(ORDER LIST: 580 U.S.)

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 2017

APPEAL -- SUMMARY DISPOSITION

16-588 PARROTT, NEIL, ET AL. V. LAMONE, LINDA H., ET AL. The motion of The Campaign Legal Center, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

CERTIORARI -- SUMMARY DISPOSITION

16-6430 PHILLIPS, PRESTON C. V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit for further consideration in light of *Mathis* v. *United States*, 579 U. S. ____ (2016).

ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

- 16M65 HEAGNEY-O'HARA, JUDITH A. V. COLVIN, ACTING COMM'R, SSA
- 16M66 SCHAEFER, SUSANNA V. ATHENS-CLARKE COUNTY, GA, ET AL.
- 16M67 FRIES, RICHARD G. V. UNITED STATES

The motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time are denied.

16M69 TAUBMAN, JOSEPH V. HEDGPETH, WARDEN

The motion for leave to proceed as a veteran is denied.

16M70 BOYAJIAN, RONALD V. YENTIENG, OM, ET AL.

The motion for leave to file a Bill of Complaint is denied. 16M71 HOOKS, TARIK V. UNITED STATES

16M72 PERRY, LEE V. RAILROAD COMM'N OF TX, ET AL.

The motions to direct the Clerk to file petitions for writs of certiorari out of time are denied.

15-1031 HOWELL, JOHN V. HOWELL, SANDRA

The motion of petitioner to dispense with printing the joint appendix is granted.

16-26 BULK JULIANA, ET AL. V. WORLD FUEL SERVICES

The Acting Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States.

16-334 BANK MELLI V. BENNETT, MICHAEL, ET AL.

The Acting Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States. Justice Breyer took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

16-473 FENKELL, DAVID B. V. ALLIANCE HOLDINGS, ET AL.

The Acting Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States.

16-534 RUBIN, JENNY, ET AL. V. IRAN, ET AL.

The Acting Solicitor General is invited to file a brief in this case expressing the views of the United States. Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

16-6094 WOODWORTH, MURRAY A. V. SHARTLE, WARDEN

16-6278 IN RE DAVID A. CHRISTENSON

16-6345 IN RE DAVID A. CHRISTENSON

The motions of petitioners for reconsideration of orders denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* are denied.

16-6446 LEASCHAUER, EITAN V. HUERTA, ADM'R, FAA

- 16-6447 LEASCHAUER, EITAN V. NTSB, ET AL.
- 16-6469 LEASCHAUER, EITAN V. FAA, ET AL.
- 16-6513 KNOX, SHIRLEY A. V. COLVIN, ACTING COMM'R OF SSA
- 16-6580 PUGH, ADRIAN D. V. MONTGOMERY CTY. BD. OF ED
- 16-6676 A. C. S. V. FLORIDA BOARD OF BAR EXAMINERS
- 16-6806 WEST, DAVID V. CIR
- 16-6813 MOSTAGHIM, CAMERON V. STATE BAR OF CA
- 16-6843 JOHNSON, LYNN M. V. BAE SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.
- 16-6845 ADAMS, CHARLES D. V. MSPB, ET AL.
- 16-6846 WALKER, FRANK SALMERON V. COLVIN, ACTING COMM'R, SSA
- 16-6909 GILES, STEVEN B. V. BECKSTROM, WARDEN

The motions of petitioners for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* are denied. Petitioners are allowed until January 30, 2017, within which to pay the docketing fees required by Rule 38(a) and to submit petitions in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

CERTIORARI DENIED

- 15-830 BELIZE V. BELIZE SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT LTD.
- 15-1044 PA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE V. PELE, LEE
- 15-1045 PA HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE V. UNITED STATES, EX REL. OBERG
- 15-1419 KREIPKE, CHRISTIAN V. WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
- 15-1437 UNITED STATES, EX REL. WILLETTE V. UNIVERSITY OF MA, WORCESTER
- 15-9685 BRIGGS, GARRON T. V. UNITED STATES
- 15-9695 ROBERTS, GREGORY V. WORKERS' COMP. APPEAL BD.
- 15-9700 BELL, RONNIE V. MATTHEWS, ELTON
- 16-14 FLYTENOW, INC. V. FAA
- 16-50 BRUMANT, ALICIA V. TEXAS
- 16-89 BENZEMANN, ALEXANDER A. V. CITIBANK N.A., ET AL.

- 16-135 BELIZE V. NEWCO LIMITED
- 16-136 BELIZE V. BCB HOLDINGS LIMITED, ET AL.
- 16-160 HARPER, FRANK V. UNITED STATES
- 16-175 RADCLIFFE, ROBERT, ET AL. V. HERNANDEZ, JOSE, ET AL.
- 16-186 FOSTER, ARLEN, ET UX. V. VILSACK, SEC. OF AGRIC.
- 16-203 FAUST, JOEY D., ET AL. V. TEXAS
- 16-236 MILBAUER, RICHARD S. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-253 BINFORD, LEON T. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-287 SAI V. TSA
- 16-302 GEO GROUP V. EEOC, ET AL.
- 16-313 ARKANSAS STATE POLICE, ET AL. V. WREN, DANIEL E.
- 16-331 MCNB BANK AND TRUST CO. V. WEST VIRGINIA, EX REL. DOT
- 16-345 GUGLIUZZA, CHARLES V. FTC
- 16-346 C. A. F., ET AL. V. VIACOM INC., ET AL.
- 16-347 CHEMTECH ROYALTY ASSOC., ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-352 SECURITY UNIVERSITY, LLC, ET AL. V. INT'L INFORMATION SYSTEMS
- 16-358 DYNAMO HOLDINGS LIMITED V. UNITED STATES, ET AL.
- 16-361 UNITED STATES, EX REL. PURCELL V. MWI CORPORATION
- 16-367 WATSON, ROBERT C. V. SIMS, BETH, ET AL.
- 16-382 THOMAS, KATHERINE V. MOODY, DARREN, ET AL.
- 16-397 FANNING, JOHN V. FTC
- 16-408 KMART CORP. V. U.S., EX REL. GARBE
- 16-415 RICH, FOSTER V. SHRADER, RALPH W., ET AL.
- 16-428 SINO LEGEND CHEMICAL CO. ET AL. V. INT'L TRADE COMM'N, ET AL.
- 16-449 BOHANNON, JONATHAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-450 TUNG, MING, ET AL. V. CHINA BUDDHIST ASSN., ET AL.
- 16-461 CHRISTENSEN, TERRY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-463 FIRST HORIZON SECURITIES, ET AL. V. FDIC

- 16-472 KARANGWA, HILAIRE D. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-474 GREEN, RICHARD V. GREEN, ROBERT J., ET AL.
- 16-475 WHEELING & LAKE ERIE R. CO. V. BHD. OF LOCOMOTIVE, ET AL.
- 16-478 ASLAM, JAVED V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-484 SERNA, JOSE, ET AL. V. TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION, ET AL.
- 16-487 GATEWAY ESTATES, INC. V. NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DE, ET AL.
- 16-488 FIELDS, ARDIC C. V. VANNOY, WARDEN
- 16-490 FLINT, EDWARD H. V. HEWLETT PACKARD CO.
- 16-494 BIOLITEC AG, ET AL. V. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC.
- 16-507 TAYLOR, DONALD V. NEVADA
- 16-509 HERRERA, MIGUEL A. V. TEXAS
- 16-510 DEVANEY, JOHN V. NARRAGANSETT, RI, ET AL.
- 16-512 KOZIOL, LEON R. V. KING, DANIEL, ET AL.
- 16-516 DIAZ, ROBINSON A. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-517 MALASKY, HANK V. MALASKY, MARTIN, ET AL.
- 16-518 FARMER, SHAREL V. EAGLE SYSTEMS, ET AL.
- 16-519 GIL-DE LA MADRID, JULIO E. V. BOWLES CUSTOM POOLS & SPA
- 16-525 NAAMJP, ET AL. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN., ET AL.
- 16-527 ORELLANA, CINDY V. LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA, ET AL.
- 16-528 PALDO SIGN AND DISPLAY CO. V. WAGENER EQUITIES, ET AL.
- 16-530 KIDD, LINDA, ET AL. V. DOE, SUE, ET AL.
- 16-532 DE SOUZA, RONAN A. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-539 MACKINAC TRIBE V. JEWELL, SEC. OF INTERIOR
- 16-541 EDWARDS, DRALVES G. V. BURWELL, SEC. OF H&HS, ET AL.
- 16-542 ERGUR PRIVATE EQUITY GROUP V. CATRON, GARY
- 16-546 WICKY, JESSICA L. V. OXONIAN, CRISANTO C., ET AL.
- 16-550 THOMAS, DANIEL P., ET AL. V. COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, CA, ET AL.
- 16-551 EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC V. SILVER SPRING NETWORKS, INC.

- 16-552 McLAUGHLIN, WARDEN V. LEJEUENE, MICHAEL
- 16-553 BOLUS, ROBERT, ET AL. V. FLEETWOOD RV, INC., ET AL.
- 16-555 DURHAM, SHERRIE L. V. HASLAM, GOV. OF TN, ET AL.
- 16-556 KELLER, HARRY, ET AL. V. HERDER SPRING HUNTING CLUB
- 16-557 XU, YAN PING V. NY CITY DEPT. OF HEALTH
- 16-559 KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., ET AL. V. WASHINGTON
- 16-560 ASCIRA PARTNERS, LLC, ET AL. V. DANIEL, SCOTT, ET AL.
- 16-561 WANG, JIAN V. IBM
- 16-563 STALLINGS, PHYLLIS V. DETROIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS
- 16-566 JONES, KIM V. SANDUSKY COUNTY, OH, ET AL.
- 16-568 PATTERSON, R. WAYNE V. U.S. SENATE, ET AL.
- 16-570 COTTRELL, STANLEY W. V. SMITH, PEGGY, ET AL.
- 16-573 FOUDY, TONI, ET VIR V. MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FL
- 16-575 HARRIS, KEITH V. HAHN, HAROLD, ET AL.
- 16-580 LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF OHIO, ET AL V. HUSTED, OH SEC. OF STATE, ET AL.
- 16-583 FLINT, EDWARD H. V. COACH HOUSE, INC.
- 16-584 HAWKINS, FLORDELIZA V. SUNTRUST BANK
- 16-585 FLINT, EDWARD H. V. KY ETHICS COMMISSION
- 16-587 UNARA, DONATUS U. V. MSPB, ET AL.
- 16-590 MOORE, JACSON V. LOUISIANA
- 16-591 MCKEEVER, HEATHER, ET VIR V. GMAC MORTGAGE, ET AL.
- 16-592 SPIEGEL, MARSHALL V. NOVACK, JUDGE, ETC.
- 16-593 SELF-INSURANCE INST. OF AMERICA V. SNYDER, GOV. OF MI, ET AL.
- 16-594 MALOFIY, FRANCIS V. USDC ED PA
- 16-597 BECKER, DENNLY R. V. WELLS FARGO BANK, NA, ET AL.
- 16-598 PUBLIC INTEREST LAW FIRM, ET AL. V. STATE BAR OF NEVADA
- 16-600 VENTURA, JESSE V. KYLE, TAYA
- 16-604 CANUTO, TERESITA A. V. UNITED STATES

- 16-606 KINNEY, CHARLES G. V. SUPREME COURT OF CA, ET AL.
- 16-607 WELCOME, JAMES R. V. MABUS, SEC. OF NAVY
- 16-608 CHAVEZ-OCHOA, JOSE V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-611 ATTALLAH, AHDY L. V. NY COLLEGE OF MEDICINE, ET AL.
- 16-614 CARTER, JOHN E. V. SLATERY, ATT'Y GEN. OF TN
- 16-615 BLAKE, SONJA V. JOSSART, DEBRA, ET AL.
- 16-616 NISENAN TRIBE, ET AL. V. JEWELL, SEC. OF INTERIOR, ET AL.
- 16-618 FLANDER, SUSAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-621 SOLARI, STEVEN V. NEW JERSEY
- 16-622 PAUNESCU, IOAN, ET UX. V. ECKERT, GERHARD H., ET AL.
- 16-624 SNELLING, LONNIE V. KENNY, PATRICK J., ET AL.
- 16-625 RODRIGUEZ, ROSA, ET AL. V. HOUSTON, TX
- 16-627 McNICOL, MARCI V. UNITED STATES
- 16-630 CHHABRA, VIJAY K. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-633 HOLKESVIG, RANDY V. SUPREME COURT OF ND
- 16-640 ASBACH, TYLER V. NORTH DAKOTA
- 16-644 CRANTS, DOCTOR R. V. GOTTLIEB & GOTTLIEB, P.A.
- 16-645 SUN, LINGFEI V. NEW YORK, NY, ET AL.
- 16-647 FINAL CALL, INC. V. MUHAMMAD-ALI, JESUS
- 16-651 FARSTONE TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. APPLE INC.
- 16-654 MARTIN, DAWN V., ET AL. V. LONG AND FOSTER, ET AL.
- 16-662 JIMENEZ-MORALES, DINO V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-666 BARRAZA, LAURA A. V. UNITED STATES, ET AL.
- 16-667 LILES, WADE F. V. FLORIDA
- 16-675 GWINN, ODDIS K. V. MICHIGAN
- 16-691 BROWN, EZELL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-700 ARBANAS, MATTHEW R. V. TEXAS
- 16-713 DAVID NETZER CONSULTING V. SHELL OIL CO., ET AL.

- 16-715 WILSON, LAYNE V. JAMES, DEBORAH, ET AL.
- 16-716 SPECTOR, PHILLIP V. DIAZ, WARDEN
- 16-717 PATEL, RAJESH V. GA DEPT. OF BEHAVIOR HEALTH
- 16-719 GONZALEZ, CARLOS V. HUERTA, ABEL
- 16-727 DAVIS, SEPHORA K. V. EVANS, ANDREA W., ET AL.
- 16-740 EZRA, BEVERLY J. V. DCC LITIGATION FACILITY, INC.
- 16-5186 GONZALEZ SANTANA, RAFAEL V. NEW JERSEY
- 16-5268 PRICE, ANTHONY V. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5339 TRANE, JOHN V. NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION
- 16-5364 ST. HILL, KEVIN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5568 HUDSON, JEROME V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5598 NIEVES, MARIA V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5604 HALE, KAJUAN D. V. BURT, WARDEN
- 16-5622 ROLAND, FARAD V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5647 HOLLINGSHED, FREDERICK V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5696 DAVIS, BRIAN E. V. TEXAS
- 16-5724 RODAS-DE LEON, INOEL J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5763 WARD, MARIO M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5789 PALACIOS-GOMEZ, PABLO F. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5827 HARTMAN, DOROTHY V. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, ET AL.
- 16-5851 WILSON, AARON V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5870 TURNER, TITAN V. NEW YORK
- 16-5912 RANGEL, PEDRO V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-5916 BURKHOLDER, JERRY L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5937 VELASCO, ARMIN C. V. RYAN, DIR., AZ DOC, ET AL.
- 16-5961 CARDENAS RAMIREZ, RUBEN V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-5969 CHAPMAN, RANDALE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6085 SIMON, ROBERT V. FISHER, COMM'R, MS DOC

- 16-6088 WARREN, GEORGE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6089 WHITE, BRENDA F. V. MATTHEWS, O. L., ET AL.
- 16-6132 FUENTES, DANNY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6135 MUHLENBERG, CAMERON V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6182 CUMMINGS, RAYNARD P. V. DAVIS, WARDEN
- 16-6251 LOPEZ-COLLAZO, AGUSTIN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6257 McGRIFF, VALERYA V. STATE CIVIL SERVICE COMM.
- 16-6260 CLEGG, KENNETH V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6307 MITCHELL, WILLIAM D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6366 LATIMER, CALVIN, ET UX. V. SSA, ET AL.
- 16-6367 BLACK, ANTHONY R. V. NEVADA
- 16-6368 JONES, ROBERT A. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6371 WILSON, HILLIARD V. WINN, WARDEN
- 16-6372 WILSON, JOHN J. V. CARLOS, JUAN, ET AL.
- 16-6381 HAYMON, GENERAL P. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6382 FINLEY, FRANCES M. V. EVANS, RICHARD P.
- 16-6399 SEKENDUR, ORAL V. U.S., EX REL. McCANDLISS
- 16-6401 FITZGERALD, DAWUD V. JOHNSON, ADM'R, NJ, ET AL.
- 16-6403 HYNOSKI, MARY P. V. ATWOOD MALONE TURNER, ET AL.
- 16-6405 COMEAUX, MICHAEL W. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6407 LEMAR, MANUEL R. V. AMERICAN TRADING CORPORATIONS
- 16-6408 JONES, LAVERT V. IL DEPT. OF HEALTHCARE, ET AL.
- 16-6414 WILKENS, ROBERT L. V. MICHIGAN
- 16-6415 WYCHE, QUENTIN R. V. FLORIDA
- 16-6416 JACOB H. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6419 ZOICA, EDMOND V. MACKIE, WARDEN
- 16-6420 WOODARD, ROBERT V. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6423 WALTON, MILTON T. V. DISTRICT COURT OF OK

- 16-6435 BROWN, KIRSHA V. FL STATE ATTORNEY OFFICE, ET AL.
- 16-6440 DURHAM, JACQUES M. V. TEXAS
- 16-6441 EVANS-BEY, LONNIE V. CASSADY, WARDEN
- 16-6442 CLERK, CEDRIC B. V. CASSADY, WARDEN
- 16-6449 ALEJANDRO, DANNY R. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6455 WILLIAMS, HERMON V. FLORIDA
- 16-6457 MICKEY, AUDREY L. V. SPANAGAL, JUDGE, ET AL.
- 16-6462 BRUMFIELD, GLENN A. V. VANNOY, WARDEN
- 16-6466 MCELROY, RALPH V. CASSADY, WARDEN
- 16-6467 CARTER, CEDRIC V. JENKINS, WARDEN
- 16-6472 STEVENS, DONALD R. V. BITER, WARDEN
- 16-6473 STOKES, DAVID L. V. RYAN, DIR., AZ DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6474 BROWN, NORMAN V. BOWERSOX, WARDEN
- 16-6479 ALFRED, JERRY N. V. BONDI, ATT'Y GEN. OF FL
- 16-6480 DAVIS, JEREMY V. GRIFFITH, WARDEN
- 16-6481 RALSTON-CHARNLEY, ISABELLA V. SOUTH PALM BEACH, FL, ET AL.
- 16-6494 MINGO, BRUCE V. RAEMISCH, RICK, ET AL.
- 16-6499 O'KROLEY, COLIN V. FASTCASE, ET AL.
- 16-6503 PETERSON, DONALD R. V. BRILL, CHIEF JUSTICE, ET AL.
- 16-6504 PATTON, KENNETH V. WILLIAMS, BRIAN, ET AL.
- 16-6510 BARNETT, RONALD V. FLORIDA
- 16-6511 HALE, MATTHEW V. JULIAN, WARDEN
- 16-6514 JAMALI, IMRAN A. V. MARICOPA COUNTY, AZ, ET AL.
- 16-6515 DRAPER, ERIC N. V. WHORTON, MIKE
- 16-6517 RAMSEY, EDWARD L. V. PASH, WARDEN
- 16-6518 BROWN, WENDELL V. INDIANA, ET AL.
- 16-6519 BROWN, WENDELL V. RAY SKILLMAN IMPORTS, ET AL.
- 16-6520 THARPE, ANTHONY V. CAPITOL ONE BANK

- 16-6522 WHITEHEAD, DAVID V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6523 CLAUDIO, RAYMOND V. JONES, SEC., FL DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6524 DEE, JOSEPH V. VANNOY, WARDEN
- 16-6527 MADDREY, DENNIS V. CLARK, SUPT., ALBION, ET AL.
- 16-6528 LOVINGS, CARL D. V. TEXAS
- 16-6529 LONGARIELLO, STEVE J. V. AURA AT MIDTOWN
- 16-6530 WILLIAMS, MICHAEL V. STEELE, WARDEN
- 16-6535 SMITH, JOSEPH E. V. PARAMO, WARDEN
- 16-6537 COPE, DOUGLAS A. V. OHIO
- 16-6539 HOCKENSMITH, BRYAN V. FLORIDA
- 16-6540 IRISH, LORI V. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, ET AL.
- 16-6541 GUZMAN-RIVADENEIRA, FELIX D. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-6542 FRASER, ROBERT V. FLORIDA
- 16-6545 FOSTER, ROBERT L. V. REYNOLDS, WARDEN
- 16-6551 SATERSTAD, EDWARD H. V. WINGARD, SUPT., SOMERSET
- 16-6552 RAMIREZ-GOMEZ, ANA M. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-6553 VANPELT, RONALD M. V. ILLINOIS
- 16-6554 WILLIAMS, JEREMY V. WHITE, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 16-6556 NETTLES, DAMOUS D. V. GROUNDS, WARDEN
- 16-6557 PROPST, SCOTTIE V. GEORGIA
- 16-6560 BROWN, ARETHA D. V. WILLIAMS, JAMES E.
- 16-6563 DeMOLA, NATALIE V. LATTIMORE, WARDEN
- 16-6564 ARMISTEAD, JAMES G. V. CLAY, WARDEN
- 16-6565 CARTER, CHERNARD V. GEORGIA
- 16-6567 BROWN, ERIC L. V. MISSISSIPPI
- 16-6568 BRIGHTLEY, HELMER V. CAPRA, SUPT., SING SING
- 16-6573 BOOTH, JIMMY V. PRINGLE, WARDEN
- 16-6575 STARUH, CANDICE V. TORMA, SUPT., CAMBRIDGE

- 16-6576 STYERS, JAMES L. V. RYAN, DIR. AZ DOC
- 16-6582 DOCHERTY, ALTON V. BUSH, WARDEN
- 16-6584 CHEATHAM, LARRY D. V. BAILEY, RONALD, ET AL.
- 16-6585 McCORMICK, CHARLES W. V. McFADDEN, WARDEN
- 16-6587 PEACOCK, MICHAEL A. V. PERRY, SEC., NC DPS
- 16-6589 PATTERSON, JAMES V. HOLLOWAY, WARDEN
- 16-6590 JOHNSON, DAMIEN V. MACKIE, WARDEN
- 16-6592) KIEL, KEITH A. V. UNITED STATES

)

- 16-6754) MARSHALL, RANDY L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6594 YANEY, MICHELLE S. V. SUPERIOR COURT OF CA, ET AL.
- 16-6598 MADDEN, PAUL V. BROWN, GOV. OF CA, ET AL.
- 16-6607 JONAS, SEON D. V. RYAN, DIR., AZ DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6618 WILLIAMS, MIGUEL V. KELLEY, DIR., AR DOC
- 16-6620 FITZGERALD, DAWSHON V. JOHNSON, ADM'R, NJ, ET AL.
- 16-6623 STRINGER, ROBERT V. GILMORE, SUPT., GREENE, ET AL.
- 16-6624 FULTON, ALVIN V. NEW YORK
- 16-6625 FARRAY, JEFFERSON D. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-6626 GAYOL, ROBERT V. ILLINOIS
- 16-6627 YOUNG, GREGORY L. V. LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA
- 16-6629 WEST, DEWEY V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC
- 16-6630 FREDERICK, WILLIAM M. V. CLARK, SUPT., ALBION
- 16-6631 HUNTER, DEMONDZA V. BALLARD, ET AL.
- 16-6633 FREEMAN, ANTONIO D. V. BRAZELTON, WARDEN
- 16-6634 HOWARD, STANLEY V. CLARK, SUPT., ALBION, ET AL.
- 16-6635 GOODMAN, TYRONE V. INDIANA
- 16-6636 WILLIAMS, RUFUS V. MACLAREN, WARDEN
- 16-6637 TAYLOR, STEVEN N. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6639 PORTER, BRIAN E. V. OHIO

- 16-6641 CARABALLO, FRANK V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6644 RICHARDSON, JACKIE H. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6645 RASAKI, SEGUN M. V. LYNN, TAMMY
- 16-6646 GARCIA, JOHN R. V. USPS
- 16-6649 RODRIGUEZ, JORNAY R. V. DAVEY, WARDEN
- 16-6651 HORTON, NAKIA V. GARMAN, SUPT., ROCKVIEW, ET AL.
- 16-6652 GIVENS, FRANCOIS V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6653 HUNTER, CRAIG D. V. MO DOC
- 16-6654 HATCHER, HASAAN V. CLARK, SUPT., ALBION, ET AL.
- 16-6655 FERREIRA, JEREMY B. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6656 GARDNER, MILTON L. V. TEXAS
- 16-6658 GARDNER, PHILLIP J. V. RYAN, S., ET AL.
- 16-6659 GOODMAN, KEITH D. V. PEARSON, WARDEN
- 16-6660 HICKLIN, JAMES W. V. STEELE, WARDEN
- 16-6662 JACKSON, GLORIA S. V. MEMPHIS, TN
- 16-6663 MANSHIP, JAMES R. V. MCAULIFFE, TERENCE R., ET AL.
- 16-6664 KELLY, VASHON V. VANNOY, WARDEN
- 16-6666 SATTLER, SCOTT E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6667 SERIO, RAYMOND V. ROHLFLING, NEAL
- 16-6669 METTS, AKEEM V. PENNSYLVANIA
- 16-6671 PHA, NENG S. V. SWARTHOUT, WARDEN
- 16-6672 GARCIA-TICAS, JOSE I. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6673 FLORENCE, THOMAS V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6678 WALTEMYER, THOMAS E. V. PENNSYLVANIA
- 16-6679 YOUNG, JAMES P. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6682 VELAZCO, CARLOS V. FLORIDA
- 16-6683 WASHINGTON, MITCHELL G. V. VANNOY, WARDEN
- 16-6684 GRIFFIN, ROBERT T. V. KEITH, WARDEN

- 16-6686 GRIMES, LAKEITA V. TODD, SAMUEL
- 16-6687 HARPER, HENRY N. V. OHIO
- 16-6688 HORTON, CHRISTOPHER J. V. NORTH CAROLINA
- 16-6689 GODWIN, JONATHAN V. FLORIDA
- 16-6690 GOODSON, KURT L. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6696 WELLS, THOMAS P. V. MILLER, JUDGE, ETC., ET AL.
- 16-6697 THOMPSON, TRAVIS R. V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6698 CLAY, WILLIE V. McDONALD, SEC. OF VA
- 16-6699 MINOR, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6700 PICKETT, CARY V. NV BD. OF PAROLE, ET AL.
- 16-6701 ONYEGBALA, OSINGCHI U. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6702 TORRES, GUILLERMO V. NEW YORK
- 16-6704 CAMPBELL, JOHN V. V. TENNESSEE
- 16-6705 ANDERSON, DOROTHY L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6706 BURGIE, ERIC C. V. ARKANSAS
- 16-6708 DOVE, ANTHONY V. PATE, IMELDA J., ET AL.
- 16-6710 PRINTUP, EDWARD V. PENNSYLVANIA
- 16-6711 BOSLEY, ROBERT D. V. CALIFORNIA
- 16-6714 HAWKINS, REGINALD V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6715 HICKS, ARMANDO E. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6716 GRIMES, JEFFREY N. V. McFADDEN, WARDEN
- 16-6717 HOOD, STUART L. V. PASH, WARDEN
- 16-6718 HERNANDEZ, AARON V. GIDLEY, WARDEN
- 16-6719 HODGES, ANTHONY B. V. JARVIS, WARDEN
- 16-6720 FRENCH, NAZIR V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6721 GARCIA, ARNOLDO A. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-6722 HARPER, HENRY N. V. SUPREME COURT OF OHIO
- 16-6723 QUATRINE, CHARLES V. BERGHUIS, WARDEN

- 16-6724 STINE, MIKEAL G. V. SAMUELS, CHARLES E.
- 16-6727 HENDON, CARLOS D. V. BAROYA, I., ET AL.
- 16-6728 GREEN, ROBERT L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6735 JACKSON, THEODORE V. SLOAN, WARDEN
- 16-6736 WALDEN, ERNIE L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6737 MAXIME, EMMANUEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6738 TURNIDGE, JOSHUA A. V. OREGON
- 16-6740 ANDERSON, ERIC D. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-6742 PILOTO, DARCY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6744 DAVIS, BRADLEY A. V. PRINGLE, WARDEN
- 16-6745 CLAUDIO, RAYMOND V. JONES, SEC., FL DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6751 MIESEGAES, VADIM S. V. HARRIS, ATT'Y GEN. OF CA, ET AL.
- 16-6752 KOH, JUNNE KYOO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6755 LEONOR, JUAN L. V. FRAKES, DIR., NE DOC
- 16-6756 LANE, MARK A. V. MURRIE, DAN
- 16-6757 JUDY, WILLIAM L. V. WILSON, WARDEN
- 16-6758 VISICH, PETER V. WALSH, SUPT., SULLIVAN
- 16-6759 ALEXANDER, JAMES V. FLORIDA
- 16-6763 MORROW, NANCY V. BRENNAN, POSTMASTER GEN.
- 16-6764 MORROW, NANCY V. BRENNAN, POSTMASTER GEN.
- 16-6765 MEGWA, JOSEPH V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6766 JOHNSON, JOE V. MISSISSIPPI
- 16-6767 NEZ, MARTIN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6768 CHARLES, LARRY V. HARRY, SUPT., CAMP HILL, ET AL.
- 16-6769 CARTER, KEVIN W. V. SHERMAN, WARDEN
- 16-6770 SMITH, EUGENE B. V. MICHIGAN

- 16-6771) LONGORIA, TRAVIS K. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6779) LONGORIA, DANIEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6794) RODRIGUEZ, DAVID V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6772 MOSS, GREGORY V. FLORIDA
- 16-6773 MULLENS, KHADAFY K. V. FLORIDA
- 16-6774 MELENDEZ, JUAN N. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6775 MENDIETA, RAUL P. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6776 NEYHART, SAMUEL C. V. IDAHO
- 16-6777 MORTON, DONNIE G. V. PERRY, SEC., NC DPS
- 16-6778 CHETTANA, PARSRAM V. KIRKPATRICK, SUPT., CLINTON
- 16-6781 STEVENSON, TERRELL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6782 NORTHERN, LAWRENCE V. TEGELS, LIZZIE
- 16-6783 MELENDEZ-JIMENEZ, ROSA M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6784 TEVIS, JOSHUA L. V. KENTUCKY
- 16-6785 WILLIAMS, RICHARD L. V. CLARKE, DIR., VA DOC
- 16-6787 JUANICO, BRIAN J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6788 PAYNE, DAVID E. V. AMERICAN CORRECTIONS ASSOC.
- 16-6789 MYERS, JASON T. V. MATHIS, JUDGE, ETC., ET AL.
- 16-6790 PHILLIPS, ALFONZA A. V. BITDER, WARDEN
- 16-6791 TOLBERT, SHAWN M. V. VIRGINIA
- 16-6792 DIMPERIO, CARL A. V. NY DOC
- 16-6793 SANTA, ERASMO V. TEXAS
- 16-6797 MAGWOOD, BOBBY L. V. JONES, SEC., FL DOC, ET AL.
- 16-6798 LAL, AZHAR V. PFEIFFER, WARDEN
- 16-6799 BOONE, COLIN J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6800 BONVENTRE, DANIEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6803 LYNN, ROBERT B. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6804 BUTLER, MICHAEL V. UNITED STATES

- 16-6805 ECCLESTON, SABASTIAN L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6808 GADDY, MONTEZ V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6809 BENDEL, MATTHEW V. PENNSYLVANIA
- 16-6810 PICKFORD, DiMARIO V. JANDA, WARDEN
- 16-6811) PRESTON, GIE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6819) ALLEN, SONNY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6816) RUSSELL, BRAD A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6877) LANGE, KRISTOFOR J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6820 BROWN, DAQUAN T. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6821 BRANDON, JEREMY C. V. BORDERS, WARDEN
- 16-6822 CATERBONE, STANLEY J. V. HALLETT, SUPT., FRAMINGHAM
- 16-6824 FISHER, TWANA V. IRONTON, OH
- 16-6825 FRANKLIN, ALBERT V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6826 HORTON, FITZGERALD V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6827 GAMBOA, EDGAR M. V. UNITED STATES, ET AL.
- 16-6830 HEDRICK, ROBERT L. V. UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE
- 16-6832 HORNER, JOHN C. V. FLORIDA
- 16-6833 FEREBEE, RENEE V. INT'L HOUSE OF PANCAKES
- 16-6834 ROGERS, RAYMOND L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6836 GIL-MARTINEZ, JONATHAN J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6838 FREEMAN, UEL J. V. STEELE, WARDEN
- 16-6839 GUERRA-GUALA, RONY E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6841 MAGUEYAL, JOSE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6847 BAPTISTE, KENNETH E. V. HOPSON, C., ET AL.
- 16-6848 JONES, JIMMY J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6851 LANDRON-CLASS, REYNALDO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6852 STARR, BRENT T. V. OBENLAND, MIKE
- 16-6853 CAMPOS, MARIO V. UNITED STATES

- 16-6854 VIVES-MACIAS, MIGUEL A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6857 WILLIAMS, WILLIAM D. V. FLORIDA
- 16-6858 JONES, DANIEL V. VIRGA, WARDEN
- 16-6859 DUBRULE, ROSAIRE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6860 JOHNSON, ROBERT D. V. DAVEY, WARDEN
- 16-6862 CAVAZOS, JOSE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6864 SAUNDERS, MYCHAEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6865 NELSON, CARL V. BALLARD, WARDEN
- 16-6867 BRUCE, ROBERT H. V. DREW, WARDEN
- 16-6869 DIAZ, MIGUEL M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6871 WOODS, JIMMY D. V. ARIZONA, ET AL.
- 16-6873 HEARD, FRANK E. V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC
- 16-6874 HERNANDEZ-AYALA, JOSE I. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6876 JONES, MICHAEL A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6878 BIKUNDI, MICHAEL D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6881 LOPEZ-NEGRON, FRANCISCO E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6888 CARTER, ROBERT W. V. BRENNAN, POSTMASTER GEN.
- 16-6889 ESPINOZA-SANTOS, NOEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6892 ESTRADA, ALBERT V. McDOWELL, WARDEN
- 16-6893 McCALL, JEFFREY W. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6894 RUDDOCK, LEROY O. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN.
- 16-6896 TAFFNER, CHRIS, ET UX. V. AR DHS, ET AL.
- 16-6897 SHOCKEY, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6898 MITCHELL, RORY A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6900 SCOTT, TORRANCE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6905 DAVIS, ANTHONY L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6906 BURGESS, THOMAS V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6910 EVANS, MAURICE V. DORETHY, WARDEN

- 16-6912 DUKES, DARNELL V. JENKINS, WARDEN
- 16-6914 LEE, CLARENCE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6916 MARTIN, CHRISTOPHER S. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6924 RODRIGUEZ, RIGOBERTO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6929 NGUYEN, JULIA N. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6933 HAIRE, MAURICE D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6934 GARCIA-ESCALERA, SAMUEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6935 LAWRENCE, SEAN A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6936 BASURTO, RACHEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6937 AL-AMIN, IHSAAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6938 LOZOYA-VASQUEZ, LUIS C. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6942 SMITH, MICHAEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6945 NAPOLI, JOHN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6946 REDD, STARSKY D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6949 BROCK, DEMETRIUS V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6950 CAMERON, JAMES M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6951 VERNACE, BARTOLOMEO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6954 ROACH, ANTHONY V. MARYLAND
- 16-6955 FOX, ARNOLD E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6956 HALL, KENTORRE D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6959 RUIZ, ISRAEL V. BUTLER, WARDEN
- 16-6962 GRIFFIN, DeMARIO B. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6963 LENZ, JASON A. V. FLORIDA
- 16-6965 JOHNSON, ANTHONY J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6966 BARELA, LUKE M. V. COLORADO
- 16-6967 BAKER, MICHAEL V. PFISTER, WARDEN
- 16-6968 BUTLER, AMILCAR C. V. TENNESSEE
- 16-6970 GRADY, BOBBY R. V. NORTH CAROLINA

- 16-6974 BRYANT, LAKESHA V. USPS
- 16-6975 AGUILAR, ARNOLD V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6977 ALVARADO, SALVADOR V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6978 VILLALOBOS-ALCALA, EMILIO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6979 McMILLON, RAYMOND A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6980 POPA, GABRIEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6981 MORSETTE, THEODORA A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6983 LEWIS, ANTUN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6986 BRANNAN, NATHAN D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6990 HARRIS, WALTER V. V. MEEKS, WARDEN
- 16-6997 TORRES-RIVAS, OCTAVIO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6998 BROWNE, TONY J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7003 RIVERA-RIVERA, JOSE A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7004 WITTEN, RYAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7005 WILSON, RUFUS D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7009 GARCIA-LAGUNAS, ALEJANDRO V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7011 WILLIAMS, JOHNNY M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7015 RAY, CAREY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7017 EWING, ANGELA M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7018 CANNON, DARIO L. V. JANDA, WARDEN
- 16-7021 PRIGGE, TERANCE T. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7024 JAMES, LATWON V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7026 BOYKIN, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7027 WASHINGTON, RANDY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7029 CUNNINGHAM, THOMAS V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7031 TERRY, QUINCY M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7033 McQUEEN, DAVID V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7039 PIERRE, FRANTZ V. UNITED STATES

- 16-7040 BARBEE, ROBERT C. V. CABARRUS COUNTY, NC, ET AL.
- 16-7041 NEWSOME, DARRIS A. V. CLARKE, DIR., VA DOC
- 16-7042 OMRAN, MOHAMED A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7045 MURRAY, BRUCE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7047 HARRISON, MICHAEL L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7049 RANDLE, MICKEY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7057 LARABEL, CONNIE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7058 JENKINS, JOE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7062 JUSTICE, JASON M. V. PARRIS, WARDEN
- 16-7068 SISCO, RONALD J. V. ARIZONA
- 16-7074 HALL, TIMOTHY W. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7075 MYTON, RASENE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7076 HERNANDEZ-GARCIA, JUAN C. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7085 CLEMMONS, JOAN E. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN., ET AL.
- 16-7089 GOCHIE, GREGORY D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7090 GONZALEZ, GRABEL V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7091 GUY, JORDAN A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7094 VIREN, SHANE A. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7095 WARREN, STEVEN C. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7097 GARDNER, ERIC V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7098 LLOYD, ROBERT B. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7102 SMITH, MICHAEL L. V. OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, ET AL.
- 16-7106 FREEMAN, JAMES V. MEDEIROS, SUPT., NORFOLK
- 16-7108 EASTER, JANET L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7113 ALSBORG, JEFFREY M. V. SWARTHOUT, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 16-7114 BLACK, JOHN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7117 McGEE, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7119 MONROE, AARON V. UNITED STATES

- 16-7126 McDOWELL, JOHN D. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7128 OKEAYAINNEH, JULIAN V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7129 GALIANY-CRUZ, JOSE J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7130 FABRICANT, DANNY J. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7139 BRUNSON, FRANTONIO L. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7140 ANNESE, RENATA N. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7142 LE, THINH H. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7143 KREBS, THOMAS E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7147 AWULYE, PRINCE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7149 ACOSTA, RAMON E. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7161 EDWARDS, GEZO V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

16-200 GOOGLE, INC. V. CIOFFI, ALFONSO, ET AL.

The motion of Public Knowledge, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

16-231 SOUTH CAROLINA V. MILLER, ANTONIO

The motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

16-276 JANE DOE NO. 1, ET AL. V. BACKPAGE.COM, LLC, ET AL.

The motion of The Human Trafficking Institute, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of National Center for Missing and Exploited Children for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae* is granted. The motion of FAIR Girls for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae* is granted. The motion of Coalition Against Trafficking Women, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of

Professors Chad Flanders, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of Legal Momentum, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

- 16-360 MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, ET AL. V. ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, ET AL.
- 16-366 ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. V. COVIDIEN LP, ET AL.
- 16-377 LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD. V. PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC.
- 16-493 MERCK & CIE, ET AL. V. WATSON LABORATORIES

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied. Justice Alito took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

16-500 R. P., ET UX. V. LA CTY. DEPT. CHILDREN, ET AL.

The motion of respondent The Minor, Alexandria P. for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. The motion of respondent Father J.E. for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

16-511 KOLAILAT, ROLA V. MCKENNETT, LINDSEY

The motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

16-558 SKINNER, RICHARD V. SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY, ET AL.

16-601 HARRIS, LEIGH A. V. VANGUARD GROUP

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied. Justice Alito took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

16-6454 ULLRICH, STEPHEN F. V. USDC ID

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is

dismissed. See Rule 39.8.

16-6495 CLARK, SEAN A. V. DEPT. OF EDUCATION, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8. As the petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (per curiam).

16-6543 FORNEY, JAMES V. FLORIDA

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8.

16-6581 WILLIAMS, MITCHELL V. OKLAHOMA, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8. As the petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin* v. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (per curiam).

16-6604 GERONIMO, ESTEBAN V. UNITED STATES

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of this

petition.

16-6628 THOMPSON, TRAVIS R. V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8.

16-6650 FINCH, ROBERT C. V. MOORE, HENRY, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari before judgment is denied.

16-6726 CARTER, HOWARD A. V. LANE, SUPT., FAYETTE, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Justice Alito took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

16-6741 ASPELMEIER, ANDREW M. V. ILLINOIS

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8. As the petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See *Martin* v. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (per curiam).

16-6829 HAQUE, SERAJUL V. UNITED STATES

16-6875

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8. Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion and this petition. GIORDANO, PHILIP A. V. UNITED STATES

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Justice Sotomayor and Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

- 16-6960 GORBEY, MICHAEL S. V. RATHMAN, WARDEN
- 16-6961 GORBEY, MICHAEL S. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-7052 GORBEY, MICHAEL S. V. TAYLOR, WARDEN, ET AL.

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied. The Chief Justice and Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

16-7061 MORROW, MIGUEL V. UNITED STATES

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. The Chief Justice took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

16-7070 SMITH, RONALD B. V. ALABAMA

The petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed as moot.

HABEAS CORPUS DENIED

- 16-6969 IN RE MORRIS B. FAHNBULLEH
- 16-7013 IN RE ANDRE D. LEFFEBRE
- 16-7050 IN RE MICHAEL SHENEMAN
- 16-7081 IN RE GERY L. SCOTT
- 16-7248 IN RE ELIZABETH REYNOLDS

The petitions for writs of habeas corpus are denied.

MANDAMUS DENIED

- 16-6471 IN RE SUSAN ROSE
- 16-6572 IN RE KENNETH E. ROBINSON
- 16-6695 IN RE BENJAMIN TILLMAN
- 16-6753 IN RE WILLIAM L. KELLEY

The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied.

REHEARINGS DENIED

- 15-9141 IN RE OTIS BRASCOM
- 15-9276 IN RE NIGEL WHITTAKER
- 15-9322 BAZZO, FRANK M. V. ASUNCION, ACTING WARDEN
- 15–9328 STOCKMAN, JOHN V. BERGHUIS, WARDEN
- 15-9355 KENNEDY, MICHAEL A. V. TEXAS
- 15-9514 KORSCHGEN, RICHARD B. V. MCKINNEY, WARDEN
- 15-9546 TRICOME, DOMENIC V. PENNSYLVANIA
- 15-9581 BASHAW, DALE W. V. PARAMO, WARDEN
- 15-9650 REED, MYCHAL A. V. KERNAN, SEC., CA DOC
- 15-9711 EVERETT, RON C. V. CONLEY, WARDEN
- 15-9842 DAKER, WASEEM V. NATIONAL BROADCASTING CORP.
- 16-19 BARNUM, PEGGY J. V. OHIO STATE UNIV. MEDICAL, ET AL.
- 16-44 SHEK, JOHN V. ACE-USA/ESIS, ET AL.
- 16-119 RICKS, JASMINE V. QUALITY CARRIERS, INC., ET AL.
- 16-147 LANHAM, THOMAS V. HAZLETT, DAVID, ET AL.
- 16-322 AZKOUR, HICHAM V. LITTLE REST TWELVE, INC.
- 16-339 TAYLOR, CHARLES N. V. MARGO, DEE, ET AL.
- 16-343 TUVELL, WALTER V. IBM
- 16-403 ELKHARWILY, ALAA E. V. MAYO HOLDING CO., ET AL.
- 16-404 NAT'L ASSN. ADVANCEMENT, ET AL. V. LYNCH, ATT'Y GEN., ET AL.
- 16-5069 MOAN, KEVIN C. V. WISE, WILLIAM B.
- 16-5115 MARTINEZ, JORGE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5134 LATIMER, CALVIN, ET UX. V. CHARLOTTE, NC
- 16-5156 LEWIS, CHARLES V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5167 TRIPLETT, WILLIE V. LeBLANC, SEC., LA DOC, ET AL.
- 16-5219 ROGERS, CHARLES G. V. NORTH CAROLINA
- 16-5254 RAHMAN, MUJEEB V. UNITED STATES

- 16-5290 ADETILOYE, ADEKUNLE O. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5312 JIMENEZ-ROJAS, ENRIQUE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5328 VOLPENTESTA, JOHN M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5342 IN RE RENE RAMON
- 16-5425 SEPEHRY-FARD, FAREED V. BANK OF NY MELLON, ET AL.
- 16-5470 MANNING, MELVIN V. HUDSON COUNTY, NJ
- 16-5497 MUA, JOSEPHAT, ET UX. V. CA CASUALTY INDEMNITY, ET AL.
- 16-5503 BALLARD, MARK W. V. NORTH CAROLINA
- 16-5514 REID, KEITH V. HURLEY MEDICAL CENTER
- 16-5534 JOHNSON, LEROY V. ECKERT, SUPT., WENDE
- 16-5535 RODARTE, JOHN E. V. DAVIS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 16-5539 RODARTE, JOHN E. V. BENEFICIAL TEXAS, INC.
- 16-5569 RIVERA-ARVELO, JESUS M. V. SUPREME COURT OF PUERTO RICO
- 16-5571 MITCHELL, ARTHUR V. ENLOE, WARDEN
- 16-5575 REQUENA, ADRIAN M. V. NORWOOD, SEC., KS DOC, ET AL.
- 16-5577 SMOTHERMAN, SONTAY T. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-5617 DESPER, JAMES P. V. CLARKE, DIR., VA DOC
- 16-5741 EASLEY, JOHN E. V. AQUILINA, JUDGE, ETC.
- 16-5742 SARVESTANEY, CYRUS F. V. SARVESTANEY, LISA A.
- 16-5750 OLAGUE, PETE V. WORKER'S COMP., ET AL.
- 16-5761 MARTIN, ROSCOE V. MACKIE, WARDEN
- 16-5793 KE, LEI V. DREXEL UNIVERSITY, ET AL.
- 16-5834 RIGGINS, RODNEY L. V. MILLER, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 16-5836 SMITH, CHANDLER P. V. MORRISVILLE, PA
- 16-5903 WRIGHT, BYRON V. JONES, SEC., FL DOC
- 16-5928 JOHNSON, STEVEN A. V. EBBERT, WARDEN
- 16-5950 JACKSON, BERNARD V. LINK, SUPT., GRATERFORD
- 16-5974 JONES, ERIC K. V. McGINLEY, ACTING SUPT., COAL

- 16-6024 MATELYAN, ARIKA V. SUPREME COURT OF U.S.
- 16-6060 KNIEST, GEORGE M., ET AL. V. MISSOURI
- 16-6066 HIGGINS, DEONDRE C. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6070 GATLING, MAURICE V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6083 GRANDA, PAULINO V. IVES, WARDEN
- 16-6096 HARRIS, VICTORIA M. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6155 LITTLE COYOTE, MONTE C. V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6161 BURNS, CLINTON V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6163 HOLMES, PAMELA J. V. MSPB
- 16-6165 HOPE, GIRAUD V. UNITED STATES
- 16-6293 JANAKIEVSKI, STEVEN V. GRIFFIN, PHILIP
- 16-6538 GADSDEN, DaREN K. V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for rehearing are denied.

15-9925 BATISTA, SUSAN V. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, ET AL.

The petition for rehearing is denied. Justice Alito took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

16-310 ALFRIEND, KIMBERLY J., ET AL. V. USDC ND CA, ET AL.

The petition for rehearing is denied. Justice Breyer took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

- 16-5458 AKEL, ANTONIO V. USDC ND FL
- 16-6362 KISSI, DAVID M. V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for rehearing are denied. Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

15-1130 IN RE ELIJAH W. RATCLIFF

The motion for leave to file a petition for rehearing is denied.

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE

D-2905 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JASON ERIC FISHER

Jason Eric Fisher, of Silver Spring, Maryland, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Jason Eric Fisher is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2906 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF WAYNE GORDON GRACEY

Wayne Gordon Gracey, of Phoenix, Maryland, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Wayne Gordon Gracey is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2907 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF KARLA W. SIMON

Karla W. Simon, of Cornwall Bridge, Connecticut, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued and served upon her requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Karla W. Simon is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2908 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF RICHARD Z. JOHNSON, JR.

Richard Z. Johnson, Jr., of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to

file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Richard Z. Johnson, Jr. is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2910 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF BRUCE A. KENT

Bruce A. Kent, of Arbutus, Maryland, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Bruce A. Kent is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2911 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF PHILLIP DOUGLAS MILLER

Phillip Douglas Miller, of Margate, Florida, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Phillip Douglas Miller is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2912 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF VINCENT J. TABONE

Vincent J. Tabone, of Staten Island, New York, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued and served upon him requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Vincent J. Tabone is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

D-2913 IN THE MATTER OF PATRICIA BALLNER

Patricia Ballner, of New York, New York, having requested to resign as a member of the Bar of this Court, it is ordered that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to the practice of law before this Court. The Rule to Show Cause, issued on July 18, 2016, is discharged.

D-2916

IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF RICHARD WELLS MOORE, JR.

Richard Wells Moore, Jr., of Timonium, Maryland, having been suspended from the practice of law in this Court by order of July 18, 2016; and a rule having been issued requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred; and the time to file a response having expired;

It is ordered that Richard Wells Moore, Jr. is disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RAY WHITE, ET AL. v. DANIEL T. PAULY, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL PAULY, DECEASED ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-67. Decided January 9, 2017

PER CURIAM.

This case addresses the situation of an officer who having arrived late at an ongoing police action and having witnessed shots being fired by one of several individuals in a house surrounded by other officers—shoots and kills an armed occupant of the house without first giving a warning.

According to the District Court and the Court of Appeals, the record, when viewed in the light most favorable to respondents, shows the following. Respondent Daniel Pauly was involved in a road-rage incident on a highway near Santa Fe, New Mexico. 814 F. 3d 1060, 1064–1065 (CA10 2016). It was in the evening, and it was raining. The two women involved called 911 to report Daniel as a "drunk driver" who was "swerving all crazy." *Id.*, at 1065. The women then followed Daniel down the highway, close behind him and with their bright lights on. Daniel, feeling threatened, pulled his truck over at an off-ramp to confront them. After a brief, nonviolent encounter, Daniel drove a short distance to a secluded house where he lived with his brother, Samuel Pauly.

Sometime between 9 p.m. and 10 p.m., Officer Kevin Truesdale was dispatched to respond to the women's 911 call. Truesdale, arriving after Daniel had already left the scene, interviewed the two women at the off-ramp. The women told Truesdale that Daniel had been driving recklessly and gave his license plate number to Truesdale.

WHITE v. PAULY

Per Curiam

The state police dispatcher identified the plate as being registered to the Pauly brothers' address.

After the women left, Officer Truesdale was joined at the off-ramp by Officers Ray White and Michael Mariscal. The three agreed there was insufficient probable cause to arrest Daniel. Still, the officers decided to speak with Daniel to (1) get his side of the story, (2) "'make sure nothing else happened,'" and (3) find out if he was intoxicated. *Id.*, at 1065. The officers split up. White stayed at the off-ramp in case Daniel returned. Truesdale and Mariscal drove in separate patrol cars to the Pauly brothers' address, less than a half mile away. Record 215. Neither officer turned on his flashing lights.

When Officers Mariscal and Truesdale arrived at the address they had received from the dispatcher, they found two different houses, the first with no lights on inside and a second one behind it on a hill. *Id.*, at 217, 246. Lights were on in the second one. The officers parked their cars near the first house. They examined a vehicle parked near that house but did not find Daniel's truck. *Id.*, at 310.

Officers Mariscal and Truesdale noticed the lights on in the second house and approached it in a covert manner to maintain officer safety. Both used their flashlights in an intermittent manner. Truesdale alone turned on his flashlight once they got close to the house's front door. Upon reaching the house, the officers found Daniel's pickup truck and spotted two men moving around inside the residence. Truesdale and Mariscal radioed White, who left the off-ramp to join them.

At approximately 11 p.m., the Pauly brothers became aware of the officers' presence and yelled out "Who are you?" and "What do you want?" 814 F. 3d, at 1066. In response, Officers Mariscal and Truesdale laughed and responded: "Hey, (expletive), we got you surrounded. Come out or we're coming in." *Ibid*. Truesdale shouted once: "Open the door, State Police, open the door." *Ibid*.

Mariscal also yelled: "'Open the door, open the door."" *Ibid.*

The Pauly brothers heard someone yelling, "'We're coming in. We're coming in." *Ibid.* Neither Samuel nor Daniel heard the officers identify themselves as state police. Record 81–82. The brothers armed themselves, Samuel with a handgun and Daniel with a shotgun. One of the brothers yelled at the police officers that "'We have guns.'" 814 F. 3d, at 1066. The officers saw someone run to the back of the house, so Officer Truesdale positioned himself behind the house and shouted "'Open the door, come outside.'" *Ibid.*

Officer White had parked at the first house and was walking up to its front door when he heard shouting from the second house. He half-jogged, half-walked to the Paulys' house, arriving "just as one of the brothers said: 'We have guns.'" *Ibid.*; see also Civ. No. 12–1311 (D NM, Feb. 5, 2014), App. to Pet. for Cert. 75–78. When White heard that statement, he drew his gun and took cover behind a stone wall 50 feet from the front of the house. Officer Mariscal took cover behind a pickup truck.

Just "a few seconds" after the "We have guns" statement, Daniel stepped part way out of the back door and fired two shotgun blasts while screaming loudly. 814 F. 3d, at 1066–1067. A few seconds after those shots, Samuel opened the front window and pointed a handgun in Officer White's direction. Officer Mariscal fired immediately at Samuel but missed. "'Four to five seconds'" later, White shot and killed Samuel. *Id.*, at 1067.

The District Court denied the officers' motions for summary judgment, and the facts are viewed in the light most favorable to the Paulys. *Mullenix* v. *Luna*, 577 U. S. ____, ____, n. (2015) (*per curiam*) (slip op., at 2, n.). Because this case concerns the defense of qualified immunity, however, the Court considers only the facts that were knowable to the defendant officers. *Kingsley* v. *Hendrickson*, 576 U. S.

___, ___ (2015) (slip op., at 9).

Samuel's estate and Daniel filed suit against, *inter alia*, Officers Mariscal, Truesdale, and White. One of the claims was that the officers were liable under Rev. Stat. §1979, 42 U. S. C. §1983, for violating Samuel's Fourth Amendment right to be free from excessive force. All three officers moved for summary judgment on qualified immunity grounds. White in particular argued that the Pauly brothers could not show that White's use of force violated the Fourth Amendment and, regardless, that Samuel's Fourth Amendment right to be free from deadly force under the circumstances of this case was not clearly established.

The District Court denied qualified immunity. A divided panel of the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit affirmed. As to Officers Mariscal and Truesdale, the court held that "[a]ccepting as true plaintiffs' version of the facts, a reasonable person in the officers' position should have understood their conduct would cause Samuel and Daniel Pauly to defend their home and could result in the commission of deadly force against Samuel Pauly by Officer White." 814 F. 3d, at 1076. The panel majority analyzed Officer White's claim separately from the other officers because "Officer White did not participate in the events leading up to the armed confrontation, nor was he there to hear the other officers ordering the brothers to 'Come out or we're coming in.'" Ibid. Despite the fact that "Officer White . . . arrived late on the scene and heard only 'We have guns' ... before taking cover behind a stone wall," the majority held that a jury could have concluded that White's use of deadly force was not reasonable. Id., at 1077, 1082. The majority also decided that this rule that a reasonable officer in White's position would believe that a warning was required despite the threat of serious harm—was clearly established at the time of Samuel's death. The Court of Appeals' ruling relied on general

statements from this Court's case law that (1) "the reasonableness of an officer's use of force depends, in part, on whether the officer was in danger at the precise moment that he used force" and (2) "if the suspect threatens the officer with a weapon[.] deadly force may be used if necessary to prevent escape, and if[,] where feasible, some warning has been given." Id., at 1083 (citing, inter alia, Tennessee v. Garner, 471 U.S. 1 (1985), and Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386 (1989); emphasis deleted; internal quotation marks and alterations omitted). The court concluded that a reasonable officer in White's position would have known that, since the Paulys could not have shot him unless he moved from his position behind a stone wall, he could not have used deadly force without first warning Samuel Pauly to drop his weapon.

Judge Moritz dissented, contending that the "majority impermissibly second-guesses" Officer White's quick choice to use deadly force. 814 F. 3d, at 1084. Judge Moritz explained that the majority also erred by defining the clearly established law at too high a level of generality, in contravention of this Court's precedent.

The officers petitioned for rehearing en banc, which 6 of the 12 judges on the Court of Appeals voted to grant. In a dissent from denial of rehearing, Judge Hartz noted that he was "unaware of any clearly established law that suggests . . . that an officer . . . who faces an occupant pointing a firearm in his direction must refrain from firing his weapon but, rather, must identify himself and shout a warning while pinned down, kneeling behind a rock wall." 817 F. 3d 715, 718 (CA10 2016). Judge Hartz expressed his hope that "the Supreme Court can clarify the governing law." *Id.*, at 719.

The officers petitioned for certiorari. The petition is now granted, and the judgment is vacated: Officer White did not violate clearly established law on the record described by the Court of Appeals panel.

WHITE v. PAULY

Per Curiam

Qualified immunity attaches when an official's conduct "'does not violate clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known." *Mullenix* v. *Luna*, 577 U. S., at _____ (slip op., at 4–5). While this Court's case law "'do[es] not require a case directly on point'" for a right to be clearly established, "'existing precedent must have placed the statutory or constitutional question beyond debate." *Id.*, at ____ (slip op., at 5). In other words, immunity protects "'all but the plainly incompetent or those who knowingly violate the law." *Ibid*.

In the last five years, this Court has issued a number of opinions reversing federal courts in qualified immunity cases. See, e.g., City and County of San Francisco v. Sheehan, 575 U. S. ___, ___, n. 3 (2015) (slip op., at 10, n.3) (collecting cases). The Court has found this necessary both because qualified immunity is important to "society as a whole," *ibid.*, and because as "'an immunity from suit," qualified immunity "is effectively lost if a case is erroneously permitted to go to trial," *Pearson* v. *Callahan*, 555 U. S. 223, 231 (2009).

Today, it is again necessary to reiterate the longstanding principle that "clearly established law" should not be defined "at a high level of generality." *Ashcroft* v. *al-Kidd*, 563 U. S. 731, 742 (2011). As this Court explained decades ago, the clearly established law must be "particularized" to the facts of the case. *Anderson* v. *Creighton*, 483 U. S. 635, 640 (1987). Otherwise, "[p]laintiffs would be able to convert the rule of qualified immunity . . . into a rule of virtually unqualified liability simply by alleging violation of extremely abstract rights." *Id.*, at 639.

The panel majority misunderstood the "clearly established" analysis: It failed to identify a case where an officer acting under similar circumstances as Officer White was held to have violated the Fourth Amendment. Instead, the majority relied on *Graham*, *Garner*, and their

Court of Appeals progeny, which—as noted above—lay out excessive-force principles at only a general level. Of course, "general statements of the law are not inherently incapable of giving fair and clear warning" to officers, *United States* v. *Lanier*, 520 U. S. 259, 271 (1997), but "in the light of pre-existing law the unlawfulness must be apparent," *Anderson* v. *Creighton*, *supra*, at 640. For that reason, we have held that *Garner* and *Graham* do not by themselves create clearly established law outside "an obvious case." *Brosseau* v. *Haugen*, 543 U. S. 194, 199 (2004) (*per curiam*); see also *Plumhoff* v. *Rickard*, 572 U. S. ___, ___ (2014) (slip op., at 13) (emphasizing that *Garner* and *Graham* "are 'cast at a high level of generality'").

This is not a case where it is obvious that there was a violation of clearly established law under Garner and Of note, the majority did not conclude that Graham. White's conduct—such as his failure to shout a warning constituted a run-of-the-mill Fourth Amendment violation. Indeed, it recognized that "this case presents a unique set of facts and circumstances" in light of White's late arrival on the scene. 814 F. 3d, at 1077. This alone should have been an important indication to the majority that White's conduct did not violate a "clearly established" right. Clearly established federal law does not prohibit a reasonable officer who arrives late to an ongoing police action in circumstances like this from assuming that proper procedures, such as officer identification, have already been No settled Fourth Amendment principle refollowed. quires that officer to second-guess the earlier steps already taken by his or her fellow officers in instances like the one White confronted here.

On the record described by the Court of Appeals, Officer White did not violate clearly established law. The Court notes, however, that respondents contend Officer White arrived on the scene only two minutes after Officers

WHITE v. PAULY

Per Curiam

Truesdale and Mariscal and more than three minutes before Daniel's shots were fired. On the assumption that the conduct of Officers Truesdale and Mariscal did not adequately alert the Paulys that they were police officers, respondents suggest that a reasonable jury could infer that White witnessed the other officers' deficient performance and should have realized that corrective action was necessary before using deadly force. Brief in Opposition 11, 22, n. 5. This Court expresses no position on this potential alternative ground for affirmance, as it appears that neither the District Court nor the Court of Appeals panel addressed it. The Court also expresses no opinion on the question whether this ground was properly preserved or whether-in light of this Court's holding today-Officers Truesdale and Mariscal are entitled to qualified immunity.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition for certiorari is granted; the judgment of the Court of Appeals is vacated; and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

GINSBURG, J., concurring

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RAY WHITE, ET AL. v. DANIEL T. PAULY, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL PAULY, DECEASED ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-67. Decided January 9, 2017

JUSTICE GINSBURG, concurring.

I join the Court's opinion on the understanding that it does not foreclose the denial of summary judgment to Officers Truesdale and Mariscal. See 814 F. 3d 1060, 1068, 1073, 1074 (CA10 2016) (Court of Appeals emphasized, repeatedly, that fact disputes exist on question whether Truesdale and Mariscal "adequately identified themselves" as police officers before shouting "Come out or we're coming in" (internal quotation marks omitted)). Further, as to Officer White, the Court, as I comprehend its opinion, leaves open the propriety of denying summary judgment based on fact disputes over when Officer White arrived at the scene, what he may have witnessed, and whether he had adequate time to identify himself and order Samuel Pauly to drop his weapon before Officer White shot Pauly. Compare id., at 1080, with ante, at 8. See also Civ. No. 12–1311 (D NM, Feb. 5, 2014), pp. 7, and n. 5, 9, App. to Pet. for Cert. 75-76, and n. 5, 77 (suggesting that Officer White may have been on the scene when Officers Truesdale and Mariscal threatened to invade the Pauly home).