(ORDER LIST: 580 U.S.)

MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2017

ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

16M74 WI-LAN USA, INC., ET AL. V. APPLE INC.

The motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari with the supplemental appendix under seal is granted.

16M75 WOODMAN'S FOOD MARKET, INC. V. CLOROX CO., ET AL.

The motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari under seal with redacted copies for the public record is granted.

15-118 HERNANDEZ, JESUS C., ET AL. V. MESA, JESUS, ET AL.

The motion of Federal Respondents for divided argument is granted.

15-1248 McLANE CO. V. EEOC

The motion of respondent for allocation of argument time is granted.

16-142 HONEYCUTT, TERRY M. V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner to dispense with printing the joint appendix is granted.

16-6895 ROBERTS, REGINALD A. V. FERMAN, RISA V., ET AL.

16-6913 CACERES, MIGUEL V. SKANSKA USA BUILDING, ET AL.

The motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis are denied. Petitioners are allowed until February 13, 2017, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

CERTIORARI DENIED

15-1527) ARONSHTEIN, DIMITRY V. UNITED STATES 15-1528 MAZER, MARK V. UNITED STATES 16-152) DENAULT, GERARD V. UNITED STATES 15-1539 KALEY, BRIAN P. V. UNITED STATES 16-257 HAWKINS, DAX V. WOODS, WARDEN 16-333 BROWN, KODY, ET AL. V. BUHMAN, JEFFREY R. H&R BLOCK, INC., ET AL. V. LOPEZ, MANUEL 16-392 16-435 IOWA V. MARSHALL, JUSTIN A. 16-455 DRYWALL DYNAMICS, INC. V. SOUTHWEST REG. COUNCIL 16-471 CEJA-LUA, ABEL V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN. 16-521 MARSHALL, SANDRA V. HONEYWELL TECHNOLOGY, ET AL. 16-595 ARTHUR, THOMAS D. V. ALABAMA 16-642 GROSSMAN, DENNIS A. V. WEHRLE, DAVID 16-655 LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA, ET AL. V. CASTRO, JONATHAN M. 16-657 VON GOETZMAN, ROBERT V. SCHREIBER ENTERPRISES, ET AL. 16-659 BERRON, JOHN, ET AL. V. ICCLRB, ET AL. 16-660 AGUAYO, TIFFANY L., ET AL. V. JEWELL, SEC. OF INTERIOR, ET AL. 16-663 RUBEY, THOMAS C. V. VANNETT, VALERIE A. SNYDER, ROBERT V. GROUNDS, WARDEN 16-665 16-669 U.S., EX REL. JALLALI V. SUN HEALTHCARE GROUP, ET AL. 16-671 STOKES, BRANDI K. V. CORSBIE, CHRISTOPHER L. 16-696 FRAKES, GARY V. OTT, DUSTIN, ET AL. 16-702 RUSSELL, JUSTIN P. V. TEXAS 16-751 LANDELL, ANTONIO W. V. DEPT. OF DEFENSE BARE, SAMUEL A., ET AL. V. ASSURANCE GROUP, INC. 16-761 MEDINA, ROBERT V. UNITED STATES 16-766

MARGARYAN, ARTUSH V. UNITED STATES

16-787

- 16-5515 BROWN, THOMAS L. V. OVERMYER, SUPT., FOREST, ET AL.
- 16-5726 SHAW, AUBREY V. ALABAMA
- 16-5779 COLE, CARLYLE L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6018 RODRIGUEZ, MIGUEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6049 HILL, JOHN O. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6054 HOLMES, ERIC D. V. NEAL, SUPT., IN STATE PRISON
- 16-6113 LOPEZ-AQUIRRE, RAMIRO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6203) WYMER, GARY J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6886) WYMER, MICHAEL G. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6456 NURITDINOVA, MUKHABBAT V. CHILDRENS HOSP. MED. CTR.
- 16-6460 MOON, TERRANCE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6475 McBRIDE, WILLIAM J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6498 DAMREN, FLOYD V. FLORIDA, ET AL.
- 16-6603 SULLY, ANTHONY V. DAVIS, WARDEN
- 16-6746 BOHANNON, JERRY V. ALABAMA
- 16-6882 DeNOCE, DOUGLAS J. V. NEFF, RONALD
- 16-6883 DAVIS, MARCEL V. TEXAS
- 16-6891 CONYERS-CARSON, JOYCE V. GERMANTOWN HOMES, ET AL.
- 16-6899 STEELMAN, JOHN V. LONG, WARDEN
- 16-6901 SANAI, FREDERIC V. USDC WD WA
- 16-6902 SCOTT, JAMES C. V. GROVES, CHERYL, ET AL.
- 16-6904 JOHNSON, ELWOOD V. MOONEY, SUPT., RETREAT, ET AL.
- 16-6907 CANALES, RAMIRO V. FOX, ASST. WARDEN, ET AL.
- 16-6911 CAMPBELL, ANTHONY T. V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC
- 16-6915 JOHNSON, STEVEN V. MICHIGAN, ET AL.
- 16-6917 WIGGINS, EMMA V. ROCKFORD HOUSING AUTH., ET AL.
- 16-6918 WELLS, JOHN V. OHIO
- 16-6920 WELLS, CARL D. V. KONVISER, ACTING JUSTICE, ET AL.

- 16-6940 TSCHEU, DAVID M. V. SMITH, WARDEN
- 16-6964 KNOX, IASHIA V. STEWART, WARDEN
- 16-6985 BAKER, PATRICK D. V. URS FEDERAL SERVICES
- 16-7025 JONES, LARRY V. McCULLICK, ACTING WARDEN
- 16-7038 McKENZIE, GUSTAVO V. JORIZZO, PAUL, ET AL.
- 16-7044 OBERMILLER, DENNY V. OHIO
- 16-7079 RICHARD TT. V. NEW YORK
- 16-7086 SAUNDERS, CRAIG V. GARMAN, SUPT., ROCKVIEW, ET AL.
- 16-7164 SCOTT, RICHARD A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7180 STENHOUSE, TISHAUN V. ARKANSAS
- 16-7194 KOLE, BENJAMIN V. COLORADO
- 16-7208 ADEOLU, ADEKUNLE A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7216 CARON, CRAYA C. V. STATE BAR OF CA
- 16-7218 SPICER, DEONTE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7222 SYLVESTER, JAMES R. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7226 VALENCIA, ALEJANDRO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7227 BATTS, JASON V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7231) MARKOSIAN, KAREN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7247) SHARPOPETROSIAN, ARMAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7240) CRUZ, ARTURO, ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7259) VASQUEZ, JESSE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7241 CASEY, LASHAUN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7242 EVANS, MARIO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7243 DAVIS, TERRY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7250 HASLAM, DANIEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7255 FREEBURG, RYAN A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7258 VIDES-CONTRERAS, VICTOR V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7260 THOMAS, MARIO V. UNITED STATES

| 16-7261 | TOWNS, PATRICK V. UNITED STATES |
|---|--|
| 16-7266 | ABAKPORO, IFEANYICHUKWU E. V. UNITED STATES |
| 16-7275 | BROWN, ANGUS V. UNITED STATES |
| 16-7283 | LAMAR, ANDREW M. V. COLORADO |
| 16-7310 | BARTOK, ANDREW V. UNITED STATES |
| 16-7328 | ANDREWS, CHRISTOPHER V. INDIRECT PURCHASER CLASS |
| | The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied. |
| 16-670 | VON SCHOENBECK, JOHANNA V. KONINKLIJKE, LUCHTVAART |
| | The motion of Flyers Rights Education Fund and Travelers |
| | United for leave to file a brief as amici curiae is granted. |
| | The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. |
| 16-7249 | HARRIS, TROY V. UNITED STATES |
| | The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Justice |
| | Sotomayor took no part in the consideration or decision of this |
| | petition. |
| MANDAMUS DENIED | |
| | MANDAMUS DENIED |
| 16-6890 | MANDAMUS DENIED IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. |
| 16-6890 16-6919 | |
| | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. |
| | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS |
| 16-6919 | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. |
| 16-6919 | <pre>IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL</pre> |
| 16-6919 | <pre>IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is</pre> |
| 16-6919 | <pre>IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is denied.</pre> |
| 16-6919 16-720 | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is denied. REHEARINGS DENIED |
| 16-6919 16-720 15-1490 | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is denied. REHEARINGS DENIED STANFORD, ROBERT A. V. UNITED STATES |
| 16-6919 16-720 15-1490 16-5295 | IN RE KEN CALDWELL, ET UX. IN RE MELVIN J. THOMAS The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied. IN RE DOUGLAS J. MACNEILL The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition is denied. REHEARINGS DENIED STANFORD, ROBERT A. V. UNITED STATES RAY, DOMINEQUE V. AL DOC, ET AL. |

| 16-5714 | ALLEN, STANLEY F. V. WILLIAMS, DOROTHY, ET AL. |
|---------|---|
| 16-5864 | MOSS, DAVID L. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ |
| 16-5887 | WALKER, ISAAC J. V. CARTLEDGE, WARDEN |
| 16-5890 | NEWELL, CHIQUITA V. ALDEN VILLAGE HEALTH FACILITY |
| 16-5919 | ROTHER, ALLAN L. V. CLARKE, DIR., VA DOC |
| 16-5940 | TAVARES, TULLY V. UNITED AIRLINES, ET AL. |
| 16-5946 | REDFORD, MIKE V. GEORGIA |
| 16-5957 | JOHNSON, THERESA A. V. BEACH PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT |
| 16-6095 | WELLS, CARL D. V. NEW YORK, NY, ET AL. |
| 16-6227 | MARR, TIMOTHY A. V. HARKNESS, JOHN F. |
| 16-6643 | STRECKER, ROBERT V. UNITED STATES |

The petitions for rehearing are denied.

Statement of ROBERTS, C. J.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GREG ABBOTT, GOVERNOR OF TEXAS, ET AL. v. MARC VEASEY, ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-393. Decided January 23, 2017

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Statement of CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS respecting the denial of certiorari.

In 2011, the Texas Legislature enacted Senate Bill 14 (SB14). The law requires voters to present government-issued photo identification before, or shortly after, casting a ballot in person. The United States and private plaintiffs filed suit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas seeking to enjoin enforcement of the law. They argued that SB14 violates the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments because the Texas Legislature acted with discriminatory intent, and that the law violates §2 of the Voting Rights Act because it "results in a denial or abridgment of the right . . . to vote on account of race or color." After conducting a bench trial, the District Court ruled in plaintiffs' favor on both claims and enjoined the voter-identification provisions of SB14. Veasey v. Perry, 71 F. Supp. 3d 627, 633, 707 (2014).

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit stayed the injunction, heard the case en banc, and sent it back to the District Court. First, the Fifth Circuit vacated the District Court's finding of discriminatory intent and remanded for further consideration of the facts. 830 F. 3d 216, 230 (2016). Second, the court affirmed the District Court's conclusion that SB14 violates §2 of the Voting Rights Act. *Id.*, at 264–265. Because the §2 violation did not justify enjoining SB14 in its entirety, however, the

Statement of ROBERTS, C. J.

court remanded for further proceedings on an appropriate remedy. *Id.*, at 268–271. Six judges would have reversed the District Court's conclusion that SB14 is unconstitutional and violates §2. *Id.*, at 280, 326 (opinion of Jones, J. and Elrod, J.).

The Texas officials who are defendants in this lawsuit have petitioned for certiorari. Their petition asks the Court to review whether the Texas Legislature enacted SB14 with a discriminatory purpose and whether the law results in a denial or abridgment of the right to vote under §2. Although there is no barrier to our review, the discriminatory purpose claim is in an interlocutory posture, having been remanded for further consideration. As for the §2 claim, the District Court has yet to enter a final remedial order. Petitioners may raise either or both issues again after entry of final judgment. The issues will be better suited for certiorari review at that time.