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| Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basin <br> Draft Technical Report. Have you seen this before? <br> A. I have, yes. <br> Q. Okay. And if you turn to the second page, you will see a list of main authors and contributing authors. Do you see that? <br> A. I see that, yes. <br> Q. We have talked about Dr. Georgakakos. We have talked about Dr. Kistenmacher. Do you see Dr. C. J. Chen who is referred to in the contributing authors? Do you recognize any of the other names under contributing authors? <br> A. I know the first one. I know Dr. Yao. <br> Q. You know Dr. Yao? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Okay. Do you have respect for the work of these individuals? <br> A. Well, I have respect for these individuals as professionals. <br> Q. On the acknowledgments page, which is on the next page, III of FX-534, you will see that your name is mentioned there. <br> A. I see that. <br> Q. Okay. Did you receive this report in or about THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart | A. I didn't give him a copy of this report. That's correct. <br> Q. I'm sorry. I missed that, sir. You did or did not? <br> A. I did not give him a copy of this report. <br> Q. Okay. Did you brief him on the report's contents? <br> A. I did not. <br> Q. Did you brief anyone at EPD about the contents of the report? <br> A. We have had discussion -- internal discussions on staff level about the criticism of the unimpaired flow. And I didn't, like, inform the director just on this issue; but in discussions we have had, certainly I let him know that there was this criticism of the unimpaired flow. <br> Q. Okay. Did you tell him that there was a criticism relating to the failure to account for evaporation from farm ponds? <br> A. I'm aware of that issue; but I did not -- I don't recall specifically telling him that. <br> Q. Do you recall discussing that issue with staff? <br> A. I do, yes. <br> Q. Okay. Which staff members did you discuss that with? <br> THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart |
| November of 2012? <br> A. I did. <br> Q. Okay. In fact, you participated in workshops before this report was issued; isn't that right? <br> A. Well, I'm not sure the workshop was specifically for this purpose. We had meetings. We had regular meetings with the GWRI; and I cooperated fully with their study, provided data that we developed. So I'm not sure if there is a -- you know, one specific workshop that you referred to. <br> Q. September 10, 2012, Columbus, Georgia, at the Columbus Convention Center, do you remember going there? <br> A. September 2012? <br> Q. September 10 of 2012 , yes, sir. <br> A. I don't recall that meeting. <br> Q. Okay. Let's go back to your providing information to the authors of this report. What kind of information did you provide? <br> A. Consumptive use. <br> Q. Okay. And that includes M \& I as well as agricultural consumptive use? <br> A. That is correct. <br> Q. On Friday Director Turner testified that you never gave him a copy of this report. <br> THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart | A. Well, I believe it was -- it was a staff meeting that I had. So it would be every member of my unit. <br> Q. Okay. And approximately how many members are in the unit? <br> A. I have six staff members in my unit. <br> Q. And did that discussion occur after you received a final copy of this report or prior to that time? <br> A. Well, the discussion about evaporation from open water surface bodies has been long. So I would say just on evaporation itself, it's probably both before and after. Certainly, you know, when we received this, I would have talked with my staff member on the farm pond evaporation alluded to in this report. <br> Q. Okay. Well, let's look to where they're alluded to. Can you please turn to page 191 of FX-534, sir. And I'll request that you please read the section at the bottom of the page to yourself entitled Net Evaporation Losses From Other Basin Impoundments. <br> A. Hold on. Did you say 194? <br> Q. I'm sorry. 191. <br> A. 191. <br> THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart |



members of the ACF Compact, Director Reheis estimates irrigation acreage of more than 920,000; is that right?
A. Well, that was right. But that was before the mapping of -- or the result of the mapping came out.
Q. And the results of the mapping and the result of your work here is a significantly lower amount of irrigated acreage as represented on page 18 of your direct testimony?
A. Well, that is a significantly more accurate capturing of the irrigated acreage.
Q. Let's stay with this topic of irrigated acreage, but I want to go to a slightly different subject. And that subject is the term throw acres. Do you know what throw acres means?
A. Yes.
Q. What does it mean?
A. Well, it means at the end of a center-pivot irrigation equipment, there is sometimes attached a -- what's called an end-gun. And so the end-gun can throw water out beyond the range of the irrigation equipment so that a bigger area can be irrigated.
Q. And the area in the range of the irrigation
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equipment, are those referred to as hardware acres?
A. That is correct.
Q. And generally throw acres are a larger area than hardware acres; is that correct?
A. Slightly, yes.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry. You said slightly?
A. Yes.
Q. And you used Georgia's wetted acreage database that's at JX-129 to calculate the total number of hardware acres; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And, in fact, the numbers you have on page 18 of your direct testimony, those are just hardware acres; isn't that right?
A. In -- I think you're referring to demonstrative $\mathbf{7 ?}$
Q. That's correct, page 18.
A. Right.
Q. And the number in particular that I'm focused on is the 723,127 . And my question, sir, is those are just hardware acres?
A. Well, let me make a clarification. All right.

So the acreage that we used here, do you see the first two rows?

The first two rows that are associated with THE REPORTING GROUP
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years 2004 and 2009, those are the throw acres.
Those were provided directly from Dr. Jim Hook's
study. So those reflect the throw acreage. And
then the last three rows here, they were developed or they were mapped associated with the
Ag metering program. So those acreage were hardware acreage.
Q. So --
A. And there is a slight difference there.
Q. I apologize for interrupting you, sir.

My question, again, was the 723,127 , the cell on the extreme right-hand corner, that's just hardware acres. Isn't that right?
A. That's hardware acres, yes.
Q. Okay. And if you were to add 2014 throw acres, do you know what the number would increase to?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. And I believe you testified it would be a slight increase?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Well, we used the wetted acreage -wetted acreage database JX-129 to evaluate whether or not it was a slight difference. And if I may request that you turn to tab $A$ in the demonstrative booklet.
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A. Yes.
Q. You will see that the number is 826,877 . Do you see that?
A. Tab 8?
Q. Tab A. A as in Abid.
A. Tab A. I'm sorry.
Q. Tab A in the small booklet, sir.
A. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. I see that.
Q. Okay. You hadn't done this analysis; had you?
A. Well, no. But let me -- let me clarify on the acreage and the use of the acreage, all right. So the acreage or the irrigated acreage is used in such a way that you would use the acreage that is associated with Ag metering volume to develop irrigation depth. And then you would apply the irrigation depth to the overall acreage. Right?

Are you with me here?
So -- so to develop the application depth, you need to have a set of irrigated acreage, whether or not that's throw acres or that's hardware acreage. And then when you do the extrapolation -- because you don't have every system metered, right, so you have a big sample of all of the meters -- of all of the irrigation equipment meters. And then you would go -- use THE REPORTING GROUP
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```
A. First of all, I have to say it's not a recommendation.
Q. When you prepared the text in the section underneath Recommendation, did you believe that text to be accurate?
A. I wrote that text.
Q. Did you believe it was accurate, sir, at the time you wrote it?
A. It reflects \(\mathbf{m y}\)-- it accurately reflects \(\mathbf{m y}\) understanding of the situation at the time.
Q. Thank you.
A. But it was not a recommendation.
Q. Okay. And my question again was the text itself, call it whatever you want, it was accurate?
```

A. The text accurately reflects my understanding at the time, yes.
Q. Okay. I would now like to turn to the next tab, tab 12. And that's a document marked FX-912. And it's an e-mail between you and a gentleman named Mr. Cliff Lewis. Do you know Mr. Lewis?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you send him the e-mail at the bottom of the page?
A. It looks like that was an e-mail from me.
Q. Okay. And in this e-mail, were you assessing the THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
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flow benefits and the financial cost of invoking the Act?
A. I think I was -- I think I was conveying the message mostly on the potential flow benefit rather than cost because cost usually is not a part of hydrology.
Q. Okay. So you were calculating the flow benefits of invoking the Act in January of 2011?
A. That seems to be the case.
Q. And at the top of the document, FX-912, Mr. Lewis responds to you and someone named Tim. Do you know who that refers to?
A. That would be Tim Cash, the assistant branch chief for the basin at the time.
Q. And he writes, based on your accounts, estimated cost for FRDPA is $31,355,600$. Do you have any understanding as to why he was providing you that cost information if hydrology doesn't involve costs?
A. I'm not sure why he was copying me on that.
Q. Okay. When you wrote the e-mail to Mr. Lewis, did you believe the information you provided him was accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. And in 2011, the director of EPD was Director THE REPORTING GROUP
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Allen Barnes?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Director Barnes did not invoke the Flint

River Drought Protection Act in 2011; did he?
A. He did not.
Q. Okay. I would like to move a little further in time in 2011, sir, if you might. If you could please turn to tab 13, it's a memo designated as FX-82. It appears to be from you to Director Barnes on September 6, 2011.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you prepare this memo, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to focus on the two numbered items on the first page of $J$-- the first page of FX-82.
A. First page, yes.
Q. The two numbered paragraphs. I'll give you a moment to review those to yourself.
A. I read them.
Q. Okay. At the end of the paragraph marked 1 you write, quote, the lack of groundwater recovery in this year was stunning, end quote.

At the time you made that observation, sir, did you believe it was accurate?
A. It was accurate, yes.
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Q. Okay. On the following page you make some observations about streamflow in the Flint River. Can you please take a moment to read those to yourself.
A. I read it.
Q. Okay. Sir, when you made these observations about the impact of low groundwater level and discharge on streamflow, did you believe them to be accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. Finally, I would like to direct you to the section on projections of potential future conditions and, in particular, the middle paragraph in that section.
A. I'm sorry, where is that?

## Oh, the last section?

Q. The last section and the middle paragraph, the one that begins, if this comes to fruition.
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. And at the time you wrote this, did you believe it to be accurate, sir?
A. It was accurate.
Q. Okay. And although it's a memo written by a hydrologist, it does refer to economic activity; doesn't it?
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you were involved in the modeling to support this Flint River Basin Regional Water Development and Conservation Plan?
A. Involved in, yes.
Q. Okay. May I ask that you turn to page 16 and read the paragraph that begins on the bottom of 16 and carries over to the top of page 15 -- 17, please.
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. Did you play any role in preparing this particular section?
A. I -- I'm not sure I played a role in this. This seems to be a description of the criteria used to evaluate the modeling, but I'm not sure I had a role in writing this.
Q. Okay. Can you please turn to page 129, sir.
A. I'm here.
Q. Okay. And there is a section on Spring Creek that begins on 129 and then carries over to the following page. You're welcome to read as much of it as you like. I'm focused on the first sentence.
A. I see that.
Q. Okay, sir. And if you look at the table on the THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
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|  |
| :--- |
| 1 |

[^0]your direct testimony, you claim that you analyzed two USGS streamflow gages on the Apalachicola River and observed a decline in incremental flow from 1972 through 2012. Is that right?
A. That's what the graph shows.
Q. Okay. And by incremental flow, sir, do you mean the amount of additional water added to the Apalachicola because of precipitation in the Florida portion of the basin?
A. That's correct, the portion of the precipitation that falls in the Florida part of the basin that is converted into streamflow.
Q. You also say in paragraph 158 of your testimony that you're unaware of any explanation offered by Florida as to the cause of this incremental flow decline. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And to do your analysis and reach your conclusions, you relied on USGS gage measurements?
A. Well, it was an analysis. I'm not sure there was a conclusion. But, yes, I relied on USGS data.
Q. So the analysis you did relied on USGS gage data?
A. That's correct.
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Q. And the gage data is taken from specific locations along the Apalachicola, sir?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the two gages you analyzed were at Chattahoochee and Sumatra?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you're aware, sir, that in 2016 the USGS noted anomalies in measurements of flow between Chattahoochee and Sumatra?
A. I'm aware of that.
Q. Okay.
A. But I'm also aware that they qualified the problematic area, the error, which was between the 1990 -- mid-1990 to 2002. They said some of the high flows were not gaged correctly during that period, 1994, I believe, to 2002.
Q. If we turn to $\operatorname{tab} 28$, that might refresh your recollection about the exact dates. It's FX-515. It's a letter from the U.S. Geological Survey to the Northwest Florida Water Management District. And in the second paragraph, they refer to rating changes made during 1990 through 2002. Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. And, sir, are you also aware that the USGS has THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart


Q. Okay. Well, so regardless of who presented the data, you would be open to reviewing it?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Do you know who former Secretary of DEP David Struhs is?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Did you review his direct testimony in this matter?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. Did you review his trial testimony in this matter?
A. I did not.
Q. Did you review his deposition testimony?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. What kind of investigation did you do before making statements about the tri-state negotiations in your testimony?
A. My experience as part of the negotiation team.
Q. Okay. And what about before you joined EPD, the negotiations going back to the ' 90 's, what analysis did you do of those?
A. Well, I was aware -- I was aware of the negotiations back then. And after I came on board, I was part of the team that -- that supported the negotiations, the chief negotiators THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason \& Lockhart
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from Georgia. So I'm aware of some of the
proposals.

Q. | Okay. Are you aware of the proposal in FX-212 |
| :--- |
| behind tab 31 ? |
| This is a letter from Mr. Doug Barr of the |

Northwest Florida Management District to Harold
Reheis of Georgia EPD. And my question for you,
sir, is have you seen this letter previously?
A. I have not seen this letter, but I do know that
the discussions in the late 1990's did result in
the set of flow requirements that are actually in
a proposal.
Q. Let me turn you now to tab 32, the memorandum at
FX-215 from Mr. Nolton Johnson to Harold Reheis.
You know who Harold Reheis is. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who Mr. Nolton Johnson is?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review this memo before you prepared your
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. Do you know of any demands that Georgia
Q made during the negotiations before you became
A. I'm not sure what you mean by demands.
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Q. Okay. Why don't we look at tab 33. Perhaps that
will help. It is a document labeled FX-199. Have you seen this document before, sir?
A. I'm not sure. This does not look familiar.
Q. Okay. So your investigation prior to submitting your testimony did not include any review of something like this?
A. I did not review this.
Q. Okay. If you turn to page 4, there is a discussion attributed to do Bob Kerr. Do you know who Mr. Kerr is?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is he?
A. He was -- I think he was the chief negotiator representing the State of Georgia --
Q. Okay.
A. -- in the ACF Compact negotiations.
Q. And I'll invite you to read the statements attributed to Mr. Kerr on pages 4 and 5 .
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. Sir, when you prepared your testimony in this matter, were you aware that Georgia had indicated during negotiations that it would not agree with the consumptive limit?
A. I -- well, as staff engineer, I vaguely -- I was THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason \& Lockhart
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will help. It is a document labeled FX-199.
Have you seen this document before, sir?
A. I'm not sure. This does not look familiar.
Q. Okay. So your investigation prior to submitting
your testimony did not include any review of
something like this?
vaguely aware of that.
Q. But you made no mention of that fact in your direct testimony?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. Thank you very much, sir.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Primis?
MR. PRIMIS: Thank you.
We have some demonstratives and some exhibits of our own. May I approach?

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Yes.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRIMIS:
Q. Dr. Zeng, just picking up on that last line of questioning about the tri-state negotiations, can you look at paragraph 137 of your testimony.
A. Yes.
Q. In the fourth line, Mr. Qureshi might have missed it, but does it say, throughout your interactions with Florida?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that what your written testimony was about?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Qureshi show you any documents from your interactions with Florida that contradict what you said here?
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A. No.
Q. Now, Dr. Zeng, I would like to take a step back
and put in context what it is that you do for the
State of Georgia. What is your current position?
A. I am manager of EPD's hydrological analysis unit, and the unit is sometimes referred to as the hydrology unit. So in that role, I'm sometimes referred to as the chief hydrologist of the state.
Q. Dr. Zeng, how long have you been doing that?
A. I have been in this position for $\mathbf{1 0}$-- more than 10 years.
Q. And you have been at EPD how long?
A. I have been in EPD since 2000, so $\mathbf{1 6}$ years.
Q. Now, we have had a number of hydrologists in this case come and testify. So it's been a good case for the hydrology business. Can you tell the Court what your understanding is of what a hydrologist does?
A. Right. What a hydrologist does is to study the movement of water within the natural and human environment.
Q. You mentioned you have a unit that does this. And how many people are in that unit?
A. I have six staff members, so including myself, THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
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seven people.
Q. And you have a Ph.D., Dr. Zeng; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. How many other people in the hydrology unit at Georgia EPD also have doctorate degrees?
A. I have four other Ph.D. holders in my unit in the areas of hydrology, civil engineering, environmental engineering, and hydrogeology.
Q. How would you describe the level of expertise and technical knowledge of that group?
A. This is a highly-trained, highly-professional group.
Q. What are your specific responsibilities as chief hydrologist?
A. My chief responsibility is to study anything that has to do with hydrology, with managing of water resources, and with the analysis of the Corps operations of the reservoirs within the State of Georgia.
Q. Dr. Zeng, can you tell the Court where you got your Ph.D. and what it's in?
A. I received my Ph.D. degree back in 2000 from the University of Georgia in forest resources but focusing on hydrology and water resources.
Q. Do you have any professional certifications?
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A. I have -- I am a registered professional hydrologist with the American Institute of Hydrology.
Q. Is that an easy organization to get admitted to?
A. It's not. It's selective.

MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, we did a demonstrative. It's in tab 1. And to try to make this easier -- I know it's hard to find these demonstratives sometimes -- I have put each demonstrative in its own tab. So demonstrative 1 is tab 1.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you. BY MR. PRIMIS:
Q. And, Dr. Zeng, can you just identify what the three topics that you plan to cover in your testimony today are.
A. Yes. I would like to offer the Court my knowledge in mostly three areas. The first one is Georgia's consumptive use, and the second one is the operation of the federal reservoirs in the ACF Basin, and the third one is our analysis of hydrologic changes in the basin.
Q. Dr. Zeng, let's start with consumptive use which we've got up there. We have heard a lot about consumptive use. Mr. Qureshi asked you a few THE REPORTING GROUP
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questions about it. How do you define
consumptive use as the chief hydrologist for Georgia?
A. Well, consumptive use in the basin really is the amount of water that people remove from the streams or the water bodies without returning back. So -- so in that sense, it's a consumptive loss.
Q. Dr. Zeng, is consumptive use something Georgia tracks in the ordinary course?
A. That's correct.
Q. Why do you do that?
A. Well, we do that because consumptive use -understanding of the amount of consumptive use is the building block in understanding hydrology in the basin and understanding how well we're managing the resources. So that is the -- that is the cornerstone of our understanding. So we need to track that, and we do that.
Q. As your position as chief hydrologist, do you have confidence in Georgia's understanding of how much consumptive use actually occurs on Georgia's side of the basin?
A. I do, very much.
Q. How do you -- how does Georgia know how much THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
consumptive use is occurring within its borders?
A. Well, because we track hundreds of withdrawing and returning facilities, these facilities report their water use, both withdrawing activities and discharging activities, to the agency on a monthly basis. And also because we have an agriculture metering program providing readings from thousands of irrigation systems. And so we have -- we have this data. We're very comfortable, we're very confident that we have captured the water use.
Q. Let's talk about now the total amount of consumption in Georgia because it's been a hotly-disputed fact. And I want to get your views as a Ph.D. hydrologist and the chief hydrologist of Georgia. Did you put together a demonstrative that shows your understanding of Georgia's consumptive use?
A. I did.

MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, we have that a
tab 2.
BY MR. PRIMIS:
Q. And, Dr. Zeng, can you explain what tab 2 shows.
A. Yes. Tab 2 shows the annual average amount of consumptive use that took place in the Georgia THE REPORTING GROUP
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part of the ACF Basin from 1994 through 2013.
Q. What are the green portions and the blue portions?
A. The blue portions represent municipal and industrial consumptive use, while the green portion represents the agricultural consumptive use.
Q. What does this chart tell us on an annual basis about the magnitude of the consumption within Georgia?
A. This chart is telling us that outside the drought years, the total amount of consumptive use on an annual basis is around 500 cfs. And to put this number in perspective, $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ c f s}$ in comparison to the long-term average state line flow of 21,000 cfs, $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ cfs is $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ percent.
Q. And what about in the dry and drought years, what does this show about consumptive use on an annual basis?
A. In the drought years, the total amount of annual average consumptive use would be higher than the normal levels; but they have never gone beyond 900 cfs on any average basis.

Now, we can still look at the $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ cfs with a perspective of the long-term average. And 900 THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
cfs, when compared with the long-term average of 21,000 cfs, that is a percentage between 4 and 5.
Q. Dr. Zeng, did you prepare another demonstrative that -- and these are in your written testimony. I just want you to explain them clearly for the Court. Do you have a second demonstrative that talks about the consumptive use in Georgia?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you turn to tab 3 of your book. This is demonstrative 3 in our book and demonstrative 2 in your testimony.

Can you tell the Court what is depicted here?
A. Yes. What is shown here is within the year, how consumptive use, that takes place. Now, we know -- we do know that especially for the agricultural water use part, there's a seasonality in it. So water use is higher in the summer month but lower in the winter -- in the winter -- in the colder month. There's also a seasonality associated with municipal and industrial water use, but not -- not so much as the agricultural part.
Q. Again, focusing on the magnitude, what does this chart tell you about the magnitude of the consumptive use within Georgia in the ACF on a THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart monthly basis?
A. Well, this is -- this is telling us that, for example, the January water use, the January total amount of consumptive water use is around 200 cfs. And, for example, the December number, the consumptive use -- average consumptive use for the month of December is lower than 400 cfs. Now, there are a few months in the summertime that do have higher monthly water use. But they -- they have never gone beyond 1400 cfs.
Q. Dr. Zeng, you've got these two bars, blue and green. And can you just tell the Court how you calculate or how you collect the data that allows you to say what the blue means for M \& I consumptive use?
A. The M \& I, municipal and industrial, water use is calculated by totaling all of the water use -all of the withdrawals from the withdrawing facilities, and then subtracting all of the treated and return flow into the system. So the difference between the two is the municipal and the industrial consumptive use.
Q. And how good is your data in terms of municipal and industrial use?
A. Our data are very comprehensive. They're all THE REPORTING GROUP
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | high quality. |  | Q. | What is the -- what is the highest amount that |
| 2 |  | Why? | 2 |  | Georgia -- that Florida has said Georgia has |
| 3 |  | Well, because we keep tracking them; and we have | 3 |  | consumed in terms of consumptive use of water? |
| 4 |  | hundreds of systems reporting them. And we have | 4 | A. | It looks like it's beyond 5,000 cfs. |
| 5 |  | various programs in the agency that track them | 5 | Q | How does that 5,000 compare with the numbers that |
| 6 |  | and QA/QC them. | 6 |  | you believe Georgia actually uses? |
| 7 | Q. | And, Dr. Zeng, can you describe at a high level | 7 | A. | Well, in comparison to the average -- to the |
| 8 |  | how you collect data to determine the green bar | 8 |  | average number that we use in a normal year, |
| 9 |  | for agricultural use? | 9 |  | that's 10 times as large as our number. In |
| 10 | A. | For agricultural water use, we have stated | 10 |  | comparison to the annual average drought year of |
| 11 |  | earlier today, that we have the Ag metering | 11 |  | 900, this is more than five times as much as what |
| 12 |  | program. And the Ag metering program actually | 12 |  | we have collected in comparison even to the |
| 13 |  | collect data, the actual water use, from the | 13 |  | monthly high, which was around 1800. This would |
| 14 |  | individual systems. Now, from the individual | 14 |  | be three times as much. |
| 15 |  | systems we can know the average application | 15 | Q | Dr. Zeng, without -- this was a subject of some |
| 16 |  | depth. That's the amount of water applied to | 16 |  | of the other expert testimony; but from your |
| 17 |  | those systems and their corresponding acreage. | 17 |  | perspective as Georgia's chief hydrologist, can |
| 18 |  | Then we can estimate with all the wetted acreage | 18 |  | you just explain to the Court why you believe |
| 19 |  | what the total amount of water would be. So | 19 |  | that a high level -- why this number is so much |
| 20 |  | that's the general process. | 20 |  | higher than what Georgia estimates? |
| 21 | Q. | And, Dr. Zeng, just to put a point on it, why do | 21 | A. | Right. I believe there were several reasons for |
| 22 |  | you believe Georgia's estimates for consumptive | 22 |  | these numbers to be extremely high. For example, |
| 23 |  | use are correct, given all the numbers floating | 23 |  | the inclusion of the aquifers that do not |
| 24 |  | around in this case? | 24 |  | connect, that are not connected with the streams; |
| 25 | A. | Well, we -- I believe this is correct because all THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart | 25 |  | that's one. And then the fact that groundwater THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart |
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| 1 |  | of these data were collected from thousands of | 1 |  | pumping, even from the connected aquifer, was |
| 2 |  | facilities and instances in the -- across these | 2 |  | counted as one-on-one -- one-to-one reduction in |
| 3 |  | months and years. It's based on -- it's based on | 3 |  | streamflow, which is not supported by scientific |
| 4 |  | instrumentation, measurements by instrumentation | 4 |  | evidence. And then there is also double-counting |
| 5 |  | from all these facilities. | 5 |  | in Florida's methodology. |
| 6 | Q. | Dr. Zeng, do you know that -- I think you do know | 6 | Q. | Dr. Zeng, is it even possible for Georgia to |
| 7 |  | that in this case Florida has put forward its own | 7 |  | consume as much water as Florida is suggesting, |
| 8 |  | estimates of Georgia's consumptive use. Correct? | 8 |  | as high as 5,000 cfs? |
| 9 | A. | I'm aware of that, yes. | 9 | A. | It's not -- it's not possible. |
| 10 | Q. | And have you actually read the work that was done | 10 | Q. | Have you seen in this case any estimates by |
| 11 |  | by Florida and its experts to make those | 11 |  | Florida of how much 5,000 cfs could support in |
| 12 |  | determinations? | 12 |  | terms of water use? |
| 13 | A. | I have. | 13 | A. | I have come across a demonstrative from Florida. |
| 14 | Q. | Let me show you a slide. It's the next tab. | 14 | Q. | Okay. Let me turn you to the next tab. And this |
| 15 |  | It's tab 4. So Zeng demonstrative 4. And this | 15 |  | tab 5. And what we have at tab 5 is a |
| 16 |  | was a slide that was used in Florida's opening | 16 |  | demonstrative that Florida created and used in an |
| 17 |  | statement. And the header for this slide says, | 17 |  | expert deposition. I believe it was |
| 18 |  | even using extremely conservative assumptions -- | 18 |  | Mr. Singarella. And they have actually made it |
| 19 |  | not just conservation, extremely conservative -- | 19 |  | an exhibit in the case. They have it on their |
| 20 |  | there is no doubt that Georgia's upstream | 20 |  | exhibit list, FX-518. Can you explain to the |
| 21 |  | consumptive use of water has exploded since the | 21 |  | Court what Florida is saying about the impact of |
| 22 |  | 1970's. | 22 |  | 5,000 cfs consumptive use? |
| 23 |  | And do you believe that these estimates here | 23 | A. | It looks like Florida is saying that 5,000 cfs |
| 24 |  | are extremely conservative, sir? | 24 |  | is enough to support the drinking water of 19 |
| 25 | A. | No. | 25 |  | million people, at the same time to support |
|  |  | THE REPORTING GROUP |  |  | THE REPORTING GROUP |
|  |  | Mason \& Lockhart |  |  | Mason \& Lockhart |

farming irrigation of close to 4 million acres of land.
Q. Dr. Zeng, does Georgia have 19 million people and 4 million irrigated acres in the ACF Basin?
A. Nowhere near that.
Q. And are you aware that Dr. Sunding, Florida's economist who was here and testified last week, he's proposed certain options or scenarios, he calls, that he said could generate up to 2,000 cfs in peak streamflow periods? Are you aware of that?
A. I'm aware of that, yes.
Q. What would happen if the Court were to order -say, Sunding, let's do this 2,000 scenario. What would be the practical impact of that on Georgia? Could it even be accomplished?
A. It just cannot be physically accomplished because that number is more than our total consumptive use.
Q. Well, he also had a 1500 cfs option -- a mixture of options at 15 . What would happen if that were ordered; could Georgia deliver that in the middle of a severe drought?
A. Well, 1500 is a -- it's over 80 percent of our highest monthly consumptive use.
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Q. 15 or -- I'm talking about 1500 now.
A. Yes. 1500 is over $\mathbf{8 0}$ percent of the highest monthly water use that we have. So it -- I don't believe it's practical.
Q. Now -- and, Dr. Zeng, we're going to come back to this. But let's say we cut almost all of Georgia's water use, as Dr. Sunding would have the Court do. Where would -- whatever water happened to get saved at whatever level, where would that go in the middle of a severe drought?
A. In the middle of a severe drought, that water certainly would flow down the river and ultimately into Lake Seminole. But then does it flow across the state line? That depends on what the Corps does on the Chattahoochee side.

So under a low flow or drought operation where the Corps is operating to provide 5,000 cfs into the Apalachicola River, the Corps will simply reduce the release from the upstream reservoirs on the Chattahoochee branch. In effect, the two branches of the river would provide the same amount of water, 5,000 cfs, for that project to provide 5,000 cfs into Florida.
Q. Okay. I don't want to get ahead. That's our second topic, so we'll come back to that in more
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But coming back to the consumptive use data, does Georgia actually use or rely on this data that you put together?
A. Yes.
Q. How?
A. Georgia uses this data to evaluate its management of the resources -- of water resources. Georgia used this data to do its state and regional water planning. Georgia used this data to communicate with the Army Corps of Engineers to help them manage the river reservoir system. Georgia provides this data to the U.S. Geological Survey for their five-year state water use reports and Georgia provides this data to the ACF Stakeholder Group for their modeling and for their developing the Sustainable Water Management Plan.
Q. Dr. Zeng, you said that you share your data with the Army Corps and with the United States Geological Survey, both federal agencies; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And has either of those agencies or any of their personnel ever come to you and said, your consumptive use looks like it's about 2-1/2 times THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart too low?
A. No.
Q. Has anybody ever come to you with the types of numbers that Florida has proposed in this case and said, you guys are way off; this is where you really are?
A. No.
Q. And has Florida presented you, as the chief hydrologist with a Ph.D. in hydrology, with any information that causes you to say, you know what, I think our numbers are just wrong; and we have to go redo them all?
A. No.
Q. You said you're familiar with the ACF Stakeholders?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And that's a group we discussed quite a bit here in court. I think you know that?
A. Yes.

MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, we have the Sustainable Water Management Plan, GX-1325 at tab 15; but I believe the Court has its own copy. But if you don't have it handy, you can go to tab 15.
BY MR. PRIMIS:
Q. And, Dr. Zeng, I want to start in that document THE REPORTING GROUP
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| at page 2. <br> A. The summary, page 2? <br> Q. Page 2. It's the section called Development of The Plan in the first paragraph. <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And can you read that starting where it says, ACFS worked closely -- read it to yourself; and then I'm going to ask you a question about it, in particular the first sentence. <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. So what do you understand ACFS to be saying here when it said that they worked with state and federal agencies to compile the best available water withdrawals and return data? <br> A. I believe that statement certainly describes the data that we provided them. <br> Q. So they actually asked you for data? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. And Georgia provided it? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. Now, did ACFS present its own estimates of Georgia's consumptive use in this document? <br> A. I believe they did for the basin, not just Georgia. <br> Q. Can you turn to page 28. That's GX-1325. THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart | the unimpaired flow dataset. Do you remember that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And recall it was -- there was a 2012 report -and it's in the binder that you were presented with -- from a Dr. Georgakakos. Right? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. From Georgia Tech. Right? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And I think it's important because I don't think the questions were always clearly delineated. Is there a difference between unimpaired flow data and your consumptive use data? <br> A. There certainly is a difference. <br> Q. Can you explain that? <br> A. Yes. The water use data is basically as we presented. It's the Georgia part of the consumptive water use, municipal, industrial, agricultural. The unimpaired flow data is synthetic data that takes the water use data back. So the unimpaired -- the term unimpaired means this is an attempt to get to what Mother Nature has provided. So that's -- in that sense, it's called unimpaired. So the water use data is incorporated into the unimpaired flow data. And THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart |
| A. Yes. <br> Q. Okay. There's a map here on page 28. Do you see that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And can you describe what appears in this map. <br> A. The map is showing different parts of the basin. And it also showed the water use that takes place in different portions of the basin. And they presented this in a graphic format showing the monthly water use by different regions and also by different sectors. <br> Q. Now, the numbers that ACFS reports as the numbers that it utilized in trying to develop the best information, how did they compare to Georgia's estimates of its own consumptive use? <br> A. They are very close to Georgia's numbers. <br> Q. Are the ACFS numbers anywhere near what Florida has said gets consumed in this basin? <br> A. No. <br> Q. Now, we -- <br> MR. PRIMIS: I think I have one more brief topic. I can finish up before lunch and it's, I think, related. <br> BY MR. PRIMIS: <br> Q. We talked with Mr. Qureshi about what's called THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart | the criticism was on the unimpaired flow data, not exactly on Georgia's water use data. <br> Q. And Dr. Georgakakos said he wrote that report for the ACFS group. Right? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And was there a disclaimer -- we talked about this with Mr. Turner; but are you aware that there is a disclaimer in that document? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Okay. Now, have you had an opportunity to consider Dr. Georgakakos's criticisms of the UIF, unimpaired flow, dataset? <br> A. I have. <br> Q. Well, what's your view? <br> A. Well, I think what he was saying in that report was there are places where the dataset can be improved. That's what I take. And I also think that his criticism of the places where improvement can be made, those issues are minor. They are -- they don't affect the use of the unimpaired flow for the purpose that the Corps would use it, for the purpose that we would use it. <br> Q. Now, Dr. Zeng, Dr. -- just to get the procedure correct, Dr. Georgakakos provided that report to THE REPORTING GROUP |
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1
immediate upstream reservoir. And that one is in turn supported by the one upstream of it. So that's called a tandem operation.
Q. Now, when you say supported by releases, what does that mean?
A. That means all the storage in the basin is treated as one. It's treated as one. And then when the lower projects are short of water, it is supported by releases or replenishment from upstream projects.
Q. Okay. Dr. Zeng, I would now like to focus on a single reservoir and have you explain to the Court how the Army Corps views a single stand-alone reservoir.
And we have a demonstrative at tab 7. We can put it on the screen. And this comes right from JX-124, which is the Draft Environmental Impact Statement at page 2-28.
And, Dr. Zeng, can you explain how the Corps divides up these reservoirs?
A. Yes. Here is a typical schematic of a reservoir and the storage behind the dam. So this -- that darker part represents the dam itself.
Now, the water stored behind the dam, the Corps puts them into three different layers. THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
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This lowest layer here is called the inactive storage. By the name itself, I think it's not hard to know the meaning of it. This -- this amount of water is inactive. It is not used in meaningful ways in the Corps day-to-day operation.

And above that there is another layer, and this layer here is called the conservation storage. A conservation storage contains the amount of water that the Corps works on a day-to-day basis to meet all of the purposes -all of the Congressionally-authorized purposes except flood control -- except flood control. So if we need to generate power, the water comes from conservation storage. If we need to support a downstream flow target for water quality purposes or for water supply purposes, that water comes from here. If there's water going into Florida, that water comes from here. And that's -- so that's basically how much water the Corps has to work with.
Q. Okay. What's the top layer, flood storage, for?
A. The top layer here is the space above conservation storage. Now, the purpose of that is to leave that empty. And in the cases of high THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
rainfall and high flood coming into the system, the system can then temporarily put that water in the flood control storage so that the Corps does not inundate and flood downstream entities. That's flood control.
Q. Now, Dr. Zeng, I want to focus specifically on conservation storage, which you said is used for the Congressionally-authorized purposes. Correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Does the Corps have rules that it uses to figure out when to release and when to store water in conservation storage?
A. Yes, the Corps does.
Q. Okay. Let's turn to the next demonstrative, tab 8. And this, again, is another diagram from the Draft Environmental Impact Statement from the Corps, JX-124, at page 2-35. And can you explain to the Court what this chart shows?
A. Yes. Let's focus on the flat part of the curve. The very bottom one is the one that we just saw. This is the bottom of conservation pool, and below it is the inactive. But we're talking about just the conservation pool itself here.

And so if we look at the top of it, there's THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason \& Lockhart
3333
the title that says Top of Conservation Pool. This is the top of the storage that the Corps has to work with. And between the top of conservation and the bottom of conservation, the Corps made a division of the conservation storage. They made zones. They made action zones. And when the elevation or the storage is within each zone, the Corps has a somewhat different operation to go with it. And if they're in zone 1, that means the reservoir system is healthy. There is plenty of storage. The Corps can afford to have more aggressive operations, meaning release more for storage. As the storage declines from zone 1 into zone 2 or 3, the Corps operation turns to more and more conservative, meaning they tend to store more. They tend to release less. When they get into zone 4, they will implement an operation that is called a drought operation. So once the Corps is in drought operation, the only flow that the Corps is required to release into Florida into the Apalachicola River would be the 5,000 cfs.
Q. And I think it's clear from your description, but just to make sure I've got it, when the reservoirs are full, they're in zone 1. And the THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart

Army Corps can address all purposes; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in a drought, as the reservoir goes down and that conservation pool declines, you end up in zone 4 where they get more conservative and target the 5,000 at the state line?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, are there -- this gets complicated; but are there then rules for how the Corps operates within each of those zones?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I will -- this is -- I want to do this at a high level. But let's go to tab 8 -- I'm sorry -- tab 9, which is again another chart from the Army Corps' DEIS, JX-124. And this one comes from page 2-71. And I -- can you just explain what the title of this chart is?

And then I think maybe the easiest way to describe it is to go by columns, but I'll defer to you because you're much more familiar. I do ask you though to keep it at a level where the rest of us in the room can understand it.
A. Right. Right.

So this is the table that the Corps has for THE REPORTING GROUP
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the minimum discharge from Woodruff Lock and Dam
by month and by based on inflow. So what this chart does is to place the Corps -- on an everyday basis it places the Corps on exact location in this table so they can decide on that day what to release.

Now, the factors that they consider include -- the first is what $I$ call seasonality. I think they called it seasonality. Here the first column they title that as month. So that represents the seasonality. And then the second column is called the Conservation Storage Zone. This is when they look at the reservoirs; and they say, how much storage do we have? Are we in zone 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 ? That's the storage that they look at.

And then the third column says Basin Inflow. Now, this is the amount of water that comes into the basin, comes into each one of the Corps reservoirs. This is how much water the Corps has to work with on the daily basis.

So in combination of these three factors, the Corps, then they place themselves into one of these cells or one of these lines; and that tells them what to release.
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Q. And then that -- the fourth column, which shows the release, which would occur at a particular time of year and a particular zone depending on how much, say, rain there is in the system?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, you mentioned zone 4 was drought operations.
A. Yes.
Q. And can you -- and is there also dry or low flow conditions?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe the difference between low flow and drought and show where on the chart --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the Army Corps would look in that situation?
A. Yes. There is a role that represents the drought operations. So if you look at the first column title that says At All Times, just below the December to February, and the second column says here zone 4, that is the drought operation that the Corps undertakes when they have their storage into the lowest layer. And when you look toward the operational, the release, the Corps defines -- it says 5,000 here. And then if you look at the fifth column which says how many you can store, and then it says up to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ percent of THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
basin inflow above 5,000.
So what this means is that when the Corps is in drought operation, the Corps can put every drop of water above 5,000 into storage to recover from the drought conditions.
Q. And what will the flow into Florida be at that point in time?
A. That would be $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{c f s}$.
Q. When does the Corps move to a position where it will release more than 5,000 and go back to more normal operations?
A. That would be when the Corps suspends its drought operation, and that would be when the entire system storage goes back from zone 4 all the way to recovering to zone 1.
Q. Is it possible to end up at 5,000 cfs even if you're not in drought operation?
A. That's possible, yes.
Q. Okay. Can you explain that?
A. Yes. There is what I call the low flow operation. So even if you are not in the drought operation, if you have low basin inflow -- so in each category under the basin inflow column, we see a division that's called less than 5,000, less than 5,000, less than 5,000, and less than THE REPORTING GROUP
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A. My understanding is that the argument that Florida made was the right time is the summertime, and it's when the water use is cut or the conservation of water is made. And that would be the time for flow to come back past the state line into Florida.
Q. Is that how the system works?
A. That clearly wasn't something that we saw from the Corps' real operation.
Q. Okay. Does the Corps favor any single project purpose over another?
A. No.
Q. There was a suggestion that the Corps would use its discretion to favor fish and wildlife and that purpose. Did you hear that testimony?
A. I heard that.
Q. And what is your understanding of how the Corps works in that regard?
A. Well, I believe the Corps operates according to its operational plan, which has been blessed, which has been approved by Fish and Wildlife Service. So -- so the Corps sticks to its plan; and the plan meets the needs of endangered species.
Q. Dr. Zeng, to get a predictable or reliable
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increase in flow into Florida during a drought, what would have to happen?
A. What would need to happen is the Corps would need to change its operation and to change those numbers that we saw earlier in the operational chart.
Q. Based on your experience and familiarity with Corps operations and how this basin works, is it necessary to have the Corps involved in order to provide Florida a predictable and dependable flow in excess of $5,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ during droughts?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to briefly touch back on your role in the tri-state negotiations. And, again, we're not talking about any mediation for the present proceeding. Okay?

And I think you said you were personally involved in negotiations with Florida?
A. That's correct.
Q. And can you describe your involvement?
A. My role was to provide technical analysis and technical advice to the chief negotiator.
Q. And in connection with that work, did you ever hear of a workable proposal that did not include a change to Army Corps operations?
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A. No.
Q. Did you ever hear Florida propose a remedy during those negotiations that did not include the Corps in some fashion?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, I want to go back to the ACF Stakeholder plan, which is tab 15 , in the book. It's GX-1325. And are you familiar with the recommendations set out in that plan?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you perceive to be the most important recommendation that the ACFS Stakeholders made?
A. The most important recommendations they made are changes to the Corps operation throughout the basin, different aspects; but all of them are changing the Corps operation.
Q. Can I ask you to turn to page 4 of tab 15 , page 4 of GX-1325.
A. I'm sorry -- oh, yes.
Q. And in particular on page 4, I want to focus on the first paragraph; and there are four bullet points underneath it. And can you just take a minute to read that to yourself, and then I'll ask you the question.
A. Yes.

THE REPORTING GROUP
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Q. Can you tell the Court what is the ACFS recommending with regard to U.S. Army Corps operations here on page 4 ?
A. The ACF Stakeholder recommended four actions on the Corps side, and the first one being changing one of the -- the reservoir topic of conservation. That's a slight change that ACFS suggested. What it does is expands storage in the reservoir system to make more storage available for meeting different purposes.

And the second one they recommended is a change of the action zones in terms of tandem operation. We said this earlier, that the tandem operation really means how the upstream reservoirs support the downstream reservoirs. So they recommended the change there.

And the third one is they recommended changing the peaking power generation requirement. The peaking power requirement drives water out of the reservoirs. So the stakeholder wanted that part of the operation to be revised.

And then the fourth element here is to provide two pulse flows into the Apalachicola River. One is in May for two weeks, and the THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
other one is in the month of July for two weeks.
Q. Dr. Zeng, when you take these four recommendations on Army Corps operations as a package, just in laymen's terms, what is the group trying to accomplish with these recommendations?
A. The group is trying to accomplish a balanced -- a balanced approach, taking care of the interests of all of the stakeholders.
Q. And as it relates to storage and achieving purposes, what are they saying here in these four bullets?
A. They're saying the Corps -- the Corps' change of action is needed to achieve this balanced -- this balanced approach.
Q. Okay. Dr. Zeng, I want to turn now to the third topic in your testimony; and that's something you called natural hydrologic change. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Georgia study the natural hydrology of the ACF Basin?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you mean when you say natural hydrology?
A. Well, we started by looking at the precipitation THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
in the basin. And the precipitation in the basin has certainly not been impacted by the human activities in the State of Georgia.
Q. So why have you studied that?
A. Well, when we -- years ago we had -- we had come across arguments about the change or the lack of change in precipitation, but then the change in streamflow. So there were a lot of discussions about the change in streamflow. And naturally, there is the question of why? All right. So why was there these streamflow changes? Why have we been observing these things?

And so we started looking into it, and the right place to start certainly is rainfall.
Q. Did you do a study of changes in precipitation over the last several decades?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. What did you look at?
A. We looked at the -- the rainfall that happens in the basin; and also we looked at how the rainfall happens, you know, within the year, how rainfall happens in each month. So we look at that pattern, what we call the intra-annual rainfall pattern.
Q. Are you talking -- just to be clear, are you
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talking about both how much rain falls, but also when it falls?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Can you turn to the next -- or to tab 12 in your book. And this is -- I believe this
chart appears in your written direct and comes from GX-1042.

Can you -- I think the Court has seen this before from other witnesses; but you created it. Can you explain what this shows?
A. Yes. First of all, let me explain what the curves are. And so each curve represents the monthly precipitation. And the -- the red curve represents the period between 1975 and 2013. This is what $I$ call the modern decade -- decades. And then there is the earlier decades, which started from 1995 and all the way through 1974. So I compared the rainfall patterns in those two periods to see if there are changes.
Q. And what did you find?
A. Well, we found, indeed, there has been a change in the rainfall pattern, especially when you look at the middle part of the year, which turned out to be the drier part and the part that -- that's related to lower flow, usually in the year in THE REPORTING GROUP
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this basin.
Q. Well, by reference to the chart, can you just tell us what it means when you see the blue line significantly above the red line in June, July, and August? What does that mean?
A. Yes. If we just look at the one on the right, this is the one for CD4, and in particular if we look at the month of July, we're seeing that for the modern decades, for the past four decades, we're seeing a -- a once every 20 year type of drought. Once every 20 year type of drought, and you would see a rainfall of $\mathbf{2}$ inches in the month of July.

But if you look at the same level of drought severity, you look at the prior decades, you would see a more than 3 inches of rainfall. So that comparison tells me that the prior decades had more than $\mathbf{5 0}$ percent more rainfall in that month.
Q. What is the practical impact in terms of streamflow of having that kind of reduction in precipitation over time?
A. It would certainly mean lower flow in the streams.
Q. Do you -- are these the only two charts you THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart



1.2-3 prescribe minimum and not target releases. Do you see that?
A. Where is that, please?
Q. It's the sentence that begins, the flow rates included in --
A. The last paragraph?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Okay. I see that.
Q. Okay. And were you aware of this when you prepared your direct testimony?
A. I'm aware of this document.
Q. Were you aware of the sentence we just read, sir?
A. I'm not aware of the sentence.
Q. Okay. Similarly, let's go to table -- I'm sorry, page 1-16. And that's a similarly worded sentence which begins with, the flow rates included.
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. And I have the same question for you; were you aware of that sentence when you prepared your direct testimony?
A. Well, I'm aware of the Corps' general saying of that; but I'm not aware of this specific sentence.
Q. Okay. Thank you, sir.
THE REPORTING GROUP
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I'm going to return to some of the other topics that were covered during your redirect examination, sir. May we return to tab 3 that's the UIF report? This is tab 3 of the big binder, sir.
A. I'm here.
Q. Okay. And before we go into the body of the document, you're aware that GWRI is part of a National Institute for Water Resources in affiliation with USGS?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. You never heard that before?
A. Well, they might have some affiliation; but I'm not aware of that.
Q. Okay. And in discussing the UIF datasets, sir, you agree that the UIF's prepared by the Corps rely on consumptive use information or demand information from Georgia EPD?
A. I'm aware of that, yes.
Q. Okay. And that's actually information that you provide. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And this report is critical of that information; is it not?
A. Well, it's critical of some agricultural
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## estimates. I'm aware of that.

Q. Okay. And the particular agricultural estimates that it's critical of are the evaporations from farm ponds. Correct?
A. I'm aware of that.
Q. And I believe during your redirect, Georgia's counsel discussed that there were certain potential farm ponds in the Georgia portion of the ACF Basin. You know they exist. Right?
A. Well, the farm ponds exist, yes.
Q. And you know that there's more than 20,000 of them. Right?
A. I'm -- well, again, the number of water bodies he identified would be that number. But I'm not sure all of them are farm ponds.
Q. You have done an analysis of farm pond evaporation; haven't you?
A. I have.
Q. Okay. And that was not produced in this litigation because it's privileged. Is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. And the UIF indicates that the net loss from evaporation of farm ponds could be as high as 1200 cfs. Is that right? THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
A. That's what the document says.
Q. That's what the document says; correct.

There are other criticisms of the UIF dataset; are there not?
A. There are, yes.
Q. And one of them we looked at earlier today is behind tab 9, sir?
A. I'm here.
Q. Okay. And in particular, under the section Inappropriate Use of the Unimpaired Flow Dataset, there is a sentence that begins, for example, and then a following sentence that begins with, however. Can you read both of those, please.
A. Can you direct me to the right location?
Q. Certainly. It's the second paragraph and sort of the middle of the page. It's the sentence that begins, for example, the unimpaired flows do not represent.
A. Okay. I found it.

MR. QURESHI: If you can actually highlight the following sentence as well.
A. I see that.
Q. Okay. And you were aware of this when you prepared your prefiled direct testimony, sir?
A. I was aware of this, yes.
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Q. And the Water Stewardship Act mandated new multifamily housing to have sub-metering. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. But there was no provision for existing multifamily complexes. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. So that hasn't been implemented statewide. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. If you look at the next page, page 12, you should see section 3.2. And down near the bottom it says Contingency Plan. Is this where the contingency options begin?
A. Yes.
Q. Great. And then if you will just turn to the next page, page 13, these are some additional recommendations in the contingency category. Correct?
A. Correct. Again, contingency means in the event Lake Lanier was not available for water supply.
Q. And Georgia did not implement these recommendations. Right?
A. There are mandated limits on outdoor water usage. So --
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Q. Yes. We'll walk through specific ones.
A. Okay.
Q. But I understood your testimony to be that because the ruling was overturned, you didn't have to implement these. Correct?
A. Correct. That is correct.
Q. And it sounded like you have chosen to implement some of them. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And chosen not to implement others. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. The first contingency option on page 13 is mandatory efficiency programs. Do you see those?
A. I do.
Q. And it's got four bullet points. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The second bullet is for replacement of fixtures. And it lists a number of different fixtures that are options. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And the third bullet point is for retrofits for resale -- resold homes. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Neither of those options were implemented. Correct?
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A. Repeat your question. I'm -- I noted the bullet about residential retrofit, but what was the other option?
Q. Just the second bullet point as well. Neither bullets 2 nor 3 were implemented. Correct?
A. No.
Q. The next recommendation, same page, is mandatory multifamily sub-metering. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And, again, that was not implemented either.

Correct?
A. Implemented in new construction.
Q. Implemented in new construction. And the mandatory multifamily sub-metering you see here is for existing --
A. Correct.
Q. -- construction. Correct?

So this was not implemented?
A. It was not.
Q. If you will turn back to tab 1, paragraph 67. Again, this is your prefiled testimony.

Do you see the sentence beginning, it is important to note, however?
A. Yes.
Q. There you identify contingency options like THE REPORTING GROUP
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direct potable reuse and new reservoir
construction that you say only made sense in the context of supply shortfall. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. The options that you list in paragraph 67, part 1
that we just read, were they?
A. They were not.
Q. If you will turn back to tab 6, please, and to page 14, please. Do you see section 3.3 at the top has desirable policies that the task force recommended for consideration. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And there's six policies here, each one underlined?
A. Yes.
Q. And to your knowledge, there's been no further work on any of those six recommendations. Right?
A. There's one item here that has moved forward, which is where local communities are empowered with the ability to seek more stringent requirements than what the State imposes.
Q. Local communities have been empowered; but otherwise, none of these policies have been considered?
A. No.



| 34 | 3412 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q. It's line 186. That included the large-scale operations like center-pivot irrigation and drip irrigation. Right? <br> A. I would have to refer back to code section 1-3-3. <br> Q. You don't have a recollection as to what was meant by commercial agricultural irrigation? <br> A. I don't. <br> Q. I might refresh you with your deposition testimony, if that's helpful. <br> A. Sure. <br> Q. So if you will turn to tab 2 in your binder -and I know you were deposed twice in this case. This is the first of those two in January of this year. <br> And you swore to tell the truth there. Correct? <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. So if you will look at the transcript at page 159 , line 13. <br> A. Page 159? <br> Q. Correct. <br> A. Okay. 159. What line? <br> Q. So if you look at lines 13 through to the next page 162 , you will see the discussion that is referencing this. <br> THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart | Q. And, therefore, EPD did not institute an outdoor watering ban. Right? <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. Now, the same management tools were available in 2011 and 2012 as were available in ' 07 and ' 08. Right? <br> A. Correct. <br> Q. Were you aware that the 2011-2012 drought was more in the southwestern part of Georgia? <br> A. I am. <br> Q. And is it your understanding that EPD did not invoke its drought management tools in Atlanta to address drought in other parts of the ACF? <br> A. At that point that's a decision that EPD is given the authority to make. So I have no understanding of why they made the decisions they did in 2010 and 2012. I couldn't speak to those decisions. They have the authority to make those. <br> Q. Nothing preventing them from using drought tools in Atlanta if there's a drought in other parts of the state. Right? <br> A. They had discretion to implement drought rules. <br> Q. Ms. Kirkpatrick, you also testified about some of the management plans that the Metro District puts |
| Specifically, do you see you testified, and when you refer to commercial agricultural irrigation options -- <br> A. Yes, I do. <br> Q. -- I'm using the term large-scale like drip irrigation, center-pivot irrigation type of operations. <br> A. Yes. So that refreshes my memory. <br> Q. Okay. So that's what was included in that exception. Correct? <br> A. I believe so. <br> Q. And are you aware of conversations specific to that exception? <br> A. I am not. <br> Q. You weren't part of any efforts to make sure that that was included in the Stewardship Act? <br> A. I was not. <br> Q. I want to talk now about Georgia's responses to droughts after the Water Stewardship Act was passed. So you -- you're aware there was a drought in 2011-2012 as well. Correct? <br> A. I am. <br> Q. Are you aware EPD did not declare a drought in Georgia in either of those years? <br> A. I am. <br> THE REPORTING GROUP | out from time to time. Correct? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. I believe they're supposed to be about every five years. Right? <br> A. About. <br> Q. And in developing those plans, Metro District doesn't consider how much water is actually flowing downstream to reservoirs past Atlanta; does it? <br> A. It does in some ways. There are certainly -- a flow target at Peachtree Creek that must be taken into consideration. I also think by implementing conservation measures, that some of the other activities that are prescribed in our plans, there is a consideration. But it isn't a driving determination for the plan development. <br> Q. Okay. In developing its plans, the water district doesn't consider how much water flows to downstream Corps reservoirs. Correct? <br> A. They are not prescribed to do so by the legislation. <br> Q. It's not part of the planning process. Correct? <br> A. Well, I -- I would take exception with that. They're certainly mindful of downstream, especially when you're contemplating conservation THE REPORTING GROUP <br> Mason \& Lockhart |



heavily exploited that some run at drought flows even in normal years. Correct?
A. That's what it states.
Q. And American Rivers is an -- is an environmental nonprofit that you cited to in your prefiled testimony. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you think that they're credible. Right?

The bottom paragraph, American Rivers identifies the chief threat to the basin as increasing and unsustainable water use. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And this is despite all the conservation measures that you have discussed in your testimony. Right?
A. And that's what this states.
Q. Ms. Kirkpatrick, let's go back to what can actually be done in Atlanta. In your prefiled testimony, which again is tab 1 , I want to direct your attention to page 20 , specifically paragraph 72. Are you there?

I'll let you find it.
You testified that any cap on water consumption in metro Atlanta, whether at extreme THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart

1992 levels or at higher amounts, would threaten the existing population and future population. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. So it's your view that there can be absolutely no limit on Atlanta's water use?
A. No. I think my view here is that a cap at existing consumption or at higher rates could threaten Metro Atlanta's viability -- economic viability.
Q. Will you look at the next sentence as well, please, and read that to yourself.

So that's addressing Georgia's consumption as well. Right?
A. True.
Q. So your view is that there can be no cap on Georgia's consumption or Atlanta's consumption. Right?
A. I believe a cap could have drastic economic impacts on the State of Georgia as well as metro Atlanta.
Q. Is there any point at which a limit on Georgia's or Atlanta's consumption is appropriate?
A. I believe that good planning, demand forecasting, combined with conservation measures is an THE REPORTING GROUP
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appropriate response to drive what the U.S. Army
Corps of Engineers does in management of the ACF system. So I believe that's the more accurate response.
Q. So no limit would be appropriate. Right?
A. I believe here that -- I believe that an artificial cap would impose harm on both Georgia and metro Atlanta.
Q. If you will just -- you're aware of the ACF Compact negotiations. Correct?
A. In what context?
Q. Just in the 1990's and 2000's there was a negotiation between Alabama, Florida, and Georgia. Correct?
A. I'm aware negotiations took place, yes.
Q. And I don't have any reason to believe you were part of those negotiations.
A. I was not.
Q. Will you turn to tab 13, please. It's FX-199. And on page 4 of this document, do you see statements by Bob Kerr?
A. I do.
Q. Are you familiar with Mr. Kerr?
A. I know Mr. Kerr.
Q. He was one of the chief negotiators for Georgia.

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason \& Lockhart

## Correct?

A. That's correct.
Q. And if you will look at Mr. Kerr's comments, I want to direct your attention to the middle of that paragraph, the section that says, but we have always said. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And Mr. Kerr said that they will not agree to any consumptive use limit. Correct?
A. That's what it states here.
Q. And this was back in 2002. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's still your position now. Right?
A. I cannot attest to the position as related here. I wasn't privy to this. I don't know, again, what's gone on in negotiations or compacts. So I can't attest to that.
Q. But your position is that there is no appropriate consumptive limit. Right?
A. I believe that when you plan appropriately and you look at your demand forecasting with your population growth, your employment growth, conservation measures, then you can appropriately plan for water supply for the region. And that will include an increase. THE REPORTING GROUP
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Q. Yes or no, any cap on consumptive use in Atlanta and Georgia would be --
A. I think an artificial cap would be devastating.
Q. No further questions.

MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, before I start, why don't I recommend that we take a break and come back?

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE LANCASTER: Claudette? COURT REPORTER: Sure.
MR. ALLEN: Thank you, your Honor.
(Time Noted: 3:00 p.m.)
(Recess Called)
(Time Noted: 3:10 p.m.)
SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Go ahead, counsel.

MR. ALLEN: Good afternoon, your Honor. I'll get started.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ALLEN:
Q. Now, Ms. Kirkpatrick, as we mentioned, you served on the Metro Water District. Correct?
A. I served on the governing board, yes.
Q. And, Ms. Kirkpatrick, when you serve on the governing board of the Metro District, are you working as a lobbyist?

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason \& Lockhart
3427
A. I am not. I'm -- I'm working in my professional capacity as an environmental engineer.
Q. Okay. And are you working as a lobbyist today?
A. No.
Q. Are you being paid or compensated in any way for your testimony here today?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Ms. Kirkpatrick, you know, there's been some discussion of the Metro Water District; but I'm not sure we have heard what it is. So could you tell us just what is the Metro Water District?
A. Yes. The Metro Water District is a planning entity that includes 15 counties and 93 cities within the metro Atlanta region. And it is -has the direction to prepare plans -- water plans for the region.
Q. And when was it formed?
A. It was formed by legislation in 2001.
Q. And these plans you mentioned, how often are they done?
A. They are updated approximately every five years.
Q. And if you would, tell us a little bit about the process that goes into developing these plans and promulgating them.
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A. Yes. It's pretty simple. You have two major steps, the first of which is developing demand projections and then preparing your plans accordingly. I'm primarily the water conservation and supply plan.
Q. You mentioned demand projection and water conservation. I'm going to talk about each of those, but I want you to tell us a little bit about the process through which the District goes when it actually develops one of these plans. What does it do? Who does it meet with?
A. So it starts by hiring a consultant, an engineering firm. Then the demand projections are prepared, and that includes looking at population forecasts and employment forecasts. It works with the State of Georgia as well on ensuring those numbers are based on recent data, as well as the Atlanta Regional Commission. It also works with stakeholders, the public, technical coordinating committee, and the governing board to utilize those demand projections to prepare the plans.
Q. Okay. And you said the plans are done about every five years roughly. Correct?
A. Correct.
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Q. So what planning cycles have there been?
A. The first plans were issued in 2003. The second set of plans were issued in 2009. And we're currently working on the next round of plans and anticipate issuing those in 2017.
Q. And I think you said that the main components of the plans are one bucket is kind of demand projections, stuff like that; and another bucket are conservation measures. Is that fair?
A. That's fair, yes.
Q. All right. Let's take those one at time, and let's start with the demand projections. Tell us what kind of effort does the District take to get those projections -- well, first of all, tell us what demand projections are.
A. Sure. Well, you know, simply it's how much water is an individual going to use in the region. So looking at -- you know, you could take myself, how much water would I use on a daily basis, and projecting out to 2050, and determining how much water the community would need at the end of that time period.
Q. And what kind of effort does the District take to make sure it gets those projections correct?
A. It's a pretty thorough process, again, utilizing THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart




Q. On cross-examination you were asked about kind of the two buckets of recommendations that are included in the task force report, the no regrets options and the contingency measures. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. And of the no regrets options, how many of the no regrets options have been implemented by the Metro Water District?
A. 100 percent.
Q. And, again, what's the population of the Metro Water District that's served by -- that relies on ACF waters for its water supply source?
A. 4.1 million people.
Q. Now, the contingency options, Ms. Kirkpatrick, did the task force recommend that Georgia implement the contingency measures?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because, again, the primary finding was that Lake Lanier was the best alternative for water supply.
Q. Did the task force identify any challenges or obstacles that might be imposed with implementing the contingency measures?
A. They did.
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Q. What were those?
A. So, again, given that the time frame was short for the evaluation process of the task force, comprehensive feasibility from an engineering perspective was not done on any of the options. Second, legal considerations were not taken into account; third, societal; and fourth, environmental considerations were not fully vetted either. And all of those needed to take place before any single contingency option could be contemplated as an option going forward.
Q. Okay. Ms. Kirkpatrick, I just have one final set of questions for you. You know, as someone who has worked with the Metro Atlanta Chamber of Commerce, who has worked on the Metro Water District, who has worked on the task force, can you just tell us about the importance of a water supply source to the metro Atlanta region?
A. It's critical. I mean, we're the ninth largest MSA in the nation. We're home to a broad range of businesses, from small to Fortune 500. We have a healthy university community, over 70 colleges and universities. We're home to the CDC. We are home to the world's largest airport. We're home to over 5 million people who rely on THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
the waters of the ACF and the ACT Basin. And water is a fundamental driver of not only our economic success but also the quality of life of the citizens in metro Atlanta.
Q. And, Ms. Kirkpatrick, do you feel that the Metro Water District has taken steps to conserve the water resources that are in the region?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And just describe that again for us.
A. You know, it -- it shouldn't be lost on any of us that if you look back over 15 years, and you look at the significant progress that this planning entity has taken, and you look at the fact that we have reduced our per capita usage by 30 percent while adding 1 million people or more to our region, that is exceptionally significant and shows that the planning agency is doing their job.
Q. Ms. Kirkpatrick, thank you very much.

MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, I have no further questions.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Recross? RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. FAWAL:
Q. Ms. Kirkpatrick, you testified about toilets and THE REPORTING GROUP
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faucets and showerhead retrofits. Correct?
A. I did.
Q. Just to clarify for the Court, indoor water uses don't really affect consumptive use rates. Correct?
A. No. They can.
Q. Well, indoor water use is virtually nonconsumptive. Correct?
A. There is minimal consumption.
Q. You understand outdoor watering and leak abatement have a much bigger impact on consumptive use. Correct?
A. They can, yes.
Q. When you are using per capita usage in your testimony, that's not the same as consumptive use. Correct?
A. It is not.
Q. And you're referring to water withdrawals. Correct?
A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
Q. You're referring to the amount of water used by a person without respect to the return rates. Right?
A. When I talk about consumptive use?
Q. No, per capita use.
A. Oh, per capita use. So ask me your question again.
Q. You testified about per capita usage --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and its decrease. And I just want to clarify that's not the same thing as consumptive usage. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. You also mentioned or were asked some questions about Dr. Sunding. Were you here when Dr. Sunding testified?
A. I was not.
Q. Okay. Have you read his testimony?
A. I have not.
Q. Are you aware he testified to ways to offset net IBT's through conservation efforts?
A. I am not familiar with his testimony.
Q. You testified earlier that you think leak abatement is generally a good thing. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. In fact, you just testified that Georgia is doing it today. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. And so you would agree that would actually make it easier to implement leak abatement policies THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
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Dr. Sunding is providing. Right?
A. I'm not familiar with the policies that Dr. Sunding is providing.
Q. If he's suggesting continuing leak abatement, you would agree that's already in place. Right?
A. I think Georgia has a program that's already in place, yes.
Q. And you're not suggesting that leaks won't happen in the future. Right?
A. That's correct. And there is a program in place to adjust that.
Q. And, therefore, it will save water in the future as well. Right?
A. Yes.

MR. FAWAL: No further questions, your Honor.

MR. ALLEN: Nothing further, your Honor.
SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Ms. Kirkpatrick, were you -- you were here when the last witness before you testified?

THE WITNESS: For a portion.
SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Well, for the record, I am not a technician. Do you -have you ever heard of Battle Bend?

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason \& Lockhart

THE WITNESS: I have not.
SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Nothing further.

MR. ALLEN: Nothing further.
MR. FAWAL: Nor for me, your Honor.
MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, we have our next witness, Peter Mayer, prepared to testify. We're happy to go ahead and put him on the stand now. Or I think both sides are happy to either proceed or wait until the morning to start his testimony, however the Court would prefer.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Approximately how long do you anticipate this witness and the next witness will take?

MS. WINE: Without -- we're just conferring because we don't know what each other has planned. But I anticipate that we can finish both easily tomorrow without there being any issues.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: And tomorrow being from 9:00 to what?

MS. WINE: I'm guessing we would be done by 3:00, if not earlier in the day.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: We'll recess. THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart
(Time Noted: 3:40 p.m.)
(Proceeding adjourned to Tuesday,
November 22, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.)
(End of Day)
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[^0]:    A. Did anybody tell me?
    Q. Had you ever heard that?
    A. I have not heard that analogy.
    Q. Okay. You're the person who has the most knowledge and experience regarding the hydrology of the ACF Basin, and you were unaware that the Fish and Wildlife Service had made that analogy?
    A. Well, this was -- this letter was dated January --
    Q. 2006.
    A. -- 2006.

    So this letter was dated January 2006. And
    it was the time before $I$ became manager and before I became chief hydrologist of the state. I was a staff engineer at the time. So you're right; nobody told me about this specific argument.
    Q. Okay. You -- you view this as an argument?
    A. Well, this is a statement. This is a statement.
    Q. And in your 10 years plus at EPD, you were unaware of this statement?
    A. I'm unaware of this statement.
    Q. Sir, I would like to now move on to another topic. And that topic is Decline in Incremental Flow. In paragraph 154 at pages 54 and 55 of THE REPORTING GROUP Mason \& Lockhart

