

**SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

- - - - -X
MICHAEL SALAZAR,)
Petitioner,)
v.) No. 25-459
PARAMOUNT GLOBAL, DBA 247SPORTS,)
Respondent.)
- - - - -X

Pages: 1 through 93
Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: October 14, 2026

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION
Official Reporters
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 305
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 628-4888
www.hrcreporters.com

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Wednesday, October 14, 2026

APPEARANCES:

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1	C O N T E N T S	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	JOSHUA I. HAMMACK, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	43
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
9	JOSHUA I. HAMMACK, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	90
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (10:05 a.m.)

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear
4 argument this morning in Case 25-459, Salazar
5 versus Paramount Global.

6 Mr. Hammack.

7 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JOSHUA I. HAMMACK

8 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

9 MR. HAMMACK: Mr. Chief Justice, and
10 may it please the Court:

11 Outraged by the disclosure of one
12 person's video-watching history, Congress
13 enacted the Video Privacy Protection Act. In
14 Section (b)(1), the Act provides for civil
15 liability when a video tape service provider
16 knowingly discloses personally identifiable
17 information concerning any consumer of such
18 provider.

19 The Act defines three of those terms.
20 At bottom, a video tape service provider is the
21 kind of entity that will owe obligations under
22 the law. Personally identifiable information
23 is the kind of information the statute protects
24 in (b)(1)(D) and (E). And a consumer is the
25 kind of person whose information is protected

1 in Section (b)(1). The Act permits disclosures
2 with a consumer's consent, and in 2013,
3 Congress made that consent easier to obtain,
4 online, in advance, for up to two years.

5 Here, Paramount Global systematically
6 discloses personally identifiable information
7 to Facebook without obtaining consent. These
8 are not one-off disclosures. They happen
9 constantly, instantly, every time someone,
10 including a consumer, watches a video on the
11 website. Paramount has shaped its business
12 around these disclosures.

13 All it needed to avoid liability was
14 its consumers' consent, something it and
15 Facebook agree was easy to get. Paramount just
16 didn't get it. So it asks this Court to narrow
17 the law by imposing an audiovisual limitation
18 on the phrase "goods or services" in the
19 definition of "consumer."

20 But Congress put audiovisual
21 limitations where it wanted them, in the
22 definitions of both "video tape service
23 provider" and "personally identifiable
24 information," not in the definition of
25 "consumer." That choice is dispositive.

1 I welcome the Court's questions.

2 JUSTICE THOMAS: But wouldn't it be
3 natural to think that goods or services from a
4 video tape service provider would be
5 video-related services?

6 MR. HAMMACK: Not at all, Justice
7 Thomas, because, here, we have material
8 variations at every stage of the statute, at
9 every stage of the definitions section. So we
10 start with a "video tape service provider."
11 That definition refers to prerecorded video
12 cassette tapes or similar audiovisual
13 materials. That's one subject matter.

14 In (a)(3)'s definition of "personally
15 identifiable information," we have video --
16 video materials or services. That's a second
17 subject matter that's different in scope from
18 (a)(4)'s definition of a provider.

19 And then, in (a)(1), the "consumer"
20 definition, we have goods or services.

21 And all three subject matters are
22 coming from a video tape service provider.
23 That they're different tells us that a provider
24 can do all three things. It can engage in
25 transactions concerning all three.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, our
2 former colleague, Justice Breyer, confronted
3 with questions like this, you know, his
4 favorite hypothetical in that area anyway was a
5 sign in a park that says, you know, no pets in
6 the park, and the question was, did that mean
7 that the person carrying his pet snail in his
8 pocket was subject to prosecution.

9 I mean, don't we have to look at the
10 general purpose that's involved here before
11 determining that the statute reaches as far as
12 you would have it?

13 MR. HAMMACK: I think we are
14 completely in line with the statutory purpose.
15 And we have no objection, of course, to the
16 Court looking to that purpose. And I don't
17 mean "purpose" in the sense of scouring the
18 legislative history, but look at the text of
19 Section (b)(1), which specifically prohibits
20 the disclosure of personally identifiable
21 information concerning any consumer.

22 We have two different limitations
23 within that section. "Personally identifiable
24 information" is one limitation. "Concerning
25 any consumer" is a second. And the reading, I

1 think the appropriate reading, of those two
2 things together, which exist in (b)(1) but not
3 in other sections of the VPPA, which protect
4 against -- for example, in subsection (D),
5 we've got the admissibility provision.

6 Personally identifiable information won't be
7 admissible unless it's obtained in compliance
8 with the VPPA.

9 In subsection (E), we've got
10 personally identifiable information, an
11 affirmative requirement that providers destroy
12 personally identifiable information after a
13 period of time.

14 In neither location is that personally
15 identifiable information linked to a consumer.
16 It's only in (b)(1) that that link happens, so
17 we know that (b)(1) is protecting less
18 personally identifiable information from
19 disclosure, not more.

20 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Hammack, is it
21 your position -- I'm thinking about the limits.
22 Is it your position that any company that has a
23 short up on its website, be it a commercial or
24 whatever, is a video service provider?

25 MR. HAMMACK: That's not our position,

1 Justice Barrett. And I would say the -- the
2 definition of "video tape service provider" in
3 (a)(4), which, by the way, isn't at issue here,
4 is very clear that you have to be engaged in
5 the business of renting, selling, or delivering
6 those audiovisual materials. So it's not
7 everyone who happens to deliver them. It's not
8 everyone who provides a video once. You have
9 to be engaged in that business. And that's
10 another real limitation on liability in (b)(1).

11 JUSTICE BARRETT: Is your theory that
12 247Sports was engaged in that business or
13 that Paramount was?

14 MR. HAMMACK: Well, in this case,
15 Paramount Global is doing business as --

16 JUSTICE BARRETT: As. Okay.

17 MR. HAMMACK: -- 247Sports. So I
18 think both is the answer. But, yes, our
19 position is absolutely that Paramount Global is
20 a video tape service provider.

21 JUSTICE BARRETT: So you --
22 Mr. Clement used in his brief some examples, of
23 Chick-fil-A, et cetera. Would you take the
24 position that if Chick-fil-A had some videos on
25 its website, it's a video tape service

1 provider?

2 MR. HAMMACK: We would not take that
3 position. And it should come as no surprise
4 that the court that confronted that particular
5 problem found that Chick-fil-A is not a video
6 tape service provider. That's how the motion
7 to dismiss was granted in that case. We have
8 no quarrel with that holding. We don't find it
9 particularly surprising. But that's nothing
10 like what Paramount Global does here.

11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: If Congress's
12 interest was the protection of privacy of a
13 person's video tape watching, why would the
14 disclosure of personally identifiable
15 information be limited only to consumers and
16 not to those who watch videos for free?

17 MR. HAMMACK: Well, I guess I want to
18 be very clear because the person who watches
19 videos for free can still be protected by
20 (b)(1)'s disclosure prohibition as long as he's
21 a consumer of something else from that
22 provider. He's rented, purchased, or
23 subscribed to some --

24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But the provider
25 has to be in the business of -- but -- so why

1 wouldn't Congress just protect at large anyone
2 who's watching videos, free or not free?

3 MR. HAMMACK: I would say I think
4 there are several kind of overlapping reasons
5 that Congress might have preferred the exact
6 regime it enacted. I think the clearest one is
7 that the consumer relationship provides an easy
8 window for getting consent, and Congress was
9 very concerned about consent and the -- the
10 consumer controlling the disclosure of
11 personally identifiable information.

12 So having that window to get consent
13 and, if you look at the 2013 amendments, you've
14 got consent even for a two-year window. So
15 advance consent comes into play. That consumer
16 window is very important in terms of
17 identifying the place to get consent.

18 It could also be that Congress just
19 thought this regime was more fair. You've got
20 a consumer that's exchanged something of value
21 with the provider, and, therefore, they're
22 entitled to the protections and the possibility
23 of liquidated damages.

24 But whatever we -- I don't -- I won't
25 dispute that there is some mystery about why

1 Congress did something different in (b)(1) than
2 it did in, for example, Sections (d) and (e)
3 when it protected personally identifiable
4 information there.

5 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Can you explain
6 exactly how the consent would work in your
7 opinion?

8 MR. HAMMACK: Absolutely. So consent
9 works by allowing a provider to disclose
10 personally identifiable information, and there
11 are several locations where a provider can get
12 that consent. One is the initiation of the
13 consumer transaction. Another is when the
14 person watches a video, you could have a banner
15 pop up and --

16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: A non-video
17 consumer transaction you're talking about
18 there, right?

19 MR. HAMMACK: It could be a non-video
20 consumer transaction, or it could be a video
21 consumer transaction. I think the statute is
22 indifferent as to those two possibilities. Or
23 it could be that the provider gets consent just
24 simply before the disclosure is made.

25 I think, again, the statute is

1 indifferent as to when the provider obtains
2 that consent so long as it gets it before the
3 disclosure is made.

4 JUSTICE JACKSON: Could it be also
5 that -- I'm going back to Justice Sotomayor's
6 question -- that we have a statute that was
7 enacted at a time in which it wasn't really
8 conceivable that you could access videos
9 without purchasing them or renting them?

10 In other words, I'm just wondering
11 whether we have sort of limitations in the
12 facts that we need to think about a little bit
13 in -- in -- in trying to assess what Congress's
14 intent was here?

15 MR. HAMMACK: I -- I'm not sure it's
16 true that in 1988 it was inconceivable that
17 someone might watch a video on a computer. I
18 think Congress was very concerned with the
19 changing technological advances and -- and
20 computer technology.

21 But even more in 2013, when Congress
22 stepped back into this statute and revised just
23 the consent provision, I think it was
24 intimately aware -- and, again, this is in the
25 legislative history -- it was intimately aware

1 with the fact that people were streaming all
2 sorts of videos to their phones and to their
3 computers all the time.

4 And I think it's important to note
5 both personally identifiable information in
6 (a)(3) and the consumer relationship in (a)(1)
7 are technology-neutral. Requested or obtained
8 doesn't identify any technology by which you'll
9 request or obtain videos.

10 JUSTICE JACKSON: But I guess I'm just
11 trying to go back maybe to even Justice
12 Thomas's first question, which is, what is your
13 theory as to why Congress has a broader
14 category of consumers than the personally
15 identified information and video tape service
16 provider categories?

17 MR. HAMMACK: Well, I think the --
18 the -- the definitions are different. We know
19 that for sure because, if you just line them up
20 and look at them, there are a number of
21 material variations between them.

22 As to why they're different -- and
23 this goes back to the point I was trying to
24 make earlier -- there might be some mystery
25 about why they're different. Whether they're

1 different is very clear. And I think we just
2 have to live with that mystery a little bit
3 rather than rewrite the statute.

4 But, again, I think there are good
5 reasons and -- and, in fact, it might close
6 loopholes to have them do different work
7 because a business could structure its
8 relationship with individuals so that you never
9 rent, purchase, or subscribe directly to the
10 video materials. You request or obtain them
11 some other way. And you rent, purchase, or
12 subscribe to something else entirely.

13 Congress wrote this statute so that
14 that sort of clever structure by a provider
15 won't create a loophole that allows a --

16 JUSTICE JACKSON: Yeah, I mean, I
17 thought your answer was going to be something
18 like, really, the point of this statute was
19 Congress's concern. You started with the
20 concern about the release of video-watching
21 history as it relates to one person.

22 And so, really, the consumer, who is
23 the consumer is not the thrust of this. The
24 thrust of this is the video tape service
25 provider and their activity with respect to

1 releasing or disclosing this information.

2 And Congress wanted to -- or maybe --
3 maybe wanted to have the consumer relationship
4 for the purposes that you indicated earlier,
5 like consent or whatnot. But, for some of us,
6 it matters why. And -- and mysteries aren't
7 great. So I'm trying to come up with or
8 understand the theory that Congress would have
9 crafted a statute in this way.

10 MR. HAMMACK: So I'm not sure I could
11 have said it any better myself. I think you're
12 absolutely right to be focused on the thrust of
13 this statute is about personally identifiable
14 information. Every operative provision of this
15 statute protects some amount of personally
16 identifiable information; (b)(1) just has
17 another limitation so that it protects not all
18 personally identifiable information but just
19 the personally identifiable information
20 concerning a consumer.

21 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Hammack,
22 speaking of personally -- personally
23 identiful -- identifiable information --
24 sorry -- that definition uses "includes"
25 instead of "means." Does it sweep more broadly

1 than the definition given there? What else
2 could be in it? Why -- why would it say just
3 "includes"? What else does it --

4 MR. HAMMACK: So the parties agree
5 that "personally identifiable information,"
6 although it says "includes," requires two
7 strands of information: Something that
8 identifies you and something that identifies
9 the specific video materials or services that
10 you requested or obtained.

11 All the courts of appeals that have
12 addressed that question have reached the exact
13 same conclusion. If you look at the
14 legislative history, Congress actually says why
15 it used the word "includes" instead of "means."

16 And it says we used "includes" there
17 to create a floor, to create a minimum.
18 Personally identifiable information could
19 identify you or -- or identify facts about you
20 in lots of other realms, but, at a minimum, it
21 has to identify you as having requested or
22 obtained those specific video --

23 JUSTICE ALITO: Personally --

24 JUSTICE BARRETT: And so that's a
25 limit -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.

1 JUSTICE ALITO: Go ahead, please.

2 JUSTICE BARRETT: So go ahead. I'm
3 done.

4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I was just going
5 to ask: Personally identifiable by whom? By
6 the NSA? I mean, how specific does this have
7 to be?

8 MR. HAMMACK: I think the answer to
9 that question lies in subsection (b)(1), the
10 liability provision, which says it prohibits
11 disclosures of PII to any person. So, if it's
12 identifiable to the "any person" that receives
13 that disclosure, it's personally identifiable
14 information.

15 JUSTICE KAGAN: You -- you said before
16 that "includes" was used to suggest a floor,
17 which makes perfect sense. But I think that
18 the question is, does "includes" -- does that
19 provision with the word "includes" also have a
20 ceiling?

21 I think that that's the question that
22 would give rise to some concern. If you
23 thought "includes" could also mean anything
24 else in the world, then you wouldn't have the
25 limit that you're saying comes from that

1 provision.

2 MR. HAMMACK: I think, if you can
3 imagine a disclosure that includes 10 different
4 facts about an individual and just one of them
5 identifies the specific video materials or
6 services that he requested or obtained,
7 Congress is saying that disclosure of that
8 information is personally identifiable
9 information only because of that one strand of
10 information. It might have identified --

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: I see. But that one
12 strand has to be there?

13 MR. HAMMACK: Absolutely, yes, yes.

14 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And the question
15 of PII, even if you prevail on the issue before
16 us, would still be resolved on remand, correct?

17 MR. HAMMACK: That's correct.

18 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: It would still be
19 open on remand? We're not resolving that here
20 because there's a big argument, obviously, in
21 the courts of appeals whether this kind of
22 information constitutes PII, correct?

23 MR. HAMMACK: That is correct. And
24 this Court may someday have to resolve that
25 question, but that day is not today.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Is that why you
2 asked us -- is -- is that -- is that why you
3 asked us to vacate instead of remand?

4 MR. HAMMACK: That -- that's exactly
5 why. There are other arguments that -- that
6 Paramount raised below, and that's why we asked
7 to vacate.

8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: In -- including
9 whether it's a video tape service provider?

10 MR. HAMMACK: In the district court,
11 it raised that question, not in the Sixth
12 Circuit.

13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Not in the Sixth
14 Circuit? But it is claiming that these videos
15 are for free and not part of a consumer
16 transaction, correct?

17 MR. HAMMACK: Well, it's certainly
18 claiming that the videos are available for
19 free. And our response is, sure, they're
20 delivered for free and you might request or
21 obtain them for free, but that doesn't change
22 the operative provisions of the statute either
23 in --

24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No. But then
25 would they be in the -- in the business of --

1 of -- of in commerce for rental, sale, or
2 delivery? That's up to the lower courts,
3 correct?

4 MR. HAMMACK: I -- I think that's
5 exactly right. Our position is yes, but that's
6 a question for remand.

7 JUSTICE ALITO: How have these suits
8 generally prevailed in the lower courts?

9 MR. HAMMACK: I'm sorry, what -- what
10 do you mean by "prevailed"?

11 JUSTICE ALITO: Have the plaintiffs
12 won?

13 MR. HAMMACK: I think, if you look at
14 Paramount's brief, it suggests that courts have
15 routinely dismissed VPPA claims.

16 JUSTICE ALITO: And why do you think
17 that is? Why do you think these smart lower
18 court judges have rejected the kind of very
19 literal interpretation of the statutory
20 language that you're presenting to us today?

21 MR. HAMMACK: I -- I think there's --

22 JUSTICE ALITO: Under every provision
23 of this -- of this statute, why -- why have
24 they rejected that?

25 MR. HAMMACK: I think there is,

1 unfortunately, some reluctance to -- to believe
2 that Congress actually meant what it said in
3 the context of this statute. It wrote a broad
4 law that is very protective of personally
5 identifiable information.

6 That prohibits a lot of disclosures of
7 that kind of information, and I think --

8 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, it enacted a law
9 that is based on the technology of the 1980s.
10 And you can see this in every provision of
11 this, in the title, Wrongful Disclosure of
12 Video Tape Rental or Sale -- or Sale Records.
13 And you can go through all the provisions, and
14 it's like that.

15 Suppose we look at (a)(1) and don't
16 consider the statutory definition of "video
17 tape service provider." Is Paramount a video
18 tape service provider in the literal sense of
19 the -- of the term?

20 MR. HAMMACK: Yes, it is. And, in
21 fact --

22 JUSTICE ALITO: In the literal sense
23 of the term? Are they selling video tapes, VHS
24 tapes?

25 MR. HAMMACK: It -- it's very

1 important there that in (a)(4), the definition
2 of "video tape service provider," it says "or
3 similar audiovisual materials."

4 JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah. Okay. That's
5 what I'm saying. But, if we go to that, what
6 does it -- what does its -- what does it mean
7 for it to be similar?

8 MR. HAMMACK: Again, it's not a
9 question that's presented here, but you have, I
10 think, several avenues. One way is to say it's
11 similar in that it's prerecorded. It's similar
12 in that you watch it in the privacy of your
13 home. It's similar in that it can be delivered
14 to you personally; it's not something that you,
15 for example, go to a theater and witness with
16 other people.

17 JUSTICE ALITO: But it is not similar
18 in the sense that you go to a Blockbuster store
19 and get a VHS tape.

20 MR. HAMMACK: Which is true enough, I
21 guess, and, in fact, when Congress stepped back
22 into the statute in 2013, it acknowledged that
23 video cassette tapes were largely obsolete,
24 Blockbuster was either on its way out or
25 already gone, and everyone was streaming videos

1 directly to their phones. Congress saw no need
2 to re-jigger the definitions or to change the
3 provisions at (b)(1)(D) or (E) precisely
4 because they're technology-neutral.

5 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, isn't there
6 a concern about repurposing a statute that was
7 really about public disclosure of what
8 individuals viewed into a statute that
9 regulates data sharing for targeted advertising
10 on the Internet? Those are very different
11 things. And the context here is the data
12 sharing for the targeted advertising.

13 MR. HAMMACK: So, on the first point,
14 I would say, again, Congress wrote a law that
15 isn't technology-specific. It wrote a broad
16 law that spans all kinds of technologies, all
17 kinds of ways that you might obtain those video
18 materials or services, all kinds of ways you
19 might rent, purchase, or subscribe to goods or
20 services.

21 And then the second point, Congress
22 anticipated that this information would be
23 useful for advertising purposes, and it crafted
24 an exception under (b)(2)(D) that specifically
25 allows disclosures of the subject matter of the

1 videos, along with a name and address, when
2 that disclosure is made exclusively or solely
3 for the purpose of advertising goods or
4 services directly to the consumer.

5 And it's important there goods or
6 service -- it says "goods and services" in
7 (b)(2)(D)(ii), that -- that exception, and it
8 says "goods or services" in subsection (a)'s
9 definition of "consumer."

10 Our reading has "goods or services"
11 and "goods and services" mean the same thing.
12 By its own admission, Paramount's reading has
13 those two virtually identical phrases mean two
14 radically different things.

15 JUSTICE KAGAN: You're -- you're
16 hypothesizing a prescient Congress, as opposed
17 to a Congress that mistakenly stumbled into
18 broader language than it meant to use.

19 MR. HAMMACK: I think that is kind of
20 the foundational premise that this Court
21 indulges at the outset of every statutory
22 interpret -- or interpretation case, namely,
23 that Congress said what it meant and meant what
24 it said when it wrote a statute.

25 I think, if you start from the

1 opposite purpose, that maybe Congress
2 accidentally kind of tripped and fell and wrote
3 these words instead of those words, I think, if
4 you indulge that premise, you actually end up
5 landing in the exact same place precisely
6 because, when you walk through (a)(4)'s
7 definition of a "provider," (a)(3)'s definition
8 of "PII," and then (a)(1)'s definition of
9 "consumer," you see that these weren't
10 accidental. The scopes are different every
11 time even though the source is the same.

12 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Hammack, I want
13 to ask you about standing. So the Sixth
14 Circuit recognized in a footnote that its view
15 of standing here, saying that this was similar
16 to publicity given private life, diverged from
17 the Eleventh Circuit's approach to the
18 question.

19 And I want you to give me the pitch on
20 the facts of your complaint as you allege them
21 why you have standing and specifically here,
22 you know, you can have disputes about, as the
23 Eleventh Circuit has taken the position, that
24 you need to have some publicity, telling one
25 private individual isn't enough, but, here, so

1 far as I can tell, no person got this
2 information, whatever this pixel thing is,
3 right?

4 So why do you have standing?

5 MR. HAMMACK: Well, the person, I
6 suppose, would be Facebook that got the
7 information, just the largest social media
8 company that exists. But it's also true -- and
9 I think, if you -- if you look closely at the
10 Sixth Circuit's opinion, it actually says all
11 six circuits that have answered that standing
12 question in the context of a VPPA claim are in
13 accord. All of them say, yes, you have
14 standing when there's been a disclosure even to
15 one person of your personally identifiable
16 information without your consent. All six are
17 in accord on that point.

18 The difference with the Eleventh
19 Circuit is, well, what happens in a different
20 context. I believe that was the FDCA instead
21 of the VPPA. But, in that context, you
22 basically bounced a disclosure from a mail
23 vendor to the consumer, and the court said in
24 that context that's not really a disclosure.
25 You're basically outsourcing kind of who's

1 going to mail the item to the consumer.

2 Here, we've got an exception for
3 disclosures made to the consumer. It's an open
4 question, I guess, whether a similar mail
5 vendor theory would apply to the VPPA, but
6 that's just radically different than the facts
7 we have here.

8 JUSTICE JACKSON: You all didn't brief
9 standing, right? Is that an issue in this case
10 right now?

11 MR. HAMMACK: It --

12 JUSTICE JACKSON: I mean, it always is
13 in the sense of our jurisdiction, but I'm just
14 saying, do we have arguments written related
15 to -- to standing?

16 MR. HAMMACK: That's actually --
17 that -- that's absolutely right, that it was
18 not put up in issue in this case. We argued
19 that in the district court. Paramount
20 abandoned its standing argument in the Sixth
21 Circuit. It addressed it sua sponte. I think
22 you're right that it's a background issue in
23 this case. But it has not been briefed.

24 JUSTICE JACKSON: And can I ask you a
25 clarifying question just about the lower court

1 dismissals? I -- are they on the consumer
2 issue that is before us now? I thought there
3 was a 2-2 split among the circuits on the
4 definition of "consumer."

5 MR. HAMMACK: That's absolutely right.
6 There is a 2-2 split on the definition of
7 "consumer." And both dismissals here, the --
8 the district court in Tennessee and the Sixth
9 Circuit, held solely that this complaint should
10 be dismissed because of the particular meaning
11 we're giving to the word "consumer."

12 JUSTICE JACKSON: So, if these have
13 not prevailed in large, it could be for other
14 reasons than that?

15 MR. HAMMACK: Absolutely. And, in
16 fact --

17 JUSTICE JACKSON: Yeah.

18 MR. HAMMACK: -- it often is for other
19 reasons. If you look at Paramount's brief in
20 opposition to our petition for certiorari, they
21 point to personally identifiable information,
22 disputes about whether someone is a provider.
23 Those are other reasons, and they point to the
24 fact that there are multiple real limitations
25 to liability coexisting at once in subsection

1 (b)(1).

2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Is -- the PII, is
3 that a 3-1 split against your position on PII?

4 MR. HAMMACK: That -- that's correct,
5 Your Honor, yes.

6 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I think the other
7 side's kind of big-picture argument is that we
8 are a textualist Court but usually not a
9 literalist Court and that we have a lot of
10 principles and doctrines -- major questions,
11 elephants in mouse holes, context, common
12 sense -- you see those terms sprinkled through
13 a lot of our cases, including in this general
14 field, and that we should be thinking about
15 that so that we don't read this statute for all
16 it's worth when the Congress in the 1980s
17 wasn't thinking about data sharing for targeted
18 advertising.

19 So that's a big-picture question for
20 you to tackle.

21 MR. HAMMACK: I think this Court's
22 approach is exactly right, that you always look
23 at text in context. We have no quarrel with
24 that methodology because text in context shows
25 you exactly what you normally presume at the

1 outset, which is that Congress meant "goods or
2 services," a broader term, when it said "goods
3 or services" in subsection (a)(1).

4 It did not mean video materials or
5 services, something it said in the same
6 sentence, identically from a video tape service
7 provider. It did not mean prerecorded video
8 cassette tapes or similar audiovisual
9 materials. It didn't even mention services at
10 all in the definition, (a)(4)'s definition, of
11 a "provider." It didn't mean those other
12 phrases that it said elsewhere. It meant
13 something different. And I think context
14 absolutely reinforces that point.

15 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Mr. Clement says
16 your client is not -- was not a consumer -- was
17 not a subscriber of services from a video tape
18 service provider because what he subscribed to
19 was a newsletter.

20 So would you address that? He says
21 that the "from" places a limitation on the
22 literal -- what might be considered the literal
23 interpretation of those phrases, and he has
24 lots of examples in -- in which "from" is used
25 in that way.

1 MR. HAMMACK: I think that's an
2 unusual meaning to ascribe to "from" from the
3 outset. That is usually a word that identifies
4 the source of material, not something that
5 identifies the scope.

6 And, here, we know for sure that
7 Congress was looking at "from" as identifying
8 source. And we know that by comparing (a)(1)'s
9 definition of "consumer" to (a)(3)'s definition
10 of "PII." Both identify subject matter that
11 comes from a video tape service provider.

12 JUSTICE ALITO: I mean, there are a
13 lot of examples in which "from" is used in
14 exactly the way Mr. Clement tells us, and I --
15 I don't have to add an additional hypothetical,
16 but I will anyway.

17 Let's say I -- I got gas at a Costco
18 or a Sam's Club, and then I'm having a
19 conversation with my neighbor, who's
20 complaining about the high cost of gas, and he
21 says: I had to pay X per gallon -- Chief? All
22 right. I had to pay X per gallon for gas.

23 And I said: Well, I paid X minus 20
24 cents. And he says: Wow. Where did you get
25 your gas? And I say: I got it from a provider

1 of optical services because Sam's Club and
2 Costco have optical services as well.

3 Now would -- would I be -- I would
4 just be playing games if I said that, wouldn't
5 I?

6 MR. HAMMACK: I think, in that
7 specific context, that would be a pretty
8 unusual thing to say. But, if we could twist
9 it just a little bit, it would be equally odd
10 to say if we had a statute that defined gas
11 stations a particular way, those that provide
12 fuel, that would be our (a)(4) paradigm.

13 Then we had in the same statute, the
14 same sentence of the same statute, a provision
15 that mentioned fuel materials or services or
16 fuel goods or services. That's (a)(3). And
17 then we had in the same statute, the same
18 sentence, goods or services from a gas station.

19 It would be exceedingly odd to say
20 those three subject matters must be the same
21 thing. Maybe I was feeling really brave at the
22 gas station and I got sushi. That's a good or
23 service from --

24 (Laughter.)

25 MR. HAMMACK: -- a gas station, but

1 it's not a fuel good or service, and it's
2 certainly not the fuel that you would normally
3 buy at a gas station.

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
5 counsel.

6 Justice Thomas, anything further?

7 JUSTICE THOMAS: The -- I'd like you
8 to go back to your definition of a "video tape
9 service provider." It means "any person" --
10 I'm just reading from the statute -- "any
11 person engaged in the business in or affecting
12 interstate commerce of rental" -- "rental,
13 sale, or delivery of prerecorded video cassette
14 tapes or similar audiovisual materials."

15 How does that get us to streaming, to
16 video that is streamed?

17 MR. HAMMACK: I think the courts -- as
18 far as I know at least, the courts are in
19 accord that "or similar audiovisual materials"
20 includes things like streaming videos to a
21 personal device that you would watch in your
22 house. It doesn't require a physicality, you
23 know, necessarily that you're going to have a
24 tape, a physical tape that you play that way.

25 And, again, when you look at, in 2013,

1 Congress stepped back into this statute, it
2 specifically said, we are aware streaming is
3 rampant and we still think this statute covers
4 the -- the personally identifiable information
5 that results from those streaming activities.

6 JUSTICE THOMAS: How, in your own
7 words, though, does that similar audiovisual
8 material get you to streamed video?

9 MR. HAMMACK: Because it's similar in
10 critical ways to a video cassette tape. It
11 allows you to watch that video in the privacy
12 of your home. It allows you to watch it on
13 your own. It allows you to pause, come back
14 later, record -- or, I'm sorry, rewind, and
15 pick it up as you wish. It's -- it's in lots
16 of ways the exact same as the way you would
17 interact with a video cassette tape.

18 JUSTICE THOMAS: Why isn't that closer
19 to, during the 1980s, you had cassette tapes,
20 you had the Blockbuster, you -- you rented the
21 cassette tapes, the VHS, but you also had TV
22 and you had cable? Wouldn't it seem that
23 streaming is closer to cable TV than it is to
24 picking up a video from Blockbuster?

25 MR. HAMMACK: If we're looking at the

1 technology that was available in 1988, I think
2 the answer has to be no precisely because you
3 couldn't pause and rewind and stop and come
4 back hours later and rewatch things the way
5 that you can now both with a prerecorded video
6 cassette tape and with the exact audiovisual
7 materials that we have at issue here.

8 And I would note too all of this
9 concerns whether Paramount is a video tape
10 service provider, which isn't before the Court
11 today.

12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito?
13 Justice Sotomayor?
14 Justice Kagan?
15 Justice Gorsuch?
16 Justice Kavanaugh?

17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Just on the video
18 tape service provider issue, I know that's not
19 before us, but I think you've waved away some
20 of the concerns about every business in America
21 that has video on its website being targeted.

22 But, certainly, the amicus briefs on
23 the other side -- The Retail Litigation Center,
24 the Chamber of Commerce -- point out that your
25 reading would -- and -- and suits have been

1 brought against every sector of the economy --
2 print media publishers, movie theaters,
3 advocacy groups, sports leagues, health and
4 fitness websites, parenting advice companies,
5 chess websites, crafting websites, professional
6 networking sites, universities -- every other
7 type of defendant under the sun in the words of
8 the amicus brief.

9 And so I think you've waved away some
10 of the concerns about the breadth of your
11 argument on this issue by saying, don't worry,
12 it's not going to apply because of the
13 definition of "video tape service provider."

14 But I don't think other plaintiffs are
15 going to be conceding that in the cases against
16 those businesses, or is the amicus -- are the
17 amicus briefs wrong in saying that that's a
18 legitimate concern?

19 MR. HAMMACK: Well, I would say a
20 couple of points. One, it's true that those
21 suits have been brought. It's also true that
22 many of them have resulted in district courts
23 saying: Wait a minute, that entity, like
24 Chick-fil-A, is not a video tape service
25 provider. This is the wrong kind of entity.

1 Congress wasn't targeting Chick-fil-A.

2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And what makes
3 someone a video tape service provider? If they
4 have a video on their business website that,
5 let's say, is three minutes long, are they a
6 video tape service provider?

7 MR. HAMMACK: I'm not sure the length
8 of the video matters. What will always matter
9 is exactly what (a)(4) says. You have to be
10 engaged in the business of renting, selling, or
11 delivering that audiovisual material.

12 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: You're delivering
13 it, right, by showing it on your website,
14 correct?

15 MR. HAMMACK: That -- that seems to me
16 to be true. You're delivering it.

17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Okay. And then
18 what makes you --

19 MR. HAMMACH: But are you engaged --

20 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- what makes you
21 in the business? Two minutes, three minutes,
22 four minutes, 10 minutes? What is it?

23 MR. HAMMACK: I don't think the number
24 of minutes long that a video is.

25 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: What does matter

1 then?

2 MR. HAMMACK: I think it's -- it's
3 exactly what Congress put into (a)(4). Are you
4 engaged in that business? Chick-fil-A is not.

5 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I'm trying to --
6 I'm trying to --

7 MR. HAMMACK: Paramount is.

8 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I understand the
9 words that are used. And I don't mean to be
10 too sharp, but I just want to have some
11 explanation of what you think "engaged in the
12 business" means because I think you waved away
13 some of the concerns by saying, don't worry
14 about Chick-fil-A, and I just want to know why.

15 MR. HAMMACK: Well, I think, if you --
16 if you imagine the Blockbuster paradigm, it was
17 engaged in the business of delivering those
18 materials because that was what was on offer
19 when you walked into the store. The same is
20 true of a department store. When you walked in
21 those doors, you could rent, purchase -- rent
22 or purchase at least those --

23 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: When you go on a
24 business's website, which is how a lot of
25 people shop, obviously, most people, you'll

1 often see a video quickly and --

2 MR. HAMMACK: And -- and it might --
3 it -- it might be that those websites are in
4 the business of delivering those video
5 materials. I don't think that should alarm us
6 so much precisely because (b)(1) has multiple
7 other limitations in it.

8 You also need PII to be collected.
9 You need a knowing disclosure, not an
10 accidental one, a knowing disclosure. You also
11 need a consumer.

12 So I think, even if we lived in a
13 world where, for example, Chick-fil-A someday
14 becomes a video tape service provider, there
15 are lots of other off-ramps for liability
16 included.

17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I still don't
18 think you've told me what a video -- and this
19 is the last time I'm going to ask it -- what on
20 the business's website's video makes it in the
21 business of delivering?

22 MR. HAMMACK: I apologize, Justice
23 Kavanaugh, I'm not sure I have a better answer
24 except to say putting that material on offer in
25 your store, you are driving -- your -- your

1 profit or your business motive is linked to
2 renting, selling, or delivering that material.

3 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: A video of your
4 product presumably. Okay, thank you.

5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
6 Barrett?

7 JUSTICE BARRETT: And why do you
8 allege that 247Sports meets that description?

9 MR. HAMMACK: Precisely because it is
10 engaged in the business of delivering a whole
11 host of video materials on its website.

12 JUSTICE BARRETT: Well, that's just
13 sort of repeating back the definition. But
14 what makes it a provider? You say it's engaged
15 in the business. Why? And what -- you know,
16 what is the length of these videos? Why -- why
17 are they similar to the old, you know, VHS
18 tape?

19 MR. HAMMACK: Again, I'm not sure that
20 they're similar in terms of length, but, again,
21 it's the profit motive that Paramount has when
22 it makes these deliveries. It doesn't deliver
23 them, you know, for kicks. It delivers them
24 because it sells advertising. It drives
25 business to the website.

1 JUSTICE BARRETT: Well, that's back to
2 Justice Kavanaugh's concern because that's why
3 every video -- I mean, if I'm on Nordstrom's
4 website and I click to -- to see the model show
5 me what the shoes are going to look like, the
6 mode -- that's a profit motive. It's not doing
7 it just for kicks, I mean, so that does bring
8 in things like Chick-fil-A and basically every
9 other business.

10 MR. HAMMACK: I think the courts have
11 been very consistent in saying it doesn't bring
12 in every kind of business that incidentally
13 happens to provide a single video on its
14 website. That's certainly not our position
15 either.

16 JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay.

17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
18 Jackson?

19 JUSTICE JACKSON: So, even if we
20 someday define the universe of video tape
21 service providers because that's not in this
22 case, but even if we define it broadly, can you
23 speak to whether interpreting "consumer" as you
24 have will have the negative consequences?

25 There's a lot of policy arguments in

1 Respondent's brief, and I just wanted to invite
2 you to talk about what the cure for this would
3 be even if this is a broadly interpreted
4 statute.

5 MR. HAMMACK: I don't think it has any
6 real negative consequence here precisely
7 because all of the elements in (b)(1) have to
8 coexist at the same time, so it's not just that
9 you're a video tape service provider and,
10 certainly, that this statute does not prohibit
11 providers from having consumers. It doesn't
12 prohibit them even from collecting or using
13 personally identifiable information.

14 The only thing that triggers
15 liability, the only thing is the disclosure,
16 the knowing disclosure, of personally
17 identifiable information concerning a consumer
18 without consent. And, again, consent is easy
19 to obtain. That's the easiest off-ramp to
20 liability that we have.

21 JUSTICE JACKSON: So that's -- so the
22 cure is pretty simple. It's not that we have
23 to worry so much about roping in all of these
24 businesses. They would just figure out a way
25 to get consent from people who are watching

1 these videos after they've been disclosed?

2 MR. HAMMACK: Absolutely true. And I
3 would say it's -- it's kind of a technological
4 reality that more businesses are engaged in
5 this business today than were engaged in it in
6 1988, and that's not a concern for exactly the
7 reasons we just laid out: Consent is such an
8 easy fix.

9 JUSTICE JACKSON: Thank you.

10 MR. HAMMACK: Thank you.

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
12 counsel.

13 Mr. Clement.

14 ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT

15 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

16 MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and
17 may it please the Court:

18 In 1988, Congress addressed a specific
19 problem with a tailored solution, protecting
20 the personally identifiable information of
21 consumers of video tape service providers. For
22 years, the statute worked as designed.
23 Personal protection of video -- information of
24 video consumers was protected, and there was
25 virtually no litigation under this statute.

1 Then, however, the plaintiffs' class
2 action bar discovered the VPPA and its
3 statutory and punitive damages. The key to
4 their effort to repurpose the statute is the
5 argument, the claim, that the statute's
6 definition of "consumer" uses the phrase "goods
7 or services" in an unmodified way, so one can
8 become a consumer without ever having rented,
9 purchased, or subscribed to a video.

10 That argument fails at the threshold
11 because the phrase "goods or services" is not
12 unmodified in the statute, but it takes its
13 meaning from the immediate surrounding text.
14 And that immediately surrounding text makes
15 clear that the relevant goods or services are
16 the prerecorded video cassette tapes or similar
17 audiovisual materials that one could rent,
18 purchase, subscribe to, from a video tape
19 service provider.

20 So just as one, when referring to
21 goods or services from a medical service
22 provider, would not logically refer to a cup of
23 coffee or valet parking, when one refers to
24 goods or services from a video tape service
25 provider, one does not logically refer to

1 chicken sandwiches or dog bandanas.

2 The alternative of interpreting the
3 statute to create a veritable army of VPPA
4 consumers without any personal information to
5 protect unless and until years or even decades
6 later they watch a free video has nothing to
7 recommend it and underscores that Petitioner is
8 misreading the statute.

9 I welcome the Court's questions.

10 JUSTICE THOMAS: Would you tell me how
11 is -- is -- and I thought it was 247Sports, but
12 247Sports -- I always thought of it as simply a
13 sports site.

14 The -- how does it convert to or is
15 seen now by Petitioner as a video tape service
16 provider? What's the logic of that? Because,
17 if its primary business is simply sports and it
18 shows videos, it would seem just naturally not
19 to be a -- in the business of providing video
20 service.

21 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Thomas, with
22 all due respect to my friend, I think he gets
23 there the exact same way you would get there in
24 understanding that Chick-fil-A is in the
25 business of delivering videos. It's the same

1 thing.

2 That's not what the website is mostly
3 about, but there -- if you go to the website,
4 the first thing you'll see is, like, a video
5 starts playing. You don't even have to click
6 on anything. It just starts playing. And
7 that's the way that most websites work these
8 days. And -- and most of the websites also
9 have pixels because most of the reason you can
10 get to free content, rather than being behind
11 the pay wall, is because of targeted --

12 JUSTICE THOMAS: Does that mean
13 they're in the business, though, of delivering
14 video services?

15 MR. CLEMENT: So, I mean, look --

16 JUSTICE THOMAS: They're in the
17 business -- Chick-fil-A is in the business of
18 selling chicken sandwiches. Twenty --
19 247Sports is a sports site that has videos.

20 MR. CLEMENT: Totally agree with you,
21 Justice Thomas, as a common-sense matter. But
22 here is I think a little bit of the problem,
23 which is Congress did make it pretty clear that
24 the department store, when it sells the video,
25 is a video tape service provider even though,

1 when it sells furniture and clothes and
2 everything else, it's not a video tape service
3 provider.

4 And the way I think, you know, I
5 would -- the way I understand my friend's
6 position is, yeah, it's all well and good that
7 247Sports.com is mostly just a sports site,
8 but, because there are videos on it, that's
9 enough to get you in the business of delivering
10 videos.

11 And that's the same way the
12 plaintiffs -- they might not have succeeded,
13 but that's the same way the plaintiffs got to
14 Chick-fil-A, La-Z-Boy, Folger's, Godiva
15 chocolates, and, of course, every newspaper
16 virtually, you know, lots of small newspapers,
17 because all of those newspapers, you know,
18 they're basically in the business of having
19 websites that have news. Some of the news
20 articles are accompanied by videos.

21 And, of course, their theory is not
22 that you become a consumer just by going to the
23 website. That's kind of the weird thing about
24 this theory. Their theory when they sue the
25 newspapers is, well, not everybody that goes

1 there is a consumer, but the people that
2 actually still subscribe to the good
3 old-fashioned paper that comes to your door,
4 they are consumers because they bought a
5 non-video or they subscribed to a non-video
6 product. That gets them in the consumer door.
7 And then maybe five years later, they go to the
8 website --

9 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Well, Mr. Clement --

10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well --

11 MR. CLEMENT: -- and see a video, and
12 all of a sudden we're off to the races.

13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- you've
14 picked some hypotheticals that are particularly
15 helpful for you. Chick-fil-A, you don't think
16 of that as anything other than chicken.

17 But there are a lot of stores that
18 sell a lot of different things, including the
19 type of videos that we're talking about. And
20 so I don't know why we should focus just on the
21 easy hypotheticals.

22 MR. CLEMENT: Well, it's sort of my
23 job to focus on the easy hypotheticals.

24 (Laughter.)

25 MR. CLEMENT: But I think, if you --

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I would think
2 your job is to focus on the hard ones --

3 (Laughter.)

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- at least
5 from our point of view.

6 MR. CLEMENT: Well, my -- my -- my job
7 is to direct your attention to the easy
8 hypotheticals, I would suppose. But, fair
9 enough, your job is to direct to the harder
10 hypotheticals.

11 But I'm not sure there really are that
12 many hard hypotheticals in the sense that we're
13 not taking the position that if you do --
14 actually are in the business, you are a video
15 tape service provider, that because you do lots
16 of other things or the -- or the rest, that
17 you're not covered.

18 And we think -- I mean, you know, I
19 think we would take the position, though I
20 think Justice Thomas raises a good question
21 about streaming services, but we would take the
22 position that Netflix, when you go online to
23 Netflix, that that might still be covered. So
24 I think the core of the -- of the statute is
25 still covered under our view.

1 But what I think what we would say is
2 that this notion that you can just mix -- mix
3 and match what makes you a consumer and what
4 gives you the personally identifiable
5 information that the statute protects for
6 consumers, that mismatch doesn't make any
7 sense. So a dog bandana --

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: I don't know if it's
9 mixing and matching. It's following the
10 definitions where they lead. So this
11 definition says "goods and services." It
12 doesn't say "audiovisual goods and services."
13 Other definitions do have the modifier in them.
14 That suggests that the fact that this
15 definition does not have the modifier in it is
16 especially important.

17 And you -- you -- you can't get the
18 modifier from the "from" clause because the
19 "from" clause just tells you what -- where
20 you're getting the goods and services from, but
21 the goods and services are the goods and
22 services not modified in any other way.

23 So mixing and matching, there are
24 three different definitions. You have to put
25 them together. What you get, most naturally

1 read, is the Petitioner's understanding of the
2 statute.

3 MR. CLEMENT: Well, two things, Your
4 Honor. I mean, one, you know, sometimes when
5 that's what you get, maybe you should take
6 another look. And the premise of your
7 question, of course, is that "from a video tape
8 service provider" isn't doing any definitional
9 work in the definition of "consumer."

10 And I would just take issue with that.
11 I mean, you know, "from a video tape service
12 provider," "video tape service provider" is a
13 defined term in the statute. If you plug that
14 definition into the statute, then what you get
15 is "consumer" means any renter, purchaser, or
16 subscriber of goods or services from any person
17 engaged in the business in or affecting
18 interstate or foreign commerce of rental, sale,
19 or delivery of prerecorded video cassette tapes
20 or similar audiovisual materials.

21 After I'm done plugging that into the
22 statute, I'm left with the conclusion that the
23 goods or service we're talking about here are
24 prerecorded video cassette tapes or similar
25 audiovisual materials.

1 JUSTICE JACKSON: But they don't have
2 to be, Mr. --

3 MR. CLEMENT: That makes sense --

4 JUSTICE JACKSON: But they -- but they
5 don't have to be. I mean, we -- we talked
6 about hypotheticals. Let me -- let me give you
7 what I hope is a hard hypothetical that you can
8 address.

9 So suppose we had Justice Breyer -- he
10 was mentioned before -- entering a video store,
11 and the store not only rents and sells videos
12 but also books about films. And Justice Breyer
13 is particularly interested in foreign films, so
14 he buys a book at the counter about French
15 films. And as he's flipping through the book,
16 he sees mention of several films that he would
17 actually like to preview in the store before he
18 decides whether he wants to rent or buy them.
19 And they have that service. And he says: Can
20 I please go into the corner here, to the
21 machine, and watch X, Y, Z film?

22 So he does this. A reporter then
23 comes in and acquires his video-watching
24 history as a result of this escapade, and he
25 has not actually purchased the films. He's

1 only purchased the book, but he's in the video
2 store and he's watching the films, and his
3 history then gets disclosed to the reporter.

4 What I can't figure out is why it
5 would matter from Congress's standpoint that
6 he's only purchased a book under those
7 circumstances when, really, what Congress cared
8 about is whether his video-watching history was
9 disclosed to a third party under this statute.

10 MR. CLEMENT: So I guess what I would
11 say is that, you know, no statute pursues its
12 purpose to the ends of the earth. And, here,
13 Congress was very clear that if you don't have
14 a rental, purchaser, or subscription
15 relationship, then you're not covered by this
16 statute. And that's common ground between both
17 parties.

18 JUSTICE JACKSON: Right. He has a
19 purchaser relationship. What he's purchased is
20 a good of the video store that is not a film or
21 audiovisual. He's purchased a book that the
22 video store sells, so he is a consumer of the
23 video store.

24 Your position, as I take it, would be
25 that because he has not purchased video

1 materials, he's not a consumer for the purpose
2 of this statute, and I'm asking you why that
3 is.

4 MR. CLEMENT: That's because of the
5 way the statute's written. And for those that
6 look at legislative history, that also seems
7 entirely consistent with what Congress said.
8 Congress envisioned the possibility of a
9 department store selling videos and other
10 things, and they were quite clear that they
11 didn't think there was privacy protection for
12 the other things.

13 And then I also --

14 JUSTICE JACKSON: But privacy
15 protection for the other things. So, if the
16 reporter had asked what book did he buy, then
17 that's not covered. But the reporter asked
18 what videos did he watch, and that seems to me
19 to be materially indistinguishable from the
20 actual scenario that gave rise to this statute.

21 MR. CLEMENT: But, with respect, I
22 think, if Justice Breyer went into the same
23 store, didn't buy the book but still looked at
24 three videos in some cabin and didn't have to
25 subscribe anything to do it, and the reporter

1 asked the same question, he would still fit --

2 JUSTICE JACKSON: He doesn't cover
3 the --

4 MR. CLEMENT: -- he would --

5 JUSTICE JACKSON: -- he doesn't cover
6 the -- the consumer definition on its face
7 because he's not a consumer. He hasn't
8 purchased any goods or services.

9 MR. CLEMENT: Right, right. But it's
10 a perfect illustration of how the statute
11 doesn't capture every disclosure of personal
12 identifying information. It requires you to be
13 a consumer.

14 And, logically, the transaction that
15 makes you a consumer is one that involves some
16 personal identifying information. And what's
17 illogical is that you become a consumer on day
18 one, and then there's personal identifiable
19 information doesn't catch up to you --

20 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: What -- what
21 seems --

22 MR. CLEMENT: -- until day -- until
23 years later.

24 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: What seems
25 different to me about now from the 1980s is not

1 so much the -- the plaintiffs but the type of
2 personal identifying information. It's not
3 your name being released. It's this
4 data-sharing code, right? And that's quite a
5 bit different. And there are a lot of
6 arguments about PII.

7 And then treating everyone as a video
8 tape service provider, every business as
9 opposed to the ones that are analogous to
10 Blockbuster. But those two arguments, both of
11 which may be raised in future cases in which
12 your side's prevailing in the lower courts for
13 the most part, are not the issues before us.

14 So how do we think about, you know,
15 the sky-is-falling concern in the amicus briefs
16 and it's part of your brief when there are
17 these two other arguments that you may prevail
18 on? And why shouldn't we just follow
19 "consumer" as written and worry about that in a
20 future case?

21 MR. CLEMENT: So a couple of things,
22 Justice Kavanaugh. I mean, first of all, like,
23 if you're going to tell me that I'm going to
24 win on those other arguments, I mean, great.

25 But we don't know that. My friend

1 has -- you know, thinks that he has great
2 arguments on both PII and on video tape service
3 provider. I also don't think you can really
4 get away from the definition of "video tape
5 service provider" in interpreting the scope of
6 "consumer" because that word, that defined
7 word, is part of the definition of "consumer."

8 But I also want to say there are
9 distinct problems with this expansive
10 definition of "consumer," and it really takes
11 you away from the structure of the statute
12 because this statute operates on the assumption
13 that consumers are going to have personally
14 identifiable information and they are going to
15 be the ones -- the consumers are going to be in
16 the position to consent to the disclosure of
17 that information.

18 And my friend's position breaks that
19 structure of the statute because, even when he
20 answers Justice Jackson and says the way this
21 is going to work is we're going to ask for
22 consent from everybody before they go for a
23 website, well, they are going to ask for
24 consent from everybody and they're going to ask
25 for consent from a whole bunch of people who

1 aren't consumers.

2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, it's going
3 to be overbroad consent, no doubt. But you --
4 it's a very easy solution for these businesses
5 going forward.

6 And I get the retrospective liability,
7 believe me. But going forward, it's a very
8 easy solution to get consent, isn't it? That's
9 a question. And maybe it's not easy. But --

10 MR. CLEMENT: So I'd like --

11 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- tell me the
12 answer going forward.

13 MR. CLEMENT: Yeah. I mean, you did
14 point to the two years of liability from the
15 day you decide this case and all of that
16 overhang, but to answer your specific
17 question --

18 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, this statutory
19 language, you know, it is relatively clear.
20 And you might have been -- be -- be charged
21 with having read it. And going forward, you
22 have two very simple solutions. One is to get
23 consent. Easy enough. People do it every day.
24 And the other is to stop selling people's
25 private information. Take your pick.

1 MR. CLEMENT: Yeah. Or put everything
2 behind a pay wall. That would be great. But I
3 guess what I would say is that --

4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Not the same thing.

5 MR. CLEMENT: Well, I think that's one
6 way that people will try to deal with this.
7 But, as to the question --

8 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Can you answer --

9 MR. CLEMENT: -- of whether consent is
10 easy, the -- the statute's set up --

11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But what's the
12 problem with not?

13 MR. CLEMENT: I'm sorry?

14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's the problem
15 with not disclosing private video view?

16 MR. CLEMENT: Oh, that -- that is --

17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I mean, it -- it's
18 a business for you, and it might end your
19 business if you don't want to get consent, but
20 if you want to stay in the business, get
21 consent, or just don't do it.

22 What -- other than that you have
23 created a business model that might contradict
24 the law, because we still have a lot of open
25 issues, what's the problem?

1 MR. CLEMENT: So, I mean, the -- the
2 problem is the pay wall issue, which is the
3 reason that material is available for "free" on
4 the Internet is precisely because it's being
5 financed by targeted advertisement. And --

6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So people will be
7 told that if you want to see this, you have to
8 give consent.

9 MR. CLEMENT: Right. And --

10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And so they'll
11 make the choice of whether they want to watch
12 it for free and have their view -- their
13 viewing sold to other people or they won't. I
14 mean, it'll be up to the person, which seems to
15 me the way it should be.

16 MR. CLEMENT: So I guess what I would
17 say to try to answer the question, I'm just not
18 sure the consent is going to be easy as you
19 imagine. Certainly, Congress tried to make
20 consent relatively sort of sticky and said that
21 it had to be in a separate -- a separate
22 formulation. It couldn't be with when you get
23 consent to anything else. And, certainly, the
24 way these cases have been litigated, people
25 have argued that the consent, even when

1 websites have tried to get consent, wasn't
2 clear enough, wasn't unmistakable. That could
3 get --

4 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, that'll
5 always be the argument. But it seems, just
6 technologically, isn't it pretty easy for --
7 for you all to obtain consent going forward?
8 It'll be a little more hassle for all of us,
9 but --

10 MR. CLEMENT: For everyone.

11 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Yeah. But one --

12 JUSTICE KAGAN: It's just one of those
13 cookies boxes that comes up, right?

14 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Yeah.

15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Wouldn't you do it the
16 exact same way?

17 MR. CLEMENT: I -- I -- I -- I --

18 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, we do that a
19 thousand times a day.

20 MR. CLEMENT: I know you do that a
21 thousand times a day. I will say that
22 Congress, when it was -- when it was setting up
23 this statute, did try to provide the consent,
24 informed written consent. It also said that it
25 has to be provided in a clear and conspicuous

1 manner for the consumer to withdraw on a
2 case-by-case basis.

3 So I -- I -- I think, look, I'm not
4 telling you that it's impossible, but I don't
5 think you should decide this case about the
6 meaning of "consumer" on the assumption that
7 consent is absolutely easy.

8 And I do think what they would do,
9 because they don't know who's a consumer
10 because they don't know who bought a chicken
11 sandwich or who bought a dog bandana, they're
12 going to ask consent from everybody. And right
13 there is a signal that something's off because
14 the statute requires consent from the consumer
15 to the disclosure of the consumer's
16 information.

17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, the
18 definition might have been under-inclusive back
19 in the day given modern technology, the
20 definition of "consumer." I mean, maybe the --
21 the real concern Congress had if you translate
22 it to today would be everyone who views the
23 video.

24 MR. CLEMENT: I don't know that that's
25 the case. I mean, I think that's, you know,

1 open to speculation. But, as we point out in
2 the brief, I think the one thing that's not
3 open to speculation is that if Congress passes
4 a statute to address the issues with targeted
5 advertisement, they're not going to have
6 coverage turn on the happenstance of where you
7 bought a chicken sandwich or where you bought a
8 dog bandana. I mean, that's kind of lunacy.
9 And that's why I think when you get to that
10 kind of result you go back.

11 And then, to the point of --

12 JUSTICE KAGAN: But, Mr. Clement, take
13 this -- the -- the -- the facts of this case,
14 right? So I -- I don't know 247, but there's a
15 sports site and somebody gets a newsletter,
16 purchases a newsletter from that site.

17 MR. CLEMENT: It was a free
18 newsletter, so they don't purchase it. It's
19 free.

20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Just let's assume that
21 they --

22 MR. CLEMENT: Just the way you sign up
23 for, like, The New York Times.

24 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- they purchase
25 something from that site.

1 MR. CLEMENT: Yep.

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: And then they watch a
3 lot of videos. And let's say a judge does
4 this. And then it turns out that the judge
5 watches some pretty funky sports videos, you
6 know, like a lot of women wrestling or
7 something.

8 (Laughter.)

9 JUSTICE KAGAN: And that's
10 embarrassing. That's embarrassing and exactly
11 the way Congress thought we're passing this
12 statute to prevent disclosure of that
13 information.

14 So why is it like this case? It kind
15 of falls -- you know, a lot of times we get
16 cases that they don't fall within the heartland
17 of the statute, and we say, oh, well, the
18 heartland of the statute isn't the whole
19 statute. The paradigmatic case isn't the only
20 case.

21 But couldn't this case kind of be the
22 paradigmatic case? It's like you can, like,
23 watch lots of embarrassing sports videos that
24 you don't want anybody else to know about. A
25 judge could.

1 MR. CLEMENT: I mean, I think it's
2 hard to watch a lot of embarrassing videos on
3 247Sports based on my perusal of it. But,
4 like, you know, I don't know, maybe, like,
5 watching, you know, Chicago Bears films is
6 embarrassing in certain parts of the country.
7 I don't know. Maybe it is embarrassing.

8 The point is, though, it shouldn't
9 turn on whether you also subscribed to a free
10 online newsletter, a free email newsletter.
11 See, the --

12 JUSTICE KAGAN: Maybe that's right.
13 Maybe that's right. Maybe the thing that
14 Congress should do as they look at this again
15 is to take away that limitation. But -- but --
16 but that wouldn't really serve your purpose,
17 would it?

18 I mean, that's -- that's a limitation
19 that works in your favor, and the fact that it
20 excludes some people who might be embarrassed
21 doesn't seem like an argument for your
22 interpretation of the statute.

23 MR. CLEMENT: Well, here's what I
24 think is an argument for my statute, which is
25 it's undeniable that the statute turns on that

1 happenstance, whether you get the chicken
2 sandwich, whether you subscribe to the free
3 email newsletter, and that doesn't make a lot
4 of sense.

5 And then the other thing that doesn't
6 make a lot of sense is, because they've
7 untethered the "consumer" definition from
8 "personally identifying information" and from
9 "video tape service provider," then you get the
10 situation where there's no temporal connection
11 whatsoever.

12 So, under their theory, I can buy my
13 chicken sandwich 10 years ago, I can buy my
14 recliner 15 years ago, and then I don't watch a
15 video on the website until a decade later.

16 Congress would never write a statute
17 like that. If they really expected people to
18 be able to -- and I'll say it again -- mix and
19 match consumer status with personal information
20 and video watching, they would have put some
21 temporal limit that connected the two.

22 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Clement --

23 MR. CLEMENT: And then --

24 JUSTICE BARRETT: -- doesn't yours
25 have a problem with temporal limit too, though?

1 May -- may -- let me just make sure I
2 understand your theory.

3 MR. CLEMENT: Yeah.

4 JUSTICE BARRETT: If said judge
5 purchases a subscription to 247Sports that
6 allows, you know, him or her to watch these
7 videos at Point A and then five or 10 years
8 later watches a free video from 247Sports, I
9 mean, haven't you also created a temporal --
10 there's a gap?

11 MR. CLEMENT: So there could be a gap
12 in certain situations, but I think it's a very,
13 like, narrow gap. I don't think you're going
14 to have millions of consumers that are all of a
15 sudden VPPA consumers.

16 But it's also -- there is no gap in
17 this sense. If the initial purchase is a video
18 purchase or, I think, to be more precise, is a
19 prerecorded video cassette tape or similar
20 audiovisual material, there is, under the
21 statute, an immediate opportunity to give
22 advance consent for two years.

23 And so that provision that was added
24 in 2013, even in the somewhat funky hypo I
25 think you're giving me, I mean, that -- that

1 advance consent provision works sensibly from
2 the get-go, whereas, under my friend's
3 position, you could buy a dog bandana and then
4 you could give advance consent to the
5 disclosure of PII before there's any PII under
6 his reading of the statute, and then five years
7 later, when you finally have PII, your advance
8 consent has already expired.

9 And that just shows, like, every
10 provision in this statute is -- makes sense if
11 you tether what makes you a consumer to what
12 generates PII in the first instance. And --

13 JUSTICE JACKSON: Except, Mr. --
14 Mr. Clement, I still think you -- you have a
15 line-drawing problem because, from the
16 standpoint of the statute being concerned about
17 the disclosure of personally identifying
18 information, you are creating two different
19 categories of customers. You're creating two
20 different categories of people.

21 In other words, the statute only
22 protects those whose personally identifying
23 information is disclosed if they have a
24 consumer relationship that involves buying
25 those videos, as opposed to those who have

1 their personally identifying information
2 disclosed, but they bought something else.

3 I think that's irrational as well if
4 the thrust and the point of the statute is to
5 protect the -- against the disclosure of
6 personally identifying information.

7 And I understand your point being that
8 because we have any definition of "consumer,"
9 it's under-inclusive. You have people who are
10 not consumers whose personally identifying
11 information might be disclosed. Justice Kagan
12 brought that point up with you.

13 But, fine, assuming we have that
14 oddity, you seem to be making yet another one
15 by carving up even more the category of people
16 whose personally identifying information is
17 disclosed.

18 MR. CLEMENT: I don't think I'm
19 carving it up more, and I think I'm doing
20 exactly what Congress intended. And
21 Congress -- you know, for those who read Senate
22 reports, Congress focused on this possibility
23 that you could have the department store and
24 they would sell a couple of video tapes and
25 they would sell a lot of -- bunch of other

1 stuff, and they didn't think they wanted to
2 protect the other goods and services.

3 Now my friend will tell you, yeah,
4 that piece of legislative history focused on
5 the PII definition. But here's the thing: It
6 would be pretty weird to have the thing in the
7 statute that does the limiting work to be a
8 definition that by its terms is expressly
9 inclusive. That's not a well-designed tool to
10 do limiting work.

11 What is a well --

12 JUSTICE JACKSON: I guess I don't
13 understand -- I don't understand your answer to
14 my question. I mean, I'm saying that what
15 mattered in the statute was the unlawful
16 disclosure without consent of personally
17 identifying information.

18 And your interpretation of the statute
19 has that coming out differently, the same set
20 of circumstances happening, coming out
21 differently depending upon what particular item
22 the consumer purchased. And I don't understand
23 why that should be the dividing line or why
24 that makes any sense in this concept.

25 MR. CLEMENT: So, to me, it makes a

1 ton of sense because what makes you a consumer
2 is a transaction that generates personal
3 identifiable information. And when you buy a
4 book or a dog bandana, under both parties' view
5 of the statute, that doesn't generate any
6 personally identifiable --

7 JUSTICE JACKSON: But that's not what
8 makes you a consumer under the statute, right?
9 The statute has a different category of
10 personally identifying information than it does
11 of consumer. So it doesn't make you a consumer
12 to have personally identifying information
13 under this statute.

14 MR. CLEMENT: What I'm saying is, if
15 there is a close connection between what makes
16 you a consumer and what generates personally
17 identifiable information, the whole statute,
18 including the consent provisions, work
19 coherently.

20 And that's why I think Congress said
21 that when you buy a video, you're a consumer,
22 but when you buy a book or you buy gasoline or
23 you buy a chicken sandwich, you're not a
24 consumer because, at the moment you buy the
25 book, the -- the chicken sandwich, the

1 gasoline, there's no personally identifiable
2 information.

3 JUSTICE JACKSON: Right.

4 MR. CLEMENT: So you don't really --

5 JUSTICE JACKSON: The statute doesn't
6 say that. That's your theory of what the
7 statute said when it said "goods or services."

8 MR. CLEMENT: It's both parties'
9 agreed understanding of personally identifiable
10 information until you get specific video
11 information.

12 And speaking of specific video
13 information -- I don't want to sit down before
14 I make this point -- my friend tries to make
15 something of the fact that the word "video"
16 appears in the personally identifiable
17 information definition before the phrase "from
18 a video tape service provider." And he says,
19 aha, they know how to use it.

20 But he ignores that they use two
21 words. They didn't use "video." They used
22 "specific video." And there's a very good
23 reason they did that because there's another
24 provision in the statute, (b)(2)(D), that
25 allows the disclosure of general subject matter

1 information about videos in certain
2 circumstances. So "specific video" is doing
3 work in the "personal identifiable information"
4 definition that there's no analogue to in the
5 definition of "consumer."

6 And here's something else to consider.
7 If you did what my friend wants you to do to
8 make this an easier case, if Congress had put
9 "video" in front of "goods or services," it
10 would have gone a long way to making the phrase
11 "from a video tape service provider" redundant.
12 And my friend tells you we try to avoid
13 redundancy if at all possible.

14 But think of the way the statute
15 works. In order to be a consumer, you have to
16 rent, buy, or subscribe to a video. And if --
17 no matter what else your business does, if you
18 rent, sell, or deliver videos, prerecorded
19 videos, you are per force a video tape service
20 provider.

21 So, if you had a hypothetical statute
22 that has the word that he wants in it, it said
23 video goods and services from a video tape
24 service provider, at that point, you have two
25 options. Either you admit that "from a video

1 tape service provider" is doing no work, or you
2 do what you might do, which is to struggle to
3 give it some meaning, you would say, ah, you
4 know, there actually are some video goods or
5 services that aren't actually prerecorded video
6 cassette tapes or similar audiovisual
7 materials.

8 So take, for example, a blank VCR
9 tape. Now that's clearly a video good or
10 service, but it's not prerecorded and it
11 doesn't involve any personal identifiable
12 information. So, in a hypothetical statute
13 that had the word "video" where my friend wants
14 it, you probably would look to "from a video
15 tape service provider" to limit the scope of --
16 of video goods or services.

17 But, if you can look to "from a video
18 tape service provider" to limit the scope of
19 video goods or services, I don't know why you
20 can't look to it to limit the scope of goods or
21 services.

22 And I do think that is natural,
23 ordinary meaning, as Justice Alito alluded to,
24 but it also makes particular sense in a statute
25 that's focused on privacy concerns that

1 uniquely arise out of video transactions.

2 Go back to the example I started with
3 with goods or services from a medical service
4 provider. Okay. In ordinary English, I don't
5 think that covers buying a cup of coffee from
6 the hospital. But, in a medical privacy
7 statute, nobody cares whether I got, like, you
8 know, half caf, but what they care about is
9 whether I had an appendectomy or I had plastic
10 surgery or something.

11 So you would naturally in that context
12 say that a consumer of goods or services from a
13 medical service provider talks about people
14 that got actual medical services, not people
15 who got a valet parking service when they got
16 to the -- the medical office.

17 And that's what we're asking to you do
18 here. And my friend's whole position really
19 depends on reading three words in the
20 definition in isolation. But it's a 15-word
21 definition, and the other 12 words all direct
22 you to audiovisual materials that are similar
23 to prerecorded video cassettes because it
24 starts with this triad of terms: Renter,
25 buyer, or subscriber.

1 Weird. It doesn't appear anywhere
2 else in the U.S. Code, but it precisely
3 captures the three ways that you got video
4 tapes in 1988, and it starts with rental, which
5 was the -- was the single most obvious way you
6 would get video tapes in 1988.

7 And then, if you carry through to the
8 definition of a video tape service provider,
9 you see the same triad. You see selling video
10 tapes, renting video tapes, or delivering them.
11 And it all works together as a harmonious
12 whole. And I wouldn't just interpret those
13 three words in splendid isolation and read them
14 to the limits of the world.

15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
16 counsel.

17 Justice Thomas?

18 Justice Alito?

19 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you argue and
20 your amici argue that accepting the
21 Petitioner's interpretation of (a)(1) would
22 lead to drastic consequences, and, in response
23 to that, it is said that's not necessarily so
24 because you could win under other provisions of
25 this statute.

1 And, of course, you don't want to say
2 no, we stand a chance of losing under these
3 other provisions based on the same sort of
4 linguistic interpretation that Petitioner is
5 advancing here.

6 And, therefore, I think, for this
7 reason, Mr. Hammack was asked about (a)(4).
8 And I wonder if you could say something about
9 (a)(4) and what you understand the statute to
10 mean when it talks about "any person engaged in
11 the business of delivery of audiovisual
12 materials that are similar to video cassette
13 tapes"?

14 What does it mean to be "in the
15 business"? And does it mean -- does it reach
16 any -- any person or entity that derives any
17 economic benefit from providing access to
18 videos or, at the other extreme, does it mean
19 any person or entity that is engaged solely in
20 that or predominantly in that? What does it
21 mean?

22 MR. CLEMENT: So I don't think it can
23 mean, unfortunately -- it would help me win
24 this case eventually -- if I thought it meant,
25 like, predominantly or exclusively. But I

1 think that's pretty impossible to square with
2 the idea that Congress plainly wanted to
3 capture department stores that sold videos back
4 in 1988, grocery stores that sold videos in
5 1988.

6 So I think that if you're engaged in
7 the business, and, you know, there's a
8 reference to interstate or foreign commerce, so
9 I'm thinking they're casting a pretty wide net,
10 and you deliver videos, it seems most naturally
11 read -- and some of you are maybe suggesting
12 I'm most -- not most naturally reading
13 "consumer," but I actually think I'm most
14 naturally reading all 15 words.

15 But I think, most naturally read, this
16 would capture Chick-fil-A, La-Z-Boy, all of
17 these things, because they're certainly in
18 business and they are delivering videos.

19 Now, like, I don't want to put two
20 feet on that because I could be back in some
21 court arguing no, no, no, that, you know, it
22 means something slightly different. But I
23 think it's tough given that Congress clearly
24 wanted to capture the -- the -- the -- the
25 department stores and the grocery stores.

1 And that's why I really think the most
2 natural way to narrow this statute is to get
3 the definition of "consumer" right and limit it
4 to the consumers -- and, again, we're -- we're
5 talking about the (a)(4) definition. But the
6 (a)(4) definition's kind of baked right in to
7 the definition of "consumer."

8 And that's why I think it would have
9 been redundant if -- I mean, you know, just to
10 make the redundancy point one more time, I
11 mean, (a)(4) is already baked in and already
12 says a prerecorded video cassette tape or
13 similar audiovisual material.

14 So I suppose what Congress could have
15 said in the definition of "consumer" is
16 consumer means any renter, purchaser, or
17 subscriber of prerecorded video cassette tapes
18 or similar audiovisual materials from a video
19 tape service provider, which, of course, is a
20 provider of prerecorded video cassette tapes or
21 similar audiovisual materials.

22 JUSTICE ALITO: Right, right. Yeah.

23 MR. CLEMENT: But that would be
24 hopelessly awkward and redundant. And it -- it
25 just underscores that if Congress had done

1 both, it would have been redundant, and I think
2 there was a perfectly good reason it left
3 "goods or services" unmodified.

4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, the statute
5 means what the statute means regardless of
6 the -- of the consequences, but it would be
7 interesting to know whether "in the business
8 of" means deriving any sort of commercial
9 advantage from providing access to videos on a
10 website because that would seem to impose huge
11 retroactive liability on a broad, broad range
12 of entities.

13 And I'm not sure I understood
14 Mr. Hammack's definition of what it means to be
15 "in the business of." Maybe he'll take another
16 shot at that on -- on rebuttal.

17 MR. CLEMENT: Yeah. I -- I must
18 confess I did not understand it either. And I
19 don't think it explains away Chick-fil-A. And
20 just to put it in concrete terms, if you look
21 at the complaint in this case, he alleges that
22 there are over a hundred thousand subscribers
23 to 247Sports.com that he wants to represent in
24 a class action.

25 Now I'm not great at math, but \$2500

1 per plaintiff in a class action that includes
2 at least a hundred thousand people, I think, is
3 \$250 million of liability in this case alone.

4 And then you look at the Chamber brief
5 and all those footnotes, you look at The Retail
6 Litigation Center appendix and all of those
7 cases, and there's a reason, I think, as you
8 alluded to, that every district court judge
9 that looks at this thinks this cannot be right,
10 this is crazy.

11 And I would suggest that a careful
12 textual reading of all 15 words in this
13 definition actually gets you to the result
14 that, yes, it's not right.

15 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
17 Sotomayor?

18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, some very
19 intelligent circuit court judges have disagreed
20 with you. The linchpin of your argument is
21 that the transaction that some -- that makes
22 someone a VPPA consumer must be the same one
23 that generates PII.

24 But the VPPA refutes that. "(D)
25 governs the use of personally identifiable

1 information" -- I'm quoting -- "obtained in any
2 manner as evidence in some governmental
3 proceeding." So you don't have to be a
4 consumer in there. And it requires destroying
5 such information as soon as practicable. Those
6 provisions apply regardless of whether the
7 information concerns a consumer.

8 So, if the statute explicitly
9 envisions that PII can exist without a
10 consumer, I don't understand why it's absurd
11 that a consumer might exist who hasn't yet
12 generated but will generate PII because you're
13 only liable if you are disclosing PII of
14 someone's video-viewing.

15 So what's -- I don't understand.

16 MR. CLEMENT: So I do think there is a
17 fundamental difference between having PII
18 without a consumer and having a consumer that
19 has no PII.

20 Now, as we point out in our brief --

21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, no, a
22 consumer will have PII because you're going to
23 disclose PII. You're only liable --

24 MR. CLEMENT: No, no --

25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- whether -- what

1 you're saying that it's different than if the
2 consumer doesn't create it at that moment of
3 the transaction.

4 MR. CLEMENT: No, what I'm saying is,
5 actually, under his view as I understand it, if
6 you go into the Blockbuster, the last one, the
7 brick-and-mortar store, you buy your dog
8 bandana, and then you never look at the
9 Blockbuster website, then you are a consumer in
10 his view, but you have no PII. You are an
11 inchoate VPPA consumer.

12 And I think that is far more anomalous
13 than --

14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. Then
15 why? When you finally buy something, it is
16 what you buy, that video-viewing, that's being
17 protected.

18 MR. CLEMENT: Well, you don't have to
19 buy anything. And that's another anomaly.
20 See, under the statute Congress wrote, if you
21 walked in to a -- even a video store and they
22 gave you a video, you're not protected by the
23 statute. You have to have a video transaction.

24 And what makes his position and I
25 would -- I would respectfully suggest consumers

1 without PII so anomalous is they essentially
2 make this free online viewing of videos that
3 otherwise doesn't make anybody a consumer and
4 then they retroactively become a consumer
5 because they bought a chicken sandwich 10 years
6 later, they look -- they subscribed to the
7 local newspaper, they signed up for a free
8 email.

9 I don't think that makes any sense.
10 And I do think it does damage to the statute
11 that having the converse doesn't because, as
12 I've alluded to, all of the consent provisions
13 are premised on the idea that the person that
14 can provide consent is the consumer.

15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Thank you.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan?

17 JUSTICE KAGAN: I just want to make
18 sure I understand your view of (a)(3). So this
19 is the PII includes information which
20 identifies a person as having requested or
21 obtained specific video materials.

22 What does "includes" mean, do you
23 believe?

24 MR. CLEMENT: So I think that it means
25 something different from "means." I think it

1 does set a floor. And that's why I don't think
2 the definition of PII can do the limiting --

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, what kind of
4 floor are you saying? In other words, does it
5 include information which identifies a person
6 as having requested or obtained other materials
7 or services? I mean, your bandanas or your
8 La-Z-Boys, do you think that there's no
9 requirement that the person have requested or
10 obtained video materials or services?

11 MR. CLEMENT: Well, it's tricky,
12 right, because, if we're going to be literal, I
13 mean, "includes" --

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I want to -- I
15 want to know what you -- you think.

16 MR. CLEMENT: So --

17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Does it include
18 bandanas and La-Z-Boys?

19 MR. CLEMENT: So I would say that it
20 doesn't. But it's hard to get there from a
21 literal reading of the text because the textual
22 definition is just an "includes" definition.

23 Now I know from the Senate report,
24 which I read, that Congress didn't want to
25 protect private information about dog bandanas

1 or furniture that you bought from a department
2 store. So, if we're going to be faithful to
3 the intent that was reflected in the Senate
4 report, we have to figure some way to limit
5 this statute so that it protects video
6 information and not bandana information.

7 And I would respectfully suggest that,
8 you know, you could do it, and I -- I would
9 suppose I might even argue for it someday, but
10 it's hard to get the limit from a definition
11 that's an inclusive definition. And I think
12 the better place to get the limiting principle
13 is from the definition of "consumer."

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you.

15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
16 Gorsuch?

17 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do you disagree with
18 the American Newspaper amicus brief that
19 "similar" would at least require content like
20 full-length movies and television shows that
21 were on video tape lining Blockbuster shelves?

22 MR. CLEMENT: Ultimately, when that
23 issue comes up, I would -- you know, in that --

24 JUSTICE GORSUCH: You're going to --
25 you're going to take that position?

1 MR. CLEMENT: I am going to take that
2 position.

3 JUSTICE GORSUCH: You'd agree with
4 newspapers that that -- that that -- that --
5 that is a distinction?

6 MR. CLEMENT: And I might even go one
7 further and agree with Judge Randolph that they
8 have to be --

9 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Physical?

10 MR. CLEMENT: -- physical.

11 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah.

12 MR. CLEMENT: Because, you know,
13 materials is kind of physical.

14 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah. Thank you.

15 MR. CLEMENT: So, if you -- yeah.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
17 Kavanaugh?

18 Justice Barrett?

19 JUSTICE BARRETT: Do you concede that
20 Salazar has standing?

21 MR. CLEMENT: We haven't argued that
22 he doesn't. And I guess this is what -- if I
23 could -- I think the best answer to that is I
24 think it depends on what you make of page 11 of
25 the Meta brief. I don't know if you have it in

1 front of you, but it's -- you know, it's got
2 this gobbledegook. And that's -- that's what's
3 disclosed to a third party.

4 And so I take it the question would
5 be, is the disclosure of gobbledegook to a
6 third party sufficiently close to the common
7 law analogue of disclosing personal information
8 to a third party to satisfy Article III?

9 That's a tricky question. I mean, if
10 you want us to, we can brief it. I would
11 really hate for the Court to reject -- like,
12 it -- to say that there's definitively standing
13 here in this case without any briefing. So I
14 don't know if you can drop a footnote and say
15 this is just a drive-by jurisdictional
16 ruling --

17 (Laughter.)

18 MR. CLEMENT: -- so you can ignore it
19 in the future. I don't know if you're allowed
20 to do that, but --

21 JUSTICE BARRETT: You raised it in the
22 district court.

23 MR. CLEMENT: We did raise it in the
24 district court. And then we --

25 JUSTICE BARRETT: But then dropped it

1 before the Sixth Circuit.

2 MR. CLEMENT: We did. But you know
3 the funny thing about standing arguments, you
4 can't really drop them.

5 JUSTICE BARRETT: Right.

6 MR. CLEMENT: So, if you -- if you're
7 intrigued by that question, you know, I'd be
8 happy to provide supplemental briefing. And --
9 but I -- but I do think the question really
10 comes down to whether that gobbledegook is
11 sufficiently close to a common law analogue.

12 JUSTICE BARRETT: Well, this
13 gobbledegook also presents the question of
14 whether it's PII, right, if nobody can look at
15 it and really identify -- only a computer can
16 figure out what this means?

17 MR. CLEMENT: Yeah, and maybe that's
18 one more reason to not decide the standing
19 question definitively without more briefing
20 because I do think there is a close
21 relationship between the standing question and
22 the PII question.

23 So, you know, if you say there's
24 standing here because it's sort of not really
25 totally gobbledegook, then my friend's going to

1 try to use that in the lower courts to say,
2 aha, it is personally identifiable information.

3 So, again, I'm -- we're happy to brief
4 it if you'd like us to. But I -- I do think it
5 would be a mistake to definitively decide
6 standing without any briefing.

7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
8 Jackson?

9 Thank you, counsel.

10 Rebuttal, Mr. Hammack?

11 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JOSHUA I. HAMMACK

12 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

13 MR. HAMMACK: Thank you, Mr. Chief
14 Justice.

15 Just a couple of points. First, I
16 think it's really important to keep our eye on
17 the ball that what we're looking for here in
18 Section (b)(1) is any consumer of such
19 provider, any consumer. So, when we -- when we
20 have questions about, well, what about someone
21 who purchased a dog bandana or someone who
22 purchased a La-Z-Boy recliner or someone who
23 purchased something or rented something years
24 ago, our question is made simpler by the fact
25 that (b)(1) says any consumer of such provider.

1 So is someone who rented something a
2 number of years ago or purchases a chicken
3 sandwich from a provider, is that person any
4 consumer of such provider? The answer has to
5 be yes. That's the most natural reading. It
6 aligns with ordinary meaning all over the
7 statute.

8 Second, I want to address the -- the
9 point that my friend on the other side made
10 regarding this shift in the number of cases
11 brought happening, you know, a decade or so
12 ago. And that shift did not occur because
13 plaintiffs suddenly woke up one day and
14 discovered the Video Privacy Protection Act.
15 It occurred because of the advent of pixel
16 technology, this technology that all kinds of
17 businesses started embedding in their websites
18 that is automatically target -- tracking and
19 disclosing all sorts of personally identifiable
20 information about you, including the personally
21 identifiable information specifically defined
22 in this statute.

23 Third, that huge liability problem, if
24 we get through all of the various elements of
25 the statute and land in a world where there is

1 large liability, I won't dispute that that's a
2 possibility, but I think it's -- it reflects
3 necessarily the fact that this is a huge scale
4 disclosure regime. That large liability is
5 only possible because of the scope of the
6 disclosures of personally identifiable
7 information here.

8 Fourth, I want to address the
9 mix-or-match point that my friend on the other
10 side made. And I would say it's entirely
11 unsurprising that you might mix or match as
12 between what makes you a consumer and what
13 gives rise to PII. That's exactly why and the
14 direct result of the fact that Congress
15 mentioned two different relationships to two
16 different subject matters from the same source
17 across those two provisions. It's the
18 inevitable consequence of that.

19 To go to Justice Jackson's point
20 regarding the -- the hypothetical that you were
21 discussing with my friend on the other side, I
22 think that exchange really shows the incredible
23 loophole that their reading creates where they
24 can basically structure themselves around VPPA
25 compliance by making sure that you request or

1 obtain video materials or services, but we've
2 structured our business so that you never
3 actually rent, purchase, or subscribe to them.
4 You'll rent, purchase, and subscribe to lots of
5 other things but not those video materials.
6 So, suddenly, we can disclose your personally
7 identifiable information with impunity without
8 your consent. That, I think, should be the
9 anomaly when you're looking at this statute.

10 Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
12 counsel.

13 The case is submitted.

14 (Whereupon, at 11:30 a.m., the case
15 was submitted.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$ \$250 ^[1] 81:3 \$2500 ^[1] 80:25 1 10 ^[5] 18:3 37:22 66:13 67:7 84:5 11 ^[1] 87:24 11:30 ^[1] 93:14 12 ^[1] 75:21 15 ^[3] 66:14 78:14 81:12 15-word ^[1] 75:20 1980s ^[4] 21:9 29:16 34:19 55:25 1988 ^[8] 12:16 35:1 43:6,18 76:4,6 78:4,5 2 20 ^[1] 31:23 2013 ^[6] 4:2 10:13 12:21 22:22 33:25 67:24 2-2 ^[2] 28:3,6 247 ^[1] 63:14 247sports ^[9] 8:12,17 40:8 45:11,12 46:19 65:3 67:5,8 247sports.com ^[2] 47:7 80:23 3 3-1 ^[1] 29:3 A a)(1) ^[5] 5:19 13:6 21:15 30:3 76:21 a)(1)'s ^[2] 25:8 31:8 a)(3) ^[3] 13:6 32:16 84:18 a)(3)'s ^[3] 5:14 25:7 31:9 a)(4) ^[10] 8:3 22:1 32:12 37:9 38:3 77:7,9 79:5,6,11 a)(4)'s ^[3] 5:18 25:6 30:10 a)'s ^[1] 24:8 a.m ^[1] 93:14 abandoned ^[1] 27:20 able ^[1] 66:18 about ^[52] 7:21 10:9,25 11:17 12:12 13:25 14:20 15:13 16:19 18:4 23:6,7 25:13,22 27:25 28:22 29:14,17 31:20 35:20 36:10 38:14 42:2,23 46:3 47:23 48:19 49:21 51:23 52:6,12,14 53:8 55:25 56:6,14,19 62:5 64:24 68:16 73:1 75:8,13 77:7,8,10 79:5 85:25 89:3 90:20,20 91:20 absolutely ^[10] 8:19 11:8 15:12 18:13 27:17 28:5,15 30:	14 43:2 62:7 absurd ^[1] 82:10 accepting ^[1] 76:20 access ^[3] 12:8 77:17 80:9 accidental ^[2] 25:10 39:10 accidentally ^[1] 25:2 accompanied ^[1] 47:20 accord ^[3] 26:13,17 33:19 acknowledged ^[1] 22:22 acquires ^[1] 52:23 across ^[1] 92:17 act ^[2] 4:1 91:14 action ^[3] 44:2 80:24 81:1 activities ^[1] 34:5 activity ^[1] 14:25 actual ^[2] 54:20 75:14 actually ^[15] 16:14 21:2 25:4 26:10 27:16 48:2 49:14 52:17,25 74:4,5 78:13 81:13 83:5 93:3 add ^[1] 31:15 added ^[1] 67:23 additional ^[1] 31:15 address ^[6] 24:1 30:20 52:8 63:4 91:8 92:8 addressed ^[3] 16:12 27:21 43:18 admissibility ^[1] 7:5 admissible ^[1] 7:7 admission ^[1] 24:12 admit ^[1] 73:25 advance ^[6] 4:4 10:15 67:22 68:1,4,7 advances ^[1] 12:19 advancing ^[1] 77:5 advantage ^[1] 80:9 advent ^[1] 91:15 advertisement ^[2] 60:5 63:5 advertising ^[6] 23:9,12,23 24:3 29:18 40:24 advice ^[1] 36:4 advocacy ^[1] 36:3 affecting ^[2] 33:11 51:17 affirmative ^[1] 7:11 after ^[3] 7:12 43:1 51:21 again ^[13] 11:25 12:24 14:4 22:8 23:14 33:25 40:19,20 42:18 65:14 66:18 79:4 90:3 against ^[5] 7:4 29:3 36:1,15 69:5 ago ^[5] 66:13,14 90:24 91:2,12 agree ^[5] 4:15 16:4 46:20 87:3,7 agreed ^[1] 72:9 ah ^[1] 74:3 aha ^[2] 72:19 90:2	ahead ^[3] 16:25 17:1,2 alarm ^[1] 39:5 aligns ^[1] 91:6 alito ^[20] 16:23 17:1,4 20:7,11,16,22 21:8,22 22:4,17 30:15 31:12 35:12 74:23 76:18,19 79:22 80:4 81:15 all ^[47] 4:13 5:6,21,24,25 13:1,3 15:17 16:11 21:13 23:16,16,18 26:10,13,16 27:8 29:15 30:10 31:21 35:8 42:7,23 45:22 47:6,17 48:12 56:22 58:15 61:7,8 67:14 73:13 75:21 76:11 78:14,16 81:5,6,12,15 83:14 84:12 91:6,16,19,24 allege ^[2] 25:20 40:8 alleges ^[1] 80:21 allowed ^[1] 88:19 allowing ^[1] 11:9 allows ^[7] 14:15 23:25 34:11,12,13 67:6 72:25 alluded ^[3] 74:23 81:8 84:12 alone ^[1] 81:3 along ^[1] 24:1 already ^[4] 22:25 68:8 79:11,11 also ^[21] 10:18 12:4 17:19,23 26:8 34:21 36:21 39:8,10 46:8 52:12 54:6,13 57:3,8 61:24 65:9 67:9,16 74:24 89:13 alternative ^[1] 45:2 although ^[1] 16:6 always ^[5] 27:12 29:22 37:8 45:12 61:5 am ^[1] 87:1 amendments ^[1] 10:13 america ^[1] 35:20 american ^[1] 86:18 amici ^[1] 76:20 amicus ^[6] 35:22 36:8,16,17 56:15 86:18 among ^[1] 28:3 amount ^[1] 15:15 an ^[21] 4:17 7:10 10:7 18:4 23:24 27:2,3,9 31:1,15 39:9 43:7 44:7 65:21,24 67:21 73:8 75:9 83:10 85:22 86:11 analogous ^[1] 56:9 analogue ^[3] 73:4 88:7 89:11 anomalous ^[2] 83:12 84:1 anomaly ^[2] 83:19 93:9 another ^[8] 8:10 11:13 15:17 51:6 69:14 72:23 80:15 83:19	answer ^[12] 8:18 14:17 17:8 35:2 39:23 58:12,16 59:8 60:17 70:13 87:23 91:4 answered ^[1] 26:11 answers ^[1] 57:20 anticipated ^[1] 23:22 any ^[37] 6:21,25 7:22 13:8 15:11 17:11,12 33:9,10 42:5 45:4 50:6,22 51:8,15,16 55:8 68:5 69:8 70:24 71:5 74:11 77:10,16,16,16,19 79:16 80:8 82:1 84:9 88:13 90:6,18,19,25 91:3 anybody ^[2] 64:24 84:3 anyone ^[1] 10:1 anything ^[7] 17:23 33:6 46:6 48:16 54:25 60:23 83:19 anyway ^[2] 6:4 31:16 anywhere ^[1] 76:1 apologize ^[1] 39:22 appeals ^[2] 16:11 18:21 appear ^[1] 76:1 appears ^[1] 72:16 appendectomy ^[1] 75:9 appendix ^[1] 81:6 apply ^[3] 27:5 36:12 82:6 approach ^[2] 25:17 29:22 appropriate ^[1] 7:1 are ^[73] 4:8 5:21 6:13 10:4 11:11 13:7,18,20 14:4 19:5,15,18 21:23 23:10 25:10 26:12,16 28:1,23,24 29:8 31:12 33:18 34:2 36:14,16 37:5,19 38:3,9 39:3,15,25 40:17 41:5 42:25 43:4 44:15 47:8,20 48:4,14,17 49:11,14,14 50:21,23 51:23 56:5,9,13,16 57:8,13,14,15,23 67:14 68:18 69:9 73:19 74:4 75:22 77:12 78:11,18 80:22 82:13 83:9,10 84:13 85:4 area ^[1] 6:4 aren't ^[3] 15:6 58:1 74:5 argue ^[3] 76:19,20 86:9 argued ^[3] 27:18 60:25 87:21 arguing ^[1] 78:21 argument ^[12] 18:20 27:20 29:7 36:11 43:14 44:5,10 61:5 65:21,24 81:20 90:11 arguments ^[9] 19:5 27:14 41:25 56:6,10,17,24 57:2 89:3 arise ^[1] 75:1 army ^[1] 45:3 around ^[2] 4:12 92:24 article ^[1] 88:8
--	---	--	--

articles ^[1] 47:20 as ^[55] 6:11,11 8:15,16 9:3, 20,20 11:22 12:1,2 13:13, 22 14:21 16:21 24:16 25: 20,22 26:1 31:7 32:2 33:17, 18 34:15,16 41:23 43:22 44:20 45:12,15 46:21 48: 16 52:15,24 53:24 56:7,8, 19 59:7 60:18 63:1 65:14 68:25 69:3 74:23 76:11 81: 7 82:2,5,5,20 83:5 84:11,20 85:6 92:11 ascribe ^[1] 31:2 ask ^[8] 17:5 25:13 27:24 39: 19 57:21,23,24 62:12 asked ^[7] 19:2,3,6 54:16,17 55:1 77:7 asking ^[2] 54:2 75:17 asks ^[1] 4:16 assess ^[1] 12:13 assume ^[1] 63:20 assuming ^[1] 69:13 assumption ^[2] 57:12 62:6 at ^[56] 5:6,8,8 6:9,18 8:3 10: 1,13 12:7 13:20 16:13,20 20:13 21:15 23:3 24:21 26: 9 28:19,25 29:23,25 30:9 31:7,17 32:21 33:3,18,25 34:25 35:7 38:22 42:8 44: 10 49:4 52:14 54:6,23 65: 14 67:7 71:24 73:13,24 77: 18 80:16,21,25 81:2,4,5,9 83:2,8 86:19 89:14 93:9,14 attention ^[1] 49:7 audiovisual ^[23] 4:17,20 5: 12 8:6 22:3 30:8 33:14,19 34:7 35:6 37:11 44:17 50: 12 51:20,25 53:21 67:20 74:6 75:22 77:11 79:13,18, 21 automatically ^[1] 91:18 available ^[3] 19:18 35:1 60: 3 avenues ^[1] 22:10 avoid ^[2] 4:13 73:12 aware ^[3] 12:24,25 34:2 away ^[7] 35:19 36:9 38:12 57:4,11 65:15 80:19 awkward ^[1] 79:24	back ^[16] 12:5,22 13:11,23 22:21 33:8 34:1,13 35:4 40: 13 41:1 62:18 63:10 75:2 78:3,20 background ^[1] 27:22 baked ^[2] 79:6,11 ball ^[1] 90:17 bandana ^[8] 50:7 62:11 63:8 68:3 71:4 83:8 86:6 90:21 bandanas ^[4] 45:1 85:7,18, 25 banner ^[1] 11:14 bar ^[1] 44:2 barrett ^[23] 7:20 8:1,11,16, 21 15:21 16:24 17:2 25:12 40:6,7,12 41:1,16 66:22,24 67:4 87:18,19 88:21,25 89: 5,12 based ^[3] 21:9 65:3 77:3 basically ^[5] 26:22,25 41:8 47:18 92:24 basis ^[1] 62:2 be ^[98] 5:2,4 7:6,23 8:4,9 9: 15,18,19,25 10:18 11:19,20, 23 12:4 13:24 14:17 15:12 16:2 17:7 18:12,16,18 19: 25 22:7,13 23:22 26:6 28: 10,13 29:14 30:22 32:3,4,7, 9,12,19,20 35:2 36:15 37:9, 16 38:9 39:3,8 42:3 45:19 49:23 52:2,5 53:24 54:19 55:12 56:11 57:15,15 58:3, 20,20 59:2 60:6,14,15,18, 21,22 61:5,8,25 62:22 64: 21 65:20 66:18 67:11,18 69:11,14 70:6,7,23 73:15 77:14 78:20 79:23 80:6,14 81:9,22 82:3 85:12 86:2 87: 8 88:5 89:7 90:5 91:5 93:8 bears ^[1] 65:5 because ^[66] 5:7 9:18 13:19 14:7 18:9,20 23:4 25:6 28: 10 29:24 30:18 32:1 34:9 35:2 36:12 38:12,18 39:6 40:9,24 41:2,21 42:7 44:11 45:16 46:9,11 47:8,17 48:4 49:15 50:18 53:25 54:4 55: 7 57:6,12,19 59:24 60:4 62: 9,10,13 66:6 68:15 69:8 71: 1,24 72:23 75:23 76:24 78: 17,20 80:10 82:12,22 84:5, 11 85:12,21 87:12 89:20,24 91:12,15 92:5 become ^[4] 44:8 47:22 55: 17 84:4 becomes ^[1] 39:14 been ^[11] 26:14 27:23 35:25 36:21 41:11 43:1 58:20 60:	24 62:18 79:9 80:1 before ^[16] 6:10 11:24 12:2 17:15 18:15 28:2 35:10,19 52:10,17 56:13 57:22 68:5 72:13,17 89:1 behalf ^[2] 43:15 90:12 behind ^[2] 46:10 59:2 being ^[7] 35:21 46:10 56:3 60:4 68:16 69:7 83:16 believe ^[4] 21:1 26:20 58:7 84:23 below ^[1] 19:6 benefit ^[1] 77:17 best ^[1] 87:23 better ^[3] 15:11 39:23 86:12 between ^[6] 13:21 53:16 71: 15 82:17 89:21 92:12 big ^[1] 18:20 big-picture ^[2] 29:7,19 bit ^[5] 12:12 14:2 32:9 46:22 56:5 blank ^[1] 74:8 blockbuster ^[9] 22:18,24 34: 20,24 38:16 56:10 83:6,9 86:21 book ^[10] 52:14,15 53:1,6,21 54:16,23 71:4,22,25 books ^[1] 52:12 both ^[12] 4:22 8:18 13:5 28: 7 31:10 35:5 53:16 56:10 57:2 71:4 72:8 80:1 bought ^[8] 48:4 62:10,11 63: 7,7 69:2 84:5 86:1 bounced ^[1] 26:22 boxes ^[1] 61:13 brave ^[1] 32:21 breadth ^[1] 36:10 breaks ^[1] 57:18 breyer ^[4] 6:2 52:9,12 54:22 brick-and-mortar ^[1] 83:7 brief ^[14] 8:22 20:14 27:8 28: 19 36:8 42:1 56:16 63:2 81: 4 82:20 86:18 87:25 88:10 90:3 briefed ^[1] 27:23 briefing ^[4] 88:13 89:8,19 90:6 briefs ^[3] 35:22 36:17 56:15 bring ^[2] 41:7,11 broad ^[4] 21:3 23:15 80:11, 11 broader ^[3] 13:13 24:18 30: 2 broadly ^[3] 15:25 41:22 42:3 brought ^[4] 36:1,21 69:12 91:11 bunch ^[2] 57:25 69:25 business ^[48] 4:11 8:5,9,12,	15 9:25 14:7 19:25 33:11 35:20 37:4,10,21 38:4,12, 17 39:4,21 40:1,10,15,25 41:9,12 43:5 45:17,19,25 46:13,17,17 47:9,18 49:14 51:17 56:8 59:18,19,20,23 73:17 77:11,15 78:7,18 80: 7,15 93:2 businesses ^[5] 36:16 42:24 43:4 58:4 91:17 business's ^[2] 38:24 39:20 buy ^[18] 33:3 52:18 54:16,23 66:12,13 68:3 71:3,21,22, 22,23,24 73:16 83:7,15,16, 19 buyer ^[1] 75:25 buying ^[2] 68:24 75:5 buys ^[1] 52:14 by ^[25] 4:17 8:3 9:19 11:9 13:8 14:14 17:5,5 20:10 24: 12 31:8 36:11 37:13 38:13 45:15 47:20,22 53:15 60:5 69:15 70:8 83:22 89:7 90: 24 92:25
C			
cabin ^[1] 54:24 cable ^[2] 34:22,23 caf ^[1] 75:8 can ^[36] 5:24,24 9:19 11:5, 11 18:2 21:10,13 22:13 25: 22 26:1 27:24 35:5 41:22 44:7 46:9 50:2 52:7,19 57: 3 59:8 64:22 66:12,13 74: 17 77:22 82:9 84:14 85:2 88:10,14,18 89:14,15 92:24 93:6 cannot ^[1] 81:9 can't ^[4] 50:17 53:4 74:20 89:4 capture ^[4] 55:11 78:3,16,24 captures ^[1] 76:3 care ^[1] 75:8 cared ^[1] 53:7 careful ^[1] 81:11 cares ^[1] 75:7 carry ^[1] 76:7 carrying ^[1] 6:7 carving ^[2] 69:15,19 case ^[24] 8:14 9:7 24:22 27: 9,18,23 41:22 56:20 58:15 62:5,25 63:13 64:14,19,20, 21,22 73:8 77:24 80:21 81: 3 88:13 93:13,14 case-by-case ^[1] 62:2 cases ^[7] 29:13 36:15 56:11 60:24 64:16 81:7 91:10 cassette ^[18] 5:12 22:23 30:			

Official - Subject to Final Review

8 33:13 34:10,17,19,21 35:6 44:16 51:19,24 67:19 74:6 77:12 79:12,17,20 cassettes ^[1] 75:23 casting ^[1] 78:9 catch ^[1] 55:19 categories ^[3] 13:16 68:19,20 category ^[3] 13:14 69:15 71:9 ceiling ^[1] 17:20 center ^[2] 35:23 81:6 cents ^[1] 31:24 certain ^[3] 65:6 67:12 73:1 certainly ^[8] 19:17 33:2 35:22 41:14 42:10 60:19,23 78:17 certiorari ^[1] 28:20 cetera ^[1] 8:23 chamber ^[2] 35:24 81:4 chance ^[1] 77:2 change ^[2] 19:21 23:2 changing ^[1] 12:19 charged ^[1] 58:20 chess ^[1] 36:5 chicago ^[1] 65:5 chicken ^[11] 45:1 46:18 48:16 62:10 63:7 66:1,13 71:23,25 84:5 91:2 chick-fil-a ^[15] 8:23,24 9:5 36:24 37:1 38:4,14 39:13 41:8 45:24 46:17 47:14 48:15 78:16 80:19 chief ^[21] 6:1 31:21 33:4 35:12 40:5 41:17 43:11,16 48:10,13 49:1,4 76:15 81:16 84:16 86:15 87:16 90:7,13 93:10,11 chocolates ^[1] 47:15 choice ^[2] 4:25 60:11 circuit ^[9] 19:12,14 25:14,23 26:19 27:21 28:9 81:19 89:1 circuits ^[2] 26:11 28:3 circuit's ^[2] 25:17 26:10 circumstances ^[3] 53:7 70:20 73:2 claim ^[2] 26:12 44:5 claiming ^[2] 19:14,18 claims ^[1] 20:15 clarifying ^[1] 27:25 class ^[3] 44:1 80:24 81:1 clause ^[2] 50:18,19 clear ^[10] 8:4 9:18 14:1 44:15 46:23 53:13 54:10 58:19 61:2,25 clearest ^[1] 10:6 clearly ^[2] 74:9 78:23	clement ^[76] 8:22 30:15 31:14 43:13,14,16 45:21 46:15,20 48:9,11,22,25 49:6 51:3 52:3 53:10 54:4,21 55:4,9,22 56:21 58:10,13 59:1,5,9,13,16 60:1,9,16 61:10,17,20 62:24 63:12,17,22 64:1 65:1,23 66:22,23 67:3,11 68:14 69:18 70:25 71:14 72:4,8 77:22 79:23 80:17 82:16,24 83:4,18 84:24 85:11,16,19 86:22 87:1,6,10,12,15,21 88:18,23 89:2,6,17 clever ^[1] 14:14 click ^[2] 41:4 46:5 client ^[1] 30:16 close ^[5] 14:5 71:15 88:6 89:11,20 closely ^[1] 26:9 closer ^[2] 34:18,23 clothes ^[1] 47:1 club ^[2] 31:18 32:1 code ^[2] 56:4 76:2 coexist ^[1] 42:8 coexisting ^[1] 28:25 coffee ^[2] 44:23 75:5 coherently ^[1] 71:19 colleague ^[1] 6:2 collected ^[1] 39:8 collecting ^[1] 42:12 come ^[4] 9:3 15:7 34:13 35:3 comes ^[8] 10:15 17:25 31:11 48:3 52:23 61:13 86:23 89:10 coming ^[3] 5:22 70:19,20 commerce ^[5] 20:1 33:12 35:24 51:18 78:8 commercial ^[2] 7:23 80:8 common ^[4] 29:11 53:16 88:6 89:11 common-sense ^[1] 46:21 companies ^[1] 36:4 company ^[2] 7:22 26:8 comparing ^[1] 31:8 complaining ^[1] 31:20 complaint ^[3] 25:20 28:9 80:21 completely ^[1] 6:14 compliance ^[2] 7:7 92:25 computer ^[3] 12:17,20 89:15 computers ^[1] 13:3 concede ^[1] 87:19 conceding ^[1] 36:15 conceivable ^[1] 12:8 concept ^[1] 70:24	concern ^[9] 14:19,20 17:22 23:6 36:18 41:2 43:6 56:15 62:21 concerned ^[3] 10:9 12:18 68:16 concerning ^[5] 5:25 6:21,24 15:20 42:17 concerns ^[6] 35:9,20 36:10 38:13 74:25 82:7 conclusion ^[2] 16:13 51:22 concrete ^[1] 80:20 confess ^[1] 80:18 confronted ^[2] 6:2 9:4 congress ^[55] 4:3,20 10:1,5,8,18 11:1 12:18,21 13:13 14:13 15:2,8 16:14 18:7 21:2 22:21 23:1,14,21 24:16,17,23 25:1 29:16 30:1 31:7 34:1 37:1 38:3 43:18 46:23 53:7,13 54:7,8 60:19 61:22 62:21 63:3 64:11 65:14 66:16 69:20,21,22 71:20 73:8 78:2,23 79:14,25 83:20 85:24 92:14 congress's ^[4] 9:11 12:13 14:19 53:5 connected ^[1] 66:21 connection ^[2] 66:10 71:15 consent ^[53] 4:2,3,7,14 10:8,9,12,14,15,17 11:6,8,12,23 12:2,23 15:5 26:16 42:18,18,25 43:7 57:16,22,24,25 58:3,8,23 59:9,19,21 60:8,18,20,23,25 61:1,7,23,24 62:7,12,14 67:22 68:1,4,8 70:16 71:18 84:12,14 93:8 consequence ^[2] 42:6 92:18 consequences ^[3] 41:24 76:22 80:6 consider ^[2] 21:16 73:6 considered ^[1] 30:22 consistent ^[2] 41:11 54:7 conspicuous ^[1] 61:25 constantly ^[1] 4:9 constitutes ^[1] 18:22 consumers ^[16] 9:15 13:14 42:11 43:21,24 45:4 48:4 50:6 57:13,15 58:1 67:14,15 69:10 79:4 83:25 consumers' ^[1] 4:14 consumer's ^[2] 4:2 62:15 content ^[2] 46:10 86:19 context ^[12] 21:3 23:11 26:12,20,21,24 29:11,23,24 30:13 32:7 75:11 contradict ^[1] 59:23 controlling ^[1] 10:10 conversation ^[1] 31:19	converse ^[1] 84:11 convert ^[1] 45:14 cookies ^[1] 61:13 core ^[1] 49:24 corner ^[1] 52:20 correct ^[8] 18:16,17,22,23 19:16 20:3 29:4 37:14 cost ^[1] 31:20 costco ^[2] 31:17 32:2 could ^[28] 10:18 11:14,19,20,23 12:4,8 14:7 15:10 16:2,18 17:23 28:13 32:8 38:21 44:17 61:2 64:25 67:11 68:3,4 69:23 76:24 77:8 78:20 79:14 86:8 87:23 couldn't ^[3] 35:3 60:22 64:21 counsel ^[5] 33:5 43:12 76:16 90:9 93:12 counter ^[1] 52:14 country ^[1] 65:6 couple ^[4] 36:20 56:21 69:24 90:15 course ^[6] 6:15 47:15,21 51:7 77:1 79:19 court ^[21] 4:16 6:16 9:4 18:24 19:10 20:18 24:20 26:23 27:19,25 28:8 29:8,9 35:10 43:17 78:21 81:8,19 88:11,22,24 courts ^[11] 16:11 18:21 20:2,8,14 33:17,18 36:22 41:10 56:12 90:1 court's ^[3] 5:1 29:21 45:9 cover ^[2] 55:2,5 coverage ^[1] 63:6 covered ^[5] 49:17,23,25 53:15 54:17 covers ^[2] 34:3 75:5 crafted ^[2] 15:9 23:23 crafting ^[1] 36:5 crazy ^[1] 81:10 create ^[5] 14:15 16:17,17 45:3 83:2 created ^[2] 59:23 67:9 creates ^[1] 92:23 creating ^[2] 68:18,19 critical ^[1] 34:10 cup ^[2] 44:22 75:5 cure ^[2] 42:2,22 customers ^[1] 68:19
D			
d ^[4] 7:4 11:2 43:14 81:24 damage ^[1] 84:10 damages ^[2] 10:23 44:3 data ^[3] 23:9,11 29:17 data-sharing ^[1] 56:4			

day ^[9] 18:25 55:17,22 58:15,23 61:19,21 62:19 91:13 days ^[1] 46:8 deal ^[1] 59:6 decade ^[2] 66:15 91:11 decades ^[1] 45:5 decide ^[4] 58:15 62:5 89:18 90:5 decides ^[1] 52:18 defendant ^[1] 36:7 define ^[2] 41:20,22 defined ^[4] 32:10 51:13 57:6 91:21 definition ^[57] 4:19,24 5:11,14,18,20 8:2 15:24 16:1 21:16 22:1 24:9 25:7,7,8 28:4,6 30:10,10 31:9,9 33:8 36:13 40:13 44:6 50:11,15 51:9,14 55:6 57:4,7,10 62:18,20 66:7 69:8 70:5,8 72:17 73:4,5 75:20,21 76:8 79:3,5,7,15 80:14 81:13 85:2,22,22 86:10,11,13 definitional ^[1] 51:8 definitions ^[7] 4:22 5:9 13:18 23:2 50:10,13,24 definition's ^[1] 79:6 definitively ^[3] 88:12 89:19 90:5 deliver ^[4] 8:7 40:22 73:18 78:10 delivered ^[2] 19:20 22:13 deliveries ^[1] 40:22 delivering ^[14] 8:5 37:11,12,16 38:17 39:4,21 40:2,10 45:25 46:13 47:9 76:10 78:18 delivers ^[1] 40:23 delivery ^[4] 20:2 33:13 51:19 77:11 department ^[7] 38:20 46:24 54:9 69:23 78:3,25 86:1 depending ^[1] 70:21 depends ^[2] 75:19 87:24 derives ^[1] 77:16 deriving ^[1] 80:8 description ^[1] 40:8 designed ^[1] 43:22 destroy ^[1] 7:11 destroying ^[1] 82:4 determining ^[1] 6:11 device ^[4] 33:21 did ^[17] 6:6 11:1,2 30:4,7 31:24 46:23 54:16,18 58:13 61:23 72:23 73:7 80:18 88:23 89:2 91:12 didn't ^[10] 4:16 27:8 30:9,11 54:11,23,24 70:1 72:21 85:24	24 difference ^[2] 26:18 82:17 different ^[28] 5:17,23 6:22 11:1 13:18,22,25 14:1,6 18:3 23:10 24:14 25:10 26:19 27:6 30:13 48:18 50:24 55:25 56:5 68:18,20 71:9 78:22 83:1 84:25 92:15,16 differently ^[2] 70:19,21 direct ^[4] 49:7,9 75:21 92:14 directly ^[3] 14:9 23:1 24:4 disagree ^[1] 86:17 disagreed ^[1] 81:19 disclose ^[3] 11:9 82:23 93:6 disclosed ^[8] 43:1 53:3,9 68:23 69:2,11,17 88:3 discloses ^[1] 4:6 disclosing ^[5] 15:1 59:15 82:13 88:7 91:19 disclosure ^[31] 6:20 7:19 9:14,20 10:10 11:24 12:3 17:13 18:3,7 21:11 23:7 24:2 26:14,22,24 39:9,10 42:15,16 55:11 57:16 62:15 64:12 68:5,17 69:5 70:16 72:25 88:5 92:4 disclosures ^[8] 4:1,8,12 17:11 21:6 23:25 27:3 92:6 discovered ^[2] 44:2 91:14 discussing ^[1] 92:21 dismiss ^[1] 9:7 dismissals ^[2] 28:1,7 dismissed ^[2] 20:15 28:10 dispositive ^[1] 4:25 dispute ^[2] 10:25 92:1 disputes ^[2] 25:22 28:22 distinct ^[1] 57:9 distinction ^[1] 87:5 district ^[7] 19:10 27:19 28:8 36:22 81:8 88:22,24 diverged ^[1] 25:16 dividing ^[1] 70:23 do ^[39] 5:24 14:6 20:10,16,17 26:4 27:14 40:7 49:13,15 50:13 54:25 56:14 58:23 59:21 61:15,18,20 62:8,8 65:14 70:10 73:7 74:2,2,22 75:17 82:16 84:10,22 85:2,8 86:8,17 87:19 88:20 89:9,20 90:4 doctrines ^[1] 29:10 does ^[33] 9:10 15:25 16:3 17:6,18,18 22:6,6,6 33:15 34:7 37:25 41:7 42:10 44:25 45:14 46:12 50:15 52:22 64:3 70:7 71:10 73:17 77:14,15,15,18,20 84:10,22 85:1,4,17	doesn't ^[26] 13:8 19:21 33:22 40:22 41:11 42:11 50:6,12 55:2,5,11,19 65:21 66:3,5,24 71:5,11 72:5 74:11 76:1 83:2 84:3,11 85:20 87:22 dog ^[9] 45:1 50:7 62:11 63:8 68:3 71:4 83:7 85:25 90:21 doing ^[6] 8:15 41:6 51:8 69:19 73:2 74:1 done ^[3] 17:3 51:21 79:25 don't ^[59] 6:9,16 9:8 10:24 21:15 29:15 31:15 36:11,14 37:23 38:9,13 39:5,17 42:5 46:5 48:15,20 50:8 52:1,5 53:13 56:25 57:3 59:19,21 62:4,9,10,24 63:14,18 64:16,24 65:4,7 66:14 67:13 69:18 70:12,13,22 72:4,13 74:19 75:4 77:1,22 78:19 80:19 82:3,10,15 83:18 84:9 85:1 87:25 88:14,19 door ^[2] 48:3,6 doors ^[1] 38:21 doubt ^[1] 58:3 down ^[2] 72:13 89:10 drastic ^[1] 76:22 drive-by ^[1] 88:15 drives ^[1] 40:24 driving ^[1] 39:25 drop ^[2] 88:14 89:4 dropped ^[1] 88:25 due ^[1] 45:22 during ^[1] 34:19 E e ^[3] 7:9 11:2 23:3 earlier ^[2] 13:24 15:4 earth ^[1] 53:12 easier ^[2] 4:3 73:8 easiest ^[1] 42:19 easy ^[15] 4:15 10:7 42:18 43:8 48:21,23 49:7 58:4,8,9,23 59:10 60:18 61:6 62:7 economic ^[1] 77:17 economy ^[1] 36:1 effort ^[1] 44:4 either ^[5] 19:22 22:24 41:15 73:25 80:18 elements ^[2] 42:7 91:24 elephants ^[1] 29:11 eleventh ^[3] 25:17,23 26:18 else ^[12] 9:21 14:12 16:1,3 17:24 47:2 60:23 64:24 69:2 73:6,17 76:2 elsewhere ^[1] 30:12 email ^[3] 65:10 66:3 84:8 embarrassed ^[1] 65:20 embarrassing ^[6] 64:10,10,	23 65:2,6,7 embedding ^[1] 91:17 enacted ^[3] 10:6 12:7 21:8 end ^[2] 25:4 59:18 ends ^[1] 53:12 engage ^[1] 5:24 engaged ^[17] 8:4,9,12 33:11 37:10,19 38:4,11,17 40:10,14 43:4,5 51:17 77:10,19 78:6 english ^[1] 75:4 enough ^[6] 22:20 25:25 47:9 49:9 58:23 61:2 entering ^[1] 52:10 entirely ^[3] 14:12 54:7 92:10 entities ^[1] 80:12 entitled ^[1] 10:22 entity ^[4] 36:23,25 77:16,19 envisioned ^[1] 54:8 envisions ^[1] 82:9 equally ^[1] 32:9 escapade ^[1] 52:24 especially ^[1] 50:16 essentially ^[1] 84:1 et ^[1] 8:23 even ^[22] 10:14 12:21 13:11 18:15 25:11 26:14 30:9 39:12 41:19,22 42:3,12 45:5 46:5,25 57:19 60:25 67:24 69:15 83:21 86:9 87:6 eventually ^[1] 77:24 ever ^[1] 44:8 every ^[20] 4:9 5:8,9 15:14 20:22 21:10 24:21 25:10 35:20 36:1,6 41:3,8,12 47:15 55:11 56:8 58:23 68:9 81:8 everybody ^[4] 47:25 57:22,24 62:12 everyone ^[6] 8:7,8 22:25 56:7 61:10 62:22 everything ^[2] 47:2 59:1 evidence ^[1] 82:2 exact ^[7] 10:5 16:12 25:5 34:16 35:6 45:23 61:16 exactly ^[12] 11:6 19:4 20:5 29:22,25 31:14 37:9 38:3 43:6 64:10 69:20 92:13 example ^[6] 7:4 11:2 22:15 39:13 74:8 75:2 examples ^[3] 8:22 30:24 31:13 exceedingly ^[1] 32:19 except ^[2] 39:24 68:13 exception ^[3] 23:24 24:7 27:2 exchange ^[1] 92:22 exchanged ^[1] 10:20
--	--	--	---

excludes^[1] 65:20 exclusively^[2] 24:2 77:25 exist^[3] 7:2 82:9,11 exists^[1] 26:8 expansive^[1] 57:9 expected^[1] 66:17 expired^[1] 68:8 explain^[1] 11:5 explains^[1] 80:19 explanation^[1] 38:11 explicitly^[1] 82:8 expressly^[1] 70:8 extreme^[1] 77:18 eye^[1] 90:16 F face^[1] 55:6 facebook^[3] 4:7,15 26:6 fact^[12] 13:1 14:5 21:21 22:21 28:16,24 50:14 65:19 72:15 90:24 92:3,14 facts^[6] 12:12 16:19 18:4 25:20 27:6 63:13 fails^[1] 44:10 fair^[2] 10:19 49:8 faithful^[1] 86:2 fall^[1] 64:16 falls^[1] 64:15 far^[4] 6:11 26:1 33:18 83:12 favor^[1] 65:19 favorite^[1] 6:4 fdcpa^[1] 26:20 feeling^[1] 32:21 feet^[1] 78:20 fell^[1] 25:2 field^[1] 29:14 figure^[4] 42:24 53:4 86:4 89:16 film^[2] 52:21 53:20 films^[7] 52:12,13,15,16,25 53:2 65:5 finally^[2] 68:7 83:15 financed^[1] 60:5 find^[1] 9:8 fine^[1] 69:13 first^[6] 13:12 23:13 46:4 56:22 68:12 90:15 fit^[1] 55:1 fitness^[1] 36:4 five^[3] 48:7 67:7 68:6 fix^[1] 43:8 flipping^[1] 52:15 floor^[4] 16:17 17:16 85:1,4 focus^[3] 48:20,23 49:2 focused^[4] 15:12 69:22 70:4 74:25 folger's^[1] 47:14 follow^[1] 56:18	following^[1] 50:9 footnote^[2] 25:14 88:14 footnotes^[1] 81:5 for^[69] 4:4 7:4 9:16,19 10:8,14 11:2 13:19 15:4,5 19:15,18,20,21 20:1,6 22:7,15 23:9,12,23 24:3 27:2 28:13,18,20 29:15,17,19 31:6,22 39:13,15 40:23 41:7 42:2 43:6,21 48:15 50:5 54:1,5,11,15 56:12 57:21,22,23,25 58:4 59:18 60:3,12 61:6,7,8,10 62:1 63:23 65:21,24 67:22 69:21 74:8 77:6 84:7 86:9 88:11 90:17 force^[1] 73:19 foreign^[3] 51:18 52:13 78:8 former^[1] 6:2 formulation^[1] 60:22 forward^[5] 58:5,7,12,21 61:7 found^[1] 9:5 foundational^[1] 24:20 four^[1] 37:22 fourth^[1] 92:8 free^[20] 9:16,19 10:2,2 19:15,19,20,21 45:6 46:10 60:3,12 63:17,19 65:9,10 66:2 67:8 84:2,7 french^[1] 52:14 friend^[10] 45:22 56:25 70:3 72:14 73:7,12 74:13 91:9 92:9,21 friend's^[5] 47:5 57:18 68:2 75:18 89:25 from^[77] 5:3,17,22 7:18 9:21 17:25 24:25 25:16 26:22 30:6,17,21,24 31:2,2,7,11,13,25 32:18,23 33:10 34:5,24 42:11,12,25 44:13,18,21,24 49:5 50:18,18,19,20 51:7,11,16 53:5 54:19 55:25 57:4,11,22,24,25 58:14 62:12,14 63:16,25 66:7,8 67:8 68:1,15 72:17 73:11,23,25 74:14,17 75:3,5,12 77:17 79:18 80:9 84:25 85:20,23 86:1,10,13 91:3 92:16 front^[2] 73:9 88:1 fuel^[5] 32:12,15,16 33:1,2 full-length^[1] 86:20 fundamental^[1] 82:17 funky^[2] 64:5 67:24 funny^[1] 89:3 furniture^[2] 47:1 86:1 further^[2] 33:6 87:7 future^[3] 56:11,20 88:19	G gallon^[2] 31:21,22 games^[1] 32:4 gap^[4] 67:10,11,13,16 gas^[9] 31:17,20,22,25 32:10,18,22,25 33:3 gasoline^[2] 71:22 72:1 gave^[2] 54:20 83:22 general^[3] 6:10 29:13 72:25 generally^[1] 20:8 generate^[2] 71:5 82:12 generated^[1] 82:12 generates^[4] 68:12 71:2,16 81:23 get^[37] 4:15,16 10:12,17 11:11 22:19 31:24 33:15 34:8 42:25 45:23 46:10 47:9 50:17,25 51:5,14 57:4 58:6,8,22 59:19,20 60:22 61:1,3 63:9 64:15 66:1,9 72:10 76:6 79:2 85:20 86:10,12 91:24 get-go^[1] 68:2 gets^[7] 11:23 12:2 45:22 48:6 53:3 63:15 81:13 getting^[2] 10:8 50:20 give^[7] 17:22 25:19 52:6 60:8 67:21 68:4 74:3 given^[4] 16:1 25:16 62:19 78:23 gives^[2] 50:4 92:13 giving^[2] 28:11 67:25 global^[4] 4:5 8:15,19 9:10 go^[20] 13:11 16:25 17:1,2 21:13 22:5,15,18 33:8 38:23 46:3 48:7 49:22 52:20 57:22 63:10 75:2 83:6 87:6 92:19 gobbledegook^[5] 88:2,5 89:10,13,25 godiva^[1] 47:14 goes^[2] 13:23 47:25 going^[36] 12:5 14:17 17:4 27:1 33:23 36:12,15 39:19 41:5 47:22 56:23,23 57:13,14,15,21,21,23,24 58:2,5,7,12,21 60:18 61:7 62:12 63:5 67:13 82:22 85:12 86:2,24,25 87:1 89:25 gone^[2] 22:25 73:10 good^[10] 14:4 32:22 33:1 47:6 48:2 49:20 53:20 72:22 74:9 80:2 goods^[38] 4:18 5:3,20 23:19 24:3,5,6,8,10,11 30:1,2 32:16,18 44:6,11,15,21,24 50:11,12,20,21,21 51:16,23	55:8 70:2 72:7 73:9,23 74:4,16,19,20 75:3,12 80:3 gorsuch^[9] 35:15 48:9 86:16,17,24 87:3,9,11,14 got^[17] 7:5,9 10:14,19 26:1,6 27:2 31:17,25 32:22 47:13 75:7,14,15,15 76:3 88:1 governmental^[1] 82:2 governs^[1] 81:25 granted^[1] 9:7 great^[5] 15:7 56:24 57:1 59:2 80:25 grocery^[2] 78:4,25 ground^[1] 53:16 groups^[1] 36:3 guess^[9] 9:17 13:10 22:21 27:4 53:10 59:3 60:16 70:12 87:22 H had^[20] 8:24 31:21,22 32:10,13,17 34:19,20,21,22 52:9 54:16 60:21 62:21 73:8,21 74:13 75:9,9 79:25 half^[1] 75:8 hammach^[1] 37:19 hammack^[69] 5:6 6:13 7:20,25 8:14,17 9:2,17 10:3 11:8,19 12:15 13:17 15:10,21 16:4 17:8 18:2,13,17,23 19:4,10,17 20:4,9,13,21,25 21:20,25 22:8,20 23:13 24:19 25:12 26:5 27:11,16 28:5,15,18 29:4,21 31:1 32:6,25 33:17 34:9,25 36:19 37:7,15,23 38:2,7,15 39:2,22 40:9,19 41:10 42:5 43:2,10 77:7 90:10,11,13 hammack's^[1] 80:14 happen^[1] 4:8 happening^[2] 70:20 91:11 happens^[4] 7:16 8:7 26:19 41:13 happenstance^[2] 63:6 66:1 happy^[2] 89:8 90:3 hard^[6] 49:2,12 52:7 65:2 85:20 86:10 harder^[1] 49:9 harmonious^[1] 76:11 has^[32] 4:11 7:22 9:25 13:13 15:16 16:21 18:12 24:10,12 25:23 27:23 30:23 35:2,21 39:6 40:21 42:5 45:6 46:19 52:25 53:18,25 57:1,1 61:25 68:8 70:19 71:9 73:22 82:19 87:20 91:4 hasn't^[2] 55:7 82:11 hassle^[1] 61:8
--	---	---	---

hate ^[1] 88:11 haven't ^[2] 67:9 87:21 having ^[12] 10:12 16:21 31:18 42:11 44:8 47:18 58:21 82:17,18 84:11,20 85:6 he ^[34] 18:6 30:18,20,23 31:20,24 45:22 52:9,14,16,16,17,18,19,22,24 53:18,22,25 54:16,18 55:1,2,4,5,7 57:1,19 72:18,20 73:22 80:21,23 87:22 health ^[1] 36:3 heartland ^[2] 64:16,18 held ^[1] 28:9 he'll ^[1] 80:15 help ^[1] 77:23 helpful ^[1] 48:15 her ^[1] 67:6 here ^[28] 4:5 5:7 6:10 8:3 9:10 12:14 18:19 22:9 23:11 25:15,21,25 27:2,7 28:7 31:6 35:7 42:6 46:22 51:23 52:20 53:12 75:18 77:5 88:13 89:24 90:17 92:7 here's ^[3] 65:23 70:5 73:6 he's ^[11] 9:20,22 52:15,25 53:1,2,6,19,21 54:1 55:7 high ^[1] 31:20 him ^[1] 67:6 his ^[11] 6:3,7,7 8:22 52:23 53:2,8 68:6 83:5,10,24 history ^[9] 6:18 12:25 14:21 16:14 52:24 53:3,8 54:6 70:4 holding ^[1] 9:8 holes ^[1] 29:11 home ^[2] 22:13 34:12 honor ^[2] 29:5 51:4 hope ^[1] 52:7 hopelessly ^[1] 79:24 hospital ^[1] 75:6 host ^[1] 40:11 hours ^[1] 35:4 house ^[1] 33:22 how ^[12] 9:6 11:6 17:6 20:7 33:15 34:6 38:24 45:10,14 55:10 56:14 72:19 however ^[1] 44:1 huge ^[3] 80:10 91:23 92:3 hundred ^[2] 80:22 81:2 hypo ^[1] 67:24 hypothesizing ^[1] 24:16 hypothetical ^[6] 6:4 31:15 52:7 73:21 74:12 92:20 hypotheticals ^[7] 48:14,21,23 49:8,10,12 52:6	i'd ^[3] 33:7 58:10 89:7 idea ^[2] 78:2 84:13 identical ^[1] 24:13 identically ^[1] 30:6 identifiable ^[50] 4:6,23 5:15 6:20,23 7:6,10,12,15,18 9:14 10:11 11:3,10 13:5 15:13,16,18,19,23 16:5,18 17:5,12,13 18:8 21:5 26:15 28:21 34:4 42:13,17 43:20 50:4 55:18 57:14 71:3,6,17 72:1,9,16 73:3 74:11 81:25 90:2 91:19,21 92:6 93:7 identified ^[2] 13:15 18:10 identifies ^[7] 16:8,8 18:5 31:3,5 84:20 85:5 identiful ^[1] 15:23 identify ^[6] 13:8 16:19,19,21 31:10 89:15 identifying ^[15] 10:17 31:7 55:12,16 56:2 66:8 68:17,22 69:1,6,10,16 70:17 71:10,12 if ^[84] 8:24 9:11 10:13 13:19 16:13 17:11,22 18:2,15 20:13 22:5 24:25 25:3 26:9,9 28:12,19 32:4,8,10 34:25 37:3 38:15,16 39:12 41:3,19,22 42:3 45:17 46:3 48:25 49:13 50:8 51:13 53:13 54:15,22 56:23 59:19,20 60:7 62:21 63:3 66:17 67:4,17 68:10,23 69:3 71:14 73:7,8,13,16,17,21 74:17 76:7 77:8,24 78:6 79:9,25 80:20 82:8,13 83:1,5,20 85:12 86:2 87:15,22,25 88:9,14,19 89:6,6,14,23 90:4 91:23 ignore ^[1] 88:18 ignores ^[1] 72:20 iii ^[1] 88:8 i'll ^[1] 66:18 illogical ^[1] 55:17 illustration ^[1] 55:10 i'm ^[41] 7:21 12:5,10,15 13:10 15:7,10 17:2 20:9 22:5 27:13 31:18 33:10 34:14 37:7 38:5,6 39:19,23 40:19 41:3 49:11 51:21,22 54:2 56:23 59:13 60:17 62:3 69:18,19 70:14 71:14 78:9,12,13 80:13,25 82:1 83:4 90:3 imagine ^[3] 18:3 38:16 60:19 immediate ^[2] 44:13 67:21 immediately ^[1] 44:14 important ^[6] 10:16 13:4 22:1 24:5 50:16 90:16	impose ^[1] 80:10 imposing ^[1] 4:17 impossible ^[2] 62:4 78:1 impunity ^[1] 93:7 inchoate ^[1] 83:11 incidentally ^[1] 41:12 include ^[2] 85:5,17 included ^[1] 39:16 includes ^[16] 15:24 16:3,6,15,16 17:16,18,19,23 18:3 33:20 81:1 84:19,22 85:13,22 including ^[6] 4:10 19:8 29:13 48:18 71:18 91:20 inclusive ^[2] 70:9 86:11 inconceivable ^[1] 12:16 incredible ^[1] 92:22 indicated ^[1] 15:4 indifferent ^[2] 11:22 12:1 indistinguishable ^[1] 54:19 individual ^[2] 18:4 25:25 individuals ^[2] 14:8 23:8 indulge ^[1] 25:4 indulges ^[1] 24:21 inevitable ^[1] 92:18 information ^[88] 4:6,24 5:15 6:21,24 7:6,10,12,15,18 9:15 10:11 11:4,10 13:5,15 15:1,14,16,18,19,23 16:5,7,18 17:14 18:8,9,10,22 21:5,7 23:22 26:2,7,16 28:21 34:4 42:13,17 43:20,23 45:4 50:5 55:12,16,19 56:2 57:14,17 58:25 62:16 64:13 66:8,19 68:18,23 69:1,6,11,16 70:17 71:3,10,12,17 72:2,10,11,13,17 73:1,3 74:12 82:1,5,7 84:19 85:5,25 86:6,6 88:7 90:2 91:20,21 92:7 93:7 informed ^[1] 61:24 initial ^[1] 67:17 initiation ^[1] 11:12 instance ^[1] 68:12 instantly ^[1] 4:9 instead ^[5] 15:25 16:15 19:3 25:3 26:20 intelligent ^[1] 81:19 intended ^[1] 69:20 intent ^[2] 12:14 86:3 interact ^[1] 34:17 interest ^[1] 9:12 interested ^[1] 52:13 interesting ^[1] 80:7 internet ^[2] 23:10 60:4 interpret ^[2] 24:22 76:12 interpretation ^[7] 20:19 24:22 30:23 65:22 70:18 76:21 77:4 interpreted ^[1] 42:3 interpreting ^[3] 41:23 45:2 57:5 interstate ^[3] 33:12 51:18 78:8 intimately ^[2] 12:24,25 into ^[13] 10:15 12:22 22:22 23:8 24:17 34:1 38:3,19 51:14,21 52:20 54:22 83:6 intrigued ^[1] 89:7 invite ^[1] 42:1 involve ^[1] 74:11 involved ^[1] 6:10 involves ^[2] 55:15 68:24 irrational ^[1] 69:3 isn't ^[11] 8:3 23:5,15 25:25 34:18 35:10 51:8 58:8 61:6 64:18,19 isolation ^[2] 75:20 76:13 issue ^[12] 8:3 18:15 27:9,18,22 28:2 35:7,18 36:11 51:10 60:2 86:23 issues ^[3] 56:13 59:25 63:4 item ^[2] 27:1 70:21 it'll ^[2] 60:14 61:8 its ^[19] 4:11,14 7:23 8:25 14:7 22:6,24 24:12 25:14 27:20 35:21 40:11 41:13 44:2,12 45:17 53:11 55:6 70:8 it's ^[84] 7:7,16 8:6,7,25 12:15 13:4 17:11,13 19:9,17 21:14,25 22:8,10,11,11,13,14 24:5 26:8 27:3,22 29:16 33:1,1 34:9,15,15 36:12,20,21 38:2,2 40:14,21 41:6 42:8,22 43:3,3 45:25 47:2,6 48:22 50:8,9 55:9 56:2,3,16 58:2,4,7,9 59:17 60:4 61:12 62:4 63:18 64:22 65:1,25 67:12,16 69:9 72:8 74:10 75:20 78:23 81:14 82:10 83:1 85:11,20 86:10 88:1,1 89:14,24 90:16 92:2,10,17 i've ^[1] 84:12
I		
J		
jackson ^[25] 12:4 13:10 14:16 27:8,12,24 28:12,17 41:18,19 42:21 43:9 52:1,4 53:18 54:14 55:2,5 57:20 68:13 70:12 71:7 72:3,5 90:8 jackson's ^[1] 92:19 job ^[4] 48:23 49:2,6,9 joshua ^[1] 90:11 judge ^[6] 64:3,4,25 67:4 81:8 87:7		

judges [2] 20:18 81:19 jurisdiction [1] 27:13 jurisdictional [1] 88:15 just [56] 4:15 10:1,18 11:23 12:10,22 13:10,19 14:1 15: 16,18 16:2 17:4 18:4 26:7 27:6,13,25 32:4,9 33:10 35: 17 38:10,14 40:12 41:7 42: 1,8,24 43:7 44:20 45:18 46: 6 47:7,22 48:20 50:2,19 51: 10 56:18 59:21 60:17 61:5, 12 63:20,22 67:1 68:9 76: 12 79:9,25 80:20 84:17 85: 22 88:15 90:15 K kagan [23] 17:15 18:11 24: 15 35:14 50:8 58:18 59:4 61:12,15,18 63:12,20,24 64: 2,9 65:12 69:11 84:16,17 85:3,14,17 86:14 kavanaugh [31] 11:5,16 18: 14,18 23:5 29:2,6 35:16,17 37:2,12,17,20,25 38:5,8,23 39:17,23 40:3 55:20,24 56: 22 58:2,11 59:8 61:4,11,14 62:17 87:17 kavanaugh's [1] 41:2 keep [1] 90:16 key [1] 44:3 kicks [2] 40:23 41:7 kind [19] 10:4 18:21 20:18 21:7 24:19 25:2 26:25 29:7 36:25 41:12 43:3 47:23 63: 8,10 64:14,21 79:6 85:3 87: 13 kinds [4] 23:16,17,18 91:16 know [63] 6:3,5 7:17 13:18 25:22 31:6,8 33:18,23 35: 18 38:14 40:15,17,23 47:4, 16,17 48:20 49:18 50:8 51: 4,11 53:11 56:14,25 57:1 58:19 61:20 62:9,10,24,25 63:14 64:6,15,24 65:4,4,5,7 67:6 69:21 72:19 74:4,19 75:8 78:7,21 79:9 80:7 85: 15,23 86:8,23 87:12,25 88: 1,14,19 89:2,7,23 91:11 knowing [3] 39:9,10 42:16 L laid [1] 43:7 land [1] 91:25 landing [1] 25:5 language [3] 20:20 24:18 58: 19 large [4] 10:1 28:13 92:1,4 largely [1] 22:23	largest [1] 26:7 last [2] 39:19 83:6 later [9] 34:14 35:4 45:6 48: 7 55:23 66:15 67:8 68:7 84: 6 laughter [5] 32:24 48:24 49: 3 64:8 88:17 law [8] 4:17 21:4,8 23:14,16 59:24 88:7 89:11 la-z-boy [3] 47:14 78:16 90: 22 la-z-boys [2] 85:8,18 lead [2] 50:10 76:22 leagues [1] 36:3 least [5] 33:18 38:22 49:4 81:2 86:19 left [2] 51:22 80:2 legislative [5] 6:18 12:25 16: 14 54:6 70:4 legitimate [1] 36:18 length [3] 37:7 40:16,20 less [1] 7:17 let [3] 52:6,6 67:1 let's [4] 31:17 37:5 63:20 64: 3 liability [14] 4:13 8:10 17:10 28:25 39:15 42:15,20 58:6, 14 80:11 81:3 91:23 92:1,4 liable [2] 82:13,23 lies [1] 17:9 life [1] 25:16 like [31] 6:3 9:10 14:18 15:5 21:14 33:7,20 36:23 41:5,8 46:4 52:17 56:22 58:10 63: 23 64:6,14,22,22 65:4,4,21 66:17 67:13 68:9 75:7 77: 25 78:19 86:19 88:11 90:4 limit [10] 16:25 17:25 66:21, 25 74:15,18,20 79:3 86:4, 10 limitation [7] 4:17 6:24 8:10 15:17 30:21 65:15,18 limitations [5] 4:21 6:22 12: 11 28:24 39:7 limited [1] 9:15 limiting [4] 70:7,10 85:2 86: 12 limits [2] 7:21 76:14 linchpin [1] 81:20 line [3] 6:14 13:19 70:23 line-drawing [1] 68:15 linguistic [1] 77:4 lining [1] 86:21 link [1] 7:16 linked [2] 7:15 40:1 liquidated [1] 10:23 literal [7] 20:19 21:18,22 30: 22,22 85:12,21	literalist [1] 29:9 litigated [1] 60:24 litigation [3] 35:23 43:25 81: 6 little [5] 12:12 14:2 32:9 46: 22 61:8 live [1] 14:2 lived [1] 39:12 local [1] 84:7 location [1] 7:14 locations [1] 11:11 logic [1] 45:16 logically [3] 44:22,25 55:14 long [5] 9:20 12:2 37:5,24 73:10 look [26] 6:9,18 10:13 13:20 16:13 20:13 21:15 26:9 28: 19 29:22 33:25 41:5 46:15 51:6 54:6 62:3 65:14 74:14, 17,20 80:20 81:4,5 83:8 84: 6 89:14 looked [1] 54:23 looking [5] 6:16 31:7 34:25 90:17 93:9 looks [1] 81:9 loophole [2] 14:15 92:23 loopholes [1] 14:6 losing [1] 77:2 lot [17] 21:6 29:9,13 31:13 38:24 41:25 48:17,18 56:5 59:24 64:3,6,15 65:2 66:3, 6 69:25 lots [8] 16:20 30:24 34:15 39:15 47:16 49:15 64:23 93:4 lower [6] 20:2,8,17 27:25 56: 12 90:1 lunacy [1] 63:8 M machine [1] 52:21 made [8] 4:3 11:24 12:3 24: 2 27:3 90:24 91:9 92:10 mail [3] 26:22 27:1,4 major [1] 29:10 make [17] 13:24 46:23 50:6 60:11,19 66:3,6 67:1 71:11 72:14,14 73:8 79:10 84:2,3, 17 87:24 makes [23] 17:17 37:2,18,20 39:20 40:14,22 44:14 50:3 52:3 55:15 68:10,11 70:24, 25 71:1,8,15 74:24 81:21 83:24 84:9 92:12 making [3] 69:14 73:10 92: 25 manner [2] 62:1 82:2 many [2] 36:22 49:12	match [3] 50:3 66:19 92:11 matching [2] 50:9,23 material [10] 5:7 13:21 31:4 34:8 37:11 39:24 40:2 60:3 67:20 79:13 materially [1] 54:19 materials [32] 5:13,16 8:6 14:10 16:9 18:5 22:3 23:18 30:4,9 32:15 33:14,19 35:7 38:18 39:5 40:11 44:17 51: 20,25 54:1 74:7 75:22 77: 12 79:18,21 84:21 85:6,10 87:13 93:1,5 math [1] 80:25 matter [10] 5:13,17 23:25 31: 10 37:8,25 46:21 53:5 72: 25 73:17 mattered [1] 70:15 matters [5] 5:21 15:6 32:20 37:8 92:16 may [6] 18:24 43:17 56:11, 17 67:1,1 maybe [17] 13:11 15:2,3 25: 1 32:21 48:7 51:5 58:9 62: 20 65:4,7,12,13,13 78:11 80:15 89:17 me [17] 25:19 37:15 39:18 41:5 45:10 52:6,6 54:18 55: 25 56:23 58:7,11 60:15 67: 1,25 70:25 77:23 mean [51] 6:6,9,17 14:16 17: 6,23 20:10 22:6 24:11,13 27:12 30:4,7,11 31:12 38:9 41:3,7 46:12,15 49:18 51:4, 11 52:5 56:22,24 58:13 59: 17 60:1,14 61:18 62:20,25 63:8 65:1,18 67:9,25 70:14 77:10,14,15,18,21,23 79:9, 11 84:22 85:7,13 88:9 meaning [7] 28:10 31:2 44: 13 62:6 74:3,23 91:6 means [14] 15:25 16:15 33:9 38:12 51:15 78:22 79:16 80:5,5,8,14 84:24,25 89:16 meant [7] 21:2 24:18,23,23 30:1,12 77:24 media [2] 26:7 36:2 medical [6] 44:21 75:3,6,13, 14,16 meets [1] 40:8 mention [2] 30:9 52:16 mentioned [3] 32:15 52:10 92:15 meta [1] 87:25 methodology [1] 29:24 might [24] 10:5 12:17 13:24 14:5 18:10 19:20 23:17,19 30:22 39:2,3 47:12 49:23
--	--	--	---

58:20 59:18,23 62:18 65:20 69:11 74:2 82:11 86:9 87:6 92:11 million ^[1] 81:3 millions ^[1] 67:14 minimum ^[2] 16:17,20 minus ^[1] 31:23 minute ^[1] 36:23 minutes ^[6] 37:5,21,21,22, 22,24 mismatch ^[1] 50:6 misreading ^[1] 45:8 mistake ^[1] 90:5 mistakenly ^[1] 24:17 mix ^[4] 50:2,2 66:18 92:11 mixing ^[2] 50:9,23 mix-or-match ^[1] 92:9 mode ^[1] 41:6 model ^[2] 41:4 59:23 modern ^[1] 62:19 modified ^[1] 50:22 modifier ^[3] 50:13,15,18 moment ^[2] 71:24 83:2 more ^[13] 7:19 10:19 12:21 15:25 43:4 61:8 67:18 69:15,19 79:10 83:12 89:18,19 most ^[14] 38:25 46:7,8,9 50:25 56:13 76:5 78:10,12,12, 13,15 79:1 91:5 mostly ^[2] 46:2 47:7 motion ^[1] 9:6 motive ^[3] 40:1,21 41:6 mouse ^[1] 29:11 movie ^[1] 36:2 movies ^[1] 86:20 much ^[3] 39:6 42:23 56:1 multiple ^[2] 28:24 39:6 must ^[3] 32:20 80:17 81:22 my ^[25] 31:19 45:22 47:5 48:22 49:6,6,6 56:25 57:18 65:3,24 66:12,13 68:2 70:3,14 72:14 73:7,12 74:13 75:18 89:25 91:9 92:9,21 myself ^[1] 15:11 mysteries ^[1] 15:6 mystery ^[3] 10:25 13:24 14:2 N name ^[2] 24:1 56:3 namely ^[1] 24:22 narrow ^[3] 4:16 67:13 79:2 natural ^[4] 5:3 74:22 79:2 91:5 naturally ^[7] 45:18 50:25 75:11 78:10,12,14,15 necessarily ^[3] 33:23 76:23 92:3	need ^[6] 12:12 23:1 25:24 39:8,9,11 needed ^[1] 4:13 negative ^[2] 41:24 42:6 neighbor ^[1] 31:19 neither ^[1] 7:14 net ^[1] 78:9 netflix ^[2] 49:22,23 networking ^[1] 36:6 never ^[4] 14:8 66:16 83:8 93:2 new ^[1] 63:23 news ^[2] 47:19,19 newsletter ^[7] 30:19 63:15, 16,18 65:10,10 66:3 newspaper ^[3] 47:15 84:7 86:18 newspapers ^[4] 47:16,17,25 87:4 no ^[29] 6:5,15 9:3,8 19:24 23:1 26:1 29:23 35:2 43:25 53:11 58:3 66:10 67:16 72:1 73:4,17 74:1 77:2 78:21, 21,21 82:19,21,24,24 83:4, 10 85:8 nobody ^[2] 75:7 89:14 non-video ^[4] 11:16,19 48:5, 5 nordstrom's ^[1] 41:3 normally ^[2] 29:25 33:2 note ^[2] 13:4 35:8 nothing ^[2] 9:9 45:6 notion ^[1] 50:2 now ^[12] 27:10 28:2 32:3 35:5 45:15 55:25 70:3 74:9 78:19 80:25 82:20 85:23 nsa ^[1] 17:6 number ^[4] 13:20 37:23 91:2,10 O objection ^[1] 6:15 obsolete ^[1] 22:23 obtain ^[8] 4:3 13:9 14:10 19:21 23:17 42:19 61:7 93:1 obtained ^[9] 7:7 13:7 16:10, 22 18:6 82:1 84:21 85:6,10 obtaining ^[1] 4:7 obtains ^[1] 12:1 obvious ^[1] 76:5 obviously ^[2] 18:20 38:25 occur ^[1] 91:12 occurred ^[1] 91:15 odd ^[2] 32:9,19 oddity ^[1] 69:14 off ^[2] 48:12 62:13 offer ^[2] 38:18 39:24 office ^[1] 75:16	off-ramp ^[1] 42:19 off-ramps ^[1] 39:15 often ^[2] 28:18 39:1 oh ^[3] 16:25 59:16 64:17 okay ^[6] 8:16 22:4 37:17 40:4 41:16 75:4 old ^[1] 40:17 old-fashioned ^[1] 48:3 on ^[75] 4:10,18 7:23 8:10,24 12:17 15:12 18:15,16,19 21:9 22:24 23:10,13 25:19 26:17 28:1,3,6 29:3 30:21 34:12 35:17,21,22 36:11 37:4,13 38:18,23 39:19,24 40:11 41:3,13 43:15 46:6 47:8 48:20,23 49:2 55:6,17 56:18,24 57:2,2,12 60:3 62:1,6 63:6 65:2,3,9,25 66:15 69:22 70:4 74:25 75:19 77:3 78:20 80:9,11,16,16 84:13 86:21 87:24 90:12,16 91:9 92:9,21 once ^[2] 8:8 28:25 one ^[33] 5:13 6:24 10:6 11:12 14:21 18:4,9,11 22:10 25:24 26:15 36:20 39:10 44:7,17,20,23,25 51:4 55:15,18 58:22 59:5 61:11,12 63:2 69:14 79:10 81:22 83:6 87:6 89:18 91:13 one-off ^[1] 4:8 ones ^[3] 49:2 56:9 57:15 online ^[4] 4:4 49:22 65:10 84:2 only ^[14] 7:16 9:15 18:9 42:14,15 52:11 53:1,6 64:19 68:21 82:13,23 89:15 92:5 open ^[5] 18:19 27:3 59:24 63:1,3 operates ^[1] 57:12 operative ^[2] 15:14 19:22 opinion ^[2] 11:7 26:10 opportunity ^[1] 67:21 opposed ^[3] 24:16 56:9 68:25 opposite ^[1] 25:1 opposition ^[1] 28:20 optical ^[2] 32:1,2 options ^[1] 73:25 oral ^[1] 43:14 order ^[1] 73:15 ordinary ^[3] 74:23 75:4 91:6 other ^[43] 7:3 12:10 14:11 16:20 19:5 22:16 28:13,18, 23 29:6 30:11 35:23 36:6, 14 39:7,15 41:9 48:16 49:16 50:13,22 54:9,12,15 56:17,24 58:24 59:22 60:13	66:5 68:21 69:25 70:2 75:21 76:24 77:3,18 85:4,6 91:9 92:9,21 93:5 otherwise ^[1] 84:3 our ^[17] 6:1 7:25 8:18 19:19 20:5 24:10 27:13 28:20 29:13 32:12 41:14 49:5,25 82:20 90:16,24 93:2 out ^[12] 22:24 35:24 42:24 43:7 53:4 63:1 64:4 70:19, 20 75:1 82:20 89:16 outset ^[3] 24:21 30:1 31:3 outsourcing ^[1] 26:25 over ^[2] 80:22 91:6 overbroad ^[1] 58:3 overhang ^[1] 58:16 overlapping ^[1] 10:4 own ^[3] 24:12 34:6,13 P page ^[1] 87:24 paid ^[1] 31:23 paper ^[1] 48:3 paradigm ^[2] 32:12 38:16 paradigmatic ^[2] 64:19,22 paramount ^[13] 4:5,11,15 8:13,15,19 9:10 19:6 21:17 27:19 35:9 38:7 40:21 paramount's ^[3] 20:14 24:12 28:19 parenting ^[1] 36:4 park ^[2] 6:5,6 parking ^[2] 44:23 75:15 part ^[4] 19:15 56:13,16 57:7 particular ^[5] 9:4 28:10 32:11 70:21 74:24 particularly ^[3] 9:9 48:14 52:13 parties ^[2] 16:4 53:17 parties' ^[2] 71:4 72:8 parts ^[1] 65:6 party ^[4] 53:9 88:3,6,8 passes ^[1] 63:3 passing ^[1] 64:11 paul ^[1] 43:14 pause ^[2] 34:13 35:3 pay ^[5] 31:21,22 46:11 59:2 60:2 people ^[20] 13:1 22:16 38:25,25 42:25 48:1 57:25 58:23 59:6 60:6,13,24 65:20 66:17 68:20 69:9,15 75:13, 14 81:2 people's ^[1] 58:24 per ^[4] 31:21,22 73:19 81:1 perfect ^[2] 17:17 55:10 perfectly ^[1] 80:2 period ^[1] 7:13
--	--	---	---

permits ^[1] 4:1 person ^[24] 6:7 9:18 11:14 14:21 17:11,12 26:1,5,15 33:9,11 51:16 60:14 77:10, 16,19 84:13,20 85:5,9 91:3 personal ^[12] 33:21 43:23 45:4 55:11,16,18 56:2 66: 19 71:2 73:3 74:11 88:7 personally ^[59] 4:6,23 5:14 6:20,23 7:6,10,12,14,18 9: 14 10:11 11:3,10 13:5,14 15:13,15,18,19,22,22 16:5, 18,23 17:5,13 18:8 21:4 22: 14 26:15 28:21 34:4 42:13, 16 43:20 50:4 57:13 66:8 68:17,22 69:1,6,10,16 70: 16 71:6,10,12,16 72:1,9,16 81:25 90:2 91:19,20 92:6 93:6 person's ^[1] 9:13 perusal ^[1] 65:3 pet ^[1] 6:7 petition ^[1] 28:20 petitioner ^[4] 45:7,15 77:4 90:12 petitioner's ^[2] 51:1 76:21 pets ^[1] 6:5 phones ^[2] 13:2 23:1 phrase ^[5] 4:18 44:6,11 72: 17 73:10 phrases ^[3] 24:13 30:12,23 physical ^[4] 33:24 87:9,10, 13 physicality ^[1] 33:22 pick ^[2] 34:15 58:25 picked ^[1] 48:14 picking ^[1] 34:24 piece ^[1] 70:4 pji ^[30] 17:11 18:15,22 25:8 29:2,3 31:10 39:8 56:6 57: 2 68:5,5,7,12 70:5 81:23 82:9,12,13,17,19,22,23 83: 10 84:1,19 85:2 89:14,22 92:13 pitch ^[1] 25:19 pixel ^[2] 26:2 91:15 pixels ^[1] 46:9 place ^[3] 10:17 25:5 86:12 places ^[1] 30:21 plainly ^[1] 78:2 plaintiff ^[1] 81:1 plaintiffs ^[6] 20:11 36:14 47: 12,13 56:1 91:13 plaintiffs' ^[1] 44:1 plastic ^[1] 75:9 play ^[2] 10:15 33:24 playing ^[3] 32:4 46:5,6 please ^[3] 17:1 43:17 52:20	plug ^[1] 51:13 plugging ^[1] 51:21 pocket ^[1] 6:8 point ^[25] 13:23 14:18 23:13, 21 26:17 28:21,23 30:14 35:24 49:5 58:14 63:1,11 65:8 67:7 69:4,7,12 72:14 73:24 79:10 82:20 91:9 92: 9,19 points ^[2] 36:20 90:15 policy ^[1] 41:25 pop ^[1] 11:15 position ^[22] 7:21,22,25 8: 19,24 9:3 20:5 25:23 29:3 41:14 47:6 49:13,19,22 53: 24 57:16,18 68:3 75:18 83: 24 86:25 87:2 possibilities ^[1] 11:22 possibility ^[4] 10:22 54:8 69: 22 92:2 possible ^[2] 73:13 92:5 practicable ^[1] 82:5 precise ^[1] 67:18 precisely ^[8] 23:3 25:5 35:2 39:6 40:9 42:6 60:4 76:2 predominantly ^[2] 77:20,25 preferred ^[1] 10:5 premise ^[3] 24:20 25:4 51:6 premised ^[1] 84:13 prerecorded ^[16] 5:11 22:11 30:7 33:13 35:5 44:16 51: 19,24 67:19 73:18 74:5,10 75:23 79:12,17,20 prescient ^[1] 24:16 presented ^[1] 22:9 presenting ^[1] 20:20 presents ^[1] 89:13 presumably ^[1] 40:4 presume ^[1] 29:25 pretty ^[8] 32:7 42:22 46:23 61:6 64:5 70:6 78:1,9 prevail ^[2] 18:15 56:17 prevailed ^[3] 20:8,10 28:13 prevailing ^[1] 56:12 prevent ^[1] 64:12 preview ^[1] 52:17 primary ^[1] 45:17 principle ^[1] 86:12 principles ^[1] 29:10 print ^[1] 36:2 privacy ^[8] 9:12 22:12 34:11 54:11,14 74:25 75:6 91:14 private ^[5] 25:16,25 58:25 59:15 85:25 probably ^[1] 74:14 problem ^[10] 9:5 43:19 46: 22 59:12,14,25 60:2 66:25 68:15 91:23	problems ^[1] 57:9 proceeding ^[1] 82:3 product ^[2] 40:4 48:6 professional ^[1] 36:5 profit ^[3] 40:1,21 41:6 prohibit ^[2] 42:10,12 prohibition ^[1] 9:20 prohibits ^[3] 6:19 17:10 21: 6 prosecution ^[1] 6:8 protect ^[6] 7:3 10:1 45:5 69: 5 70:2 85:25 protected ^[5] 9:19 11:3 43: 24 83:17,22 protecting ^[2] 7:17 43:19 protection ^[5] 9:12 43:23 54: 11,15 91:14 protections ^[1] 10:22 protective ^[1] 21:4 protects ^[5] 15:15,17 50:5 68:22 86:5 provide ^[5] 32:11 41:13 61: 23 84:14 89:8 provided ^[1] 61:25 provider ^[72] 4:23 5:4,10,18, 22,23 7:24 8:2,20 9:1,6,22, 24 10:21 11:9,11,23 12:1 13:16 14:14,25 19:9 21:17, 18 22:2 25:7 28:22 30:7,11, 18 31:11,25 33:9 35:10,18 36:13,25 37:3,6 39:14 40: 14 42:9 44:19,22,25 45:16 46:25 47:3 49:15 51:8,12, 12 56:8 57:3,5 66:9 72:18 73:11,20,24 74:1,15,18 75: 4,13 76:8 79:19,20 90:19, 25 91:3,4 providers ^[4] 7:11 41:21 42: 11 43:21 provides ^[2] 8:8 10:7 providing ^[3] 45:19 77:17 80:9 provision ^[13] 7:5 12:23 15: 14 17:10,19 18:1 20:22 21: 10 32:14 67:23 68:1,10 72: 24 provisions ^[9] 19:22 21:13 23:3 71:18 76:24 77:3 82:6 84:12 92:17 public ^[1] 23:7 publicity ^[2] 25:16,24 publishers ^[1] 36:2 punitive ^[1] 44:3 purchase ^[12] 14:9,11 23:19 38:21,22 44:18 63:18,24 67:17,18 93:3,4 purchased ^[13] 9:22 44:9 52: 25 53:1,6,19,21,25 55:8 70: 22 90:21,22,23 purchaser ^[4] 51:15 53:14, 19 79:16 purchases ^[3] 63:16 67:5 91: 2 purchasing ^[1] 12:9 purpose ^[9] 6:10,14,16,17 24:3 25:1 53:12 54:1 65:16 purposes ^[2] 15:4 23:23 pursues ^[1] 53:11 put ^[9] 4:20 27:18 38:3 50: 24 59:1 66:20 73:8 78:19 80:20 putting ^[1] 39:24
Q		
quarrel ^[2] 9:8 29:23 question ^[34] 6:6 12:6 13:12 16:12 17:9,18,21 18:14,25 19:11 20:6 22:9 25:18 26: 12 27:4,25 29:19 49:20 51: 7 55:1 58:9,17 59:7 60:17 70:14 88:4,9 89:7,9,13,19, 21,22 90:24 questions ^[5] 5:1 6:3 29:10 45:9 90:20 quickly ^[1] 39:1 quite ^[2] 54:10 56:4 quoting ^[4] 82:1		
R		
racers ^[1] 48:12 radically ^[2] 24:14 27:6 raise ^[1] 88:23 raised ^[4] 19:6,11 56:11 88: 21 raises ^[1] 49:20 rampant ^[1] 34:3 randolph ^[1] 87:7 range ^[1] 80:11 rather ^[2] 14:3 46:10 reach ^[1] 77:15 reached ^[1] 16:12 reaches ^[1] 6:11 read ^[8] 29:15 51:1 58:21 69: 21 76:13 78:11,15 85:24 reading ^[14] 6:25 7:1 24:10, 12 33:10 35:25 68:6 75:19 78:12,14 81:12 85:21 91:5 92:23 real ^[4] 8:10 28:24 42:6 62: 21 reality ^[1] 43:4 really ^[22] 12:7 14:18,22 23: 7 26:24 32:21 49:11 53:7 57:3,10 65:16 66:17 72:4 75:18 79:1 88:11 89:4,9,15, 24 90:16 92:22		

realms ^[1] 16:20 reason ^[7] 46:9 60:3 72:23 77:7 80:2 81:7 89:18 reasons ^[6] 10:4 14:5 28:14, 19,23 43:7 rebuttal ^[3] 80:16 90:10,11 receives ^[1] 17:12 recliner ^[2] 66:14 90:22 recognized ^[1] 25:14 recommend ^[1] 45:7 record ^[1] 34:14 records ^[1] 21:12 redundancy ^[2] 73:13 79:10 redundant ^[4] 73:11 79:9,24 80:1 refer ^[2] 44:22,25 reference ^[1] 78:8 referring ^[1] 44:20 refers ^[2] 5:11 44:23 reflected ^[1] 86:3 reflects ^[1] 92:2 refutes ^[1] 81:24 regarding ^[2] 91:10 92:20 regardless ^[2] 80:5 82:6 regime ^[3] 10:6,19 92:4 regulates ^[1] 23:9 reinforces ^[1] 30:14 reject ^[1] 88:11 rejected ^[2] 20:18,24 re-jigger ^[1] 23:2 related ^[1] 27:14 relates ^[1] 14:21 relationship ^[8] 10:7 13:6 14:8 15:3 53:15,19 68:24 89:21 relationships ^[1] 92:15 relatively ^[2] 58:19 60:20 release ^[1] 14:20 released ^[1] 56:3 releasing ^[1] 15:1 relevant ^[1] 44:15 reluctance ^[1] 21:1 remand ^[4] 18:16,19 19:3 20:6 rent ^[11] 14:9,11 23:19 38:21,21 44:17 52:18 73:16,18 93:3,4 rental ^[7] 20:1 21:12 33:12, 12 51:18 53:14 76:4 rented ^[5] 9:22 34:20 44:8 90:23 91:1 renter ^[3] 51:15 75:24 79:16 renting ^[5] 8:5 12:9 37:10 40:2 76:10 rents ^[1] 52:11 repeating ^[1] 40:13 report ^[2] 85:23 86:4 reporter ^[5] 52:22 53:3 54:	16,17,25 reports ^[1] 69:22 represent ^[1] 80:23 repurpose ^[1] 44:4 repurposing ^[1] 23:6 request ^[4] 13:9 14:10 19:20 92:25 requested ^[7] 13:7 16:10,21 18:6 84:20 85:6,9 require ^[2] 33:22 86:19 requirement ^[2] 7:11 85:9 requires ^[4] 16:6 55:12 62:14 82:4 resolve ^[1] 18:24 resolved ^[1] 18:16 resolving ^[1] 18:19 respect ^[3] 14:25 45:22 54:21 respectfully ^[2] 83:25 86:7 respondent ^[1] 43:15 respondent's ^[1] 42:1 response ^[2] 19:19 76:22 rest ^[1] 49:16 result ^[4] 52:24 63:10 81:13 92:14 resulted ^[1] 36:22 results ^[1] 34:5 retail ^[2] 35:23 81:5 retroactive ^[1] 80:11 retroactively ^[1] 84:4 retrospective ^[1] 58:6 revised ^[1] 12:22 rewatch ^[1] 35:4 rewind ^[2] 34:14 35:3 rewrite ^[1] 14:3 right ^[35] 11:18 15:12 20:5 26:3 27:9,10,17,22 28:5 29:22 31:22 37:13 53:18 55:9, 9 56:4 60:9 61:13 62:12 63:14 65:12,13 71:8 72:3 79:3, 6,22,22 81:9,14,15 83:14 85:12 89:5,14 rise ^[3] 17:22 54:20 92:13 roberts ^[17] 6:1 33:4 35:12 40:5 41:17 43:11 48:10,13 49:1,4 76:15 81:16 84:16 86:15 87:16 90:7 93:11 roping ^[1] 42:23 routinely ^[1] 20:15 ruling ^[1] 88:16 S said ^[22] 15:11 17:15 21:2 24:23,24 26:23 30:2,5,12 31:23 32:4 34:2 54:7 60:20 61:24 67:4 71:20 72:7,7 73:22 76:23 79:15 salazar ^[1] 87:20	sale ^[5] 20:1 21:12,12 33:13 51:18 same ^[27] 16:13 24:11 25:5, 11 30:5 32:13,14,14,17,17, 20 34:16 38:19 42:8 45:23, 25 47:11,13 54:22 55:1 59:4 61:16 70:19 76:9 77:3 81:22 92:16 sam's ^[2] 31:18 32:1 sandwich ^[8] 62:11 63:7 66:2,13 71:23,25 84:5 91:3 sandwiches ^[2] 45:1 46:18 satisfy ^[1] 88:8 saw ^[1] 23:1 say ^[37] 8:1 10:3 16:2 22:10 23:14 26:13 31:17,25 32:8, 10,19 36:19 37:5 39:24 40:14 43:3 50:1,12 53:11 57:8 59:3 60:17 61:21 64:3,17 66:18 72:6 74:3 75:12 77:1, 8 85:19 88:12,14 89:23 90:1 92:10 saying ^[15] 17:25 18:7 22:5 25:15 27:14 36:11,17,23 38:13 41:11 70:14 71:14 83:1,4 85:4 says ^[20] 6:5 16:6,14,16 17:10 22:2 24:6,8 26:10 30:15, 20 31:21,24 37:9 50:11 52:19 57:20 72:18 79:12 90:25 scale ^[1] 92:3 scenario ^[1] 54:20 scope ^[7] 5:17 31:5 57:5 74:15,18,20 92:5 scopes ^[1] 25:10 scouring ^[1] 6:17 second ^[4] 5:16 6:25 23:21 91:8 section ^[5] 4:1 5:9 6:19,23 90:18 sections ^[2] 7:3 11:2 sector ^[1] 36:1 see ^[13] 18:11 21:10 25:9 29:12 39:1 41:4 46:4 48:11 60:7 65:11 76:9,9 83:20 seem ^[5] 34:22 45:18 65:21 69:14 80:10 seems ^[8] 37:15 54:6,18 55:21,24 60:14 61:5 78:10 seen ^[1] 45:15 sees ^[1] 52:16 sell ^[4] 48:18 69:24,25 73:18 selling ^[8] 8:5 21:23 37:10 40:2 46:18 54:9 58:24 76:9 sells ^[5] 40:24 46:24 47:1 52:11 53:22 senate ^[3] 69:21 85:23 86:3	sense ^[18] 6:17 17:17 21:18, 22 22:18 27:13 29:12 49:12 50:7 52:3 66:4,6 67:17 68:10 70:24 71:1 74:24 84:9 sensibly ^[1] 68:1 sentence ^[3] 30:6 32:14,18 separate ^[2] 60:21,21 serve ^[1] 65:16 service ^[62] 4:22 5:4,10,22 7:24 8:2,20,25 9:6 13:15 14:24 19:9 21:17,18 22:2 24:6 30:6,18 31:11 32:23 33:1,9 35:10,18 36:13,24 37:3,6 39:14 41:21 42:9 43:21 44:19,21,24 45:15,20 46:25 47:2 49:15 51:8,11,12,23 52:19 56:8 57:2,5 66:9 72:18 73:11,19,24 74:1,10,15,18 75:3,13,15 76:8 79:19 services ^[53] 4:18 5:3,5,16, 20 16:9 18:6 23:18,20 24:4, 6,8,10,11 30:2,3,5,9,17 32:1,2,15,16,18 44:7,11,15,21, 24 46:14 49:21 50:11,12,20, 21,22 51:16 55:8 70:2 72:7 73:9,23 74:5,16,19,21 75:3, 12,14 80:3 85:7,10 93:1 set ^[3] 59:10 70:19 85:1 setting ^[1] 61:22 several ^[4] 10:4 11:11 22:10 52:16 shaped ^[1] 4:11 sharing ^[3] 23:9,12 29:17 sharp ^[1] 38:10 shelves ^[1] 86:21 shift ^[2] 91:10,12 shoes ^[1] 41:5 shop ^[1] 38:25 short ^[1] 7:23 shot ^[1] 80:16 should ^[11] 9:3 28:9 29:14 39:5 48:20 51:5 60:15 62:5 65:14 70:23 93:8 shouldn't ^[2] 56:18 65:8 show ^[1] 41:4 showing ^[1] 37:13 shows ^[5] 29:24 45:18 68:9 86:20 92:22 side ^[4] 35:23 91:9 92:10,21 side's ^[2] 29:7 56:12 sign ^[2] 6:5 63:22 signal ^[1] 62:13 signed ^[1] 84:7 similar ^[27] 5:12 22:3,7,11, 11,13,17 25:15 27:4 30:8 33:14,19 34:7,9 40:17,20 44:16 51:20,24 67:19 74:6
---	--	---	--

75:22 77:12 79:13,18,21 86:19 simple [2] 42:22 58:22 simpler [1] 90:24 simply [3] 11:24 45:12,17 single [2] 41:13 76:5 sit [1] 72:13 site [6] 45:13 46:19 47:7 63:15,16,25 sites [1] 36:6 situation [1] 66:10 situations [1] 67:12 six [2] 26:11,16 sixth [7] 19:11,13 25:13 26:10 27:20 28:8 89:1 sky-is-falling [1] 56:15 slightly [1] 78:22 small [1] 47:16 smart [1] 20:17 snail [1] 6:7 social [1] 26:7 sold [3] 60:13 78:3,4 solely [3] 24:2 28:9 77:19 solution [3] 43:19 58:4,8 solutions [1] 58:22 some [30] 8:22,24 9:23 10:25 13:24 14:11 15:5,15 17:22 21:1 25:24 35:19 36:9 38:10,13 47:19 48:14 54:24 55:15 64:5 65:20 66:20 74:3,4 78:11,20 81:18,21 82:2 86:4 somebody [1] 63:15 someday [4] 18:24 39:13 41:20 86:9 someone [9] 4:9 12:17 28:22 37:3 81:22 90:20,21,22 91:1 someone's [1] 82:14 something [25] 4:14 9:21 10:20 11:1 14:12,17 16:7,8 22:14 30:5,13 31:4 63:25 64:7 69:2 72:15 73:6 75:10 77:8 78:22 83:15 84:25 90:23,23 91:1 something's [1] 62:13 sometimes [1] 51:4 somewhat [1] 67:24 soon [1] 82:5 sorry [5] 15:24 16:25 20:9 34:14 59:13 sort [8] 12:11 14:14 40:13 48:22 60:20 77:3 80:8 89:24 sorts [2] 13:2 91:19 sotomayor [18] 9:11,24 19:1,8,13,24 35:13 59:11,14,17 60:6,10 81:17,18 82:21,25	83:14 84:15 sotomayor's [1] 12:5 source [4] 25:11 31:4,8 92:16 spans [1] 23:16 speak [1] 41:23 speaking [2] 15:22 72:12 specific [12] 16:9,22 17:6 18:5 32:7 43:18 58:16 72:10,12,22 73:2 84:21 specifically [5] 6:19 23:24 25:21 34:2 91:21 speculation [2] 63:1,3 splendid [1] 76:13 split [3] 28:3,6 29:3 sponte [1] 27:21 sports [8] 36:3 45:13,17 46:19 47:7 63:15 64:5,23 sprinkled [1] 29:12 square [1] 78:1 stage [2] 5:8,9 stand [1] 77:2 standing [16] 25:13,15,21 26:4,11,14 27:9,15,20 87:20 88:12 89:3,18,21,24 90:6 standpoint [2] 53:5 68:16 start [2] 5:10 24:25 started [3] 14:19 75:2 91:17 starts [4] 46:5,6 75:24 76:4 station [4] 32:18,22,25 33:3 stations [1] 32:11 status [1] 66:19 statute [97] 5:8 6:11 11:21,25 12:6,22 14:3,13,18 15:9,13,15 19:22 20:23 21:3 22:22 23:6,8 24:24 29:15 32:10,13,14,17 33:10 34:1,3 42:4,10 43:22,25 44:4,12 45:3,8 49:24 50:5 51:2,13,14,22 53:9,11,16 54:2,20 55:10 57:11,12,19 61:23 62:14 63:4 64:12,17,18,19 65:22,24,25 66:16 67:21 68:6,10,16,21 69:4 70:7,15,18 71:5,8,9,13,17 72:5,7,24 73:14,21 74:12,24 75:7 76:25 77:9 79:2 80:4,5 82:8 83:20,23 84:10 86:5 91:7,22,25 93:9 statute's [3] 44:5 54:5 59:10 statutory [6] 6:14 20:19 21:16 24:21 44:3 58:18 stay [1] 59:20 stepped [3] 12:22 22:21 34:1 sticky [1] 60:20 still [12] 9:19 18:16,18 34:3	39:17 48:2 49:23,25 54:23 55:1 59:24 68:14 stop [2] 35:3 58:24 store [18] 22:18 38:19,20 39:25 46:24 52:10,11,17 53:2,20,22,23 54:9,23 69:23 83:7,21 86:2 stores [5] 48:17 78:3,4,25,25 strand [2] 18:9,12 strands [1] 16:7 streamed [2] 33:16 34:8 streaming [8] 13:1 22:25 33:15,20 34:2,5,23 49:21 structure [5] 14:7,14 57:11,19 92:24 structured [1] 93:2 struggle [1] 74:2 stuff [1] 70:1 stumbled [1] 24:17 sua [1] 27:21 subject [9] 5:13,17,21 6:8 23:25 31:10 32:20 72:25 92:16 submitted [2] 93:13,15 subscribe [10] 14:9,12 23:19 44:18 48:2 54:25 66:2 73:16 93:3,4 subscribed [6] 9:23 30:18 44:9 48:5 65:9 84:6 subscriber [4] 30:17 51:16 75:25 79:17 subscribers [1] 80:22 subscription [2] 53:14 67:5 subsection [6] 7:4,9 17:9 24:8 28:25 30:3 succeeded [1] 47:12 such [5] 43:7 82:5 90:18,25 91:4 sudden [2] 48:12 67:15 suddenly [2] 91:13 93:6 sue [1] 47:24 sufficiently [2] 88:6 89:11 suggest [4] 17:16 81:11 83:25 86:7 suggesting [1] 78:11 suggests [2] 20:14 50:14 suits [3] 20:7 35:25 36:21 sun [1] 36:7 supplemental [1] 89:8 suppose [6] 21:15 26:6 49:8 52:9 79:14 86:9 sure [14] 12:15 13:19 15:10 19:19 31:6 37:7 39:23 40:19 49:11 60:18 67:1 80:13 84:18 92:25 surgery [1] 75:10 surprise [1] 9:3	surprising [1] 9:9 surrounding [2] 44:13,14 sushi [1] 32:22 sweep [1] 15:25 systematically [1] 4:5 T tackle [1] 29:20 tailored [1] 43:19 take [15] 8:23 9:2 49:19,21 51:5,10 53:24 58:25 63:12 65:15 74:8 80:15 86:25 87:1 88:4 taken [1] 25:23 takes [2] 44:12 57:10 taking [1] 49:13 talk [1] 42:2 talked [1] 52:5 talking [4] 11:17 48:19 51:23 79:5 talks [2] 75:13 77:10 tape [63] 4:22 5:4,10,22 8:2,20,25 9:6,13 13:15 14:24 19:9 21:12,17,18 22:2,19 30:6,17 31:11 33:8,24,24 34:10,17 35:6,9,18 36:13,24 37:3,6 39:14 40:18 41:20 42:9 43:21 44:18,24 45:15 46:25 47:2 49:15 51:7,11,12 56:8 57:2,4 66:9 67:19 72:18 73:11,19,23 74:1,9,15,18 76:8 79:12,19 86:21 tapes [20] 5:12 21:23,24 22:23 30:8 33:14 34:19,21 44:16 51:19,24 69:24 74:6 76:4,6,10,10 77:13 79:17,20 target [1] 91:18 targeted [7] 23:9,12 29:17 35:21 46:11 60:5 63:4 targeting [1] 37:1 technological [2] 12:19 43:3 technologically [1] 61:6 technologies [1] 23:16 technology [7] 12:20 13:8 21:9 35:1 62:19 91:16,16 technology-neutral [2] 13:7 23:4 technology-specific [1] 23:15 television [1] 86:20 tell [5] 26:1 45:10 56:23 58:11 70:3 telling [2] 25:24 62:4 tells [4] 5:23 31:14 50:19 73:12 temporal [4] 66:10,21,25 67:
--	--	---	--

9 tennessee ^[4] 28:8 term ^[4] 21:19,23 30:2 51:13 terms ^[6] 10:16 29:12 40:20 70:8 75:24 80:20 tether ^[1] 68:11 text ^[6] 6:18 29:23,24 44:13, 14 85:21 textual ^[2] 81:12 85:21 textualist ^[1] 29:8 than ^[15] 11:1 13:14 14:3 16: 1 24:18 27:6 28:14 34:23 43:5 46:10 48:16 59:22 71: 10 83:1,13 thank ^[14] 33:4 40:4 43:9,10, 11 76:15 81:15 84:15 86: 14 87:14 90:9,13 93:10,11 that'll ^[1] 61:4 that's ^[87] 5:13,16,17 6:10 7: 25 8:9 9:6,9 10:20 16:24 17:21 18:17 19:4,6 20:2,4, 5 22:4,9 26:24 27:6,16,17 28:5 29:4,19 31:1 32:16,22 35:18 36:17 40:12 41:1,2,6, 14,21 42:19,21 43:6 46:2,7 47:8,11,13,23 51:5 53:16 54:4,17 56:4 58:8 59:5 62: 24,25 63:2,8,9 64:9,10 65: 12,13,18,18 69:3 70:9 71:7, 20 72:6 74:9,25 75:17 76: 23 78:1 79:1,8 83:16,19 85: 1 86:11 88:2,2,9 89:17 91: 5 92:1,13 theater ^[1] 22:15 theaters ^[1] 36:2 their ^[14] 13:2,2 14:25 23:1 37:4 44:4 47:21,24 60:12, 12 66:12 69:1 91:17 92:23 them ^[25] 4:21 8:7 12:9,9 13: 19,20,21 14:6,10 18:4 19: 21 25:20 26:13 36:22 40: 23,23 42:12 48:6 50:13,25 52:18 76:10,13 89:4 93:3 themselves ^[1] 92:24 then ^[39] 5:19 17:24 19:24 23:21 25:8 31:18 32:13,17 37:17 38:1 44:1 48:7 51:14 52:22 53:3,15 54:13,16 55: 18 56:7 63:11 64:2,4 66:5, 9,14,23 67:7 68:3,6 76:7 81:4 83:8,9,14 84:4 88:24, 25 89:25 theory ^[10] 8:11 13:13 15:8 27:5 47:21,24,24 66:12 67: 2 72:6 there ^[47] 10:4,25 11:4,10, 18 13:20,24 14:4 16:1,16 18:12 19:5 20:25 22:1 23:5	24:5 28:2,6,24 31:12 39:14 43:24 45:23,23 46:3 47:8 48:1,17 49:11 50:23 54:11 56:5,16 57:8 62:13 67:11, 16,20 71:15 74:4 80:2,22 82:4,16 85:20 89:20 91:25 therefore ^[2] 10:21 77:6 there's ^[18] 18:20 20:21 26: 14 41:25 55:18 63:14 66: 10 67:10 68:5 72:1,22,23 73:4 78:7 81:7 85:8 88:12 89:23 these ^[19] 4:7,12 19:14 20:7, 17 25:3,9 28:12 40:16,22 42:23 43:1 46:7 56:17 58:4 60:24 67:6 77:2 78:17 they ^[64] 4:8 19:25 20:24 21: 23 28:1,20,23 37:3,5 40:17 42:24 45:6 47:12,24 48:4,4, 5,7 50:10 52:1,4,4,19 54:10, 10 57:14,22,23 60:11,13 62: 8,9,10 63:18,21,24 64:2,16 65:14 66:17,20 68:23 69:2, 24,25 70:1,1 72:19,20,21, 21,23 75:8,15 78:18 83:21 84:1,4,5,6,6,7 87:7 92:23 they'll ^[1] 60:10 they're ^[16] 5:23 10:21 13: 22,25,25 19:19 23:4 40:20 46:13,16 47:18 57:24 62: 11 63:5 78:9,17 they've ^[2] 43:1 66:6 thing ^[16] 24:11 26:2 32:8, 21 42:14,15 46:1,4 47:23 59:4 63:2 65:13 66:5 70:5, 6 89:3 things ^[16] 5:24 7:2 23:11 24:14 33:20 35:4 41:8 48: 18 49:16 51:3 54:10,12,15 56:21 78:17 93:5 thinking ^[4] 7:21 29:14,17 78:9 thinks ^[2] 57:1 81:9 third ^[5] 53:9 88:3,6,8 91:23 thomas ^[13] 5:2,7 33:6,7 34: 6,18 45:10,21 46:12,16,21 49:20 76:17 thomas's ^[1] 13:12 those ^[39] 7:1 8:6 9:16 11: 22 16:22 23:10,17 24:13 25:3 28:23 29:12 30:11,23 32:11,20 34:5 36:16,20 38: 17,21,22 39:3,4 47:17 53:6 54:5 56:10,24 61:12 68:22, 25,25 69:21 76:12 81:5,6 82:5 92:17 93:5 though ^[7] 25:11 34:7 46:13, 25 49:19 65:8 66:25	thought ^[8] 10:19 14:17 17: 23 28:2 45:11,12 64:11 77: 24 thousand ^[4] 61:19,21 80: 22 81:2 three ^[11] 5:21,24,25 32:20 37:5,21 50:24 54:24 75:19 76:3,13 threshold ^[1] 44:10 through ^[6] 21:13 25:6 29: 12 52:15 76:7 91:24 thrust ^[4] 14:23,24 15:12 69: 4 time ^[8] 4:9 7:13 12:7 13:3 25:11 39:19 42:8 79:10 times ^[4] 61:19,21 63:23 64: 15 title ^[1] 21:11 today ^[5] 18:25 20:20 35:11 43:5 62:22 together ^[3] 7:2 50:25 76:11 told ^[2] 39:18 60:7 ton ^[1] 71:1 too ^[3] 35:8 38:10 66:25 tool ^[1] 70:9 totally ^[2] 46:20 89:25 tough ^[1] 78:23 tracking ^[1] 91:18 transaction ^[10] 11:13,17,20, 21 19:16 55:14 71:2 81:21 83:3,23 transactions ^[2] 5:25 75:1 translate ^[1] 62:21 treating ^[1] 56:7 triad ^[2] 75:24 76:9 tricky ^[2] 85:11 88:9 tried ^[2] 60:19 61:1 tries ^[1] 72:14 triggers ^[1] 42:14 tripped ^[1] 25:2 true ^[8] 12:16 22:20 26:8 36: 20,21 37:16 38:20 43:2 try ^[5] 59:6 60:17 61:23 73: 12 90:1 trying ^[6] 12:13 13:11,23 15: 7 38:5,6 turn ^[2] 63:6 65:9 turns ^[2] 64:4 65:25 tv ^[2] 34:21,23 twenty ^[1] 46:18 twist ^[1] 32:8 two ^[23] 4:4 6:22 7:1 11:22 16:6 24:13,13 37:21 51:3 56:10,17 58:14,22 66:21 67:22 68:18,19 72:20 73: 24 78:19 92:15,15,17 two-year ^[1] 10:14 type ^[3] 36:7 48:19 56:1	U u.s ^[1] 76:2 ultimately ^[1] 86:22 undeniable ^[1] 65:25 under ^[18] 20:22 23:24 36:7 43:25 49:25 53:6,9 66:12 67:20 68:2,5 71:4,8,13 76: 24 77:2 83:5,20 under-inclusive ^[2] 62:18 69:9 underscores ^[2] 45:7 79:25 understand ^[14] 15:8 38:8 47:5 67:2 69:7 70:13,13,22 77:9 80:18 82:10,15 83:5 84:18 understanding ^[3] 45:24 51: 1 72:9 understood ^[1] 80:13 unfortunately ^[2] 21:1 77:23 uniquely ^[1] 75:1 universe ^[1] 41:20 universities ^[1] 36:6 unlawful ^[1] 70:15 unless ^[2] 7:7 45:5 unmistakable ^[1] 61:2 unmodified ^[3] 44:7,12 80:3 unsurprising ^[1] 92:11 untethered ^[1] 66:7 until ^[5] 45:5 55:22,22 66:15 72:10 unusual ^[2] 31:2 32:8 up ^[22] 4:4 7:23 11:15 13:19 15:7 20:2 25:4 27:18 34:15, 24 55:19 59:10 60:14 61: 13,22 63:22 69:12,15,19 84: 7 86:23 91:13 upon ^[1] 70:21 us ^[15] 5:23 15:5 18:16 19:2, 3 20:20 28:2 31:14 33:15 35:19 39:5 56:13 61:8 88: 10 90:4 use ^[6] 24:18 72:19,20,21 81:25 90:1 used ^[8] 8:22 16:15,16 17: 16 30:24 31:13 38:9 72:21 useful ^[1] 23:23 uses ^[2] 15:24 44:6 using ^[1] 42:12 usually ^[2] 29:8 31:3 V vacate ^[2] 19:3,7 valet ^[2] 44:23 75:15 value ^[1] 10:20 variations ^[2] 5:8 13:21 various ^[1] 91:24 vcr ^[1] 74:8
---	--	---	---

vendor ^[2] 26:23 27:5 veritable ^[1] 45:3 very ^[18] 8:4 9:18 10:9,16 12:18 14:1 20:18 21:4,25 23:10 41:11 53:13 58:4,7, 22 67:12 72:22 81:18 vhs ^[4] 21:23 22:19 34:21 40:17 video-related ^[1] 5:5 videos ^[41] 8:24 9:16,19 10:2 12:8 13:2,9 19:14,18 22:25 24:1 33:20 40:16 43:1 45:18,25 46:19 47:8,10,20 48:19 52:11 54:9,18,24 64:3,5,23 65:2 67:7 68:25 73:1,18,19 77:18 78:3,4,10,18 80:9 84:2 video-viewing ^[2] 82:14 83:16 video-watching ^[3] 14:20 52:23 53:8 view ^[9] 25:14 49:5,25 59:15 60:12 71:4 83:5,10 84:18 viewed ^[1] 23:8 viewing ^[2] 60:13 84:2 views ^[1] 62:22 virtually ^[3] 24:13 43:25 47:16 vppa ^[13] 7:3,8 20:15 26:12, 21 27:5 44:2 45:3 67:15 81:22,24 83:11 92:24 W wait ^[1] 36:23 walk ^[1] 25:6 walked ^[3] 38:19,20 83:21 wall ^[3] 46:11 59:2 60:2 want ^[21] 9:17 25:12,19 38:10,14 57:8 59:19,20 60:7, 11 64:24 72:13 77:1 78:19 84:17 85:14,15,24 88:10 91:8 92:8 wanted ^[7] 4:21 15:2,3 42:1 70:1 78:2,24 wants ^[5] 52:18 73:7,22 74:13 80:23 was ^[56] 4:13,15 6:4,6,8 8:12,13 9:7,12 10:8,19 12:6, 14,16,18,23,25 13:23 14:17, 18 17:4,16 22:24,25 23:6 25:15 26:20 27:17 28:3 30:16,16,19 31:7 32:21 35:1 38:16,18,18 43:24,24 45:11 52:10 53:8,13 54:11 61:22, 22 63:17 67:23 70:15 76:5, 5 77:7 80:2 86:3 93:15 wasn't ^[5] 12:7 29:17 37:1 61:1,2	watch ^[15] 9:16 12:17 22:12 33:21 34:11,12 45:6 52:21 54:18 60:11 64:2,23 65:2 66:14 67:6 watches ^[5] 4:10 9:18 11:14 64:5 67:8 watching ^[6] 9:13 10:2 42:25 53:2 65:5 66:20 waved ^[3] 35:19 36:9 38:12 way ^[33] 8:3 14:11 15:9 22:10,24 30:25 31:14 32:11 33:24 34:16 35:4 42:24 44:7 45:23 46:7 47:4,5,11,13 50:22 54:5 57:20 59:6 60:15,24 61:16 63:22 64:11 73:10,14 76:5 79:2 86:4 ways ^[5] 23:17,18 34:10,16 76:3 we ^[76] 5:7,9,15,20 6:9,13, 15,22 7:17 9:2,7,8 10:24 12:6,11,12 13:18 14:1 16:16 19:6 21:15 22:5 27:7,14, 18 29:7,9,14,15,23 31:6,8 32:8,10,13,17 34:2,3 35:7 39:12 41:19,22 42:20,22 43:7 48:20 49:18,19,21 50:1 52:5,5,9 56:14,18,25 59:24 61:18 63:1 64:15,17 69:8,13 73:12 77:2 82:20 86:4 87:21 88:10,23,24 89:2 90:19,19 91:24 93:6 website ^[19] 4:11 7:23 8:25 35:21 37:4,13 38:24 40:11, 25 41:4,14 46:2,3 47:23 48:8 57:23 66:15 80:10 83:9 websites ^[9] 36:4,5,5 39:3 46:7,8 47:19 61:1 91:17 website's ^[1] 39:20 weird ^[3] 47:23 70:6 76:1 welcome ^[2] 5:1 45:9 well ^[44] 6:1 8:14 9:17 13:17 17:4 19:17 21:8 23:5 26:5, 19 30:15 31:23 32:2 36:19 38:15 40:12 41:1 47:6,25 48:9,10,22 49:6 51:3 57:23 58:2,18 59:5 61:4 62:17 64:17 65:23 69:3 70:11 76:19 80:4 81:18 82:21 83:18 85:3,11,14 89:12 90:20 well-designed ^[1] 70:9 went ^[1] 54:22 were ^[6] 13:1 22:23 43:5 54:10 86:21 92:20 we're ^[16] 18:19 28:11 34:25 48:12,19 49:12 51:23 57:21 64:11 75:17 79:4,4 85:12 86:2 90:3,17 weren't ^[1] 25:9	we've ^[4] 7:5,9 27:2 93:1 what ^[98] 9:10 12:13 13:12 16:1,3 20:9,9 21:2 22:5,5,6, 6 23:7 24:23,23 26:19 29:25 30:18,22 37:2,8,9,18,20, 22,25 38:3,11,18 39:18,19 40:14,15,16 41:5 42:2 46:2 50:1,1,3,3,19,25 51:5,14 52:7 53:4,7,10,19 54:7,16,18 55:20,20,24 59:3,22 60:16 62:8 65:23 68:11,11 69:20 70:11,14,21 71:1,7,14,15, 16 72:6 73:7,17 74:2 75:8, 17 77:9,14,20 79:14 80:5, 14 82:25 83:4,16,24 84:22 85:3,15 87:22,24 89:16 90:17,20 92:12,12 whatever ^[3] 7:24 10:24 26:2 whatnot ^[1] 15:5 what's ^[7] 45:16 55:16 59:11,14,25 82:15 88:2 whatsoever ^[1] 66:11 when ^[43] 11:3,13 12:1,21 22:21 24:1,24 25:6 26:14 29:16 30:2 33:25 38:19,20, 23 40:21 44:20,23 46:24 47:1,24 49:22 51:4 53:7 56:16 57:19 60:22,25 61:22,22 63:9 68:7 71:3,21,22 72:7 75:15 77:10 83:15 86:22 90:19,19 93:9 where ^[12] 4:21 11:11 31:24 39:13 50:10,19 63:6,7 66:10 74:13 91:25 92:23 whereas ^[1] 68:2 whereupon ^[1] 93:14 whether ^[22] 12:11 13:25 18:21 19:9 27:4 28:22 35:9 41:23 52:18 53:8 59:9 60:11 65:9 66:1,2 75:7,9 80:7 82:6,25 89:10,14 which ^[27] 6:19 7:2,3 8:3 12:7 13:8,12 17:10,17 22:20 30:1,24 31:13 35:10 38:24 46:23 56:11,11 60:2,14 65:24 74:2 76:4 79:19 84:19 85:5,24 who ^[20] 8:7,8 9:16,18 14:22 42:25 57:25 62:10,11,22 65:20 68:25 69:9,21 75:15 82:11 90:21,21,22 91:1 whole ^[6] 40:10 57:25 64:18 71:17 75:18 76:12 whom ^[1] 17:5 who's ^[4] 10:2 26:25 31:19 62:9 whose ^[3] 68:22 69:10,16	why ^[43] 9:13,25 10:25 13:13,22,25 15:6 16:2,2,14 19:1,2,5,6 20:16,17,23,23 25:21 26:4 34:18 38:14 40:7, 15,16,16 41:2 48:20 53:4 54:2 56:18 63:9 64:14 70:23,23 71:20 74:19 79:1,8 82:10 83:15 85:1 92:13 wide ^[1] 78:9 will ^[9] 31:16 37:8 41:24 59:6 60:6 61:21 70:3 82:12,22 win ^[3] 56:24 76:24 77:23 window ^[4] 10:8,12,14,16 wish ^[1] 34:15 with ^[52] 4:2 5:10 6:3,14 7:8 9:8 10:21 12:18 13:1 14:2, 8,19,25 15:7 17:19 22:15 24:1 26:18 29:23 31:19 34:17 35:5,6 43:19 45:21 46:20 51:10,22 54:7,21 57:9 58:21 59:6,12,15 60:22 63:4 66:19,25 69:12 75:2,3,24 76:4 78:1 81:20 86:17 87:3, 7 91:6 92:21 93:7 withdraw ^[1] 62:1 within ^[2] 6:23 64:16 without ^[14] 4:7 12:9 26:16 42:18 44:8 45:4 70:16 82:9, 18 84:1 88:13 89:19 90:6 93:7 witness ^[1] 22:15 woke ^[1] 91:13 women ^[1] 64:6 won ^[1] 20:12 wonder ^[1] 77:8 wondering ^[1] 12:10 won't ^[5] 7:6 10:24 14:15 60:13 92:1 word ^[9] 16:15 17:19 28:11 31:3 57:6,7 72:15 73:22 74:13 words ^[14] 12:10 25:3,3 34:7 36:7 38:9 68:21 72:21 75:19,21 76:13 78:14 81:12 85:4 work ^[10] 11:6 14:6 46:7 51:9 57:21 70:7,10 71:18 73:3 74:1 worked ^[1] 43:22 works ^[5] 11:9 65:19 68:1 73:15 76:11 world ^[4] 17:24 39:13 76:14 91:25 worry ^[4] 36:11 38:13 42:23 56:19 worth ^[1] 29:16 would ^[88] 5:4 6:12 8:1,23 9:2,13 10:3 11:6 15:8 16:2
---	--	---	---

17:22 18:16,18 19:25 23: 14,22 26:6 27:5 30:20 32:3, 3,3,7,9,12,19 33:2,21 34:16 35:8,25 36:19 42:2,24 43:3 44:22 45:10,18,23 47:5 49: 1,8,19,21 50:1 51:10 52:16 53:5,10,24 55:1,4 59:2,3 60:16 62:8,22 65:17 66:16, 20 69:24,25 70:6 73:10 74: 3,14 75:11 76:6,21 77:23 78:16 79:8,23 80:1,6,10 81: 11 83:25,25 85:19 86:7,8, 19,23 88:4,10 90:5 92:10 wouldn't ^[8] 5:2 10:1 17:24 32:4 34:22 61:15 65:16 76: 12 wow ^[1] 31:24 wrestling ^[1] 64:6 write ^[1] 66:16 written ^[4] 27:14 54:5 56:19 61:24 wrong ^[2] 36:17,25 wrongful ^[1] 21:11 wrote ^[7] 14:13 21:3 23:14, 15 24:24 25:2 83:20 X x ^[4] 31:21,22,23 52:21 Y y ^[1] 52:21 yeah ^[16] 14:16 22:4 28:17 47:6 58:13 59:1 61:11,14 67:3 70:3 79:22 80:17 87: 11,14,15 89:17 years ^[14] 4:4 43:22 45:5 48: 7 55:23 58:14 66:13,14 67: 7,22 68:6 84:5 90:23 91:2 yep ^[1] 64:1 yes ^[9] 8:18 18:13,13 20:5 21:20 26:13 29:5 81:14 91: 5 yet ^[2] 69:14 82:11 york ^[1] 63:23 you'd ^[2] 87:3 90:4 you'll ^[4] 13:8 38:25 46:4 93: 4 your ^[58] 7:21,22 8:11 11:6 13:12 14:17 22:12 25:20 26:15,16 29:3,5 30:16 31: 25 33:8,21 34:6,12,13 35: 24 36:10 37:13 39:25,25,25 40:1,3 48:3 49:2,7,9 51:3,6 53:24 56:3,12,16 58:16,25 59:18 65:16,19,21 67:2 68: 7 69:7 70:13,18 72:6 73:17 76:20 81:20 83:7 84:18 85: 7,7 93:6,8	you're ^[32] 11:17 15:11 17: 25 20:20 24:15,15 26:25 27:22 33:23 37:12,16 42:9 49:17 50:20 53:15 56:23 67:13,25 68:19 71:21,23 78:6 82:12,22,23 83:1,22 86:24,25 88:19 89:6 93:9 yours ^[1] 66:24 you've ^[6] 10:13,19 35:19 36:9 39:18 48:13 Z z ^[1] 52:21
--	--