

(ORDER LIST: 610 U.S.)

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2026

CERTIORARI -- SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS

25-1367 ROOFING DESIGNS BY JR, L.L.C. V. ROYAL AM. CONSTR., INC., ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit for further consideration in light of *Keathley v. Buddy Ayers Construction, Inc.*, 608 U. S. 647 (2026).

25-7569 SILVA OCHOA, PEDRO J. V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit for further consideration in light of *Hunter v. United States*, 608 U. S. 713 (2026).

26-139 MONSANTO CO. V. DENNIS, MIKE

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the Court of Appeal of California, Fourth Appellate District, Division One for further consideration in light of *Monsanto v. Durnell*, 609 U. S. 371 (2026).

26-5216 HOBSON, BRIAN V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United

States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit for further consideration in light of *Hunter v. United States*, 608 U. S. 713 (2026).

ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

26A36 GORDON, CARL V. NEWSOM, GAVIN, ET AL.

The application for stay addressed to The Chief Justice and referred to the Court is denied.

26A167 GORDON, CARL V. GUTIERREZ, JUDGE, USDC CDCA

The application to recall and stay the mandate addressed to The Chief Justice and referred to the Court is denied.

26M23 ODOM, RACHEL B. V. OKLAHOMA

26M24 COUDRAIN, MARILYN, ET AL. V. BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM, ET AL.

The motions for leave to file petitions for writs of certiorari with the supplemental appendices under seal are granted.

26M25 SEALED APPELLANT V. BODNAR, PATRICK R.

The motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari under seal with redacted copies for the public record is denied.

26M26 ADAMS, PATRICK D. V. UNITED STATES

The motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari with the supplemental appendix under seal is granted.

25-159 HOFFMANN, LEONARD W., ET AL. V. WBI ENERGY TRANSMISSION, INC.

25-417 NIELSEN, FRANCIS V. WATANABE, KEKAI

The motions of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument are granted.

25-842 WASSILY, TAMER S., ET AL. V. BLANCHE, ATT'Y GEN.

The motion of petitioners to dispense with printing the joint appendix is granted.

25-1003 GUERRERO, DIR., TX DCJ V. JOHNSON, DEXTER

The motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument is granted.

25-5930 MAXWELL, WILLIAM V. DINIS, ACTING WARDEN

The motion the Solicitor General for divided argument is granted.

25-7598 PAYNE, DAVID H. V. UNITED STATES

26-5011 LEATHAM, BILLIE J. V. MOSE, HUGH

26-5296 McCLANAHAN, DARRELL L. V. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF U.S., ET AL.

The motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* are denied. Petitioners are allowed until November 3, 2026, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

CERTIORARI GRANTED

25-1103 U.S. DOGE SERVICE, ET AL. V. USDC DC, ET AL.

25-1343 BLANCHE, ATT'Y GEN. V. OZURUMBA, IZUCHUKWU

The petitions for writs of certiorari are granted.

25-7026 BERRY, COREY D. V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted.

CERTIORARI DENIED

25-590 ALDRIDGE, JERRY, ET AL. V. REGIONS BANK

25-882 PARKER, ANDREW D., ET AL. V. GATES, BILL, ET AL.

25-1062 PITTS, JESSICA, ET AL. V. BURKE, TAYLOR
 25-1154 FOFANA, ABRAHIM M. V. MULLIN, SEC., DHS, ET AL.
 25-1187 COALITION FOR FAIRNESS, ET AL. V. NEW YORK, NY, ET AL.
 25-1273 JOHNSON, STEPHEN J. V. MONTANA
 25-1280 BOYSEN, SARA, ET AL. V. PEACEHEALTH, ET AL.
 25-1332 WILKINS, LARRY S. V. UNITED STATES, ET AL.
 25-1341 BIRKS, DONNA V. AGUIRRE-JARQUIN, CLEMENTE J.
 25-1366 WILSON, ATT'Y GEN. OF OH V. MILLER, KENNETH M., ET AL.
 25-1410 DAVIS, JIMMY V. LOVELACE, COMM'R, AL DOC
 25-7146) PERRIN, JAMES V. UNITED STATES
)
 25-7165) MONTGOMERY, PRICE V. UNITED STATES
 25-7259 NFORMANGUM, ISAAC V. UNITED STATES
 25-7364 KARNA, JOHN V. ROSS, SEAN, ET AL.
 25-7437 HORTON, ZYTRELL M. V. UNITED STATES
 25-7506 FOSTER, SHEILA V. JESEL, ROBERT, ET AL.
 25-7543 BURCIAGA, MICHAEL V. UNITED STATES
 25-7547 JAMES, DERRICK G. V. THE GEO GROUP, INC., ET AL.
 25-7651 CHAVEZ, ZENIA V. UNITED STATES
 26-6 MERRITT, JERRY V. TX FARM BUREAU, ET AL.
 26-21 DeLANIS, JAMES A. V. BAKER, DONELSON, ET AL.
 26-27 GOODLEY, RACHEL E. V. SUPREME RICE, L.L.C., ET AL.
 26-28 ZAMRZLA, JOHNNY, ET AL. V. ANTELOPE VALLEY, ET AL.
 26-45 MOATS, JEFFREY V. NAT. CREDIT UNION ADMIN., ET AL.
 26-105 RUBICON RESOURCES, LLC V. RATHA, KEO, ET AL.
 26-124 JORDAN, HOMER P. V. JORDAN, ALICE J.
 26-129 PETIT JACKSON, MOCIENCE E. V. STAAT DER NEDERLANDEN, ET AL.
 26-143 TOWNSEND, BONNIE V. BLC LEXINGTON SNF, LLC, ET AL.
 26-147 SHROYER, GENE V. TUCK, DAVID

26-151 HAWKINS, ROXANNE, ET AL. V. AJMERA, KUNAL, ET AL.

26-152 ADKINS, DORA L. V. ROSSLYN SYNDICATE, LC

26-158 SIMMONS, CARIL, ET AL. V. ROCKVILLE CENTRE, NY, ET AL.

26-168 IRION, VAN R. V. USDC ED TN

26-169 HAHN, PHILIP E. V. BERGEN REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

26-172 FRENCH, VAL V. CITY OF SHAWNEE, KS, ET AL.

26-174 O. W. V. CARR, MARIE L., ET AL.

26-178 EARL, JAMES R. V. TEXAS

26-181 SAWICKI, MARIANNE V. WILSON, RICHARD A., ET AL.

26-189 CLARK, PAUL V. SUPREME COURT OF NJ

26-192 CHANG, VICKI V. VANDERWIELEN, ANDREW, ET AL.

26-197 MUTAAWE, ROBERT M. V. BLANCHE, ATT'Y GEN.

26-202 SNIDER, ERIN A. V. TX A&M UNIV.

26-203 SOLIMAN, SAMANTHA A. V. SWEDEN

26-207 PORRAS, BRYAN V. PAYNE, DIR., AR DOC

26-220 BOYD, BETH, ET AL. V. SHRINERS HOSP., ET AL.

26-221 PURDY, THEODORE J. V. KANSAS

26-228 FCA US, LLC V. OLSON, JEFFREY

26-229 GOLDSON, SEGWAYNE A. K. V. COMERFORD, SEC., FL DOC

26-233 ALBRECHT, AIMEE V. HART, SHERIFF, ROCK ISLAND CTY.

26-243 SALIS, OWOLABI V. BLANCHE, ATT'Y GEN.

26-252 LINTON, IVAN E. V. BLANCHE, ATT'Y GEN.

26-253 ALLONHILL, LLC V. STEWART LENDER SERVICES, INC.

26-259 RUDRA, SOUMYA V. UNITED STATES

26-270 JOHNSON, JOSEPH F. V. UNITED STATES

26-276 ASTACIO BURGESS, JOSE A. V. UNITED STATES

26-303 GAINES, QUIVADAS Q. V. UNITED STATES

26-305 ECHOLS, ANDRE L. V. HANSEL, TOM

26-324 BARRY, MIKE, ET AL. V. LOS ANGELES, CA
 26-329 PINEDA-BARRIENTOS, FRANCISCO V. MARYLAND
 26-337 CHILD, DENISE J. V. UNUM LIFE INSURANCE CO.
 26-357 CARMACK, WILLIS J. D. V. VALLEY GREEN PARK, ET AL.
 26-5025 LEDVINA, ALEXANDER W. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5093 JACQUETT, TARON V. JACQUETT, ESTEFANIA
 26-5197 COATE, ADAM V. SMITH, ELIZABETH A.
 26-5202 BATCHELOR, DAVID S. V. SAMPSON, WARDEN
 26-5203 AGUIRRE, RALPH J. V. TEXAS, ET AL.
 26-5208 BACKSTROM, STEVEN M. V. TEXAS
 26-5211 HUMPHREYS, STACEY I. V. GA BD. OF PARDONS, ET AL.
 26-5215 ENDRES, CHRISTOPHER, ET UX. V. SAN DIEGO HOUS. COMM'N, ET AL.
 26-5219 SMITH, SAMUEL L. V. FLORIDA
 26-5225 ZORIKOVA, ALLA V. LAMEY, TINA, ET AL.
 26-5234 CAPPIELLO, CHAD A. V. GUERRERO, DIR., TX DCJ
 26-5246 PATTON, JOHN W. V. WESTCOTT, SEC., LA DPSC, ET AL.
 26-5250 ELKABANY, AMRO N. V. SHAPIRO, JUDGE, ET AL.
 26-5252 ZIKRY, SANDRA A. V. BAYCARE HEALTH SYS., ET AL.
 26-5256 GRESHAM, BRYAN L. V. GUERRERO, DIR., TX DCJ
 26-5257 MASSEY, ROSS V. TEXAS
 26-5259 ANDREW, JUNIOR O. V. WASTE PRO OF FL, INC., ET AL.
 26-5263 SEXTON, DIANA R. V. SEXTON, GILBERT
 26-5272 WATKINS, CAMERON V. UNITED STATES
 26-5273 JOHNSON, ANTHONY V. MARYLAND
 26-5274 JOHNSON, WALTER L. V. COMERFORD, SEC., FL DOC
 26-5281 SER, GEORGIO M. V. BREITENBACH, WARDEN, ET AL.
 26-5288 CANFIELD, JERRY L. V. LUMPKIN, BOBBY, ET AL.
 26-5290 DOSSO, MAURICE V. PLANET HOME LENDING, LLC

26-5300 WINGER, MARK A. V. MAHER, WARDEN
26-5301 TICE, JAMES R. V. USDC WD PA
26-5314 DAVIS, THOMAS L. V. AL BD. OF PARDONS & PAROLES
26-5323 BENNETT, JOHN M. V. ILLINOIS
26-5337 WALKER, WILLIAM S. V. NORTH CAROLINA
26-5340 BERRY, CHARRONE S. V. CALIFORNIA
26-5344 GILMORE, BRIDGET V. WALMART, INC.
26-5356 CROFT, BRADLEY L. V. UNITED STATES
26-5392 CROSS, GEORGE E. V. SUPERIOR COURT OF CA, ET AL.
26-5404 ALEXANDER, LASHAWNDA V. UNITED STATES
26-5411 FRASURE, JOSEPH, ET AL. V. WYOMING, OHIO, ET AL.
26-5416 HACKETT, ANDREW V. UNITED STATES
26-5418 FALL, ROBERT M. V. UNITED STATES
26-5424 RODRIGUEZ, DIEGO V. ST. LUKE'S HEALTH SYS., ET AL.
26-5427 BRASHER, TERRANCE V. UNITED STATES
26-5429 PRASAD, ABHIJIT V. UNITED STATES
26-5431 HAYES, TERRANCE P. V. UNITED STATES
26-5432 FIELDS, KEVION M. V. ILLINOIS
26-5433 MORTON, RUSSELL V. STEINER, POSTMASTER GEN.
26-5438 PRASAD, ABHIJIT V. UNITED STATES
26-5439 TAKHVAR, CHRISTOPHER L. V. COMERFORD, SEC., FL DOC
26-5445 MABREY, KEVONTAY V. UNITED STATES
26-5449 MERCER, BARBARA J. V. STEWART, WARDEN
26-5450 FILLINE, CHRISTOPHER V. UNITED STATES
26-5451 SIERRA LA TORRES, ALEXANDER D. V. UNITED STATES
26-5452 JONES, DUSTIN O. V. UNITED STATES
26-5457 FRANKLIN, ROY O. V. UNITED STATES
26-5473 TORGERSON, DAVID V. UNITED STATES

26-5474 COOK, JAMIE L. V. UNITED STATES
26-5484 TAYLOR, QUINCY M. V. UNITED STATES
26-5485 WIEN, CALEB R. V. UNITED STATES
26-5487 HIGGINS, LEONARD J. V. UNITED STATES
26-5488 ZAPATA, STEPHEN B. V. UNITED STATES
26-5489 LANZA-RIOS, HAMILTON D. V. UNITED STATES
26-5490 LOVE, EARL F. V. UNITED STATES
26-5491 GOKLU, MUSTAFA V. UNITED STATES
26-5492 C., SEBASTIAN A. V. MARYLAND
26-5499 SWINDLE, ADAM S. V. WASHINGTON, WARDEN
26-5501 REYES-GONZALEZ, AUGUSTO V. UNITED STATES
26-5503 JACKSON, CORNELIUS M. V. UNITED STATES
26-5504 CARDENAS-RAMIREZ, CARLOS V. UNITED STATES
26-5505 JOHNSON, MORONI V. UNITED STATES
26-5507 COSNER, CHRIS E. V. UNITED STATES
26-5509 LEES, BRIAN V. LIZOTTE, SUPT., NORFOLK
26-5514 ROGERS, KEITH V. UNITED STATES
26-5519 RISBY, WILLIAM M. V. PATE, WARDEN
26-5520 NUNO-LOPEZ, CRISTIAN V. UNITED STATES
26-5523 MARTINEZ CECIAS RODRIGUEZ, JUAN V. USDC WD TX
26-5527 VILLARREAL, OMAR V. UNITED STATES
26-5529 MARTINEZ MEDINA, JUAN L. V. UNITED STATES
26-5530 HOID, EDWARD V. ATT'Y GEN. OF CO, ET AL.
26-5531 DITTO, CHARLES V. UNITED STATES
26-5545 SHRADER, GEORGE V. COMERFORD, SEC., FL DOC, ET AL.
26-5548 MARTINEZ, ADALBERTO V. MASSACHUSETTS
26-5550 COREA-RAMOS, MAYKEL V. UNITED STATES
26-5551 WHITE, KENNETH V. USDC SD IL

26-5552 EITEL, MARY M. V. STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC
 26-5553 SCOVOTTO, ANTHONY F. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5556 SMITH, TERRILL V. UNITED STATES
 26-5557 GONZALEZ-LOPEZ, JUAN V. UNITED STATES
 26-5559 RYAN, JAMES M. V. MARYLAND
 26-5564 SANDO, SAM V. UNITED STATES
 26-5565 TARDIEFF, TERRANCE J. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5566 VERHONICH, BRYCE T. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5568 VELINOV, PLAMEN G. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5569 GONZALEZ, BENJAMIN B. V. IOWA
 26-5570 SCOTT, JAMAR V. UNITED STATES
 26-5579 BESSEY, TRAVIS V. UNITED STATES
 26-5582 KNOX, JAMAL V. UNITED STATES
 26-5583 DILL, RODNEY V. UNITED STATES
 26-5584 RAGSDALE, XZAVION D. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5585 RUBELL, ANDRE D. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5590 MARTE-ROBLE, FRANCISCO V. UNITED STATES
 26-5591 SALINAS, EDWIN G. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5604 BELTRAN-NINO, JOSE L. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5616 WILLIAMS, JERRY V. ILLINOIS
 26-5617 JEFFERSON, ROSS A. V. UNITED STATES
 26-5622 WOODS, TERONE V. COMERFORD, SEC., FL DOC

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

26-5287 WHITNEY, JAMES E. V. SOUTHERN, LINDA, ET AL.
 26-5309 WHITNEY, JAMES E. V. USCIS SENIOR AGENT, ET AL.

The motions of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* are denied, and the petitions for writs of certiorari are dismissed. See Rule 39.8. As the petitioner has

repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (*per curiam*). Justice Jackson, dissenting: I respectfully dissent from the orders barring this incarcerated petitioner from filing future *in forma pauperis* petitions in noncriminal matters. See *Howell v. Circuit Court of Indiana*, 607 U. S. ____ (2026) (Jackson, J., dissenting).

HABEAS CORPUS DENIED

26-5555 IN RE JAMES C. CASTLE

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

26-5136 IN RE CARLA DAVIS, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner Jalen Davis for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied. Petitioner is allowed until November 3, 2026, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus as to petitioner Carla Davis is denied.

MANDAMUS DENIED

26-5254 IN RE WAYNE P. VANCE

26-5283 IN RE BRIDGET GILMORE

26-5324 IN RE CHRISTOPHER BARKSDALE

The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied.

26-5306 IN RE ANDREA ROWLETTE

The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is denied.

REHEARINGS DENIED

25-7382 IN RE RAYMOND R. PEOPLES

The petition for rehearing is denied.

25-7202 HAUSCH, KURT N. V. FLORIDA

The motion for leave to file a petition for rehearing is denied.

Statement of SOTOMAYOR, J.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JESUS HERRERA-SALAZAR v. UNITED STATES

**ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

No. 25–6989. Decided October 13, 2026

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Statement of JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE GORSUCH joins, respecting the denial of certiorari.

Jesus Herrera-Salazar was indicted in 2020 on one count of being “found in” the United States following removal, in violation of 8 U. S. C. §1326(a). He pleaded guilty and served his term of imprisonment. While on supervised release, during which he was expressly instructed not to leave the Eastern District of Oklahoma, Herrera-Salazar was pulled over in a traffic stop in Heavener, Oklahoma, and once again arrested and indicted under §1326(a). He was convicted by a jury and sentenced to new terms of imprisonment and supervised release. Because I hope the facts of this case are anomalous and because Herrera-Salazar has since been removed from the United States, I concur in the Court’s decision not to grant review in this case. I write, however, to underscore the serious constitutional problems that plague the Government’s treatment of Herrera-Salazar.

After being once convicted and punished for being found in the United States and, as part of his original sentence, prohibited from leaving the United States, Herrera-Salazar was convicted and punished for being found in the United States a second time. As an initial matter, it is not clear that the statutory language “found in . . . the United States” can fairly be read to encompass a person who has already been found by the Government and compelled to remain in the United States under Government supervision. Even assuming that it can, however, Herrera-Salazar’s second

Statement of SOTOMAYOR, J.

conviction is difficult to square with the Constitution’s admonition that no person shall “be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb.” Amdt. 5. As our precedents explain, “the Double Jeopardy Clause protects against a second prosecution for the same offense after conviction.” *Currier v. Virginia*, 585 U. S. 493, 501 (2018) (internal quotation marks omitted). The question in a case like this is what constitutes the “same offense.”

In rejecting Herrera-Salazar’s double jeopardy objections, the Tenth Circuit looked to the text of §1326(a) to answer that question. It concluded that because the “‘allowable unit of prosecution’” did not require a separate predicate reentry for each violation of the statute, see *Sanabria v. United States*, 437 U. S. 54, 69 (1978), each of Herrera-Salazar’s prosecutions and convictions was for a separate offense. By those lights, with a single unlawful reentry supplying the necessary statutory predicate, a person in Herrera-Salazar’s shoes can be subjected to repeated, limitless “found in” charges without offending the Constitution.

“The Double Jeopardy Clause is not such a fragile guarantee that prosecutors can avoid its limitations by the simple expedient of dividing a single crime into a series of temporal or spatial units.” *Brown v. Ohio*, 432 U. S. 161, 169 (1977). Yet that is just what the Government did here. Herrera-Salazar was convicted of “a crime which was continuous, extending over the whole period” he was present in the United States after his previous removal and reentry. *In re Nielsen*, 131 U. S. 176, 187 (1889). That continuous crime plainly could not have concluded until the Government allowed Herrera-Salazar to leave the country. Because the crime of being found in the United States was thus still ongoing at the time of his second arrest, the Government’s theory rests on the premise that Herrera-Salazar could be charged, convicted, and punished twice solely “because the charges against him focused on different parts of” his compelled continuous presence in the United States.

Statement of SOTOMAYOR, J.

Brown, 432 U. S., at 169 (recognizing a double jeopardy violation where the petitioner faced two charges based on two points of time within a continuous “9-day joyride”).

When a defendant is convicted of a crime that involves continuous, uninterrupted conduct, our precedents and the logic of the Double Jeopardy Clause strongly suggest that the Government is barred from charging that defendant with the same continuous crime for a second time simply by focusing on a different time marker. That makes sense. Were it otherwise, there might be “‘an indictment covering each of the . . . months’” of the continuous crime, “‘or even an indictment covering every week.’” *In re Nielsen*, 131 U. S., at 186. There is even more force to this double jeopardy objection where the Government itself effectively forbids the defendant to cease his unlawful conduct. Here, indeed, the Government might have charged Herrera-Salazar with a separate violation of §1326(a) for each day that he was “found in” prison while serving his sentence for the first conviction. Absurd as that seems, it is a logical outgrowth of the Government’s contention that Herrera-Salazar’s second §1326(a) conviction poses no double jeopardy problem.

* * *

From the moment he was released from custody after serving his first term of imprisonment, Herrera-Salazar was presented with a Hobson’s choice. In the Government’s eyes, whether Herrera-Salazar remained in the Eastern District of Oklahoma or left the United States, he would be breaking the law. While Herrera-Salazar was caught in this bind, the Government prosecuted and punished him yet again for being “found in” the United States, even though all agree he was forbidden to leave the United States. Should circumstances like these arise again, I encourage courts to avoid the constitutional absurdity that occurred here and give the Double Jeopardy Clause its full weight and meaning.