,
Imperial Irrigation District, R. L. Knox, Jr., Chief Counsel, HORTON, Knox, CARTER & FOOTE,
By R. L. Knox, Jr.,

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California,

ROBERT P. WILL, General Counsel,

RICHARD PAUL GERBER, Deputy General Counsel,

By ROBERT P. WILL,

City of Los Angeles,
BURT PINES,
City Attorney,

Edward C. Farrell, Chief Assistant City Attorney for Water and Power,

Kenneth W. Downey, Assistant City Attorney,

GILBERT W. LEE,

Deputy City Attorney,

By GILBERT W. LEE,

City of San Diego, JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney,

> C. M. FITZPATRICK, Senior Chief Deputy City Attorney,

By John W. Witt,

County of San Diego,

Donald L. Clark,

County Counsel,

Joseph Kase, Jr.,

Assistant County Counsel,

Lloyd M. Harmon, Jr.,

Deputy County Counsel,

By Joseph Kase, Jr.,

State of Nevada,
ROBERT LIST,
Attorney General,
LYLE RIVERA,
Chief Deputy Attorney General,
BRIAN MCKAY,
Deputy Attorney General,

By Lyle Rivera.

naman and manaman and manaman and selection of the select

Anne de la companya d

Mark Arthur Colonia Colonia

100



Service of the thereof is hereby of May, A.D. 19	admitte		