## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TH	E UNITED STATES
	-
THOMAS E. DOBBS, STATE HEALTH	)
OFFICER OF THE MISSISSIPPI	)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, ET AL.,	)
Petitioners,	)
v.	) No. 19-1392
JACKSON WOMEN'S HEALTH	)
ORGANIZATION, ET AL.,	)
Respondents.	)

Pages: 1 through 114

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: December 1, 2021

## HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	
3	THOMAS E. DOBBS, STATE HEALTH )
4	OFFICER OF THE MISSISSIPPI )
5	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, ET AL., )
6	Petitioners, )
7	v. ) No. 19-1392
8	JACKSON WOMEN'S HEALTH )
9	ORGANIZATION, ET AL.,
10	Respondents. )
11	
12	
13	Washington, D.C.
14	Wednesday, December 1, 2021
15	
16	The above-entitled matter came on for
17	oral argument before the Supreme Court of the
18	United States at 10:00 a.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	SCOTT G. STEWART, Solicitor General, Jackson,
3	Mississippi; on behalf of the Petitioners.
4	JULIE RIKELMAN, ESQUIRE, New York, New York; on behalf
5	of the Respondents.
6	GEN. ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR, Solicitor General,
7	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for the
8	United States, as amicus curiae, supporting the
9	Respondents.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE
3	SCOTT G. STEWART, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioners	4
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	JULIE RIKELMAN, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	47
8	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
9	GEN. ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR, ESQ.	
10	For the United States, as amicus	
11	curiae, supporting the Respondents	84
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
13	SCOTT G. STEWART, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioners	111
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear
4	argument this morning in Case 19-1392, Dobbs
5	versus Jackson Women's Health Organization.
6	General Stewart.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF SCOTT G. STEWART
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
9	MR. STEWART: Mr. Chief Justice, and
10	may it please the Court:
11	Roe versus Wade and Planned Parenthood
12	versus Casey haunt our country. They have no
13	basis in the Constitution. They have no home in
14	our history or traditions. They've damaged the
15	democratic process. They've poisoned the law.
16	They've choked off compromise.
17	For 50 years, they've kept this Court
18	at the center of a political battle that it can
19	never resolve. And 50 years on, they stand
20	alone. Nowhere else does this Court recognize a
21	right to end a human life.
22	Consider this case: The Mississippi
23	law here prohibits abortions after 15 weeks.
24	The law includes robust exceptions for a woman's
25	life and health. It leaves months to obtain an

- 1 abortion. Yet, the courts below struck the law
- 2 down. It didn't matter that the law apply --
- 3 that the law applies when an unborn child is
- 4 undeniably human, when risks to women surge, and
- 5 when the common abortion procedure is brutal.
- 6 The lower courts held that because the law
- 7 prohibits abortions before viability, it is
- 8 unconstitutional no matter what.
- 9 Roe and Casey's core holding,
- 10 according to those courts, is that the people
- can protect an unborn girl's life when she just
- barely can survive outside the womb but not any
- 13 earlier when she needs a little more help. That
- is the world under Roe and Casey.
- 15 That is not the world the Constitution
- 16 promises. The Constitution places its trust in
- 17 the people. On hard issue after hard issue, the
- 18 people make this country work. Abortion is a
- 19 hard issue. It demands the best from all of us,
- 20 not a judgment by just a few of us. When an
- 21 issue affects everyone and when the Constitution
- does not take sides on it, it belongs to the
- 23 people.
- 24 Roe and Casey have failed, but the
- 25 people, if given the chance, will succeed. This

б

- 1 Court should overrule Roe and Casey and uphold
- 2 the state's law.
- I welcome the Court's questions.
- 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: General Stewart, you
- 5 focus on the right to abortion, but our
- 6 jurisprudence seems to -- seem to focus on, in
- 7 Casey, autonomy; in Roe, privacy. Does it make
- 8 a difference that we focus on privacy or
- 9 autonomy or more specifically on abortion?
- 10 MR. STEWART: I think whichever one of
- 11 those you're focusing on, Your Honor,
- 12 particularly if you're focusing on -- on the
- 13 right to abortion, each of those starts to
- 14 become a step removed for what's provided in the
- 15 Constitution. Yes, the Constitution does
- 16 provide certain -- protect certain aspects of
- 17 privacy, of autonomy, and the like. But, as
- 18 this Court said in Glucksberg, going directly
- 19 from general concepts of autonomy, of privacy,
- of bodily integrity, to -- to a right is not how
- 21 we traditionally, this Court traditionally, does
- 22 due process analysis.
- 23 So I think it just confirms, whichever
- one of those you look at, Your Honor, a right to
- 25 abortion is -- is not grounded in the text, and

```
1 it's grounded on abstract concepts that this
```

- 2 Court has rejected in -- in other contexts as
- 3 supplying a substantive right.
- 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: You say that this is
- 5 the only constitutional right that involves the
- 6 taking of a life. What difference does that
- 7 make in your analysis?
- 8 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. I --
- 9 I -- I think it -- it makes a -- a number of
- 10 differences. One, I -- I'd mention two in
- 11 particular.
- 12 One is it -- it really does mark out
- the unbelievably profound ramifications of this
- 14 area, which, in many other areas, assisted
- 15 suicide, a whole host of important areas that
- 16 are important to dignity, autonomy, freedom, and
- important to matters of conscience, it -- it
- 18 marks it out as one of the unique areas where
- 19 this Court has taken that important issue to the
- 20 people, and it's -- it's something that
- 21 implicates life, and it just, I think, marks
- 22 off, Justice Thomas, how problematic and unusual
- and how much of a break the Court's abortion
- 24 jurisprudence is from those other cases.
- 25 JUSTICE THOMAS: If we don't overrule

```
1 Casey or Roe, do you have a standard that you
```

- 2 propose other than the viability standard?
- 3 MR. STEWART: It would be, Your Honor,
- 4 a clarified version of the undue burden
- 5 standard. I -- I -- I would -- I would
- 6 emphasize, I -- I think, as Your Honor is
- 7 alluding to, that no standard other than the
- 8 rational basis review that applies to all laws
- 9 will promote an administrable, workable,
- 10 practicable, consistent jurisprudence that put
- 11 -- puts matters back with the people. I think
- 12 anything heightened here is going to be
- 13 problematic.
- But I would say, if the Court were not
- inclined to -- to overrule Casey, the -- the
- 16 choice would be undue burden standard,
- 17 untethered from any bright-line viability rule.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I'd -- I'd like
- 20 to go to a different topic, back to Casey.
- MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: I assume you've read
- 23 Casey pretty thoroughly.
- MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: And there are two

- 1 parts. One is they reaffirm Roe. Put that to
- 2 the side. The second is an opinion for the
- 3 Court, not for three people but for the Court,
- 4 and that second part is about what stare decisis
- 5 principles should be used to overrule a case
- 6 like Roe.
- 7 And they say Roe is special. What's
- 8 special about it? They say it's rare. They
- 9 call it a watershed. Why? Because the country
- 10 is divided. Because feelings run high. And yet
- 11 the country, for better or for worse, decided to
- 12 resolve their differences by this Court laying
- down a constitutional principle, in this case,
- 14 women's choice. All right. That's what makes
- 15 it rare.
- That's not what I'm asking about. I
- want your reaction to what they said follows
- 18 from that. What the Court said follows from
- 19 that is that it should be more unwilling to
- 20 overrule a prior case, far more unwilling we
- should be, whether that case is right or wrong,
- than the ordinary case.
- 23 And why? Well, they have a lot of
- words there, but I'll give you about 10 or 20.
- 25 There will be "inevitable efforts to overturn

- 1 it." Of course, there will. Feelings run high.
- 2 And it is particularly important to show what we
- 3 do in overturning a case is grounded in
- 4 principle and not social pressure, not political
- 5 pressure.
- 6 "Only the most convincing
- 7 justification can show that a later decision
- 8 overruling," if that's what we did, "was
- 9 anything but a surrender to political pressures
- or new members." And that is an unjustified
- 11 repudiation of principles on which the Court
- 12 stakes its authority.
- 13 And then there are two sentences I'd
- 14 like to read because they say they really mean
- 15 this, the -- the Court, not just three: "To
- 16 overrule under fire in the absence of the most
- 17 compelling reason to reexamine a watershed
- decision would subvert the Court's legitimacy
- 19 beyond any serious question."
- 20 And the last sentence, after they
- 21 quote Potter Stewart on the same point, they
- 22 say: "Overruling unnecessarily and under
- 23 pressure" would lead to "condemnation," "the
- 24 Court's loss of confidence in the judiciary,"
- 25 the ability of the Court "to exercise the

- 1 judicial power and to function as the Supreme
- 2 Court of a nation dedicated to the rule of law."
- Now that's the opinion of the Court,
- 4 all right? And it's about stare decisis and how
- 5 we approach it, and I hope everybody reads this.
- 6 It's at 505 U.S. 854 to 869.
- 7 All right. What do you say to that?
- 8 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your -- sure
- 9 Justice Breyer. I -- I would say a couple
- 10 things. I would say we have very closely gone
- 11 through the factors that the Casey court itself
- 12 went through in stare decisis. More than half
- of our brief is devoted to stare decisis. We
- 14 now have 30 years in the wake of Casey to see
- what Casey has done and what it hasn't done.
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, it's caused
- 17 some bad things and -- in the eyes of some
- 18 people and some good things in the eyes of some
- 19 people. Okay?
- 20 MR. STEWART: Your Honor --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. All
- 22 right. Go ahead. You --
- MR. STEWART: I'm -- I'm sorry, Your
- 24 Honor. What I'd emphasize, Your Honor, is that
- 25 to the extent that -- that the -- I would not

- 1 say it was the people that -- that called this
- 2 Court to end the controversy. The people -- you
- 3 know, many, many people vocally really just
- 4 wanted to have the matter returned to them so
- 5 that they could decide it -- decide it locally,
- 6 deal with it the way they thought best and at
- 7 least have a fighting chance to have their view
- 8 prevail, which was not given to them under Roe
- 9 and then, as a result, under Casey.
- 10 And -- and I'd also emphasize, Your
- 11 Honor, that on -- on stare decisis, just -- as I
- 12 said, the last 30 years, workability,
- developments in the law, factual developments
- 14 that states can't account for. I think the
- workability, the undue burden standard alone,
- 16 many problems.
- 17 On all the metrics that Casey was
- describing or the vast bulk of them, Casey
- 19 fails. And I'd also emphasize this as well,
- 20 Justice Breyer, that Casey was not -- was -- was
- 21 not a -- a great example of simply letting
- 22 precedents stand. It -- it recast Roe's
- 23 reasoning. It overruled two of the Court's most
- 24 important abortion decisions. It jettisoned the
- 25 trimester framework of Roe itself and adopted a

```
1 new standard unknown to other parts of the law.
```

- 2 Those are not the hallmarks of
- 3 precedent, and they failed under this Court's
- 4 stare decisis factors.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. Can I take it
- 6 that your answer is, yes, you accept the way the
- 7 special rule, the rule for the rare watershed,
- 8 the stare decisis principles for deciding
- 9 whether to overturn such a case as Roe, you
- 10 accept that and you think it's met?
- 11 MR. STEWART: I would --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Is that right?
- MR. STEWART: -- I would say yes in
- 14 part, Your -- Justice Breyer, and here's what
- 15 I'd emphasize, is that I -- I do think,
- 16 particularly when Casey looked outward and
- 17 looked to what it see -- saw as pressure, there
- 18 were pressure on all sides. As -- as Your Honor
- 19 noted, this is a hot, difficult issue for
- 20 everyone. It's -- that's why it belongs to the
- 21 people.
- 22 And I think the conclusion the Court
- 23 drew from that, that it couldn't provide a -- a
- 24 good enough example, that it would look on
- 25 principle, those conclusions were, with respect,

```
1 Justice Breyer, mistaken, and the -- the last 30
```

- 2 years has -- has not seen any calming of that.
- 3 It's been very different than some of the
- 4 others -- the Court's other controversial
- 5 decisions that -- that have seen --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel --
- 7 MR. STEWART: -- much more calm --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- what hasn't
- 9 been at issue in the last 30 years is the line
- 10 that Casey drew of viability. There has been
- some difference of opinion with respect to undue
- burden, but the right of a woman to choose, the
- right to control her own body, has been clearly
- 14 set for -- since Casey and never challenged.
- 15 You want us to reject that line of
- viability and adopt something different.
- 17 Fifteen justices over 50 years have -- or I
- 18 should say 30 since Casey have reaffirmed that
- 19 basic viability line. Four have said no, two of
- 20 them members of this Court. But 15 justices
- 21 have said yes, of varying political backgrounds.
- Now the sponsors of this bill, the
- House bill, in Mississippi, said we're doing it
- 24 because we have new justices. The newest ban
- 25 that Mississippi has put in place, the six-week

- 1 ban, the Senate sponsors said we're doing it
- 2 because we have new justices on the Supreme
- 3 Court.
- 4 Will this institution survive the
- 5 stench that this creates in the public
- 6 perception that the Constitution and its reading
- 7 are just political acts?
- 8 MR. STEWART: I --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I -- I don't
- 10 see how it is possible. It's what Casey talked
- 11 about when it talked about watershed decisions.
- 12 Some of them, Brown versus Board of Education it
- mentioned, and this one have such an entrenched
- set of expectations in our society that this is
- what the Court decided, this is what we will
- 16 follow, that the -- that we won't be able to
- 17 survive if people believe that everything,
- 18 including New York versus Sullivan -- I could
- 19 name any other set of rights, including the
- 20 Second Amendment, by the way. There are many
- 21 political people who believe the Court erred in
- 22 seeing this as a personal right as -- as opposed
- 23 to a militia right. If people actually believe
- 24 that it's all political, how will we survive?
- 25 How will the Court survive?

```
1 MR. STEWART: Justice Sotomayor, I --
```

- 2 I think the concern about appearing political
- 3 makes it absolutely imperative that the Court
- 4 reach a decision well-grounded in the
- 5 Constitution, in text, structure, history, and
- 6 tradition, and that carefully goes through the
- 7 stare decisis factors that we've laid out.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Casey did that.
- 9 MR. STEWART: No, it didn't, Your
- 10 Honor, respectfully.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Casey went through
- 12 every one of them. You think it did it wrong.
- 13 That's your belief. But Casey did that.
- MR. STEWART: Well, Your --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And you haven't
- 16 added --
- MR. STEWART: Sorry, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- much to the
- 19 discussion in your papers as to the errors that
- Casey made, other than "I disagree with Casey."
- MR. STEWART: Well, Justice Sotomayor,
- 22 maybe I can -- I can highlight two.
- 23 Casey gave one paragraph to the
- 24 workability of Roe. It then adopted the undue
- burden standard, which is perhaps the most

```
1 unworkable standard in American law. It gave
```

- 2 about three paragraphs, if memory serves, to
- 3 reliance, which doesn't account for the last 30
- 4 years and the changes that have occurred since
- 5 Casey. It did -- it -- it gave a brief factual
- 6 view to things that have changed since Roe.
- 7 Those, of course, are not going to take account
- 8 of the last 30 years of advancements in
- 9 medicine, science, all of those things.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What are the --
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: What is --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- advancements in
- 13 medicine?
- MR. STEWART: I think it's an
- 15 advancement in -- in knowledge and concern about
- 16 such things as fetal pain, what we know the
- 17 child is doing and looks like and is fully
- 18 human from a very early --
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You know --
- MR. STEWART: I'm sorry.
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- in -- in
- 22 regular cases, courts decide whether science
- 23 fits the Daubert standard. Obviously, the --
- 24 under the Daubert standard, the minority of
- 25 people, a -- a gross minority of doctors who

```
1 believe fetal pain exists before 24, 25 weeks --
```

- 2 it's a huge minority and one not well founded in
- 3 science at all. So I don't see how that really
- 4 adds anything to the discussion.
- 5 MR. STEWART: Well --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That a small
- 7 fringe of doctors believe that pain could be
- 8 experienced between -- before a cortex is formed
- 9 --
- 10 MR. STEWART: Well, I --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- doesn't mean
- 12 that there's been that much of a difference
- 13 since Casey.
- MR. STEWART: We -- we pointed out as
- an example, Your Honor, of where Roe and Casey
- 16 improperly preclude states from taking account
- 17 for these things. And they should be able to be
- 18 concerned about the -- about a fact of a -- a --
- 19 an unborn life being poked and then recoiling in
- the way one of us would recoil.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Sir, I -- I don't
- 22 --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: General, does
- 24 -- was -- I know what it said about viability in
- 25 Roe. But was viability an issue in the case? I

```
1 know it wasn't briefed or argued.
```

- 2 MR. STEWART: It -- it was -- it was
- 3 not issue -- an issue certainly the way it is an
- 4 issue here, Your Honor. I think it was -- to
- 5 the extent that the Court had to over -- had to
- 6 reaffirm Roe, the way to read that as something
- 7 other than dicta would be to under --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm -- I'm
- 9 sorry, I don't know whether that's at -- I said,
- 10 was it an issue in Roe?
- 11 MR. STEWART: Oh, in Roe?
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yeah.
- MR. STEWART: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- 14 My understanding is no. The law there was --
- didn't have a viability tag. That was inserted
- 16 by --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: In fact, if I
- 18 remember correctly, and I -- it's an unfortunate
- 19 source, but it's there -- in his papers, Justice
- 20 Blackmun said that the viability line was --
- 21 actually was dicta. And, presumably, he had
- 22 some insight on the question.
- MR. STEWART: I -- I think -- and I'd
- 24 -- I'd add, Your Honor, Justice Blackmun in --
- in, I think, as well his papers pointed out the

```
1 arbitrary nature of it and -- and the
```

- 2 line-drawing problems --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And then --
- 4 MR. STEWART: -- in there too.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- and then,
- 6 in Casey, Casey said that that was the core
- 7 principle or central principle in Roe,
- 8 viability. It said that after tossing out the
- 9 trimester formula, which many people thought was
- 10 the core -- core principle. But was viability
- 11 at issue in Casey?
- 12 MR. STEWART: I don't think it was
- 13 squarely at issue, Your Honor. Again, it's --
- it's a little hard not to take the Court at its
- word when it emphasized that viability -- the --
- 16 the viability is -- is the central part of Roe
- 17 -- Roe's holding and saying that it is
- 18 reaffirming that, so we kind of take that as it
- 19 -- as it stands. But the Court has not -- it
- 20 did not face a law like this certainly,
- 21 Mr. Chief Justice.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: May I finish my
- 23 inquiry?
- 24 MR. STEWART: I -- of course, Justice
- 25 Sotomayor.

1	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Virtually every
2	state defines a brain death as death. Yet, the
3	literature is filled with episodes of people who
4	are completely and utterly brain dead responding
5	to stimuli. There's about 40 percent of dead
6	people who, if you touch their feet, the foot
7	will recoil. There are spontaneous acts by dead
8	brain people. So I don't think that a response
9	to by a fetus necessarily proves that there's
10	a sensation of pain or that there's
11	consciousness.
12	So I go back to my question of, what
13	has changed in science to show that the
14	viability line is not a real line, that a fetus
15	cannot survive? And I think that's what both
16	courts below said, that you had no expert say
17	that there is any viability before 23 to 24
18	months.
19	MR. STEWART: And what I'd say say
20	is this, Justice Sotomayor, is that the
21	fundamental problem with viability, it's not
22	really something that rests on on science so
23	much. It's that viability is not tethered to
24	anything in the Constitution, in history, or
25	tradition. It's a quintessentially legislative

- 1 line.
- 2 A legislature could think that
- 3 viability makes sense as -- as a place to draw
- 4 the line, but it's quite reasonable for a
- 5 legislature to draw the line elsewhere.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, there's
- 7 so much that's not in the Constitution,
- 8 including the fact that we have the last word.
- 9 Marbury versus Madison. There is not anything
- in the Constitution that says that the Court,
- 11 the Supreme Court, is the last word on what the
- 12 Constitution means. It was totally novel at
- 13 that time. And yet, what the Court did was
- 14 reason from the structure of the Constitution
- 15 that that's what was intended.
- And, here, in Casey and in Roe, the
- 17 Court said there is inherent in our structure
- 18 that there are certain personal decisions that
- 19 belong to individuals and the states can't
- 20 intrude on them. We've recognized them in terms
- 21 of the religion parents will teach their
- 22 children. We've recognized it in -- in their
- ability to educate at home if they choose. They
- 24 just have to educate them. We have recognized
- 25 that sense of privacy in people's choices about

- 1 whether to use contraception or not. We've
- 2 recognized it in their right to choose who
- 3 they're going to marry.
- 4 I fear none of those things are
- 5 written in the Constitution. They have all,
- 6 like Marbury versus Madison, been discerned from
- 7 the structure of the Constitution.
- 8 Why do we now say that somehow Roe
- 9 versus Casey is -- Roe and Casey are so unusual
- 10 that they must be overturned?
- 11 MR. STEWART: Well, Your -- Justice
- 12 Sotomayor, I would -- I would emphasize two
- 13 things. When you're going beyond the
- 14 Constitution, this Court has looked closely
- 15 to --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, what I'm
- saying is they didn't go beyond the
- 18 Constitution.
- 19 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, they did not
- 20 deduce those from the structure of the
- 21 Constitution. They -- they pointed to the
- 22 Fourteenth Amendment and -- and reasoned that
- 23 privacy in Roe, autonomy and similar values in
- 24 Casey led to a right to abortion.
- 25 That's not how this Court

```
1 traditionally does things, including in the vast
```

- 2 run of cases that Your Honor ran through. The
- 3 Court looks to history and tradition. And,
- 4 here, those decisively reject the proposition
- 5 that states cannot legislate comprehensively on
- 6 abortion before, after viability, and all
- 7 throughout. So it's -- it's history and
- 8 tradition, Your Honor.
- 9 And I would also add, Your -- Your
- 10 Honor, that those -- those decisions, a great
- 11 many of them, draw -- you know, not just draw
- 12 from text -- text, history, and tradition, but
- they draw often clear lines, very workable, have
- 14 not led to the many negative stare decisis
- 15 factors that we identify here.
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: General --
- 17 JUSTICE BARRETT: General, would -- go
- 18 ahead. Go ahead.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Go ahead, Justice
- 20 Barrett.
- 21 JUSTICE BARRETT: Would a decision in
- 22 your favor call any of the questions -- any of
- 23 the cases, sorry, that Justice Sotomayor is
- 24 identifying into question?
- MR. STEWART: No, Your Honor, I -- I

- 1 think for a couple reasons.
- 2 First of all, I think the vast run of
- 3 those cases -- and some mentioned from time to
- 4 time are things -- you know, Griswold, Lawrence,
- 5 Obergefell -- these are -- these are cases that
- 6 draw clear rules: can't ban contraception,
- 7 can't ban intimate romantic relationships
- 8 between consenting adults, can't ban marriage of
- 9 people of the same sex, clear rules that have
- 10 engendered strong reliance interests and that
- 11 have not produced negative consequences or all
- 12 the many other negative stare decisis
- 13 considerations we pointed out, Your Honor.
- 14 Also, I -- I'd add none of them
- involve the purposeful termination of a human
- 16 life. So those two -- those two features, stare
- 17 decisis and termination of a human life, Your
- 18 Honor, puts all of those safely out of reach if
- 19 the Court overrules here.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So we -- I'm
- 21 sorry to interrupt again, but we really might be
- 22 making progress. I mean, in the part that --
- 23 that I read, you know, of Casey --
- MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: -- I think they think

- 1 go back 150 years, maybe now we can go back 200.
- 2 They think there have only been two cases which
- 3 were what they call the watershed and where the
- 4 special tough overruling rules apply.
- 5 You want this to be the third, or do
- 6 you think there were more? And, if so, what
- 7 were they?
- 8 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I --
- 9 I -- I think there's quite a bit of difference.
- 10 I -- I think the question is never is it bad to
- overrule, period. You know, surely, stare --
- JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, no, I'm
- asking you to think -- think in their terms.
- 14 There were two they mentioned, you see.
- 15 MR. STEWART: But I --
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: And they don't want
- 17 Casey -- they don't want Roe to be the third.
- Now, in your opinion, you just
- 19 answered Justice Barrett, or -- hey, all these
- are not rising to that level. Okay?
- MR. STEWART: Right, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Are there any that do
- 23 rise to the level in your opinion?
- MR. STEWART: I think -- and I -- and
- 25 I'm not sure that I necessarily agree with the

```
1 watershed characterization, Your Honor. What
```

- I'd say, though, I -- I can't think of another
- 3 that kind of hits the radar. But -- but I'd
- 4 emphasize that the -- a problem here is we're --
- 5 we're dealing with a right that doesn't have a
- 6 basis in constitutional text and, again, very
- 7 much in conflict with those -- with those
- 8 values, Justice Breyer. Thank you.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not sure how
- 10 your answer makes any sense. All of those other
- 11 cases -- Griswold, Lawrence, Obergefell -- they
- 12 all rely on substantive due process. You're
- saying there's no substantive due process in the
- 14 Constitution, so they're just as wrong according
- 15 to your theater.
- MR. STEWART: No, Your Honor, we're
- 17 quite comfortable with Washington versus
- 18 Glucksberg and how it analyzes substantive due
- 19 process and it looks to text, history -- it
- 20 looks to history and tradition to discipline the
- 21 inquiry --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, I mean --
- MR. STEWART: -- and make sure --
- 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- in Obergefell,
- 25 there was no history of -- of -- of same-sex

```
1 marriage.
```

- 2 MR. STEWART: And I think the Court --
- 3 the -- the Court pointed out, look, when we --
- 4 when we were facing Loving versus Virginia --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I'm not
- 6 trying to argue that we should overturn those
- 7 cases. I just think you're dissimilating when
- 8 you say that any ruling here wouldn't have an
- 9 effect on those.
- 10 MR. STEWART: Respectfully, I -- I --
- 11 that's -- that's -- I respectfully --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you think no --
- that no state is going to think otherwise, that
- 14 no people in the population aren't going to
- channel -- challenge those cases in court?
- MR. STEWART: I mean, Your -- Your
- 17 Honor, we'll always have a diversity of views,
- 18 but I think -- I think --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's the point.
- 20 MR. STEWART: -- I think -- I think
- 21 that's one --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That -- isn't that
- 23 the -- isn't --
- 24 MR. STEWART: -- of the benefits of
- 25 our society.

```
1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- isn't that the
```

- 2 point?
- 3 MR. STEWART: That there -- that
- 4 there's a diversity of views and people
- 5 can vigorously debate and make --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Exactly.
- 7 MR. STEWART: -- decisions for
- 8 themselves?
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And that's what
- 10 we're still doing --
- 11 MR. STEWART: I think that's a good
- 12 thing, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- and that's what
- we're doing under undue burden, but we haven't
- been doing it on the viability line.
- 16 MR. STEWART: And -- and neither one
- 17 has worked well. The -- the viability line
- 18 discounts and disregards state interests, and
- 19 the undue burden standard has all -- all of the
- 20 problems that we've emphasized.
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How is your
- 22 interest anything but a religious view? The
- issue of when life begins has been hotly debated
- 24 by philosophers since the beginning of time.
- 25 It's still debated in religions.

```
1 So, when you say this is the only
```

- 2 right that takes away from the state the ability
- 3 to protect a life, that's a religious view,
- 4 isn't it --
- 5 MR. STEWART: Respectfully --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- because it
- 7 assumes that a fetus is life at -- when? You're
- 8 not drawing -- you're -- when do you suggest we
- 9 begin that life?
- 10 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I -- aside
- 11 from --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Putting it aside
- 13 from religion.
- MR. STEWART: I -- I'll -- I'll try to
- 15 -- I think there might be more than one question
- and I'll do my very best, Justice Sotomayor.
- 17 I -- I think this Court in Gonzales
- 18 pretty clearly recognized that before viability,
- we are talking, with unborn life, with a human
- 20 organism. And I think the philosophical
- 21 questions Your Honor mentioned, all those
- reasons, that they're hard, they've been
- 23 debated, they're -- they're -- they're
- important, they're -- those are all reasons to
- 25 return this to the people because the people

- 1 should get to debate these hard issues, and this
- 2 Court does not in that kind of a circumstance --
- 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So when does the
- 4 life of a woman and putting her at risk enter
- 5 the calculus? Meaning, right now, forcing women
- 6 who are poor -- and that's 75 percent of the
- 7 population and much higher percentage of those
- 8 women in Mississippi who elect abortions before
- 9 viability -- they are put at a tremendously
- 10 greater risk of medical complications and ending
- 11 their life, 14 times greater to give birth to a
- 12 child full term than it is to have an abortion
- 13 before viability.
- And now the state is saying to these
- women, we can choose not only to physically
- 16 complicate your existence, put you at medical
- 17 risk, make you poorer by the choice because we
- 18 believe what? That --
- 19 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. I --
- 20 I think, to -- to answer, I think, the -- the
- 21 question I think you -- you led with and -- and
- then I think expanded on but is still on the
- 23 same issue is as to when does a woman's interest
- enter, as far as we're concerned, it's there the
- 25 entire time. Our point is that all of the

```
1 interests are there the entire time, and Roe and
```

- 2 Casey improperly prevent states from taking
- 3 account and weighing those interests however
- 4 they think best.
- We're not saying --
- 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: General --
- JUSTICE ALITO: General, are there --
- 8 are there secular philosophers and bioethicists
- 9 who take the position that the rights of
- 10 personhood begin at conception or at some point
- 11 other than viability?
- 12 MR. STEWART: I -- I believe so. I
- mean, I think that there's a wide array, I mean,
- of -- of -- of people of kind of all different
- views and -- and of no faith views who -- who
- 16 would reasonably have that view, Your Honor.
- It's -- it's -- it's not tied to a
- 18 religious view, and I don't think -- were it
- 19 otherwise, this Court's jurisprudence would --
- on this issue would run right into some of its
- 21 religious exercise jurisprudence.
- 22 JUSTICE KAGAN: General, Justice
- 23 Breyer started with stare decisis, an important
- 24 principle in any case, and, here, for the
- reasons that Casey mentioned, especially so, to

```
1 prevent people from thinking that this Court is
```

- 2 a political institution that will go back and
- 3 forth depending on what part of the public yells
- 4 loudest and -- and -- and preventing people from
- 5 thinking that the Court will go back and forth
- 6 depending on changes to the Court's membership.
- 7 And what strikes me about this case --
- 8 and -- and -- and you come here very honestly
- 9 saying, you know, we want you to discard the
- 10 entire setup and then, even if you don't do
- 11 that, we want you to discard the viability line,
- which you've acknowledged again today Casey says
- is the -- the heart, the central principle of
- 14 Roe.
- 15 And so, usually, there has to be a
- 16 justification, a strong justification in a case
- 17 like this beyond the fact that you think the
- 18 case is wrong. And I guess what strikes me when
- 19 I look at this case is that, you know, not much
- 20 has changed since Roe and Casey, that people
- 21 think it's right or wrong based on the things
- 22 that they have always thought it was right and
- wrong for.
- So the -- the -- the -- the
- 25 rationale behind those cases had something to do

- 1 with the autonomy and the freedom and the
- 2 dignity of women to pursue their lives as they
- 3 wish, to protect their bodily integrity, to make
- 4 the decisions that are most fundamental to the
- 5 course of their lives.
- 6 And -- and always, in those cases,
- 7 there was an understanding that there were
- 8 important interests on the other side in
- 9 protecting life or protecting the potential for
- 10 life, whether people saw it one way or the other
- 11 way, and that there was a difficult question
- 12 here and a balance to be made.
- 13 And, I mean, it strikes me that
- 14 people -- some people think those decisions made
- the right balance and some people thought they
- 16 made the wrong balance, but, in the end, we are
- in the same exact place as we were then, except
- that we're not because there's been 50 years of
- 19 water under the bridge, 50 years of decisions
- 20 saying that this is part of our law, that this
- is part of the fabric of women's existence in
- 22 this country, and that that places us in an
- 23 entirely different situation than if you had
- 24 come in 50 years ago and made the same
- 25 arguments.

- 1 So I guess I just wanted to hear you
- 2 react to that.
- 3 MR. STEWART: Of course, Justice
- 4 Kagan. Thank you. I -- I would emphasize a
- 5 couple things, Your Honor. The fact that so
- 6 much time has passed, let's say nothing had
- 7 changed, that's not a point in Roe and Casey's
- 8 favor. They have no basis in the Constitution.
- 9 They -- they adopt a right that purposefully
- 10 leads to the termination of now millions of
- 11 human lives. The -- if nothing had changed,
- they'd be just as bad as they were 30 years ago,
- 13 50 years ago. And now we just have decades of
- damage, and we have a situation where nearly 30
- 15 years after Casey, the Court unfortunately
- 16 divides over what Casey, the lead case on -- on
- 17 -- in the abortion area, even means.
- The lower courts are left not knowing
- 19 what to do, as I think -- and I think kind of a
- 20 fundamental problem here is, I think, as Justice
- 21 Gorsuch mentioned, emphasized in his -- his
- 22 opinion in -- in June Medical, that the problem
- for lower court judges is the Constitution
- doesn't give them an answer to this. There's no
- 25 neutral rule of law, so judges unfortunately

```
1 have to look within themselves, and that's just
```

- 2 never going to solve this issue.
- But, if the matter is returned to the
- 4 people, the people can deal with it, they can
- 5 work, they can compromise and reach different
- 6 solutions. But, if we don't do that, we're just
- 7 going to have all this sort of damage, and at
- 8 some point, it's appropriate for the Court to
- 9 say enough, as it has in some of its -- the
- 10 great overrulings in -- in Brown and in other
- 11 cases, where it said this is just enough.
- 12 Justice Harlan had it right in dissent
- in Plessy when he recognized that -- that --
- 14 that, you know, all are -- all are equal. And,
- 15 here -- similarly, here, the state should be
- able to recognize, hey, there are real values on
- 17 both sides here. We -- we -- we think that this
- one slightly outweighs, we think that this one
- 19 slightly outweighs, or we think that there's
- 20 some balance to be drawn here.
- But, if the Court doesn't do that,
- Justice Kagan, it's just going to be continued
- damage, and the Court will continue to plunge in
- 24 this political issue.
- I apologize, Mr. Chief Justice. I've

- 1 gone over.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, that's
- 3 all right. I have just a few little -- well,
- 4 not little, I hope -- questions, and the first
- 5 gets back to the issue of viability.
- 6 You know, in your petition for cert,
- 7 your first question and the only one on which we
- 8 granted review was whether all pre-viability
- 9 prohibitions on elective abortions are
- 10 unconstitutional. And then I think it's fair to
- 11 say that when you got to the brief on the
- 12 merits, you kind of shifted gears and talked a
- 13 lot more about whether or not Roe and Casey
- 14 should be overruled. And I wanted to give you a
- 15 chance to explain that.
- 16 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. So a
- 17 couple points. You know, at the petition stage,
- 18 we were, of course, identifying -- we'd
- 19 identified for the Court three questions. We
- emphasized, as you do at the cert stage, hey,
- 21 this is important; only this Court can resolve
- 22 it. We emphasized, I believe it was five times,
- 23 that the Court was at the least going need --
- 24 going to need to reconsider, revisit, or
- 25 re-evaluate its precedents. And we asked the

- 1 Court to at least get rid of a viability line or
- 2 any suggestion of a viability line.
- 3 So we added, however -- and we had to
- 4 take account of the reality that this argument
- 5 has not fared well in the lower courts. It --
- 6 it -- it's lost in every court of appeals. So,
- 7 you know, we -- we raised the issue in addition,
- 8 but, once the Court granted only the first
- 9 question, we presented every argument as we, you
- 10 know, signaled we -- we would present the -- the
- 11 -- the full-blown constitutional merits argument
- 12 with that fundamental question.
- So I -- I'd emphasize that, Your
- 14 Honor. It was kind of the shift you go from
- 15 cert state to merits stage. The Court granted
- one question. That question fairly includes
- 17 what is the correct standard.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it
- 19 fairly includes the broader arguments you
- 20 raised. I'm not suggesting that. But, on the
- 21 other hand, it presumably included the viability
- 22 question as well, because that's what you talked
- 23 about in that one sentence.
- MR. STEWART: And -- and -- and we --
- 25 we've addressed that as well, Your Honor. I --

- 1 what I -- what I'd emphasize here is that the
- 2 merits arguments of, you know, the validity of
- 3 Roe and Casey as an original matter, is there a
- 4 viability rule based in the Constitution, those
- 5 are not that complicated or -- or -- or lengthy.
- 6 The harder questions are, you know,
- 7 should the Court overrule and -- and take that
- 8 momentous step? And that's why we devote a lot
- 9 of space to that very important issue. We
- 10 respect stare decisis and have walked through
- all those points. But, again, focusing on the
- 12 question presented and arguing -- presenting our
- best arguments for that, that's -- that's what
- 14 we've done, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: On stare
- decisis, I think the first issue you look at is
- 17 whether or not the decision at issue was wrongly
- 18 decided. I've actually never quite understood
- 19 how you evaluate that. Is it wrongly decided
- 20 based on the legal principles and doctrine when
- it was decided or -- or in retrospect?
- Because Roe -- I mean, there are a lot
- of cases around the time of Roe, not of that
- 24 magnitude but the same type of analysis, that --
- 25 that went through exactly the sorts of things we

```
1 today would say were erroneous, but do we look
```

- 2 at it from today's -- if we look at it from
- 3 today -- today's perspective, it's going to be a
- 4 long list of cases that we're going to say are
- 5 -- were wrongly decided.
- 6 MR. STEWART: Well, I'd say -- I'd
- 7 say, Mr. Chief Justice, that you -- you look --
- 8 you can look both was it wrong at the time, has
- 9 it been unmasked as wrong by -- by new
- 10 understandings, new knowledge, any developments.
- But I -- I don't think -- as I -- I
- 12 think the colloquy -- my colloquy with Justice
- 13 Barrett indicated, the Court won't have -- have
- 14 to be looking at -- at -- at much other -- many
- other areas because this is an area that has a
- 16 uniquely problematic set of stare decisis
- 17 considerations. A lot of other controversial
- 18 areas or once controversial areas are -- are
- 19 quite settled, clear rules, and don't have those
- 20 considerations against them.
- 21 So, really, by -- by overruling Roe
- and Casey, the Court won't have to go down that
- 23 road, and a lot of those decisions are quite
- 24 readily groundable in history, tradition, and
- 25 the Court's traditional factors, Your Honor.

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you.
2	Justice Thomas?
3	JUSTICE THOMAS: No questions.
4	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
5	Breyer?
6	Justice Alito?
7	Justice Sotomayor?
8	Justice Kagan?
9	JUSTICE KAGAN: General, I I just
LO	wanted to get your quick sense of how your
L1	intermediate positions would work, you know, if
L2	basically the viability line was discarded and
L3	undue burden became the standard overall, a
L4	standard that, according to you, is an unclear
L5	one, what that would leave the Court with going
L6	forward.
L7	You know, I'm just sort of thinking
L8	about the great variety of different of
L9	regulations that states could pass, so whether
20	one is 15 weeks and one is 12 weeks and one is 9
21	weeks or variation across a wide variety of
22	other dimensions. What would that look like
23	coming to the Court? How would we how how
24	do you think we should we would be able to
25	deal with that or or how would you counsel us

```
1 to deal with that if the Court were to go down
```

- 2 that road?
- 3 MR. STEWART: Well, I think I -- the
- 4 -- this is -- not to push back against the end
- 5 -- and I will -- will answer your question,
- 6 Justice Kagan, but part of why we've counseled
- 7 to overrule full scale is that that's the only
- 8 way to get rid of a number of the problems that
- 9 I think Your Honor's alluding to.
- 10 And that's that when you have the
- 11 undue burden standard, it's -- it's a very hard
- 12 standard to apply. It's not objective. The
- 13 Court looks to the record in each case and
- 14 what's going on. I mean, the Court in Casey
- itself said, under this record, this is not an
- 16 undue burden. You -- you couldn't say
- 17 necessarily for certain that a certain number of
- 18 weeks one place would be an undue burden but
- 19 would be okay another place.
- But, again, that is the world we have
- 21 under Casey. So, if the Court upholds this law
- 22 under the undue burden standard, it would be
- 23 carrying forward with those features, which I --
- I -- and I hope I've answered your question, but
- 25 I think that's one of the very strong reasons to

```
just go all the way and overrule Roe and Casey,
```

- 2 Your Honor. I -- anyway.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 4 Gorsuch?
- Justice Kavanaugh?
- 6 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I want to be clear
- 7 about what you're arguing and not arguing.
- 8 MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- 9 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And to be clear,
- 10 you're not arguing that the Court somehow has
- 11 the authority to itself prohibit abortion or
- 12 that this Court has the authority to order the
- 13 states to prohibit abortion as I understand it,
- 14 correct?
- MR. STEWART: Correct, Your Honor.
- 16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And as I
- 17 understand it, you're arguing that the
- 18 Constitution's silent and, therefore, neutral on
- 19 the question of abortion? In other words, that
- 20 the Constitution's neither pro-life nor
- 21 pro-choice on the question of abortion but
- leaves the issue for the people of the states or
- 23 perhaps Congress to resolve in the democratic
- 24 process? Is that accurate?
- MR. STEWART: Right. We're -- we're

- 1 saying it's left to the people, Your Honor.
- 2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And so, for the --
- 3 if you were to prevail, the states, a majority
- 4 of states or states still could or -- and
- 5 presumably would continue to freely allow
- 6 abortion, many states; some states would be able
- 7 to do that even if you prevail under your view,
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 MR. STEWART: That's consistent with
- 10 our view, Your Honor. It's -- it's one that
- 11 allows all interests to have full voice and --
- 12 and many of the abortions we see in certain
- 13 states that I don't think anybody would think
- would be moving to change their laws in a more
- 15 restrictive direction.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
- 17 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 19 Barrett?
- 20 JUSTICE BARRETT: General, I have a
- 21 question that is a little bit of a follow-up to
- 22 one that Justice Breyer was asking you. That's
- 23 about stare decisis. And I think a lot of the
- 24 colloguy you've had with all of us has been
- 25 about the benefits of stare decisis, which I

- don't think anyone disputes, and, of course, no
- one can dispute because it's part of our stare
- decisis doctrine that it's not an inexorable
- 4 command and that there are some circumstances in
- 5 which overruling is possible. You know, we have
- 6 Plessy, Brown. We have Bowers versus Hardwick,
- 7 to Lawrence.
- But, in thinking about stare decisis,
- 9 which is obviously the core of this case, how
- 10 should we be thinking about it -- I mean,
- 11 Justice Breyer pointed out that in Casey and in
- 12 some respects, well, it was a different
- 13 conception of stare decisis insofar as it very
- 14 explicitly took into account public reaction.
- 15 Is that a factor that you accept, or are you
- 16 arguing that we should minimize that factor?
- 17 And is there a different set of rules
- 18 -- it is true that Casey identified Brown and
- 19 West Coast Hotel as watershed decisions. But is
- 20 there a distinct set of stare decisis
- 21 considerations applicable to what the Court
- 22 might decide is a watershed distinction?
- MR. STEWART: I don't think there
- 24 should be a distinct set of -- of -- of
- 25 considerations there, Your Honor. I think what

```
1 I'd -- what I'd emphasize, and just to make
```

- 2 sure, I -- on -- on the kind of legitimacy, the
- 3 Court looking outward, I -- I think Casey was
- 4 unusual in that regard. I think it was a
- 5 mistake. And I think it's something that is
- 6 kind of in conflict with this Court's structure
- 7 and approach as an independent branch looking to
- 8 the Constitution rather than looking without.
- 9 And I -- I think that's one reason why
- 10 traditionally the Court is -- is -- in
- 11 some of its greatest overrulings, it's -- it's
- 12 not looking without. It's saying this was
- 13 wrong. It was wrong the day it was decided. We
- 14 know it's wrong today. And it's led to all
- 15 these terrible consequences. We should get --
- 16 we should get rid of it.
- I -- so I -- I think that that was an
- 18 unfortunate break, and I think the Court -- even
- if the Court were to -- were to still look at
- 20 legitimacy, though, Justice Barrett, I think the
- 21 Court could very, very powerfully say, look,
- 22 our -- our legitimacy really derives from our
- willingness to stand strong and stand firm in
- the face of whatever is going on and stand for
- 25 constitutional principle and follow our

- 1 traditional stare decisis factors to overrule
- when it's appropriate.
- Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 5 counsel.
- 6 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 7 Justice.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Rikelman.
- 9 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JULIE RIKELMAN
- 10 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- MS. RIKELMAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- may it please the Court:
- 13 Mississippi's ban on abortion two
- 14 months before viability is flatly
- 15 unconstitutional under decades of precedent.
- 16 Mississippi asks the Court to dismantle this
- 17 precedent and allow states to force women to
- 18 remain pregnant and give birth against their
- 19 will.
- 20 The Court should refuse to do so for
- 21 at least three reasons.
- 22 First, stare decisis presents an
- 23 especially high bar here. In Casey, this Court
- 24 carefully examined and rejected every possible
- 25 reason for overruling Roe, holding that a

- 1 woman's right to end a pregnancy before
- 2 viability was a rule of law and a component of
- 3 liberty it could not renounce. The question
- 4 then is not whether Roe should be overturned but
- 5 whether Casey was egregiously wrong to adhere to
- 6 Roe's central holding.
- 7 Second, Casey and Roe were correct.
- 8 For a state to take control of a woman's body
- 9 and demand that she go through pregnancy and
- 10 childbirth with all the physical risks and
- 11 life-altering consequences that brings is a
- 12 fundamental deprivation of her liberty.
- 13 Preserving a woman's right to make this decision
- 14 until viability preserve -- protects her liberty
- while logically balancing the other interests at
- 16 stake.
- 17 Third, eliminating or reducing the
- 18 right to abortion will propel women backwards.
- 19 Two generations have now relied on this right,
- and one out of every four women makes the
- 21 decision to end a pregnancy.
- 22 Mississippi's ban would particularly
- 23 hurt women with a major health or life change
- during the course of a pregnancy, poor women,
- 25 who are twice as likely to be delayed in

- 1 accessing care, and young people or those on
- 2 contraception, who take longer to recognize a
- 3 pregnancy.
- 4 To avoid profound damage to women's
- 5 liberty, equality, and the rule of law, the
- 6 Court should affirm.
- 7 JUSTICE THOMAS: Counsel, I just have
- 8 one question. I assume you -- from your brief,
- 9 you're relying on an autonomy theory?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Both bodily integrity
- and the ability to make decisions related to
- family, marriage, and childbearing, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Shortly, some years
- 14 after we decided Casey, we had a case out of
- 15 South Carolina, I believe, involved a woman who
- 16 had been convicted of criminal child neglect
- 17 because she ingested cocaine during pregnancy,
- and her case was post-viability, so it doesn't
- 19 fit in the facts of this case.
- 20 If she had ingested cocaine
- 21 pre-viability and had the same negative
- 22 consequences to her child, do you think the
- 23 state had an interest in enforcing that law
- 24 against her?
- MS. RIKELMAN: The state may have,

- 1 Your Honor. The state can certainly regulate to
- 2 serve its interests in fetal life and in women's
- 3 health. Those particular laws tend to undermine
- 4 both of those interests because they deter women
- 5 from seeking prenatal care, which is
- 6 counterproductive to both their health.
- 7 JUSTICE THOMAS: But pre-viability as
- 8 well as post-viability?
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor. The --
- 10 the Court has been clear that after
- viability states can prohibit abortion, except
- 12 to save a woman's --
- JUSTICE THOMAS: No, I mean the -- in
- 14 my example of criminal child neglect. I
- 15 understand you -- your argument is about
- 16 abortion. I am trying to look at the issue of
- 17 bodily autonomy and whether or not she has a
- 18 right also to bodily autonomy in the case of
- ingesting an illegal substance and causing harm
- 20 to a pre-viability fetus.
- MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, of course,
- those issues aren't posed in this case, and,
- again, I would say that the states can certainly
- 24 regulate throughout pregnancy, both before and
- after viability, to preserve fetal life and to

- 1 preserve the woman's health.
- 2 The Court has said, however, there
- 3 is -- there are other constitutional issues at
- 4 stake, for instance, in the Ferguson case, that
- 5 states still can't violate women's Fourth
- 6 Amendment rights. But, again, that's not what
- 7 this case is about.
- 8 This case is about a ban on abortion
- 9 that the state concedes is weeks before
- viability, and the Court has been clear for 50
- 11 years that the one thing that states cannot do
- is to take the decision completely away from the
- woman until viability, that, until that point,
- 14 it is her decision to make given the unique
- 15 physical demands of pregnancy and the
- 16 life-altering consequences of pregnancy and
- 17 having a child.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You -- the
- 20 point you made about the impact on -- on women
- 21 and their place in society, those -- those were
- 22 certainly made in Roe as well. What we have
- 23 before us, though, is a 15-week standard.
- 24 Are -- are you suggesting that the
- 25 difference between 15 weeks and viability are

```
1 going to have the same sort of impacts as you
```

- 2 were talking about -- or as we were talking
- 3 about in Roe?
- 4 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor, I
- 5 believe they would because people who need
- 6 abortion after 15 weeks are often in the most
- 7 challenging circumstances. As I mentioned,
- 8 they're people who have made -- perhaps had a
- 9 major health or life change, a family illness, a
- job loss, a separation, young people or people
- 11 who are on contraception or pregnant for the
- 12 first time and who are delayed in recognizing
- the signs of pregnancy, or poor women, who often
- 14 have much more trouble navigating access to
- 15 care, and if they're denied the ability to make
- 16 this decision because there's a ban after 15
- 17 weeks, they will suffer all of the consequences
- 18 that the Court has talked about in the past.
- 19 And, in fact, the data has been very
- 20 clear over the last 50 years that abortion has
- 21 been critical to women's equal participation in
- 22 society. It's been critical to their health, to
- 23 their lives, their ability to pursue --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry,
- 25 what -- what kind of data is that?

- 1 MS. RIKELMAN: I would refer the Court
- 2 to the brief of the economists in this case,
- 3 Your Honor, and it compiles data showing studies
- 4 based actually on causal inference, showing that
- 5 it's the legalization of abortion and not other
- 6 changes that have had these benefits for women
- 7 in society, and, again, those benefits are clear
- 8 for education, for the ability to pursue a
- 9 profession, for the ability to have --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, putting
- 11 that data aside, if you think that the issue is
- 12 one of choice, that women should have a choice
- 13 to terminate their pregnancy, that supposes that
- there is a point at which they've had the fair
- choice, opportunity to choice, and why would 15
- weeks be an inappropriate line?
- 17 So viability, it seems to me, doesn't
- 18 have anything to do with choice. But, if it
- 19 really is an issue about choice, why is 15 weeks
- 20 not enough time?
- 21 MS. RIKELMAN: For -- for a few
- 22 reasons, Your Honor.
- First, the state has conceded that
- some women will not be able to obtain an
- 25 abortion before 15 weeks and this law will bar

- 1 them from doing so. And a reasonable
- possibility standard would be completely
- 3 unworkable for the courts. It would be both
- 4 less principled and less workable than
- 5 viability, and some of the reasons for that are,
- 6 without viability, there will be no stopping
- 7 point.
- 8 States will rush to ban abortion at
- 9 virtually any point in pregnancy. Mississippi
- 10 itself has a six-week ban that it's defending
- 11 with very similar arguments as it's using to
- 12 defend the 15-week ban. And there are states
- 13 that have bans --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I know,
- 15 but I'd like to focus on the 15-week ban because
- that's not a dramatic departure from viability.
- 17 It is the standard that the vast majority of
- 18 other countries have.
- When you get to the viability
- 20 standard, we share that standard with the
- 21 People's Republic of China and North Korea. And
- 22 I don't think you have to be in favor of looking
- 23 to international law to set our constitutional
- 24 standards to be concerned if those are your --
- 25 share that particular time period.

1	MS. RIKELMAN: I think there's two
2	questions there, Your Honor, if I may.
3	First, that is not correct about
4	international law. In fact, the majority of
5	countries that permit legal access to abortion
6	allow access right up until viability, even if
7	they have nominal lines earlier.
8	So, for example, Canada, Great
9	Britain, and most of Europe allows access to
10	abortion right up until viability, and it also
11	doesn't have the same barriers in place.
12	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you
13	mean, even if they have nominal lines earlier?
14	MS. RIKELMAN: Some countries, Your
15	Honor, have a nominal line of 12 weeks or 18
16	weeks, but they permit legal access to abortion
17	after that point for broad social reasons,
18	health reasons, socioeconomic reasons, so their
19	regimes really aren't comparable, and they also
20	don't have the same type types of barriers
21	that we have here. So, if the Court were to
22	move the line substantial substantially
23	backwards and 15 weeks is 9 weeks before
24	viability, Your Honor, it's quite a bit
25	backwards it may need to reconsider the rules

- 1 around regulations because, if it's cutting the
- time period to obtain an abortion roughly in
- 3 half, then those barriers are going to be much
- 4 more important.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you.
- 6 JUSTICE BARRETT: Ms. Rikelman, I have
- 7 a question about the safe haven laws. So
- 8 Petitioner points out that in all 50 states, you
- 9 can terminate parental rights by relinquishing a
- 10 child after abortion, and I think the shortest
- 11 period might have been 48 hours if I'm
- 12 remembering the data correctly.
- So it seems to me, seen in that light,
- 14 both Roe and Casey emphasize the burdens of
- parenting, and insofar as you and many of your
- 16 amici focus on the ways in which forced
- 17 parenting, forced motherhood, would hinder
- 18 women's access to the workplace and to equal
- 19 opportunities, it's also focused on the
- 20 consequences of parenting and the obligations of
- 21 motherhood that flow from pregnancy.
- 22 Why don't the safe haven laws take
- 23 care of that problem? It seems to me that it
- 24 focuses the burden much more narrowly. There
- is, without question, an infringement on bodily

- 1 autonomy, you know, which we have in other
- 2 contexts, like vaccines. However, it doesn't
- 3 seem to me to follow that pregnancy and then
- 4 parenthood are all part of the same burden.
- 5 And so it seems to me that the choice
- 6 more focused would be between, say, the ability
- 7 to get an abortion at 23 weeks or the state
- 8 requiring the woman to go 15, 16 weeks more and
- 9 then terminate parental rights at the
- 10 conclusion. Why -- why didn't you address the
- 11 safe haven laws and why don't they matter?
- 12 MS. RIKELMAN: I think they don't
- matter for a couple of reasons, Your Honor.
- 14 First, even if some of those laws are
- 15 new since Casey, the idea that a woman could
- 16 place a child up for adoption has, of course,
- been true since Roe, so it's a consideration
- 18 that the Court already had before it when it
- 19 decided those cases and adhered to the viability
- 20 line.
- 21 But, in addition, we don't just focus
- 22 on the burdens of parenting, and neither did Roe
- and Casey. Instead, pregnancy itself is unique.
- 24 It imposes unique physical demands and risks on
- women and, in fact, has impact on all of their

- 1 lives, on their ability to care for other
- 2 children, other family members, on their ability
- 3 to work. And, in particular, in Mississippi,
- 4 those risks are alarmingly high. It's 75 times
- 5 more dangerous to give birth in Mississippi than
- 6 it -- than it is to have a pre-viability
- 7 abortion, and those risks are disproportionately
- 8 threatening the lives of women of color.
- 9 JUSTICE BARRETT: So are you saying --
- 10 I mean, actually, as I read Roe and Casey, they
- 11 don't talk very much about adoption. It's a
- 12 passing reference that that means out of the
- obligations of parenthood. But, as I hear this
- answer then, are you saying that the right as
- 15 you conceive of it is grounded primarily in the
- 16 bearing of the child, in the carrying of
- 17 pregnancy, and not so much looking forward into
- 18 the consequences on professional opportunities
- 19 and work life and economic burdens?
- MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, I
- 21 believe it's both, and -- and that is exactly
- 22 how Casey talked about it. It talked about the
- 23 two strands of cases that supported the right.
- 24 One was the strand of cases supporting bodily
- 25 integrity, and it cited to cases like Cruzan and

- 1 Riggins versus Nevada. And the second was the
- 2 strand of cases supporting decisional autonomy
- 3 and specifically decisions related to
- 4 childbearing, marriage, and procreation,
- 5 decisions like Griswold, Loving.
- And so it's really both strands that
- 7 we're relying on here.
- 8 JUSTICE GORSUCH: May I ask you a
- 9 question about stare decisis, counsel? Your --
- 10 your colleagues on the other side have
- 11 emphasized that Casey rejected Roe's trimester
- 12 framework and replaced it with an undue burden
- 13 standard. They argue that the undue burden
- 14 standard was not well known to the law before
- that, and then they argue that the undue burden
- 16 standard has evolved over time too in ways the
- 17 Court has found difficult to agree upon.
- In Hellerstedt, for example, they --
- 19 they point out in their briefs that the Court
- seemed to suggest that a court should consider
- 21 both the benefits and the burdens associated
- 22 with the proposed restriction. In June Medical
- 23 more recently, the Court splintered on -- on --
- on that same question, whether benefits could be
- 25 considered or only burdens.

1	And so the argument goes that this has
2	proved to be, putting aside all the other
3	obviously difficult questions in the case, that
4	that the standard itself has proved difficult
5	to administer and that that is relevant to the
6	stare decisis analysis, and I just wanted to
7	give you an opportunity to respond.
8	MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
9	The first point I'd like to make is
10	the undue burden test is not at issue in this
11	case. That is the test that applies to
12	regulations, not prohibitions. And the state
13	has conceded that this is a prohibition. In
14	fact, that's the title of this law, is an Act to
15	prohibit abortion after 15 weeks.
16	And the only thing that's at issue in
17	this case is the viability line, and the
18	viability line has been enduringly workable.
19	The lower federal courts have applied it
20	consistently and uniformly for 50 years. And
21	the Fifth Circuit here below had no difficulty
22	striking down this law unanimously, 3-0. So
23	it's been an exceedingly workable standard.
24	And if I may return to your question,
25	Mr. Chief Justice, a reasonable possibility

```
1 standard would not be workable. It would
```

- 2 ultimately boil down to an argument that states
- 3 can prohibit a category of women from exercising
- 4 a constitutional right merely because of the
- 5 number of people in the category. And that's
- 6 just not how constitutional rights work. A
- 7 state would never say that it could ban
- 8 religious services on a Wednesday evening, for
- 9 example, simply because most people could attend
- 10 religious services on another night of the week.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: So -- so I actually
- just wanted to -- that's helpful, I think. I
- just want to make sure I understand what you're
- 14 telling me, counsel, that -- that if the Court
- were to, in this case, step past viability and
- 16 apply undue burden -- the undue burden test, to
- 17 regulations prior to viability, you would agree
- 18 with the other side, I -- I think, that that's
- 19 not a workable standard. Is -- is that -- is
- 20 that a fair understanding of what you're --
- 21 you're telling the Court?
- 22 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor. I -- I
- 23 believe --
- 24 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do you think that
- 25 would be workable?

```
1
                MS. RIKELMAN: -- I believe -- if I
 2
      may clarify, I believe the undue burden test has
 3
      been workable for regulations that it is --
                JUSTICE GORSUCH: I -- I -- I
 4
      understand that. I -- I'm -- if it were to
 5
 6
      apply -- if the Court were to -- and I thought
 7
      this is what you were saying in response to the
      Chief Justice, but maybe I'm mistaken, and
 8
 9
     please correct me if I am -- but what -- what is
      your argument against applying the undue burden
10
11
      standard prior to viability?
12
                MS. RIKELMAN: If the undue burden
13
      standard, as this Court laid out in Casey, which
14
      includes the viability line, is applied --
15
                JUSTICE GORSUCH: No, no, no, I'm
16
     asking -- I know -- I know -- we're fighting the
17
     hypothetical here, counsel, all right? Accept
18
      the hypothetical. Hypothetically, the Court
19
     were to extend the undue burden standard to
      regulations prior to viability, would that be
20
     workable or would that not be workable in your
21
2.2
     view?
23
                MS. RIKELMAN: Without viability, it
24
      would not be workable, Your Honor, because it
      would ultimately, again, always come down to a
25
```

- 1 claim that states can bar a certain category of
- 2 people from exercising this right simply because
- of the number of people in the category, and
- 4 that's not a workable standard and it's not a
- 5 constitutional standard.
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: I appreciate that
- 7 clarification. Thank you.
- 8 JUSTICE ALITO: Just to follow up on
- 9 that, I read your briefs -- your brief to say
- 10 that the only real options we have are to
- 11 reaffirm Roe and Casey as they stand or to
- 12 overrule them in their entirety. You say that
- "there are no half-measures here." Is that a
- 14 correct understanding of your brief?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, it --
- 16 certainly, the arguments that the state has
- 17 presented is what we're responding to there,
- which is that all of the state's arguments,
- including their alternatives, which are undue
- 20 burden without viability, would be the
- 21 equivalent of overruling Casey and Roe because
- 22 the viability line is the central holding of
- those cases. Casey mentioned it no fewer than
- 24 19 times. And -- and the Court in June Medical
- 25 just a year ago affirmed that the viability line

```
is the central holding of both Casey and Roe.
```

- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you -- you do
- 3 emphasize that the Court drew the line at
- 4 viability in Roe and reaffirmed that in Casey,
- 5 and that is certainly something that we have to
- 6 take very seriously into consideration.
- 7 But suppose we were considering that
- 8 question now for the first time. I'm sure you
- 9 know the arguments about the viability line as
- 10 well as I do, probably better than I do. What
- 11 would you say in defense of that line? What
- would you say to the argument that has been made
- many times by people who are pro-choice and
- 14 pro-life that the line really doesn't make any
- sense, that it is, as Justice Blackmun himself
- 16 described it, arbitrary?
- 17 The -- the woman's -- if a woman wants
- 18 to be free of the burdens of pregnancy, that
- interest does not disappear the moment the
- viability line is crossed. Isn't that right?
- MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, and if
- 22 I may make a few points to answer your question.
- 23 First, I think the state views
- 24 viability as arbitrary because it completely
- 25 discounts the woman's interests. But

```
1 viability --
```

- JUSTICE ALITO: No, no. But does a
- 3 woman have -- does -- upon reaching the point of
- 4 viability, does not the woman have the same
- 5 interests that she had before viability in being
- 6 free of this pregnancy that she no longer wants
- 7 to continue?
- 8 MS. RIKELMAN: Viability is a
- 9 principled line, Your Honor, because, in
- 10 ordering the interests --
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I'm trying to
- 12 see whether it is a principled line.
- MS. RIKELMAN: The --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Will you agree with me
- 15 at least on that point, that a woman still has
- the same interest in terminating her pregnancy
- 17 after the viability line has been crossed?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor, but
- 19 the Court balanced the interests --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Okay. And then --
- 21 MS. RIKELMAN: -- and in ordering the
- 22 interests at stake --
- JUSTICE ALITO: -- look at the
- interests on -- on the other side. The -- the
- 25 fetus has an interest in having a life, and that

```
doesn't change, does it, from the point before
```

- viability to the point after viability?
- 3 MS. RIKELMAN: In -- in some people's
- 4 view, it doesn't, Your Honor, but what the Court
- 5 said is that those philosophical differences
- 6 couldn't be resolved --
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what is the --
- 8 MS. RIKELMAN: -- in the way --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: That -- that's what
- 10 I'm getting at. What is the philosophical
- 11 argument, the secular philosophical argument for
- 12 saying this is the appropriate line?
- 13 There are those who say that the
- 14 rights of personhood should be considered to
- 15 have taken hold at a point when the fetus
- 16 acquires certain independent characteristics.
- 17 But viability is dependent on medical technology
- 18 and medical practice. It has changed. It may
- 19 continue to change.
- MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, it is
- 21 principled because, in ordering the interests at
- 22 stake, the Court had to set a line between
- 23 conception and birth, and it logically looked at
- 24 the fetus's ability to survive separately as a
- legal line because it's objectively verifiable

```
and doesn't require the Court to resolve the
```

- 2 philosophical issues at stake.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I just want to
- 4 focus on stare decisis for a little bit. I
- 5 found my colleague, Justice Breyer's, comments
- 6 quite compelling. I'm not quite sure how
- 7 they're -- they play out in -- in Casey.
- 8 It is certainly true that we cannot
- 9 base our decisions on whether they're popular or
- 10 not with the people. Casey seemed to say we
- 11 shouldn't base our decisions not only on that
- but whether they're going to -- whether they're
- going to seem popular, and it seemed to me to
- 14 have a paradoxical conclusion that the more
- 15 unpopular the decisions are, the firmer the
- 16 Court should be in not departing from prior
- 17 precedent, sort of a super stare decisis, but
- it's super stare decisis for what are regarded
- 19 as -- by many, as the most erroneous decisions.
- Do you think there is that category?
- 21 Is there -- or is it just normal stare decisis?
- MS. RIKELMAN: I think it is precedent
- on precedent, Your Honor, because Casey did the
- 24 stare decisis analysis for Roe, so the question
- 25 before this Court is whether that stare decisis

```
1 analysis was egregiously wrong.
```

- 2 And if I may answer your earlier
- 3 question about whether viability was squarely at
- 4 issue in Casey, it clearly was, Your Honor. At
- 5 pages 869 to 871, the Court squarely addressed
- 6 viability because the government had made the
- 7 argument that viability was arbitrary --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, no, I
- 9 appreciate that Casey addressed it, but that's
- 10 different than saying it was at issue. It said
- it was the central principle of Roe because it
- was pretty much all that was left after they
- were done dealing with the rest of it.
- 14 And the regulations in Casey had --
- had no applicability or not depending upon where
- 16 viability was. They applied throughout the
- whole range, period. So, if they didn't say
- anything about viability, it's like what Justice
- 19 Blackmun said in -- when discussing among his
- 20 colleagues, which is a good reason not to have
- 21 papers out that -- that early, is that they
- don't have to address the line-drawing at all in
- Roe, and they didn't have to address the
- 24 line-drawing at all in Casey.
- MS. RIKELMAN: I disagree with that,

- 1 Your Honor, because the undue burden test
- 2 incorporates the viability line. That was what
- 3 the Court was assessing the regulations against,
- 4 whether they imposed a substantial obstacle in
- 5 the path of a woman before viability.
- 6 And if a prohibition like this law
- 7 isn't a substantial obstacle, then nothing would
- 8 be. So the issue was squarely before the Court,
- 9 and, in fact, the Court said at page 879 that in
- 10 adopting the undue burden test, it was not
- 11 disturbing the viability line.
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: It's a very
- interesting question that I think Justice
- 14 Barrett raised too. It's usually just
- philosophical, but I think it has bite here.
- When I read Casey, it's not just one
- on one, you know, two is greater than one.
- 18 Casey plus Roe is greater than -- it -- it's --
- 19 they're making a point that -- that -- that
- 20 we're an institution perhaps more than a court
- of appeals or a district court. It's Hamilton's
- 22 point, no purse, no sword, and yet we have to
- 23 have public support, and that comes primarily,
- 24 says Casey -- I wonder if it was O'Connor who
- 25 wrote that? I don't know.

1	But it comes primarily from people
2	believing that we do our job. We use reason.
3	We don't look to just what's popular. And
4	that's where you're seeing the paradox. But the
5	problem with the super case of which we've heard
6	three mentioned, the problem with a super case
7	like this, the rare case, the watershed case,
8	where people are really opposed on both sides
9	and they really fight each other, is they're
LO	going to be ready to say, no, you're just
L1	political, you're just politicians.
L2	And that's what kills us as an
L3	American institution. That's what they're
L4	saying. So we're looking at it for that. But
L5	we are looking to, and that they say is a reason
L6	why a reason why, when you get a case like
L7	that, you better be damn sure that the normal
L8	stare considerations, stare decisis overrulings
L9	are really there in spades, double, triple,
20	quadruple, and then they go through and show
21	they're not. Okay?
22	What's the paradox? Now maybe you
23	think I just made an argument that there isn't
24	one, but, really, in my head, I'm thinking I'm
25	not sure There may be one And I don't know

```
if you've ever thought about this. I don't know
```

- 2 if you've ever -- if -- when -- when
- 3 that occurred to you, I don't want to overrule
- 4 the stare -- I wouldn't want the Court to
- 5 overrule the stare decisis section of Casey, you
- 6 say. And that -- that's -- that's what I think
- 7 is being brought up, and maybe I haven't made it
- 8 clearer, but I've tried to.
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I
- 10 think the point that the Court was making was
- 11 that the fact that some states may continue to
- 12 enact laws in the teeth of the Court's precedent
- has never been enough of a reason to overrule.
- 14 And that's true for a number of decisions that
- 15 the Court has issued. The fact that some people
- 16 continue to disagree with them is not a basis to
- 17 discard that precedent.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 19 Thomas, anything further?
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Back to my original
- 21 question. If I were -- I know your interest
- here is in abortion, I understand that, but, if
- 23 I were to ask you what constitutional right
- 24 protects the right to abortion, is it privacy?
- 25 Is it autonomy? What would it be?

- 1 MS. RIKELMAN: It's liberty, Your
- 2 Honor. It's the textual protection in the
- 3 Fourteenth Amendment that a state can't deprive
- 4 a person of liberty without due process of law,
- 5 and the Court has interpreted liberty to include
- 6 the right to make family decisions and the right
- 7 to physical autonomy, including the right to end
- 8 a pre-viability pregnancy.
- 9 JUSTICE THOMAS: So it's all of the
- 10 above?
- 11 MS. RIKELMAN: Well, the Court --
- 12 that's how the Court has interpreted the liberty
- 13 clause for over a hundred years in cases going
- 14 back to Meyer, Griswold, Carey, Loving,
- 15 Lawrence.
- 16 JUSTICE THOMAS: Yeah, but I -- I
- mean, all of those sort of just come out of
- 18 Lochner, the -- so it's that we -- we've dropped
- 19 part of it. So I understand what you're saying,
- 20 but what I'm trying to focus on is, if we -- is
- 21 to lower the level of generality or at least be
- 22 a little bit more specific.
- In the old days, we used to say it was
- 24 a right to privacy that the Court found in the
- due process, substantive due process clause,

1 okay? So -- or in substantive due process, and

- 2 I'm trying to get you to tell me, what are we
- 3 relying on now? Is it privacy? Is it autonomy?
- 4 What is it?
- 5 MS. RIKELMAN: I think it continues to
- 6 be liberty, and the right exists whatever level
- 7 of generality the Court applies. There was a
- 8 tradition under the common law for centuries of
- 9 women being able to end their pregnancies.
- But, in addition, when it comes to
- 11 decisions related to family, marriage, and
- 12 childbearing, the Court has done the analysis at
- 13 a higher level of generality, and that makes
- sense because, otherwise, the Constitution would
- 15 reinforce the historical discrimination against
- women.
- 17 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 19 Breyer?
- 20 Justice Alito?
- 21 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you just
- 22 mentioned the common law, so let me ask you a
- 23 couple of questions about history.
- 24 Did any state constitutional provision
- 25 recognize that abortion was a right, liberty, or

- 1 immunity in 1868, when the Fourteenth Amendment
- was adopted?
- 3 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, but it
- 4 had been allowed under the common law for many
- 5 years.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: Does any judicial
- 7 decision at that time or shortly or immediately
- 8 after 1868 recognize that abortion was a right,
- 9 liberty, or immunity?
- 10 MS. RIKELMAN: There were state high
- 11 court decisions shortly before then, Your Honor,
- 12 talking about the ability of women to end a
- 13 pregnancy before quickening.
- JUSTICE ALITO: What's your best case?
- MS. RIKELMAN: For the right to end a
- 16 pregnancy, Your Honor?
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: Uh-huh.
- MS. RIKELMAN: Allowing a state to
- 19 take control of a woman's body and force her to
- 20 undergo the physical demands, risks, and
- 21 life-altering consequences of pregnancy is a
- 22 fundamental deprivation of her liberty. And,
- once the Court recognizes that that liberty
- 24 interest deserves heightened protection, it does
- 25 need to draw a workable line, and viability is a

- 1 line that logically balances the interests at
- 2 stake.
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: The brief for the
- 4 American Historical Association says that
- 5 abortion was not legal before quickening in 26
- 6 out of 37 states at the time when the Fourteenth
- 7 Amendment was adopted. Is that correct?
- 8 MS. RIKELMAN: That is correct because
- 9 some of the states had started to discard the
- 10 common law at that point because of a
- 11 discriminatory view that a woman's proper role
- 12 was as a wife and mother, a view that the
- 13 Constitution now rejects, and that's why it's
- 14 appropriate to do the historical analysis at a
- 15 higher level of generality.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: Right. In the face of
- 17 that, can it said that the right to -- to
- 18 abortion is deeply rooted in the history and
- 19 traditions of the American people?
- 20 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, it can, Your
- 21 Honor. Again, at the founding, women were able
- to end their pregnancy under the common law.
- 23 And, in fact, this Court in Glucksberg
- 24 specifically decide -- discussed Casey as a
- decision based on history and tradition and, at

- 1 Note 19, specifically called out and relied on
- 2 Roe's conclusion that at the time of the
- 3 founding and well into the 1800s, women had the
- 4 ability to end a pregnancy.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: What was the -- the
- 6 principal source that the Court relied on in Roe
- 7 for its historical analysis? Who was the author
- 8 of that -- of that article?
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: I apologize, Your
- 10 Honor, I don't remember the author. I know that
- 11 the Court spent many pages of the opinion doing
- 12 a historical analysis. There's also a brief on
- 13 behalf of several key American historian
- 14 associations that go through that history in
- 15 detail because there's even more information now
- that supports Roe's legal conclusions.
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 19 Sotomayor?
- 20 Justice Kagan?
- 21 Justice Gorsuch?
- Justice Kavanaugh?
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I think the other
- 24 side would say that the core problem here is
- 25 that the Court has been forced by the position

- 1 you're taking and by the -- the cases to pick
- 2 sides on the most contentious social debate in
- 3 American life and to do so in a situation where
- 4 they say that the Constitution is neutral on the
- 5 question of abortion, the text and history, that
- 6 the Constitution's neither pro-life nor
- 7 pro-choice on the question of abortion, and they
- 8 would say, therefore, it should be left to the
- 9 people, to the states, or to Congress.
- 10 And I think they also then continue,
- 11 because the Constitution is neutral, that this
- 12 Court should be scrupulously neutral on the
- 13 question of abortion, neither pro-choice nor
- 14 pro-life, but, because, they say, the
- Constitution doesn't give us the authority, we
- 16 should leave it to the states and we should be
- 17 scrupulously neutral on the question and that
- they are saying here, I think, that we should
- 19 return to a position of neutrality on that
- 20 contentious social issue rather than continuing
- 21 to pick sides on that issue.
- 22 So I think that's, at a big-picture
- level, their argument. I want to give you a
- 24 chance to respond to that.
- 25 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes. A -- a few points

- 1 if I may, Your Honor.
- 2 First, of course, those very same
- 3 arguments were made in Casey, and the Court
- 4 rejected them, saying that this philosophical
- 5 disagreements can't be resolved in a way that a
- 6 woman has no choice in the matter.
- 7 And, second, I don't think it would be
- 8 a neutral position. The Constitution provides a
- 9 guarantee of liberty. The Court has interpreted
- 10 that liberty to include the ability to make
- 11 decisions related to child -- childbearing,
- marriage, and family. Women have an equal right
- to liberty under the Constitution, Your Honor,
- and if they're not able to make this decision,
- 15 if states can take control of women's bodies and
- 16 force them to endure months of pregnancy and
- 17 childbirth, then they will never have equal
- 18 status under the Constitution.
- 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And I want to ask
- 20 a question about stare decisis and to think
- about how to approach that here because there
- 22 have been lots of questions picking up on
- 23 Justice Barrett's questions and others. And
- 24 history helps think about stare decisis, as I've
- 25 looked at it, and the history of how the Court's

- 1 applied stare decisis, and when you really dig
- 2 into it, history tells a somewhat different
- 3 story, I think, than is sometimes assumed.
- 4 If you think about some of the most
- 5 important cases, the most consequential cases in
- 6 this Court's history, there's a string of them
- 7 where the cases overruled precedent. Brown v.
- 8 Board outlawed separate but equal. Baker versus
- 9 Carr, which set the stage for one person/one
- 10 vote. West Coast Hotel, which recognized the
- 11 states' authority to regulate business. Miranda
- 12 versus Arizona, which required police to give
- warnings when the right to -- about the right to
- remain silent and to have an attorney present to
- 15 suspects in criminal custody. Lawrence v.
- 16 Texas, which said that the state may not
- 17 prohibit same-sex conduct. Mapp versus Ohio,
- which held that the exclusionary rule applies to
- 19 state criminal prosecutions to exclude evidence
- 20 obtained in violation of the Fourth Amendment.
- 21 Gideon versus Wainwright, which guaranteed the
- 22 right to counsel in criminal cases. Obergefell,
- 23 which recognized a constitutional right to
- 24 same-sex marriage.
- 25 In each of those cases -- and that's a

- 1 list, and I could go on, and those are some of
- 2 the most consequential and important in the
- 3 Court's history -- the Court overruled
- 4 precedent. And it turns out, if the Court in
- 5 those cases had -- had listened, and they were
- 6 presented in -- with arguments in those cases,
- 7 adhere to precedent. In Brown v. Board, adhere
- 8 to Plessy. In West Coast Hotel, adhere to
- 9 Atkins and adhere to Lochner, and if the Court
- 10 had done that in those cases, you know, this --
- 11 the country would be a much different place.
- So I assume you agree with most, if
- not all, the cases I listed there, where the
- 14 Court overruled the precedent. So the question
- on stare decisis is why, if -- and I know you
- 16 disagree with what about I'm about to say in the
- 17 "if" -- if we think that the prior precedents
- are seriously wrong, if that, why then doesn't
- 19 the history of this Court's practice with
- 20 respect to those cases tell us that the right
- 21 answer is actually a return to the position of
- 22 neutrality and -- and not stick with those
- 23 precedents in the same way that all those other
- 24 cases didn't?
- 25 MS. RIKELMAN: Because the view that a

- 1 previous precedent is wrong, Your Honor, has
- 2 never been enough for this Court to overrule,
- 3 and it certainly shouldn't be enough here when
- 4 there's 50 years of precedent. Instead, the
- 5 Court has required something else, a special
- 6 justification. And the state doesn't come
- 7 forward with any special justification. It
- 8 makes the same exact arguments the Court already
- 9 considered and rejected in its stare decisis
- 10 analysis in Casey.
- 11 And, in fact, there is nothing
- 12 different. There is no less need today than 30
- 13 years ago or 50 years ago for women to be able
- 14 to make this fundamental decision for themselves
- about their bodies, lives, and health.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 18 Barrett?
- 19 JUSTICE BARRETT: I want to ask you a
- 20 follow-up question. You know, the Chief was
- 21 asking you about the viability line and if that
- was the right place, if that's the right line to
- 23 draw. So let's take it out of the question of
- 24 stare decisis and imagine that there is a state
- 25 constitution that's identical to the Fourteenth

- 1 Amendment's Due Process Clause, and a state
- 2 supreme court has to decide as a matter of state
- 3 constitutional law what the scope of an abortion
- 4 right is. And the second trimester ends at 27
- 5 weeks. And so that state supreme court says, we
- 6 think that the right exists, you know, in a --
- 7 in a -- in an absolute sense, that the state
- 8 cannot take away the right up to 27 weeks and
- 9 then after that adopts an undue burden standard.
- 10 As a matter of first principles, is
- 11 that line acceptable as a matter of
- 12 constitutional law?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, it may be,
- 14 but I think the -- the question in this case is
- whether a line is obviously more principled or
- obviously more workable than viability because
- 17 of the stare decisis context.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Why -- I mean,
- 19 that's the Roe framework basically, the
- 20 trimester. Why wouldn't that be workable if you
- 21 pick a line and say the end of the second
- 22 trimester, 27 weeks, third trimester, state's
- interests increase? I don't understand why 27
- 24 weeks is less workable than 24.
- MS. RIKELMAN: I'm not trying to

- 1 suggest it is, Your Honor, and -- what I was
- 2 trying to suggest is that the viability line is
- a principled and workable line, so, to change
- 4 it, there would have to be a new line that's
- 5 obviously more principled and more workable.
- 6 And -- and the line that the Court has
- 7 drawn actually --
- 8 JUSTICE BARRETT: But that's stare
- 9 decisis. I'm asking as a matter of first
- 10 principles.
- 11 MS. RIKELMAN: As a matter of first
- 12 principle, the viability line makes sense
- 13 because, if the -- the state constitution was
- 14 the same --
- 15 JUSTICE BARRETT: As a matter of
- 16 prudential judgment. It's not constitutionally
- 17 required as a matter of first principles
- 18 because, in fact, we could decide to be more
- 19 protective and say 27 weeks, end of the second
- 20 trimester.
- MS. RIKELMAN: You could, Your Honor,
- 22 but the -- the viability line makes sense given
- the protection for liberty because it comes from
- the woman's liberty interest in resisting state
- 25 control of her body. And, once the Court

```
1 recognizes that interest, it does need to draw a
```

- 2 line, as it does in many other constitutional
- 3 contexts, like the Fourth and Fifth Amendment.
- 4 And the viability line, as I
- 5 mentioned, makes sense because it focuses on the
- 6 fetus's ability to survive separately, which is
- 7 an appropriate legal line because it's
- 8 objectively verifiable and doesn't delve into
- 9 philosophical questions about when life begins.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 11 counsel.
- 12 General Prelogar?
- 13 ORAL ARGUMENT OF GENERAL ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR
- 14 FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
- 15 SUPPORTING THE RESPONDENTS
- 16 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Mr. Chief Justice,
- 17 and may it please the court:
- 18 For a half century, this Court has
- 19 correctly recognized that the Constitution
- 20 protects a woman's fundamental right to decide
- 21 whether to end a pregnancy before viability.
- 22 That guarantee that the state cannot force a
- woman to carry a pregnancy to term and give
- 24 birth has engendered substantial individual and
- 25 societal reliance.

1	The real-world effects of overruling
2	Roe and Casey would be severe and swift. Nearly
3	half of the states already have or are expected
4	to enact bans on abortion at all stages of
5	pregnancy, many without exceptions for rape or
6	incest.
7	Women who are unable to travel
8	hundreds of miles to gain access to legal
9	abortion will be required to continue with their
10	pregnancies and give birth, with profound
11	effects on their bodies, their health, and the
12	course of their lives.
13	If this Court renounces the liberty
14	interest recognized in Roe and reaffirmed in
15	Casey, it would be an unprecedented contraction
16	of individual rights and a stark departure from
17	principles of stare decisis.
18	The Court has never revoked a right
19	that is so fundamental to so many Americans and
20	so central to their ability to participate fully
21	and equally in society. The Court should not
22	overrule this central component of women's
23	liberty.
24	JUSTICE THOMAS: General, would you
25	specifically tell me specifically state what

```
1 the right is? Is it specifically abortion? Is
```

- 2 it liberty? Is it autonomy? Is it privacy?
- 3 GENERAL PRELOGAR: The right is
- 4 grounded in the liberty component of the
- 5 Fourteenth Amendment, Justice Thomas, but I
- 6 think that it promotes interests in autonomy,
- 7 bodily integrity, liberty, and equality. And I
- 8 do think that it is specifically the right to
- 9 abortion here, the right of a woman to be able
- 10 to control, without the state forcing her to
- 11 continue a pregnancy, whether to carry that baby
- 12 to term.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: I understand we're
- 14 talking about abortion here, but what is
- 15 confusing is that we -- if we were talking about
- 16 the Second Amendment, I know exactly what we're
- 17 talking about. If we're talking about the
- 18 Fourth Amendment, I know what we're talking
- 19 about because it's written. It's there.
- 20 What specifically is the right here
- 21 that we're talking about?
- 22 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice
- 23 Thomas, I think that the Court in those other
- 24 contexts with respect to those other amendments
- 25 has had to articulate what the text means and

- 1 the bounds of the constitutional guarantees, and
- 2 it's done so through a variety of different
- 3 tests that implement First Amendment rights,
- 4 Second Amendment rights, Fourth Amendment
- 5 rights.
- 6 So I don't think that there is
- 7 anything unprecedented or anomalous about the
- 8 right that the Court articulated in Roe and
- 9 Casey and the way that it implemented that right
- 10 by defining the scope of the liberty interest by
- 11 reference to viability and providing that that
- is the moment when the balance of interests tips
- and when the state can act to prohibit a woman
- 14 from -- from getting an abortion based on its
- interest in protecting the fetal life at that
- 16 point.
- 17 JUSTICE THOMAS: So the right
- 18 specifically is abortion?
- 19 GENERAL PRELOGAR: It's the right of a
- woman prior to viability to control whether to
- 21 continue with the pregnancy, yes.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: General, I am
- interested in Justice Kavanaugh's long litany of
- 25 cases in which we've overruled precedent, and we

- 1 have. Yet, you did call this unprecedented. As
- 2 I see the structure of the Constitution, the
- 3 body of it is the relationship of the three
- 4 branches of government, and then there is the
- 5 relationship of the federal government to the
- 6 state, and, through our incorporation of the
- 7 Fourteenth Amendment, of the state vis-à-vis the
- 8 individual, it's the federal government and the
- 9 states' relationship to individuals.
- 10 And I see the Bill of Rights,
- including the Fourteenth Amendment, as basically
- 12 setting the limits, giving individual freedom to
- do certain things and stopping the government
- 14 from intruding in those liberties, in those Bill
- of Rights, correct?
- 16 Of all of the decisions that Justice
- 17 Kavanaugh listed, all of them invite --
- 18 virtually, except for maybe one, involved us
- 19 recognizing and overturning state control over
- 20 issues that we said belong to individuals. The
- 21 right in Miranda to be warned was an individual
- 22 right, correct?
- 23 GENERAL PRELOGAR: That's right,
- Justice Sotomayor, and I think that that is a
- 25 key distinction with the list of precedents that

- 1 Justice Kavanaugh was relying on.
- I think that there are really two key
- 3 distinctions, and the first is that in the vast
- 4 majority of those cases, the Court was actually
- 5 taking the issue away from the people and saying
- 6 that it had been wrong before not to recognize a
- 7 right. And I think that matters because it goes
- 8 straight to reliance interests.
- 9 Here, the Court would be doing the
- 10 opposite. It would be telling the women of
- 11 America that it was wrong, that, actually, the
- 12 ability to control their bodies and perhaps the
- 13 most important decision they can make about
- 14 whether to bring a child into this world is not
- part of their protected liberty, and I think
- 16 that that would come at tremendous cost to the
- 17 reliance that women have placed on this right
- and on societal reliance and what this right has
- 19 meant for further ensuring equality.
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: The reliance point is
- 21 a -- is a good point, and this may be my fault.
- 22 I'm talking about pages 854 to 863 in the Casey
- 23 case. And I've already used up too much time.
- I can't read those pages out loud. But they do
- 25 not include the list that Justice Kavanaugh had.

- 1 They do include two. One is Brown, and the
- 2 second one is West Coast Hotel versus Parrish.
- 3 And you could add the gay rights cases as a
- 4 third which would fit the criteria.
- 5 But there are complex criteria that
- 6 she's talking about that link to the position in
- 7 the rule of law of this Court, so all I would
- 8 say is you have to read them before beginning to
- 9 say whether they are overruling or not
- 10 overruling in the sense meant there calling for
- 11 special concern.
- Now they say in those, I -- maybe I'd
- 13 mention two, wait a minute -- of course, Plessy
- was wrong when decided, but, just a minute, also
- 15 remember Plessy said that separate but equal was
- 16 a badge of inferiority. No, they said, it
- isn't. Well, all you have to do is open your
- 18 eyes and look at the South, my friend, and you
- 19 will see whether it was or it wasn't in 1954.
- 20 And they made a similar point. They
- 21 said, are you going to sit here in the middle of
- 22 the Depression and tell me that -- that Lochner,
- with its other cases, and pure, just about pure
- 24 laissez faire, that we can run the country that
- 25 way?

_	i mencion chac because i want people
2	to read those 15 pages with care, and that's why
3	I said that. If you had anything to add to my
4	plea to read it, please do.
5	GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice
6	Breyer, I agree completely. I have read those
7	pages and re-read them many times, and I think
8	that this is actually another key distinction
9	from the cases that Justice Kavanaugh was
LO	referring to, and that is, as I understand those
L1	passages in Casey, the Court carefully walked
L2	through each and every stare decisis factor that
L3	this Court focuses on. It looked at workability
L4	of the viability rule, doctrinal underpinnings,
L5	legal and factual developments, and, critically,
L6	reliance interests.
L7	And down the line, it found that the
L8	case for reaffirming Roe was overwhelming. And
L9	in that situation, when every factor that the
20	Court consults to determine whether to retain
21	precedent counsels in favor of retaining it, I
22	think Casey properly perceived that a decision
23	to overrule nevertheless, perhaps based on a
24	the conclusion that the justices thought the
25	case was wrongly decided in the first instance,

- 1 would run counter to the ability of stare
- 2 decisis to function as a cornerstone of the rule
- 3 of law in this context.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it your argument
- 5 that a case can never be overruled simply
- 6 because it was egregiously wrong?
- 7 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think that at the
- 8 very least, the state would have to come forward
- 9 with some kind of materially changed
- 10 circumstance or some kind of materially new
- 11 argument, and Mississippi hasn't done so in this
- 12 case. It is --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Really? So suppose
- 14 Plessy versus Ferguson was re-argued in 1897, so
- 15 nothing had changed. Would it not be sufficient
- to say that was an egregiously wrong decision on
- 17 the day it was handed down and now it should be
- 18 overruled?
- 19 GENERAL PRELOGAR: It certainly
- 20 was egregiously wrong on the day that it was
- 21 handed down, Plessy, but what the Court said in
- 22 analyzing Plessy to Brown and Casey was that
- 23 what had become clear is that the factual
- 24 premise that underlay the decision, this idea
- 25 that segregation didn't create a badge of

```
1 inferiority, had been entirely mistaken.
```

- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: So it is your -- is it
- 3 really --
- 4 GENERAL PRELOGAR: And, here, the
- 5 state is not --
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: -- is it your answer
- 7 that we needed all the experience from 1896 to
- 8 1954 to realize that Plessy was -- was wrongly
- 9 decided? Would you answer my question? Had it
- 10 come before the Court in 1897, should it have
- 11 been overruled or not?
- 12 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think it should
- 13 have been overruled, but I think that the
- 14 factual premise was wrong in the moment it was
- 15 decided, and the Court realized that and
- 16 clarified that when it overruled in Brown.
- JUSTICE ALITO: So there are --
- 18 GENERAL PRELOGAR: And, here --
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: -- circumstances in
- which a decision may be overruled, properly
- 21 overruled, when it must be overruled simply
- 22 because it was egregiously wrong at the moment
- 23 it was decided?
- 24 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Correct?

```
GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- every other --
1
 2
                JUSTICE ALITO: Is that correct?
 3
                GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- stare decisis
      factor likewise would have justified overruling
 4
      in that interest, that actually it would run
 5
 6
     counter to any notion of reasonable reliance,
7
      that it was not a workable rule, that it had
      become an -- and outlier in our understanding of
 8
      fundamental freedoms.
 9
10
                JUSTICE ALITO: Well, there was a lot
11
      of reliance on --
12
               GENERAL PRELOGAR: And so I think,
13
      looking at all of the facts --
14
                JUSTICE ALITO: -- there was a lot of
15
     reliance on Plessy. The -- the South built up a
16
     whole society based on the idea of white
17
      supremacy. So there was a lot of reliance.
18
     was rely -- it was improper reliance. It was
19
     reliance on an egregiously wrong understanding
20
     of what equal protection means.
                But your answer is -- I don't -- I
21
2.2
      still don't understand -- I still don't have
23
     your answer clearly. Can a decision be
24
      overruled simply because it was erroneously
     wrong, even if nothing has changed between the
25
```

- 1 time of that decision and the time when the
- 2 Court is called upon to consider whether it
- 3 should be overruled? Yes or no? Can you give
- 4 me a yes or no answer on that?
- 5 GENERAL PRELOGAR: This Court, no, has
- 6 never overruled in that situation just based on
- 7 a conclusion that the decision was wrong. It
- 8 has always applied the stare decisis factors and
- 9 likewise found that they warrant overruling in
- 10 that instance. And -- and Casey did that. It
- 11 applied the stare decisis factors.
- 12 If stare decisis is to mean anything,
- 13 it has to mean that that kind of extensive
- 14 consideration of all of the same arguments for
- whether to retain or discard a precedent itself
- is an additional layer of precedent that needs
- 17 to be relied on and can form a -- a stable
- 18 foundation of the rule of law.
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: General, you've talked
- 20 a number of times about the reliance interests
- 21 here, and I think I'd like you to say a little
- 22 bit more about that because, you know,
- 23 sometimes, when we talk about reliance
- interests, it's like there's a rule of law and
- you look at it and you say, oh, somebody will

- 1 enforce my contract because of this rule, and it
- 2 has a very kind of grounded quality to it.
- And, as Casey talked about the
- 4 reliance interests here, they're a little bit
- 5 more airy. And I -- I just wanted to get your
- 6 sense of what are the reliance interests here
- 7 and how does -- how do they cash out on the
- 8 ground?
- 9 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, there are
- 10 multiple reliance interests here, as I think
- 11 Casey correctly recognized. Casey pointed to
- 12 the individual reliance of women and their
- 13 partners who had been able to organize their
- 14 lives and make important life decisions against
- 15 the backdrop of having control over this
- incredibly consequential decision whether to
- 17 have a child. And people make decisions in
- 18 reliance on having that kind of reproductive
- 19 control, decisions about where to live, what
- 20 relationships to enter into, what investments to
- 21 make in their jobs and careers.
- 22 And so I think, on a very individual
- level, there has been profound reliance. And
- it's certainly the case that not every woman in
- 25 America has needed to exercise this right or has

- 1 wanted to, but one in four American women have
- 2 had an abortion, and for those women, the right
- 3 secured by Roe and Casey has been critical in
- 4 ensuring that they can control their bodies and
- 5 control their lives.
- 6 And then I think there's a second
- 7 dimension to it that Casey also properly
- 8 recognized, and that's the societal dimension.
- 9 That's the -- the understanding of our society,
- 10 even though this has been a controversial
- 11 decision, that this is a liberty interest of
- women. It's the case that not everyone agrees
- with Roe versus Wade, but just about every
- 14 person in America knows what this Court held,
- 15 they know how the Court has defined this concept
- of liberty for women and what control they will
- 17 have in the situation of an unplanned pregnancy.
- 18 And for the Court to reverse course
- 19 now, I think, would run counter to that societal
- 20 reliance and the very concept we have of what
- 21 equality is guaranteed to women in this country.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It is certainly
- true that there can be some planning by some
- 24 people about pregnancy. People who are raped
- don't have a choice, whether it's by an outsider

- 1 or their own husband. And not everybody can
- 2 afford contraceptives, contrary to the -- the --
- 3 your adversary's brief. In fact, 19 percent of
- 4 the women in Mississippi are uninsured, so they
- 5 don't have money to pay for contraceptives.
- 6 So -- but why -- their point in their
- 7 brief was, you know, contraceptives, if you use
- 8 them, the failure rate is very small, et cetera,
- 9 et cetera, how can there be real reliance. So
- 10 could you address that issue?
- 11 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Of course.
- So, first, this is not a -- a new
- 13 circumstance since Roe and Casey.
- 14 Contraceptives existed in 1973 and in 1992, and
- 15 still the Court recognized that unplanned
- 16 pregnancies would persist and deeply implicate
- 17 the liberty interest of women.
- 18 But I think even on the facts, the
- 19 state is mistaken here. Contraceptive failure
- 20 rate in this country is at about 10 percent,
- 21 using the most common methods. That means that
- 22 women using contraceptives, approximately one in
- 23 10 will experience an unplanned pregnancy in the
- 24 first year of use alone. About half the women
- 25 who have unplanned pregnancies were on

- 1 contraceptives in the month that that occurred.
- 2 And so I think the idea that contraceptives
- 3 could make the need for abortion dissipate is --
- 4 is just contrary to the factual reality.
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You also
- 6 mentioned, or maybe it was your co-counsel, that
- 7 life changes for women after 15 weeks.
- 8 GENERAL PRELOGAR: That's exactly
- 9 right, Justice Sotomayor, and I think that this
- is responsive as well to the questions that the
- 11 Chief Justice was asking about, in particular,
- 12 the impact of enforcing a 15-week bar in this
- 13 case. The Court has always looked at that issue
- 14 by looking at the people for whom the law is a
- 15 restriction, not those for whom it's irrelevant.
- So the question is, why would women
- 17 need access to abortion after 15 weeks, and what
- is the effect on them? And there are any number
- of women who cannot get an abortion earlier.
- 20 They don't realize that they're pregnant.
- 21 That's especially true of women who are young or
- 22 don't have -- haven't experienced a pregnancy
- before, or their life circumstances change, as
- 24 you referred to, Justice Sotomayor. They lose
- 25 their job or their relationship breaks apart or

```
1 they have medical complications. Or, for many
```

- women, they don't have the resources to pay for
- 3 it earlier. It takes time for them to raise the
- 4 money or make the appropriate logistical
- 5 arrangements to be able to take time off work
- 6 and travel and have childcare. And for all
- 7 those women in this category who need access
- 8 to abortion after 15 weeks, the fact that other
- 9 women were able to exercise their constitutional
- 10 rights does nothing to diminish the impact on
- 11 their liberty interest in forcing them to
- 12 continue with that pregnancy.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Thank you.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: General,
- following up on that, would that argument be
- true in terms of viability as well? In other
- 17 words, what -- your discussion of the reliance
- interests and the ability of women and men to
- 19 control their lives in reliance on the right to
- 20 -- to an abortion, the argument would not be as
- 21 strong, I think you'll have to concede, given
- 22 what we're talking about, which is not a
- 23 prohibition; it's a 15-week line.
- 24 Is that right?
- 25 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Yes. So this --

т.	CHIEF OUSTICE ROBERTS: THEFE you
2	have to hypothesize people who have planned
3	their lives according to a 24 or whatever week
4	limit it is but not a 15-week limit on abortion,
5	right?
6	GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I don't think
7	the Court has ever analyzed reliance with that
8	kind of parsing. I think, here, the I the
9	the force of the viability line is that it's
10	clearly demarcated to the scope of a
11	woman's protected liberty interest in this
12	context. And the state is not actually asking
13	this Court to replace it with a clear 15-week
14	line that would provide some measure of
15	continued protection for this right. They're
16	asking the Court to reverse the liberty interest
17	altogether or leave it up in the air.
18	And if that were to happen, then
19	immediately states with six-week bans,
20	eight-week bans, ten-week bans, and so on, would
21	seek to enforce those with no continued guidance
22	of what the scope of the liberty interest is
23	going forward.
24	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that may
25	be what they're asking for, but the thing that

```
1 is at issue before us today is 15 weeks. And I
```

- just wonder what the strength of your reliance
- arguments, which sounded to me like being based
- 4 on a total prohibition, would be if there isn't
- 5 a total prohibition, and as far as viability
- 6 goes, I don't see what that has to do with the
- 7 question of choice at all.
- 8 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think, as
- 9 Casey emphasized in reaffirming the viability
- 10 line, the Court justified that as having both a
- 11 logical and a biological justification that it
- marks the point in pregnancy when the fetus is
- 13 capable of meaningful life --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's
- 15 what John Hart Ely explained was a complete
- 16 syllogism. That's the definition of viability.
- 17 It's not a reason that viability is a good line.
- 18 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, it's focused
- on the idea of fetal separateness, and I think
- 20 that that is a line that also accords with the
- 21 history and tradition in this country of
- 22 abortion regulation. Contrary to the state's
- arguments here, at the time of the founding and
- for most of early American history, women had an
- 25 -- an ability to access abortion in the early

1 stages of pregnancy, and it was only when the

- 2 fetus was deemed sufficiently separate the
- 3 states could act to bar that.
- 4 So I think that the viability line
- 5 also aligns with history and tradition in that
- 6 respect.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 8 Thomas?
- 9 JUSTICE THOMAS: You heard my question
- 10 to counsel earlier about the woman who was
- 11 convicted of criminal child neglect. What would
- be your reaction to that as far as her liberty
- and whether or not the liberty interest that
- we're talking about extends to her?
- 15 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice
- 16 Thomas, I have to confess that I haven't read
- 17 the specific case you're referring to, but, if I
- 18 understand the question you were posing, it
- 19 sounds as though the state is seeking to
- 20 regulate for a child that's been born that was
- 21 injured while it was inside the womb.
- 22 And I think that we are not denying
- that a state has an interest there. We're not
- 24 denying that a state has an interest here
- 25 either. Roe recognized that states have

```
1 interests that exist from the outset of
```

- 2 pregnancy.
- But, with respect to this specific
- 4 right to abortion, there are also profound
- 5 liberty interests of the woman on the other side
- of the scale in not being forced to continue
- 7 with a pregnancy, not being forced to endure
- 8 childbirth and to have a child out in the world.
- 9 And the state's arguments here seem to
- 10 ask this Court to look only at its interests and
- 11 to ignore entirely those incredibly weighty
- interests of the women on the other side.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 15 Breyer?
- 16 Justice Alito? No?
- Justice Gorsuch, anything further?
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: I just want to make
- 19 sure I understand your response to the Chief
- 20 Justice. If this Court will reject the
- 21 viability line, do you see any other
- 22 intelligible principle that the Court could
- 23 choose?
- 24 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think that
- it would be critically important, even if this

```
1 Court were to reject the viability line, to
```

- 2 reinforce and reaffirm the fundamental and
- 3 profound liberty interest --
- 4 JUSTICE GORSUCH: That -- that --
- 5 GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- at stake here,
- 6 and I --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, I'm sorry
- 8 for interrupting, but that wasn't my question.
- 9 I understand -- I understand you -- I understand
- that point fully by the end of this argument.
- 11 That is deeply clear to me. I understand your
- 12 position.
- I -- I'm just asking a question about
- 14 whether you think there would be another
- 15 alternative line that the government would
- 16 propose or not. You emphasized that if -- if 15
- weeks were approved, then we'd have cases about
- 18 12 and 10 and 8 and 6, and so my question is, is
- 19 there a line in there that the government
- 20 believes would be principled or not?
- 21 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I don't think
- there's any line that could be more principled
- 23 than viability. You know, I think the factors
- the Court would have to think about are what is
- most consistent with precedent, what would be

- 1 clear and workable, and what would preserve
- 2 the -- the essential components of the liberty
- 3 interest, and viability checks all of those
- 4 boxes and has the advantage as well as being a
- 5 rule of law for 50 years.
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. That's
- 7 helpful, counsel. Appreciate it.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 9 Kavanaugh?
- 10 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: You -- you make a
- 11 very forceful argument and identify critically
- 12 important interests that are at stake in this
- issue, no doubt about that.
- 14 The other side says, though, that
- there are two interests at stake, that there's
- 16 also the interest in -- in fetal life at stake
- 17 as well. And in your brief, you say that the
- 18 existing framework accommodates -- that's your
- 19 word -- both the interests of the pregnant woman
- and the interest of the fetus.
- 21 And -- and the problem, I think the
- other side would say and the reason this issue
- is hard, is that you can't accommodate both
- interests. You have to pick. That's the
- 25 fundamental problem. And one interest has to

```
1 prevail over the other at any given point in
```

- 2 time, and that's why this is so challenging, I
- 3 think.
- 4 And the question then becomes, what
- 5 does the Constitution say about that? And I
- 6 just want to get your reaction to what the other
- 7 side's theme is, and I've mentioned it in my
- 8 prior questions.
- 9 When you have those two interests at
- 10 stake and both are important, as you
- 11 acknowledge, why not -- why should this Court be
- 12 the arbiter rather than Congress, the state
- legislatures, state supreme courts, the people
- being able to resolve this? And there will be
- different answers in Mississippi and New York,
- different answers in Alabama than California,
- 17 because they're two different interests at stake
- and the people in those states might value those
- interests somewhat differently.
- Why is that not the right answer?
- 21 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Justice Kavanaugh,
- it's not the right answer because the Court
- 23 correctly recognized that this is a fundamental
- 24 right of women, and the nature of fundamental
- 25 rights is that it's not left up to state

1 legislatures to decide whether to honor them or

- 2 not.
- 3 And it's true, different rules would
- 4 prevail throughout the country if this Court
- 5 were to overrule Roe and Wade -- Roe and Casey,
- 6 but what that would mean is that women in those
- 7 states who are refusing to honor their rights
- 8 and who are forcing them to continue to use
- 9 their bodies to sustain a pregnancy and then to
- 10 bring a child into the world will have no
- 11 recourse other than to travel if they're able to
- 12 afford it or to attempt abortion outside the
- 13 confines of the medical system or to have a
- 14 child even though that was not the best choice
- 15 for them and their family.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 18 Barrett.
- 19 JUSTICE BARRETT: I have a follow-up
- 20 to Justice Kagan's question about reliance. I'm
- just trying to nail down, and -- and I asked Ms.
- 22 Rikelman this question too, but I'm not sure
- 23 that I fully understand the government's
- 24 position or Ms. Rikelman's position.
- So, on pages 18 and 19 of your brief,

- 1 you talk about reliance interests and you quote
- 2 some of the language from Casey about a woman's
- 3 ability to participate in the social and
- 4 economic life of the nation.
- 5 And I mentioned the safe haven laws to
- 6 Ms. Rikelman, and it -- it seems to me I fully
- 7 understand the reliance interests. There are
- 8 the airy ones Justice Kagan was referring to and
- 9 then there are the more -- excuse me -- specific
- 10 ones about a woman's access to abortion as a
- 11 backup form of birth control in the event that
- 12 contraception fails so that she need not bear
- 13 the burdens of pregnancy.
- But what do you have to say to
- 15 Petitioners' argument that those reliance
- 16 interests do not include the reliance interests
- 17 of parenting and bringing a child into the world
- 18 when maybe that's not the best thing for her
- 19 family or her career?
- 20 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think the state
- 21 is wrong about that. And I -- I think where the
- 22 analysis goes wrong in reliance on those safe
- 23 haven laws is overlooking the -- the
- 24 consequences of -- of forcing a woman -- upon
- 25 her the choice of having to decide whether to

- 1 give a child up for adoption. That itself is
- 2 its own monumental decision for her.
- 3 And so I think that there's nothing
- 4 new about the safe haven laws, the -- or -- or
- 5 at least nothing new about the availability of
- 6 adoption as an alternative. Roe and Casey
- 7 already took account of that fact. And I think
- 8 that there are certainly, of course, all of
- 9 the -- the bodily integrity interests that we've
- 10 referred to, but, also, the autonomy interests
- 11 retain in force as well.
- 12 JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay. So it's
- 13 the -- the reliance interests and the right to
- 14 be able to choose to terminate the pregnancy
- 15 rather than having to terminate the parental
- 16 rights?
- 17 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think that that
- is part of it, yes. And I think, for many
- 19 women, that is an incredibly difficult choice,
- 20 but it's one that this Court for 50 years has
- 21 recognized must be left up to them based on
- 22 their beliefs and their conscience and their
- 23 determination about what is best for the course
- 24 of their lives.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you, General.

1		CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
2	General.	
3		Rebuttal, General Stewart.
4		REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF SCOTT G. STEWART
5		ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
6		MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
7	Justice.	I'd like to do my best to make three
8	points.	
9		First, picking up where where you
LO	just left	off, Justice Barrett, on safe haven
L1	laws, the	Respondents in this case, I I
L2	believe,	as Your Honor pointed out, have
L3	emphasize	d parenting burdens being a lead or the
L4	lead reas	on that women seek abortions.
L5		I would emphasize safe haven laws, as
L6	best I've	been able to find, first came into
L7	existence	in 1999 in Texas. They're now
L8	ubiquitou	s, and you're correct, Justice Barrett,
L9	that they	relieve that huge burden.
20		I would also add that as to as to
21	burdens d	uring pregnancy, I would emphasize that
22	contracep	tion is more accessible and affordable
23	and avail	able than it was at the time of Roe or
24	Casey. I	t serves the same goal of allowing
2.5	women to	decide if, when, and how many children

- 1 to have.
- 2 And I would also note, just frankly,
- 3 the lowest-cost abortion at Jackson Women's
- 4 Health is \$600 for the abortion. Additional
- 5 costs and further fees, according to -- to my
- 6 friends, the Respondents, and their amici, there
- 7 are also additional costs related to travel,
- 8 taking off time -- time off of work,
- 9 accommodations, all of those sorts of things.
- 10 Whether somebody is uninsured or not, the costs
- of contraception are consistently significantly
- 12 less than those.
- Number two, I -- I think you --
- 14 Justice Kavanaugh, you had it exactly right when
- 15 you -- when you used the term scrupulously
- 16 neutral. I think that's a very good description
- of what we're asking for here. I think it's the
- 18 problem and the value that has evaded the Court
- 19 and will continue to evade this Court under Roe
- and Casey, but that is exact -- exactly right.
- 21 This is a hard issue. It involves --
- 22 and -- and I would emphasize, Your Honor, that,
- as you said, there are interests here on -- on
- 24 both sides. There are interests for everyone
- involved. This is unique for the woman. It's

1 unique for the unborn child too whose life is at

- 2 stake in all of these decisions. It's unique
- 3 for us as a society in how we decide if the
- 4 states get to -- get -- get to legislate on this
- 5 issue, how to decide and how to weigh these
- 6 tremendously momentous issues.
- 7 In closing, I would say that in his
- 8 dissent in Plessy versus Ferguson, Justice
- 9 Harlan emphasized that there is no caste system
- 10 here. The humblest in our country is the pure,
- 11 the most powerful. Our Constitution neither
- 12 knows nor tolerates distinctions on the basis of
- 13 race.
- 14 It took 58 years for this Court to
- 15 recognize the truth of those realities in a
- decision, and that was the greatest decision
- 17 that this Court ever reached. We're -- we're
- 18 running on 50 years of Roe. It is an
- 19 egregiously wrong decision that has inflicted
- 20 tremendous damage on our country and will
- 21 continue to do so and take innumerable human
- 22 lives unless and until this Court overrules it.
- We ask the Court to do so in this case
- and uphold the state's law.
- Thank you, Your Honor.

1			CHIEF	JUS	TIC	E RO	BERTS	S: Th	ank y	ou,
2	General	L,	counse	1.	The	cas	e is	submi	tted	•
3			(Where	eupo	n, a	at 1	1:54	a.m.,	the	case
4	was sub	omi	tted.)							
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

\$	7	ac
\$600 [1] <b>112:</b> 4	<b>75</b> [2] <b>31</b> :6 <b>58</b> :4	10 10
1	8	7
1 [1] 1:14	<b>8</b> [1] <b>105</b> :18	ac
<b>10</b> [4] <b>9</b> :24 <b>98</b> :20,23 <b>105</b> :18	84 [1] 3:11	ac
<b>10:00</b> [2] <b>1:</b> 18 <b>4:</b> 2	<b>854</b> [2] <b>11</b> :6 <b>89</b> :22	ac
<b>11:54</b> [1] <b>114:</b> 3	<b>863</b> [1] <b>89:</b> 22	ac
<b>111</b> [1] <b>3</b> :14	<b>869</b> [2] <b>11:</b> 6 <b>68:</b> 5	ac
<b>12</b> [3] <b>41</b> :20 <b>55</b> :15 <b>105</b> :18	<b>871</b> [1] <b>68:</b> 5	A
<b>14</b> [1] <b>31</b> :11	<b>879</b> [1] <b>69:</b> 9	ac
<b>15</b> [18] <b>4</b> :23 <b>14</b> :20 <b>41</b> :20 <b>51</b> :	9	ac
25 <b>52</b> :6,16 <b>53</b> :15,19,25 <b>55</b> :	l. <del></del>	3
23 <b>57</b> :8 <b>60</b> :15 <b>91</b> :2 <b>99</b> :7,	9 [2] 41:20 55:23	2
17 <b>100</b> :8 <b>102</b> :1 <b>105</b> :16	Α	a a
<b>15-week</b> [7] <b>51:</b> 23 <b>54:</b> 12,	a.m [3] 1:18 4:2 114:3	3
15 <b>99</b> :12 <b>100</b> :23 <b>101</b> :4,13	ability [22] 10:25 22:23 30:	ac
<b>150</b> [1] <b>26</b> :1	2 <b>49</b> :11 <b>52</b> :15,23 <b>53</b> :8,9	ac
<b>16</b> [1] <b>57:</b> 8	<b>57</b> :6 <b>58</b> :1,2 <b>66</b> :24 <b>74</b> :12	1
<b>18</b> [2] <b>55</b> :15 <b>108</b> :25	<b>76</b> :4 <b>78</b> :10 <b>84</b> :6 <b>85</b> :20 <b>89</b> :	a
<b>1800s</b> [1] <b>76:</b> 3	12 <b>92</b> :1 <b>100</b> :18 <b>102</b> :25	7
<b>1868</b> [2] <b>74:</b> 1,8	<b>109</b> :3	ac
<b>1896</b> [1] <b>93:</b> 7	able [18] <b>15</b> :16 <b>18</b> :17 <b>36</b> :16	9
<b>1897</b> [2] <b>92</b> :14 <b>93</b> :10	<b>41</b> :24 <b>44</b> :6 <b>53</b> :24 <b>73</b> :9 <b>75</b> :	ac
<b>19</b> [4] <b>63</b> :24 <b>76</b> :1 <b>98</b> :3 <b>108</b> :	21 <b>78</b> :14 <b>81</b> :13 <b>86</b> :9 <b>96</b> :13	9
25	<b>100:</b> 5,9 <b>107:</b> 14 <b>108:</b> 11	ac
19-1392 [1] 4:4	<b>110</b> :14 <b>111</b> :16	ac
<b>1954</b> [2] <b>90</b> :19 <b>93</b> :8	<b>abortion</b> [67] <b>5</b> :1,5,18 <b>6</b> :5,	ac
1973 [1] 98:14 1992 [1] 98:14	9,13,25 <b>7</b> :23 <b>12</b> :24 <b>23</b> :24	ac
1999 [1] 111:17	<b>24</b> :6 <b>31</b> :12 <b>35</b> :17 <b>43</b> :11,13,	ac
	19,21 <b>44</b> :6 <b>47</b> :13 <b>48</b> :18 <b>50</b> :	ac
2	11,16 <b>51</b> :8 <b>52</b> :6,20 <b>53</b> :5,25	ac
<b>20</b> [1] <b>9</b> :24	<b>54</b> :8 <b>55</b> :5,10,16 <b>56</b> :2,10	7
200 [1] 26:1	<b>57</b> :7 <b>58</b> :7 <b>60</b> :15 <b>71</b> :22,24	ac
<b>2021</b> [1] <b>1:</b> 14	<b>73</b> :25 <b>74</b> :8 <b>75</b> :5,18 <b>77</b> :5,7,	ac
<b>23</b> [2] <b>21</b> :17 <b>57</b> :7	13 <b>82</b> :3 <b>85</b> :4,9 <b>86</b> :1,9,14	1
<b>24</b> [4] <b>18</b> :1 <b>21</b> :17 <b>82</b> :24 <b>101</b> :		ac
3	100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25	ac
<b>25</b> [1] <b>18</b> :1	<b>104</b> :4 <b>108</b> :12 <b>109</b> :10 <b>112</b> :	ac
<b>26</b> [1] <b>75:</b> 5	3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31:	ac
<b>27</b> [5] <b>82</b> :4,8,22,23 <b>83</b> :19		
<b>27</b> [5] <b>82</b> :4,8,22,23 <b>83</b> :19	8 <b>37:</b> 9 <b>44:</b> 12 <b>111:</b> 14	ac
3	8 <b>37:</b> 9 <b>44:</b> 12 <b>111:</b> 14 <b>above</b> [1] <b>72:</b> 10	ac
3-0 [1] <b>60</b> :22	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16	ac ac af
3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9,	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above 11 72:10 above-entitled 11 1:16 absence 11 10:16	ac ac af af
3-0 [1] <b>60</b> :22	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7	ac ac af af af
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above 11 72:10 above-entitled 11 1:16 absence 11 10:16	ac af af af af
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3	ac af af af af af
3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1	ac ac af af af af af
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15	ac af af af af af ag
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17	ac af af af af ac ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11	ac af af af af ag 2 ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9,	ac af af af af ag 2 ac ac ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11 5	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7	ac ac af af af ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18,	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1	ac ac af af af ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23	accaff aff aff agg agg alt agg
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11  50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20 56:8 60:20 81:4,13 106:5	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106:	acc acc aff aff aff acc acc acc acc acc
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18	accacacacacacacacacacacacacacacacacaca
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11  50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20 56:8 60:20 81:4,13 106:5 110:20 113:18	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18 accommodations [1] 112:	act act aft aft act act act act act act act act act ac
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11  50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20 56:8 60:20 81:4,13 106:5 110:20 113:18 505 [1] 11:6 58 [1] 113:14	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 accept [1] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18 accommodations [1] 112: 9	ac af af af af af
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11  5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20 56:8 60:20 81:4,13 106:5 110:20 113:18 505 [1] 11:6 58 [1] 113:14	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 access [11] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18 accommodations [1] 112: 9 according [5] 5:10 27:14	acc
3 3-0 [1] 60:22 30 [10] 11:14 12:12 14:1,9, 18 17:3,8 35:12,14 81:12 37 [1] 75:6  4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:5 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:11  50 [16] 4:17,19 14:17 34:18, 19,24 35:13 51:10 52:20 56:8 60:20 81:4,13 106:5 110:20 113:18 505 [1] 11:6 58 [1] 113:14	8 37:9 44:12 111:14 above [1] 72:10 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:16 absolute [1] 82:7 absolutely [1] 16:3 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:6,10 45:15 62:17 acceptable [1] 82:11 accept [1] 52:14 55:5,6,9, 16 56:18 85:8 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:1 accommodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18 accommodations [1] 112: 9	act at

Official ords [1] 102:20 66:7,9 73:20,21 74:6,14,17 ount [8] 12:14 17:3,7 **75**:3,16 **76**:5,17 **92**:4,13 6 **32**:3 **38**:4 **45**:14 **110**: **104**:16 ırate [1] 43:24 allow [3] 44:5 47:17 55:6 nowledge [1] 107:11 allowed [1] 74:4 nowledged [1] 33:12 allows [2] 44:11 55:9 uires [1] 66:16 SS [1] 41:21 alluding [2] 8:7 42:9 [3] **60:14 87:13 103:**3 [2] 15:7 21:7 ally [13] 15:23 19:21 89:23 110:7 8 **53**:4 **58**:10 **61**:11 **80**: 3:7 **89:**4.11 **91:**8 **94:**5 alternatives [1] 63:19 [6] **19**:24 **24**:9 **25**:14 **90**: altogether [1] 101:17 ·3 111·20 ed [2] 16:16 38:3 ition [3] 38:7 57:21 73: 4 88:7.11 Amendment's [1] 82:1 itional [3] 95:16 112:4. amendments [1] 86:24 America [3] 89:11 96:25 ress [4] 57:10 68:22.23 97.14 ressed [3] 38:25 68:5, American [8] 17:1 70:13 **75**:4.19 **76**:13 **77**:3 **97**:1 **102**:24 s [1] 18·4 ere [5] 48:5 80:7,7,8,9 Americans [1] 85:19 ered [1] 57:19 amici [2] 56:16 112:6 inister [1] 60:5 inistrable [1] 8:9 among [1] 68:19 ot [2] 14:16 35:9 analysis [12] 6:22 7:7 39: oted [4] 12:25 16:24 75:7 otina [1] 69:10 22 otion [4] 57:16 58:11 analyzed [1] 101:7 analyzes [1] 27:18 16 ots [1] 82:9 analyzing [1] 92:22 ts [1] 25:8 anomalous [1] 87:7 ancement [1] 17:15 10 91:8 105:14 ancements [2] 17:8, antage [1] 106:4 ersary's [1] 98:3 cts [1] 5:21 23 95:4 107:20.22 m [1] 49:6 med [1] 63:25 answers [2] 107:15.16 rd [2] 98:2 108:12 anybody [1] 44:13 rdable [1] 111:22 anyway [1] 43:2 [6] **34:**24 **35:**12,13 **63:** apart [1] 99:25 **1**:13.13 apologize [2] 36:25 76:9 appeals [2] 38:6 69:21 e [6] **26**:25 **59**:17 **61**: APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 5·14 80·12 91·6 appearing [1] 16:2 es [1] 97·12 applicability [1] 68:15 ad [4] 11:22 24:18,18, applicable [1] 45:21 1101:17 [2] 96:5 109:8 16 **79:**1 **95:**8.11 applies [5] 5:3 8:8 60:11 2] 1:5.9 73:7 79:18 oama [1] 107:16 mingly [1] 58:4 ns [1] 103:5 16 62:6 ALITO [32] 17:11 32:7 41:6 applying [1] 62:10 appreciate [3] 63:6 68:9 63:8 64:2 65:2,11,14,20,23

approach [3] 11:5 46:7 78: **93**:2,6,17,19,25 **94**:2,10,14 appropriate [6] 36:8 47:2 **66**:12 **75**:14 **84**:7 **100**:4 approved [1] 105:17 Allowing [2] 74:18 111:24 approximately [1] 98:22 arbiter [1] 107:12 arbitrary [4] 20:1 64:16,24 alone [3] 4:20 12:15 98:24 68:7 already [5] 57:18 81:8 85:3 area [3] 7:14 35:17 40:15 areas [6] 7:14.15.18 40:15. alternative [2] 105:15 110: 18 18 aren't [3] 28:14 50:22 55: 19 argue [3] 28:6 59:13,15 Amendment [16] 15:20 23: argued [1] 19:1 22 51:6 72:3 74:1 75:7 79: arguing [6] 39:12 43:7,7, 20 84:3 86:5,16,18 87:3,4, 10,17 45:16 argument [30] 1:17 3:2,5,8, 12 4:4,7 38:4,9,11 47:9 50: 15 **60**:1 **61**:2 **62**:10 **64**:12 66:11 11 68:7 70:23 77:23 **84:**13 **92:**4.11 **100:**15.20 105:10 106:11 109:15 111: arguments [15] 34:25 38: 19 **39:**2,13 **54:**11 **63:**16,18 **64**:9 **78**:3 **80**:6 **81**:8 **95**:14 **102**:3,23 **104**:9 amicus [3] 2:8 3:10 84:14 Arizona [1] 79:12 around [2] 39:23 56:1 24 60:6 67:24 68:1 73:12 arrangements [1] 100:5 **75**:14 **76**:7.12 **81**:10 **109**: array [1] 32:13 article [1] 76:8 articulate [1] 86:25 articulated [1] 87:8 aside [4] 30:10,12 53:11 60: another [5] 27:2 42:19 61: asks [1] 47:16 aspects [1] 6:16 answer [16] 13:6 27:10 31: assessing [1] 69:3 assisted [1] 7:14 20 35:24 42:5 58:14 64:22 **68:**2 **80:**21 **93:**6,9 **94:**21, associated [1] 59:21 Association [1] 75:4 answered [2] 26:19 42:24 associations [1] 76:14 assume [3] 8:22 49:8 80: 12 assumed [1] 79:3 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:9 attorney [1] 79:14 author [2] 76:7,10 authority [5] 10:12 43:11, applied [6] 60:19 62:14 68: 12 77:15 79:11 autonomy [18] 6:7,9,17,19 7:16 23:23 34:1 49:9 50: 17 18 **57**:1 **59**:2 **71**:25 **72**: apply [5] 5:2 26:4 42:12 61: 7 **73**:3 **86**:2,6 **110**:10 availability [1] 110:5 available [1] 111:23 avoid [1] 49:4

away [4] 30:2 51:12 82:8 89:5 В baby [1] 86:11 back [11] 8:11,20 21:12 26: 1,1 33:2,5 37:5 42:4 71:20 72:14 backdrop [1] 96:15 backgrounds [1] 14:21 backup [1] 109:11 backwards [3] 48:18 55: bad [3] 11:17 26:10 35:12 badge [2] 90:16 92:25 Baker [1] 79:8 balance [5] 34:12.15.16 36: 20 87:12 balanced [1] 65:19 balances [1] 75:1 balancing [1] 48:15 ban [14] 14:24 15:1 25:6,7, 8 47:13 48:22 51:8 52:16 **54:**8,10,12,15 **61:**7 bans [5] 54:13 85:4 101:19. 20.20 bar [5] 47:23 53:25 63:1 99: 12 **103**:3 barely [1] 5:12 BARRETT [22] 24:17,20,21 **26**:19 **40**:13 **44**:19,20 **46**: 20 56:6 58:9 69:14 81:18, 19 **82**:18 **83**:8,15 **108**:18, 19 **110**:12,25 **111**:10,18 Barrett's [1] 78:23 barriers [3] 55:11,20 56:3 base [2] 67:9.11 based [11] 33:21 39:4.20 53:4 75:25 87:14 91:23 94: 16 **95**:6 **102**:3 **110**:21 basic [1] 14:19 basically [3] 41:12 82:19 basis [6] 4:13 8:8 27:6 35: 8 **71**:16 **113**:12 battle [1] 4:18 bear [1] 109:12 bearing [1] 58:16 became [1] 41:13 become [3] 6:14 92:23 94: becomes [1] 107:4 beain [2] 30:9 32:10 beginning [2] 29:24 90:8 begins [2] 29:23 84:9 behalf [9] 2:3,4 3:4,7,14 4: 8 **47**:10 **76**:13 **111**:5 behind [1] 33:25 belief [1] 16:13 beliefs [1] 110:22 believe [15] 15:17,21,23 18: 1.7 **31**:18 **32**:12 **37**:22 **49**: 15 **52**:5 **58**:21 **61**:23 **62**:1. 2 111:12

believes [1] 105:20 believing [1] 70:2 belong [2] 22:19 88:20 belongs [2] 5:22 13:20 below [3] 5:1 21:16 60:21 benefits [6] 28:24 44:25 53:6 7 59:21 24 best [11] 5:19 12:6 30:16 32:4 39:13 74:14 108:14 109:18 110:23 111:7.16 better [3] 9:11 64:10 70:17 between [6] 18:8 25:8 51: 25 57:6 66:22 94:25 beyond [4] 10:19 23:13,17 33:17 **big-picture** [1] **77:**22 bill [4] 14:22,23 88:10,14 bioethicists [1] 32:8 biological [1] 102:11 birth [7] 31:11 47:18 58:5 **66**:23 **84**:24 **85**:10 **109**:11 bit [7] 26:9 44:21 55:24 67: 4 72:22 95:22 96:4 bite [1] 69:15 Blackmun [4] 19:20.24 64: 15 68:19 Board [3] 15:12 79:8 80:7 bodies [6] 78:15 81:15 85: 11 89:12 97:4 108:9 bodily [9] 6:20 34:3 49:10 **50:**17,18 **56:**25 **58:**24 **86:**7 110.9 body [5] 14:13 48:8 74:19 83:25 88:3 boil [1] 61:2 born [1] 103:20 both [19] 21:15 36:17 40:8 **49**:10 **50**:4.6.24 **54**:3 **56**: 14 **58**:21 **59**:6,21 **64**:1 **70**: 8 **102**:10 **106**:19,23 **107**:10 112:24 bounds [1] 87:1 Bowers [1] 45:6 boxes [1] 106:4 brain [3] 21:2.4.8 branch [1] 46:7 branches [1] 88:4 break [2] 7:23 46:18 breaks [1] 99:25 BREYER [26] 8:19.22.25 **11**:9,16,21 **12**:20 **13**:5,12, 14 14:1 25:20,25 26:12,16, 22 27:8 32:23 41:5 44:22 45:11 69:12 73:19 89:20 91:6 104:15 Breyer's [1] 67:5 bridge [1] 34:19 brief [13] 11:13 17:5 37:11 **49**:8 **53**:2 **63**:9.14 **75**:3 **76**: 12 98:3 7 106:17 108:25 briefed [1] 19:1

briefs [2] 59:19 63:9

bright-line [1] 8:17

bring [2] 89:14 108:10

bringing [1] 109:17 brings [1] 48:11 Britain [1] 55:9 broad [1] 55:17 broader [1] 38:19 brought [1] 71:7 Brown [9] 15:12 36:10 45: 6 18 79:7 80:7 90:1 92:22 93:16 brutal [1] 5:5 built [1] 94:15 bulk [1] 12:18 burden [29] 8:4.16 12:15 **14:**12 **16:**25 **29:**14,19 **41:** 13 **42**:11,16,18,22 **56**:24 **57**:4 **59**:12,13,15 **60**:10 **61**: 16,16 62:2,10,12,19 63:20 **69**:1,10 **82**:9 **111**:19 burdens [9] 56:14 57:22 **58**:19 **59**:21,25 **64**:18 **109**: 13 111 13 21 business [1] 79:11

calculus [1] 31:5 California [1] 107:16 call [4] 9:9 24:22 26:3 88:1 called [3] 12:1 76:1 95:2 calling [1] 90:10 calm [1] 14:7 calming [1] 14:2 came [2] 1:16 111:16 Canada [1] 55:8 cannot [7] 21:15 24:5 51: 11 67:8 82:8 84:22 99:19 capable [1] 102:13 care [6] 49:1 50:5 52:15 56: 23 58:1 91:2 career [1] 109:19 careers [1] 96:21 carefully [3] 16:6 47:24 91: Carey [1] 72:14 Carolina [1] 49:15 Carr [1] 79:9 carry [2] 84:23 86:11 carrying [2] 42:23 58:16 Case [51] 4:4,22 9:5,13,20, 21,22 10:3 13:9 18:25 32: 24 33:7.16.18.19 35:16 42: 13 45:9 49:14,18,19 50:18, 22 **51**:4.7.8 **53**:2 **60**:3.11. 17 **61:**15 **70:**5.6.7.7.16 **74:** 14 82:14 89:23 91:18.25 92:5,12 96:24 97:12 99:13 103:17 111:11 113:23 114: cases [40] 7:24 17:22 24:2, 23 25:3,5 26:2 27:11 28:7, 15 33:25 34:6 36:11 39:23 40:4 57:19 58:23,24,25 59: 2 63:23 72:13 77:1 79:5,5, 7.22.25 80:5.6.10.13.20.24 87:25 89:4 90:3,23 91:9

105:17 Casey [104] 4:12 5:14,24 6: 1,7 **8:**1,15,20,23 **11:**11,14, 15 **12**:9,17,18,20 **13**:16 **14**: 10,14,18 15:10 16:8,11,13, 20,20,23 **17**:5 **18**:13,15 **20**: 6,6,11 **22**:16 **23**:9,9,24 **25**: 23 26:17 32:2,25 33:12,20 **35**:15.16 **37**:13 **39**:3 **40**:22 **42**:14,21 **43**:1 **45**:11,18 **46**: 3 **47:**23 **48:**5.7 **49:**14 **56:** 14 **57**:15,23 **58**:10,22 **59**: 11 **62**:13 **63**:11,21,23 **64**:1, 4 **67**:7,10,23 **68**:4,9,14,24 **69**:16,18,24 **71**:5 **75**:24 **78**: 3 **81**:10 **85**:2,15 **87**:9 **89**: 22 91:11,22 92:22 95:10 **96:**3,11,11 **97:**3,7 **98:**13 102:9 108:5 109:2 110:6 **111:**24 **112:**20 Casey's [2] 5:9 35:7 cash [1] 96:7 caste [1] 113:9 category [6] 61:3,5 63:1,3 67:20 100:7 causal [1] 53:4 caused [1] 11:16 causing [1] 50:19 center [1] 4:18 central [9] 20:7.16 33:13 48:6 63:22 64:1 68:11 85: 20.22 centuries [1] 73:8 century [1] 84:18 cert [3] 37:6.20 38:15 certain [9] 6:16.16 22:18 **42**:17.17 **44**:12 **63**:1 **66**:16 88:13 certainly [13] 19:3 20:20 **50**:1,23 **51**:22 **63**:16 **64**:5 **67**:8 **81**:3 **92**:19 **96**:24 **97**: 22 110:8 cetera [2] 98:8,9 challenge [1] 28:15 challenged [1] 14:14 challenging [2] 52:7 107:2 chance [4] 5:25 12:7 37:15 77:24 change [7] 44:14 48:23 52: 9 66:1,19 83:3 99:23 changed [9] 17:6 21:13 33: 20 **35**:7,11 **66**:18 **92**:9,15 94:25 changes [4] 17:4 33:6 53: 6 99:7 channel [1] 28:15 characteristics [1] 66:16 characterization [1] 27:1 checks [1] 106:3 CHIEF [53] 4:3.9 18:23 19: 8.12.17 20:3.5.21 36:25 37:

2 38:18 39:14.15 40:7 41:

1.4 43:3 44:18 47:4.6.8.11

51:19 52:24 53:10 54:14

55:12 56:5 60:25 62:8 67: 3 68:8 71:18 73:18 76:18 **81**:17,20 **84**:10,16 **99**:11 **100**:14 **101**:1,24 **102**:14 103:7 104:14,19 106:8 **108**:17 **111**:1,6 **114**:1 child [21] 5:3 17:17 31:12 **49**:16.22 **50**:14 **51**:17 **56**: 10 57:16 58:16 78:11 89: 14 96:17 103:11 20 104:8 108:10.14 109:17 110:1 113:1 childbearing [4] 49:12 59: 4 73:12 78:11 childbirth [3] 48:10 78:17 104.8 childcare [1] 100:6 children [3] 22:22 58:2 111:25 China [1] 54:21 choice [16] 8:16 9:14 31:17 **53:**12.12.15.15.18.19 **57:**5 78:6 97:25 102:7 108:14 109:25 110:19 choices [1] 22:25 choked [1] 4:16 choose [6] 14:12 22:23 23: 2 31:15 104:23 110:14 Circuit [1] 60:21 circumstance 3 31:2 92: 10 98:13 circumstances [4] 45:4 52:7 93:19 99:23 cited [1] 58:25 claim [1] 63:1 clarification [1] 63:7 clarified [2] 8:4 93:16 clarify [1] 62:2 clause [3] 72:13,25 82:1 clear [14] 24:13 25:6,9 40: 19 **43**:6,9 **50**:10 **51**:10 **52**: 20 53:7 92:23 101:13 105: 11 106:1 clearer [1] 71:8 clearly [5] 14:13 30:18 68: 4 **94**:23 **101**:10 closely [2] 11:10 23:14 closina [1] 113:7 co-counsel [1] 99:6 Coast [4] 45:19 79:10 80:8 90:2 cocaine [2] 49:17,20 colleague [1] 67:5 colleagues [2] 59:10 68: colloquy [3] 40:12,12 44: color [1] 58:8 come [8] 33:8 34:24 62:25 72:17 81:6 89:16 92:8 93: comes [4] 69:23 70:1 73: 10 83:23 comfortable [1] 27:17

# Official correctly [5] 19:18 56:12

coming [1] 41:23 command [1] 45:4 comments [1] 67:5 common [7] 5:5 73:8,22 **74**:4 **75**:10,22 **98**:21 comparable [1] 55:19 compelling [2] 10:17 67:6 compiles [1] 53:3 complete [1] 102:15 completely [5] 21:4 51:12 **54:2 64:24 91:**6 complex [1] 90:5 complicate [1] **31**:16 complicated [1] 39:5 complications [2] 31:10 100:1 component [3] 48:2 85:22 86:4 components [1] 106:2 comprehensively [1] 24:5 compromise [2] 4:16 36:5 concede [1] 100:21 conceded [2] 53:23 60:13 concedes [1] 51:9 conceive [1] 58:15 concept [2] 97:15,20 conception [3] 32:10 45: 13 66:23 concepts [2] 6:19 7:1 concern [3] 16:2 17:15 90: concerned [3] 18:18 31:24 54:24 conclusion [6] 13:22 57: 10 67:14 76:2 91:24 95:7 conclusions [2] 13:25 76: condemnation [1] 10:23 conduct [1] 79:17 confess [1] 103:16 confidence [1] 10:24 confines [1] 108:13 confirms [1] 6:23 conflict [2] 27:7 46:6 confusing [1] 86:15 Congress [3] 43:23 77:9 **107**:12 conscience [2] 7:17 110: 22 consciousness [1] 21:11 consenting [1] 25:8 consequences [10] 25:11 46:15 48:11 49:22 51:16 52:17 56:20 58:18 74:21 109:24 consequential [3] 79:5 80: 2 96:16 Consider [3] 4:22 59:20 95:2 consideration [3] 57:17 64:6 95:14 considerations [6] 25:13 **40**:17,20 **45**:21,25 **70**:18 considered [3] 59:25 66:

14 81:9 considering [1] 64:7 consistent [3] 8:10 44:9 **105**:25 consistently [2] 60:20 112: 11 **Constitution** [37] **4**:13 **5**: 15.16.21 **6:**15.15 **15:**6 **16:**5 21:24 22:7,10,12,14 23:5,7 14.18.21 27:14 35:8.23 39: 4 46:8 73:14 75:13 77:4. 11.15 78:8.13.18 81:25 83: 13 84:19 88:2 107:5 113: 11 Constitution's [3] 43:18, 20 77:6 constitutional [18] 7:5 9: 13 **27**:6 **38**:11 **46**:25 **51**:3 **54**:23 **61**:4,6 **63**:5 **71**:23 **73**:24 **79**:23 **82**:3,12 **84**:2 **87:1 100:**9 constitutionally [1] 83:16 consults [1] 91:20 contentious [2] 77:2.20 context [3] 82:17 92:3 101: 12 contexts [4] 7:2 57:2 84:3 86:24 continue [15] 36:23 44:5 **65**:7 **66**:19 **71**:11,16 **77**:10 85:9 86:11 87:21 100:12 104:6 108:8 112:19 113: 21 continued [3] 36:22 101: 15 21 **continues** [1] **73:**5 **continuina** [1] **77:**20 **contraception** [7] **23**:1 **25**: 6 **49**:2 **52**:11 **109**:12 **111**: 22 112.11 Contraceptive [1] 98:19 contraceptives [7] 98:2,5, 7,14,22 99:1,2 contract [1] 96:1 contraction [1] 85:15 contrary [3] 98:2 99:4 102: control [16] 14:13 48:8 74: 19 **78**:15 **83**:25 **86**:10 **87**: 20 88:19 89:12 96:15.19 **97**:4,5,16 **100**:19 **109**:11 controversial [4] 14:4 40: 17,18 **97:**10 controversy [1] 12:2 convicted [2] 49:16 103: 11 convincing [1] 10:6 core [6] 5:9 20:6,10,10 45: 9 76:24 cornerstone [1] 92:2 correct [15] 38:17 43:14.15 **44**:8 **48**:7 **55**:3 **62**:9 **63**:14 **75**:7,8 **88**:15,22 **93**:25 **94**:

2 111:18

84:19 96:11 107:23 cortex [1] 18:8 cost [1] 89:16 costs [3] 112:5,7,10 couldn't [3] 13:23 42:16 Counsel [14] 14:6 22:6 41: 25 **47**:5 **49**:7 **59**:9 **61**:14 **62**:17 **79**:22 **84**:11 **103**:10 105:7 106:7 114:2 counseled [1] 42:6 counsels [1] 91:21 counter [3] 92:1 94:6 97: counterproductive [1] 50: countries [3] 54:18 55:5, country [13] 4:12 5:18 9:9, 11 34:22 80:11 90:24 97: 21 98:20 102:21 108:4 113:10 20 couple [6] 11:9 25:1 35:5 37:17 57:13 73:23 course [17] 10:1 17:7 20: 24 **34**:5 **35**:3 **37**:18 **45**:1 **48**:24 **50**:21 **57**:16 **78**:2 **85**: 12 **90**:13 **97**:18 **98**:11 **110**: 8.23 COURT [182] 1:1,17 4:10, 17,20 **6**:1,18,21 **7**:2,19 **8**: 14 9:3,3,12,18 10:11,15,25 **11**:2,3,11 **12**:2 **13**:22 **14**: 20 15:3,15,21,25 16:3 19:5 **20**:14,19 **22**:10,11,13,17 23:14.25 24:3 25:19 28:2. 3,15 30:17 31:2 33:1,5 35: 15,23 36:8,21,23 37:19,21, 23 38:1,6,8,15 39:7 40:13, 22 41:15,23 42:1,13,14,21 **43:**10,12 **45:**21 **46:**3,10,18, 19,21 47:12,16,20,23 49:6 **50**:10 **51**:2,10 **52**:18 **53**:1 **55:**21 **57:**18 **59:**17,19,20, 23 61:14,21 62:6,13,18 63: 24 **64**:3 **65**:19 **66**:4.22 **67**: 1.16.25 **68:**5 **69:**3.8.9.20. 21 71:4.10.15 72:5.11.12. 24 73:7,12 74:11,23 75:23 **76**:6,11,25 **77**:12 **78**:3,9 80:3,4,9,14 81:2,5,8 82:2, 5 83:6,25 84:17,18 85:13, 18,21 86:23 87:8 89:4,9 90:7 91:11,13,20 92:21 93: 10,15 95:2,5 97:14,15,18 98:15 99:13 101:7,13,16 102:10 104:10,20,22 105:1, 24 107:11,22 108:4 110:20 112:18.19 113:14.17.22.23 Court's [16] 6:3 7:23 10:18. 24 **12**:23 **13**:3 **14**:4 **32**:19 33:6 40:25 46:6 71:12 78: 25 79:6 80:3.19

courts [10] 5:1.6.10 17:22 **21**:16 **35**:18 **38**:5 **54**:3 **60**: 19 107:13 create [1] 92:25 creates [1] 15:5 criminal [6] 49:16 50:14 **79**:15,19,22 **103**:11 criteria [2] 90:4.5 critical [3] 52:21,22 97:3 critically [3] 91:15 104:25 crossed [2] 64:20 65:17 Cruzan [1] 58:25 curiae [3] 2:8 3:11 84:14 custody [1] 79:15 cutting [1] 56:1 D.C [2] 1:13 2:7 damage [5] 35:14 36:7,23 49:4 113:20 damaged [1] 4:14 damn [1] **70**:17 dangerous [1] 58:5 data [5] 52:19.25 53:3.11 56:12 Daubert [2] 17:23.24 day [3] 46:13 92:17,20 davs [1] 72:23 dead [3] 21:4.5.7 deal [4] 12:6 36:4 41:25 42: dealing [2] 27:5 68:13 death [2] 21:2,2 debate [3] 29:5 31:1 77:2 debated [3] 29:23,25 30:23 decades [2] 35:13 47:15 December [1] 1:14 decide [13] 12:5.5 17:22 45: 22 75:24 82:2 83:18 84:20 **108**:1 **109**:25 **111**:25 **113**: decided [14] 9:11 15:15 39: 18,19,21 **40**:5 **46**:13 **49**:14 **57:**19 **90:**14 **91:**25 **93:**9,15, deciding [1] 13:8 decision [28] 10:7,18 16:4 **24**:21 **39**:17 **48**:13,21 **51**: 12.14 52:16 74:7 75:25 78: 14 **81**:14 **89**:13 **91**:22 **92**: 16.24 93:20 94:23 95:1.7 96:16 97:11 110:2 113:16. 16 19 decisional [1] 59:2 decisions [28] 12:24 14:5 **15**:11 **22**:18 **24**:10 **29**:7 **34**: 4,14,19 **40**:23 **45**:19 **49**:11 **59**:3,5 **67**:9,11,15,19 **71**:14

**72**:6 **73**:11 **74**:11 **78**:11 **88**:

decisis [48] 9:4 11:4.12.13

12:11 13:4.8 16:7 24:14

25:12,17 32:23 39:10,16

16 96:14,17,19 113:2

40:16 44:23,25 45:3,8,13, 20 47:1,22 59:9 60:6 67:4, 17,18,21,24,25 70:18 71:5 78:20,24 79:1 80:15 81:9, 24 **82**:17 **83**:9 **85**:17 **91**:12 92:2 94:3 95:8,11,12 decisively [1] 24:4 dedicated [1] 11:2 deduce [1] 23:20 deemed [1] 103:2 deeply [3] 75:18 98:16 105: defend [1] 54:12 defending [1] 54:10 defense [1] 64:11 defined [1] 97:15 defines [1] 21:2 defining [1] 87:10 definition [1] 102:16 delayed [2] 48:25 52:12 delve [1] 84:8 demand [1] 48:9 demands [4] 5:19 51:15 57:24 74:20 demarcated [1] 101:10 democratic [2] 4:15 43:23 denied [1] 52:15 denying [2] 103:22,24 departing [1] 67:16 **DEPARTMENT** [2] 1:5 2:7 departure [2] 54:16 85:16 dependent [1] 66:17 depending [3] 33:3,6 68: Depression [1] 90:22 deprivation [2] 48:12 74: deprive [1] 72:3 derives [1] 46:22 described [1] 64:16 describing [1] 12:18 description [1] 112:16 deserves [1] 74:24 detail [1] 76:15 deter [1] 50:4 determination [1] 110:23 determine [1] 91:20 developments [4] 12:13, 13 40:10 91:15 devote [1] 39:8 devoted [1] 11:13 dicta [2] 19:7.21 difference [6] 6:8 7:6 14: 11 **18**:12 **26**:9 **51**:25 differences [3] 7:10 9:12 66:5 different [18] 8:20 14:3,16 32:14 34:23 36:5 41:18 45: 12,17 68:10 79:2 80:11 81: 12 **87**:2 **107**:15,16,17 **108**: differently [1] 107:19 difficult [6] 13:19 34:11 59:

17 60:3.4 110:19

firm [1] 46:23

#### Official

difficulty [1] 60:21 dig [1] 79:1 dignity [2] 7:16 34:2 dimension [2] 97:7,8 dimensions [1] 41:22 diminish [1] 100:10 direction [1] 44:15 directly [1] 6:18 disagree [4] 16:20 68:25 71:16 80:16 disagreements [1] 78:5 disappear [1] **64:**19 discard [5] 33:9,11 71:17 **75**:9 **95**:15 discarded [1] 41:12 discerned [1] 23:6 discipline [1] 27:20 discounts [2] 29:18 64:25 discrimination [1] 73:15 discriminatory [1] 75:11 discussed [1] 75:24 discussing [1] 68:19 discussion [3] 16:19 18:4 100:17 dismantle [1] 47:16 disproportionately [1] 58: dispute [1] 45:2 disputes [1] 45:1 disregards [1] 29:18 dissent [2] 36:12 113:8 dissimilating [1] 28:7 dissipate [1] 99:3 distinct [2] 45:20.24 distinction [3] 45:22 88:25 91:8 distinctions [2] 89:3 113: 12 district [1] 69:21 disturbing [1] 69:11 diversity [2] 28:17 29:4 divided [1] 9:10 divides [1] 35:16 DOBBS [2] 1:3 4:4 doctors [2] 17:25 18:7 doctrinal [1] 91:14 doctrine [2] 39:20 45:3 doing [9] 14:23 15:1 17:17 29:10,14,15 54:1 76:11 89: done [8] 11:15.15 39:14 68: 13 73:12 80:10 87:2 92:11 double [1] 70:19 doubt [1] 106:13 down [11] 5:2 9:13 40:22 **42**:1 **60**:22 **61**:2 **62**:25 **91**: 17 **92**:17,21 **108**:21 dramatic [1] 54:16 draw [9] 22:3.5 24:11.11.13 25:6 74:25 81:23 84:1 drawing [1] 30:8 drawn [2] 36:20 83:7 drew [3] 13:23 14:10 64:3 dropped [1] 72:18

due [9] 6:22 27:12,13,18 72: 4,25,25 73:1 82:1 during [3] 48:24 49:17 111: 21

21 Ε each [5] 6:13 42:13 70:9 **79**:25 **91**:12 earlier [7] 5:13 55:7,13 68: 2 99:19 100:3 103:10 early [4] 17:18 68:21 102: 24.25 economic [2] 58:19 109:4 economists [1] 53:2 educate [2] 22:23.24 Education [2] 15:12 53:8 effect [2] 28:9 99:18 effects [2] 85:1,11 efforts [1] 9:25 egregiously [8] 48:5 68:1 92:6,16,20 93:22 94:19 113:19 eight-week [1] 101:20 either [1] 103:25 elect [1] 31:8 elective [1] 37:9 eliminating [1] 48:17 ELIZABETH [3] 2:6 3:9 84: elsewhere [1] 22:5 Ely [1] 102:15 emphasize [16] 8:6 11:24 12:10,19 13:15 23:12 27:4 **35**:4 **38**:13 **39**:1 **46**:1 **56**: 14 **64:**3 **111:**15,21 **112:**22 emphasized [10] 20:15 29: 20 35:21 37:20.22 59:11 **102**:9 **105**:16 **111**:13 **113**: enact [2] 71:12 85:4 end [16] 4:21 12:2 34:16 42: 4 48:1.21 72:7 73:9 74:12. 15 **75**:22 **76**:4 **82**:21 **83**:19 84:21 105:10 ending [1] 31:10 ends [1] 82:4 endure [2] 78:16 104:7 enduringly [1] 60:18 enforce [2] 96:1 101:21 enforcing [2] 49:23 99:12 engendered [2] 25:10 84: enough [7] 13:24 36:9.11 **53:**20 **71:**13 **81:**2.3 ensuring [2] 89:19 97:4

enter [3] 31:4,24 96:20

entirety [1] 63:12

episodes [1] 21:3

entrenched [1] 15:13

equal [8] 36:14 52:21 56:

18 78:12,17 79:8 90:15 94:

entire [3] 31:25 32:1 33:10

entirely [3] 34:23 93:1 104:

equality [4] 49:5 86:7 89: 19 **97**:21 equally [1] 85:21 equivalent [1] 63:21 erred [1] 15:21 erroneous [2] 40:1 67:19 erroneously [1] 94:24 errors [1] 16:19 especially [3] 32:25 47:23 99:21 **ESQ** [4] **3:**3,6,9,13 **ESQUIRE** [1] 2:4 essential [1] 106:2 ET [4] 1:5,9 98:8,9 Europe [1] 55:9 evade [1] 112:19 evaded [1] 112:18 evaluate [1] 39:19 even [13] 33:10 35:17 44:7 46:18 55:6 13 57:14 76:15 94:25 97:10 98:18 104:25 108:14 evening [1] 61:8 event [1] 109:11 everybody [2] 11:5 98:1 everyone [4] 5:21 13:20 97:12 112:24 everything [1] 15:17 evidence [1] 79:19 evolved [1] 59:16 exact [3] 34:17 81:8 112:20 Exactly [7] 29:6 39:25 58: 21 86:16 99:8 112:14.20 examined [1] 47:24 example [7] 12:21 13:24 18:15 50:14 55:8 59:18 61: exceedingly [1] 60:23 except [3] 34:17 50:11 88: exceptions [2] 4:24 85:5 exclude [1] 79:19 exclusionary [1] 79:18 excuse [1] 109:9 exercise [4] 10:25 32:21 96:25 100:9 exercising [2] 61:3 63:2 exist [1] 104:1 existed [1] 98:14 existence [3] 31:16 34:21 111:17 existing [1] 106:18 exists [3] 18:1 73:6 82:6 expanded [1] 31:22 expectations [1] 15:14 expected [1] 85:3 experience [2] 93:7 98:23 experienced [2] 18:8 99: 22 expert [1] 21:16

extend [1] 62:19 extends [1] 103:14 extensive [1] 95:13 extent [2] 11:25 19:5 eyes [3] 11:17,18 90:18

fabric [1] 34:21 face [3] 20:20 46:24 75:16 facing [1] 28:4 fact [18] 18:18 19:17 22:8 **33**:17 **35**:5 **52**:19 **55**:4 **57**: 25 **60**:14 **69**:9 **71**:11.15 **75**: 23 81:11 83:18 98:3 100:8 110:7 factor [5] 45:15.16 91:12. 19 94:4 factors [9] 11:11 13:4 16:7 **24:**15 **40:**25 **47:**1 **95:**8,11 105:23 facts [3] 49:19 94:13 98:18 factual [6] 12:13 17:5 91: 15 **92**:23 **93**:14 **99**:4 failed [2] 5:24 13:3 fails [2] 12:19 109:12 failure [2] 98:8.19 fair [3] 37:10 53:14 61:20 faire [1] 90:24 fairly [2] 38:16.19 faith [1] 32:15 family [8] 49:12 52:9 58:2 72:6 73:11 78:12 108:15 **109**:19 far [4] 9:20 31:24 102:5 103: fared [1] 38:5 fault [1] 89:21 favor [4] **24**:22 **35**:8 **54**:22 91.21 fear [1] 23:4 features [2] 25:16 42:23 federal [3] 60:19 88:5.8 feelings [2] 9:10 10:1 fees [1] 112:5 feet [1] 21:6 Ferguson [3] 51:4 92:14 **113:**8 fetal [7] 17:16 18:1 50:2,25 87:15 102:19 106:16 fetus [9] 21:9.14 30:7 50: 20 65:25 66:15 102:12 103:2 106:20 fetus's [2] 66:24 84:6 few [5] 5:20 37:3 53:21 64: 22 77:25 fewer [1] 63:23 Fifteen [1] 14:17 Fifth [2] 60:21 84:3 fight [1] 70:9 fighting [2] 12:7 62:16 filled [1] 21:3 find [1] 111:16

finish [1] 20:22

fire [1] 10:16

firmer [1] 67:15 First [25] 25:2 37:4,7 38:8 39:16 47:22 52:12 53:23 **55**:3 **57**:14 **60**:9 **64**:8,23 **78:**2 **82:**10 **83:**9,11,17 **87:** 3 89:3 91:25 98:12,24 111: 9 16 fit [2] 49:19 90:4 fits [1] 17:23 five [1] 37:22 flatly [1] 47:14 flow [1] 56:21 focus [8] 6:5.6.8 54:15 56: 16 57:21 67:4 72:20 focused [3] 56:19 57:6 102·18 focuses [3] 56:24 84:5 91: focusing [3] 6:11,12 39:11 follow [4] 15:16 46:25 57:3 63:8 follow-up [3] 44:21 81:20 **108**:19 following [1] 100:15 follows [2] 9:17.18 foot [1] 21:6 force [6] 47:17 74:19 78:16 **84**:22 **101**:9 **110**:11 forced [5] 56:16,17 76:25 104:6,7 forceful [1] 106:11 forcing [5] 31:5 86:10 100: 11 108:8 109:24 form [2] 95:17 109:11 formed [1] 18:8 formula [1] 20:9 forth [2] 33:3.5 forward [6] 41:16 42:23 58: 17 81:7 92:8 101:23 found [5] 59:17 67:5 72:24 91:17 95:9 foundation [1] 95:18 founded [1] 18:2 founding [3] 75:21 76:3 102:23 Four [3] 14:19 48:20 97:1 Fourteenth [8] 23:22 72:3 **74**:1 **75**:6 **81**:25 **86**:5 **88**:7. Fourth [5] 51:5 79:20 84:3 86:18 87:4 framework [4] 12:25 59:12 **82**:19 **106**:18 frankly [1] 112:2 free [2] 64:18 65:6 freedom [3] 7:16 34:1 88: 12 freedoms [1] 94:9 freely [1] 44:5 friend [1] 90:18 friends [1] 112:6 fringe [1] 18:7 full [3] 31:12 42:7 44:11

explain [1] 37:15

explained [1] 102:15

explicitly [1] 45:14

full-blown [1] 38:11 fully 5 17:17 85:20 105:10 108:23 109:6 function [2] 11:1 92:2 fundamental [14] 21:21 34: 4 35:20 38:12 48:12 74:22 81:14 84:20 85:19 94:9 105:2 106:25 107:23.24 further [4] 71:19 89:19 104: 17 **112**:5

# G

gain [1] 85:8 gave [3] 16:23 17:1,5 gay [1] 90:3 gears [1] 37:12 GEN [2] 2:6 3:9 General [53] 2:2,6 4:6 6:4, 19 **18:**23 **24:**16,17 **32:**6,7, 22 41:9 44:20 84:12,13,16 85:24 86:3,22 87:19,23 88: 23 91:5 92:7,19 93:4,12,18, 24 94:1,3,12 95:5,19 96:9 98:11 99:8 100:14,25 101: 6 **102**:8.18 **103**:15 **104**:24 **105**:5.21 **107**:21 **109**:20 **110**:17.25 **111**:2.3 **114**:2 generality [4] 72:21 73:7, 13 75:15 generations [1] 48:19 gets [1] 37:5 getting [2] 66:10 87:14 Gideon [1] 79:21 girl's [1] 5:11 give [14] 9:24 31:11 35:24 37:14 47:18 58:5 60:7 77: 15.23 **79**:12 **84**:23 **85**:10 **95:**3 **110:**1 given [6] 5:25 12:8 51:14 83:22 100:21 107:1 aivina [1] 88:12 Glucksberg [3] 6:18 27:18 **75**:23 goal [1] 111:24 Gonzales [1] 30:17 Gorsuch [14] 35:21 43:4 **59**:8 **61**:11,24 **62**:4,15 **63**: 6 76:21 104:17,18 105:4,7 **106**:6 got [1] 37:11 government [7] 68:6 88:4, 5.8.13 **105**:15.19 government's [1] 108:23 granted [3] 37:8 38:8,15 great [5] 12:21 24:10 36:10 **41**:18 **55**:8 greater [4] 31:10,11 69:17, greatest [2] 46:11 113:16 Griswold [4] 25:4 27:11 59: 5 **72:**14 gross [1] 17:25 ground [1] 96:8

grounded [6] 6:25 7:1 10: 3 **58**:15 **86**:4 **96**:2 quarantee [2] 78:9 84:22 guaranteed [2] 79:21 97: quarantees [1] 87:1 quess [2] 33:18 35:1

### Н

guidance [1] 101:21

half [5] 11:12 56:3 84:18 85: 3 98:24 half-measures [1] 63:13 hallmarks [1] 13:2 Hamilton's [1] 69:21 hand [1] 38:21 handed [2] 92:17.21 happen [1] 101:18 hard [9] 5:17,17,19 20:14 30:22 31:1 42:11 106:23 112:21 harder [1] 39:6 Hardwick [1] 45:6 Harlan [2] 36:12 113:9 harm [1] 50:19 Hart [1] 102:15 haunt [1] 4:12 haven [8] 56:7.22 57:11 **109**:5.23 **110**:4 **111**:10.15 head [1] 70:24 **HEALTH** [15] **1:**3,5,8 **4:**5, 25 **48**:23 **50**:3,6 **51**:1 **52**:9, 22 55:18 81:15 85:11 112: hear [3] 4:3 35:1 58:13 heard [2] 70:5 103:9 heart [1] 33:13 heightened [2] 8:12 74:24 held [3] 5:6 79:18 97:14 Hellerstedt [1] 59:18 help [1] 5:13 helpful [2] 61:12 106:7 helps [1] 78:24 high [5] 9:10 10:1 47:23 58: 4 **74**:10 higher 3 31:7 73:13 75:15 highlight [1] 16:22 himself [1] 64:15 hinder [1] 56:17 historian [1] 76:13 historical [5] 73:15 75:4. 14 **76**:7.12 history [24] 4:14 16:5 21: 24 24:3.7.12 27:19.20.25 40:24 73:23 75:18,25 76: 14 77:5 78:24,25 79:2,6 80:3,19 102:21,24 103:5 hits [1] 27:3 hold [1] 66:15 holding [6] 5:9 20:17 47: 25 48:6 63:22 64:1 home [2] 4:13 22:23

honestly [1] 33:8

Honor [92] 6:11,24 7:8 8:3,

6,21,24 11:20,24,24 12:11 13:18 16:10,17 18:15 19:4, 13,24 **20:**13 **23:**19 **24:**2,8, 10,25 **25:**13,18,24 **26:**8,21 27:1,16 28:17 29:12 30:10, 21 31:19 32:16 35:5 37:16 **38**:14,25 **40**:25 **43**:2,8,15 **44:**1,10,17 **45:**25 **47:**3 **49:** 12 **50**:1,9,21 **52**:4 **53**:3,22 55:2.15.24 57:13 58:20 60: 8 61:22 62:24 63:15 64:21 **65**:9 18 **66**:4 20 **67**:23 **68**: 4 **69**:1 **71**:9 **72**:2 **74**:3.11. 16 **75**:21 **76**:10 **78**:1,13 **81**: 1 82:13 83:1,21 108:1,7 **111**:12 **112**:22 **113**:25 Honor's [1] 42:9 hope [3] 11:5 37:4 42:24 host [1] 7:15 hot [1] 13:19 Hotel [4] 45:19 79:10 80:8 90:2 hotly [1] 29:23 hours [1] 56:11 House [1] 14:23 however [4] 32:3 38:3 51: huge [2] 18:2 111:19 human [8] 4:21 5:4 17:18 **25**:15,17 **30**:19 **35**:11 **113**: humblest [1] 113:10 hundred [1] 72:13 hundreds [1] 85:8 hurt [1] 48:23 husband [1] 98:1

idea [5] 57:15 92:24 94:16 99:2 102:19 identical [1] 81:25 identified [2] 37:19 45:18 identify [2] 24:15 106:11 identifying [2] 24:24 37:18 ignore [1] 104:11 illegal [1] 50:19 illness [1] 52:9 imagine [1] 81:24 immediately [2] 74:7 101: immunity [2] 74:1.9 impact [4] 51:20 57:25 99: 12 100:10 impacts [1] 52:1 imperative [1] 16:3 implement [1] 87:3 implemented [1] 87:9 implicate [1] 98:16

hypothesize [1] 101:2

hypothetical [2] 62:17,18

Hypothetically [1] 62:18

34:8 37:21 39:9 56:4 79:5 80:2 89:13 96:14 104:25 106:12 107:10 imposed [1] 69:4 imposes [1] 57:24 improper [1] 94:18 improperly [2] 18:16 32:2 inappropriate [1] 53:16 incest [1] 85:6 inclined [1] 8:15 include [5] 72:5 78:10 89: 25 90:1 109:16 included [1] 38:21 includes [4] 4:24 38:16.19 including [7] 15:18,19 22: 8 **24**:1 **63**:19 **72**:7 **88**:11 incorporates [1] 69:2 incorporation [1] 88:6 increase [1] 82:23 incredibly [3] 96:16 104: 11 110:19 independent [2] 46:7 66: 16 indicated [1] 40:13 individual [7] 84:24 85:16 88:8,12,21 96:12,22 individuals [3] 22:19 88:9, inevitable [1] 9:25 inexorable [1] 45:3 inference [1] 53:4 inferiority [2] 90:16 93:1 inflicted [1] 113:19 information [1] 76:15 infringement [1] 56:25 ingested [2] 49:17,20 ingesting [1] 50:19 inherent [1] 22:17 injured [1] 103:21 innumerable [1] 113:21 inquiry [2] 20:23 27:21 inserted [1] 19:15 inside [1] 103:21 insight [1] 19:22 insofar [2] 45:13 56:15 instance [3] 51:4 91:25 95: Instead [2] 57:23 81:4 institution [4] 15:4 33:2 69:20 70:13 integrity [6] 6:20 34:3 49: 10 **58**:25 **86**:7 **110**:9 intelligible [1] 104:22 intended [1] 22:15 interest [28] 29:22 31:23 **49**:23 **64**:19 **65**:16,25 **71**: 21 74:24 83:24 84:1 85:14 87:10,15 94:5 97:11 98:17 **100**:11 **101**:11.16.22 **103**:

13.23.24 **105**:3 **106**:3.16.

interested [1] 87:24

interesting [1] 69:13

20.25

interests [48] 25:10 29:18 32:1,3 34:8 44:11 48:15 50:2,4 64:25 65:5,10,19,22, 24 **66**:21 **75**:1 **82**:23 **86**:6 87:12 89:8 91:16 95:20,24 **96**:4,6,10 **100**:18 **104**:1,5, 10,12 106:12,15,19,24 107: 9,17,19 **109:**1,7,16,16 **110:** 9.10.13 112:23.24 intermediate [1] 41:11 international [2] 54:23 55: interpreted [3] 72:5,12 78: interrupt [1] 25:21 interrupting [1] 105:8 intimate [1] 25:7 intrude [1] 22:20 intruding [1] 88:14 investments [1] 96:20 invite [1] 88:17 involve [1] 25:15 involved [3] 49:15 88:18 112:25 involves [2] 7:5 112:21 irrelevant [1] 99:15 isn't [9] 28:22,23 29:1 30:4 **64**:20 **69**:7 **70**:23 **90**:17 102.4 issue [43] 5:17,17,19,21 7: 19 **13**:19 **14**:9 **18**:25 **19**:3, 3,4,10 20:11,13 29:23 31: 23 32:20 36:2,24 37:5 38: 7 **39**:9,16,17 **43**:22 **50**:16 **53**:11.19 **60**:10.16 **68**:4.10 **69:8 77:20.21 89:5 98:10** 99:13 102:1 106:13.22 **112**:21 **113**:5 issued [1] 71:15 issues [6] 31:1 50:22 51:3 67:2 88:20 113:6 itself [9] 11:11 12:25 42:15 43:11 54:10 57:23 60:4 95: 15 **110**:1

JACKSON [4] 1:8 2:2 4:5 112:3 jettisoned [1] 12:24 job [3] 52:10 70:2 99:25 jobs [1] 96:21 John [1] 102:15 iudaes [2] 35:23.25 judgment [2] 5:20 83:16 iudicial [2] 11:1 74:6 judiciary [1] 10:24 JULIE [3] 2:4 3:6 47:9 June [3] 35:22 59:22 63:24 jurisprudence [5] 6:6 7: 24 8:10 32:19,21 Justice [264] 2:7 4:3,9 6:4 7:4.22.25 8:18.19.22.25 11: 9,16,21 12:20 13:5,12,14 14:1,6,8 15:9 16:1,8,11,15,

important [19] 7:15.16.17.

19 10:2 12:24 30:24 32:23

implicates [1] 7:21

groundable [1] 40:24

18,21 17:10,11,12,19,21 **18:**6,11,21,23 **19:**8,12,17, 19,24 20:3,5,21,22,24 21:1, 20 22:6 23:11,16 24:16,17, 19,19,21,23 **25**:20,25 **26**: 12,16,19,22 **27:**8,9,22,24 28:5,12,19,22 29:1,6,9,13, 21 30:6,12,16 31:3 32:6,7, 22,22 35:3,20 36:12,22,25 **37:**2 **38:**18 **39:**14.15 **40:**7. 12 41:1,2,3,4,4,6,7,8,9 42: 6 **43:**3.3.5.6.9.16 **44:**2.16. 18,18,20,22 **45**:11 **46**:20 **47**:4,7,8,11 **49**:7,13 **50**:7, 13 **51**:18,19 **52**:24 **53**:10 **54:**14 **55:**12 **56:**5,6 **58:**9 **59**:8 **60**:25 **61**:11,24 **62**:4, 8,15 **63**:6,8 **64**:2,15 **65**:2, 11,14,20,23 66:7,9 67:3,5 68:8,18 69:12,13 71:18,18, 20 72:9,16 73:17,18,18,20, 21 74:6,14,17 75:3,16 76:5, 17,18,18,20,21,22,23 78: 19.23 **81:**16.17.17.19 **82:** 18 **83**:8,15 **84**:10,16 **85**:24 **86**:5,13,22 **87**:17,22,23,24 88:16,24 89:1,20,25 91:5,9 92:4,13 93:2,6,17,19,25 94: 2,10,14 95:19 97:22 99:5,9, 11.24 **100**:13.14 **101**:1.24 **102**:14 **103**:7,7,9,15 **104**: 13,14,14,16,17,18,20 105: 4,7 **106**:6,8,8,10 **107**:21 **108**:16,17,17,19,20 **109**:8 **110**:12.25 **111**:1.7.10.18 **112**:14 **113**:8 **114**:1 justices [5] 14:17,20,24 15: 2 91:24 justification [6] 10:7 33: 16,16 **81**:6,7 **102**:11 justified [2] 94:4 102:10

KAGAN [12] 24:16,19 32:6, 22 35:4 36:22 41:8,9 42:6 **76**:20 **95**:19 **109**:8 Kagan's [1] 108:20 Kavanaugh [19] 43:5,6,9, 16 44:2,16 76:22,23 78:19 81:16 88:17 89:1.25 91:9 106:9.10 107:21 108:16 112:14 Kavanaugh's [1] 87:24 kept [1] 4:17 key [4] 76:13 88:25 89:2 91: kills [1] 70:12 kind [16] 20:18 27:3 31:2 32:14 35:19 37:12 38:14 **46**:2,6 **52**:25 **92**:9,10 **95**: 13 96:2,18 101:8 knowing [1] 35:18 knowledge [2] 17:15 40:

known [1] 59:14 knows [2] 97:14 113:12 Korea [1] 54:21

last [9] 10:20 12:12 14:1,9

law [42] 4:15.23.24 5:1.2.3.

6 6:2 11:2 12:13 13:1 17:1

laid [2] 16:7 62:13

language [1] 109:2

**17**:3,8 **22**:8,11 **52**:20

laissez [1] 90:24

later [1] 10:7

19:14 20:20 34:20 35:25 42:21 48:2 49:5.23 53:25 54:23 55:4 59:14 60:14.22 69:6 72:4 73:8.22 74:4 75: 10,22 82:3,12 90:7 92:3 95:18,24 99:14 106:5 113: Lawrence [5] 25:4 27:11 **45**:7 **72**:15 **79**:15 laws [13] 8:8 44:14 50:3 56: 7,22 **57**:11,14 **71**:12 **109**:5, 23 110:4 111:11.15 laver [1] 95:16 laying [1] 9:12 lead [4] 10:23 35:16 111:13. leads [1] 35:10 least [8] 12:7 37:23 38:1 47:21 65:15 72:21 92:8 110:5 leave [3] 41:15 77:16 101: leaves [2] 4:25 43:22 led [4] 23:24 24:14 31:21 46:14 left [7] 35:18 44:1 68:12 77: 8 **107**:25 **110**:21 **111**:10 legal [9] 39:20 55:5.16 66: 25 75:5 76:16 84:7 85:8 91:15 legalization [1] 53:5 legislate [2] 24:5 113:4 legislative [1] 21:25 legislature [2] 22:2,5 legislatures [2] 107:13 108:1 legitimacy [4] 10:18 46:2, 20.22 lengthy [1] 39:5 less [5] 54:4.4 81:12 82:24 112:12 letting [1] 12:21 level [8] 26:20,23 72:21 73: 6,13 75:15 77:23 96:23 liberties [1] 88:14 liberty [37] 48:3,12,14 49:5 **72**:1,4,5,12 **73**:6,25 **74**:9, 22,23 78:9,10,13 83:23,24 **85**:13,23 **86**:2,4,7 **87**:10 89:15 97:11.16 98:17 100: 11 101:11,16,22 103:12,13

Official **104:**5 **105**:3 **106**:2 life [33] 4:21,25 5:11 7:6,21 18:19 25:16,17 29:23 30:3, 7,9,19 31:4,11 34:9,10 48: 23 50:2,25 52:9 58:19 65: 25 77:3 84:9 87:15 96:14 99:7,23 102:13 106:16 109:4 113:1 life-altering [3] 48:11 51: 16 74:21 liaht [1] 56:13 likely [1] 48:25 likewise [2] 94:4 95:9 limit [2] 101:4.4 limits [1] 88:12 line [66] 14:9,15,19 19:20 21:14,14 22:1,4,5 29:15,17 **33**:11 **38**:1,2 **41**:12 **53**:16 **55**:15,22 **57**:20 **60**:17,18 **62**:14 **63**:22,25 **64**:3,9,11, 14,20 65:9,12,17 66:12,22, 25 **69**:2.11 **74**:25 **75**:1 **81**: 21.22 82:11.15.21 83:2.3.4 6.12.22 **84**:2.4.7 **91**:17 **100**: 23 101:9.14 102:10.17.20 103:4 104:21 105:1.15.19. line-drawing [3] 20:2 68: 22 24 lines [3] 24:13 55:7,13 link [1] 90:6 list [4] 40:4 80:1 88:25 89: listed [2] 80:13 88:17 listened [1] 80:5 litany [1] 87:24 literature [1] 21:3 little [9] 5:13 20:14 37:3.4 **44**:21 **67**:4 **72**:22 **95**:21 **96**: live [1] 96:19 lives [14] 34:2,5 35:11 52: 23 58:1.8 81:15 85:12 96: 14 **97**:5 **100**:19 **101**:3 **110**: 24 113:22 locally [1] 12:5 Lochner [3] 72:18 80:9 90: logical [1] 102:11 logically [3] 48:15 66:23 logistical [1] 100:4 long [2] 40:4 87:24 meant [2] 89:19 90:10 longer [2] 49:2 65:6 measure [1] 101:14 look [19] 6:24 13:24 28:3 medical [9] 31:10,16 35:22 33:19 36:1 39:16 40:1,2,7, **59**:22 **63**:24 **66**:17,18 **100**:

looks [5] 17:17 24:3 27:19. 20 42:13 lose [1] 99:24 loss [2] 10:24 52:10 lost [1] 38:6 lot [10] 9:23 37:13 39:8,22 40:17,23 44:23 94:10,14, lots [1] 78:22 loud [1] 89:24 loudest [1] 33:4 Loving [3] 28:4 59:5 72:14 lower [6] 5:6 35:18,23 38:5 **60**:19 **72**:21 lowest-cost [1] 112:3 М made [14] 16:20 34:12,14, 16,24 **51**:20,22 **52**:8 **64**:12 **68**:6 **70**:23 **71**:7 **78**:3 **90**: Madison [2] 22:9 23:6 magnitude [1] 39:24 major [2] 48:23 52:9 majority [4] 44:3 54:17 55: 4 89:4 many [24] 7:14 12:3.3.16 **15**:20 **20**:9 **24**:11.14 **25**:12 40:14 44:6.12 56:15 64:13 **67**:19 **74**:4 **76**:11 **84**:2 **85**: 5,19 91:7 100:1 110:18 111:25 Mapp [1] 79:17 Marbury [2] 22:9 23:6 mark [1] 7:12 marks [3] 7:18,21 102:12 marriage [7] 25:8 28:1 49: 12 59:4 73:11 78:12 79:24 marry [1] 23:3 materially [2] 92:9,10 matter [16] 1:16 5:2.8 12:4 **36:**3 **39:**3 **57:**11.13 **78:**6 82:2,10,11 83:9,11,15,17 matters [3] 7:17 8:11 89:7 mean [19] 10:14 18:11 25: 22 27:22 28:16 32:13,13 34:13 39:22 42:14 45:10 50:13 55:13 58:10 72:17 82:18 95:12,13 108:6 Meaning [1] 31:5 meaningful [1] 102:13 means [6] 22:12 35:17 58: 12 86:25 94:20 98:21

mention [3] 7:10 90:13 91: mentioned [14] 15:13 25:3 **26**:14 **30**:21 **32**:25 **35**:21 **52**:7 **63**:23 **70**:6 **73**:22 **84**: 5 **99**:6 **107**:7 **109**:5 merely [1] 61:4 merits [4] 37:12 38:11,15 39.2 met [1] 13:10 methods [1] 98:21 metrics [1] 12:17 Meyer [1] 72:14 middle [1] 90:21 might [5] 25:21 30:15 45: 22 56:11 107:18 miles [1] 85:8 militia [1] 15:23 millions [1] 35:10 minimize [1] 45:16 minority [3] 17:24,25 18:2 minute [2] 90:13,14 Miranda [2] 79:11 88:21 MISSISSIPPI [13] 1:4 2:3 4:22 14:23.25 31:8 47:16 **54:**9 **58:**3,5 **92:**11 **98:**4 107:15 Mississippi's [2] 47:13 48: mistake [1] 46:5 mistaken [4] 14:1 62:8 93: 1 98:19 moment [4] 64:19 87:12 93:14 22 momentous [2] 39:8 113: money [2] 98:5 100:4 month [1] 99:1 months [4] 4:25 21:18 47: 14 78:16 monumental [1] 110:2 morning [1] 4:4 most [19] 10:6,16 12:23 16: 25 34:4 52:6 55:9 61:9 67: 19 77:2 79:4,5 80:2,12 89: 13 98:21 102:24 105:25 113:11 mother [1] 75:12 motherhood [2] 56:17.21 move [1] 55:22 moving [1] 44:14 Ms [50] 47:8,11 49:10,25 50: 9,21 52:4 53:1,21 55:1,14 56:6 57:12 58:20 60:8 61: 22 62:1,12,23 63:15 64:21 65:8,13,18,21 66:3,8,20 67: 22 68:25 71:9 72:1,11 73: 5 74:3,10,15,18 75:8,20 76: 9 77:25 80:25 82:13,25 83: 11.21 108:21.24 109:6 much [19] 7:23 14:7 16:18 **18**:12 **21**:23 **22**:7 **27**:7 **31**: 7 **33**:19 **35**:6 **40**:14 **52**:14 **56**:3,24 **58**:11,17 **68**:12 **80**:

8 41:22 46:19,21 50:16 65:

23 70:3 90:18 95:25 104:

looked [7] 13:16.17 23:14

**66**:23 **78**:25 **91**:13 **99**:13

looking [11] 40:14 46:3.7.8.

12 54:22 58:17 70:14,15

94:13 99:14

1 108:13

medicine [2] 17:9,13

membership [1] 33:6

memory [1] 17:2

men [1] 100:18

members [3] 10:10 14:20

11 89:23 multiple [1] 96:10 must [3] 23:10 93:21 110: 21

### Ν

nail [1] 108:21 name [1] 15:19 narrowly [1] 56:24 nation [2] 11:2 109:4 nature [2] 20:1 107:24 navigating [1] 52:14 nearly [2] 35:14 85:2 necessarily [3] 21:9 26:25 42:17 need [11] 37:23.24 52:5 55: 25 **74:**25 **81:**12 **84:**1 **99:**3. 17 100:7 109:12 needed [2] 93:7 96:25 needs [2] 5:13 95:16 negative [4] 24:14 25:11, 12 49:21 neglect [3] 49:16 50:14 103:11 neither [6] 29:16 43:20 57: 22 77:6.13 113:11 neutral [8] 35:25 43:18 77: 4.11.12.17 **78:**8 **112:**16 neutrality [2] 77:19 80:22 Nevada [1] 59:1 never [12] 4:19 14:14 26:10 **36:**2 **39:**18 **61:**7 **71:**13 **78:** 17 81:2 85:18 92:5 95:6 nevertheless [1] 91:23 New [16] 2:4,4 10:10 13:1 14:24 15:2,18 40:9,10 57: 15 **83**:4 **92**:10 **98**:12 **107**: 15 **110:**4.5 newest [1] 14:24 niaht [1] 61:10 nominal [3] 55:7.13.15 none [2] 23:4 25:14 nor [4] 43:20 77:6,13 113: normal [2] 67:21 70:17 North [1] 54:21 Note [2] 76:1 112:2 noted [1] 13:19 nothing [9] 35:6,11 69:7 81:11 92:15 94:25 100:10 110:35 notion [1] 94:6 novel [1] 22:12 Nowhere [1] 4:20 number [9] 7:9 42:8,17 61:

### 0

5 **63**:3 **71**:14 **95**:20 **99**:18

O'Connor [1] 69:24 Obergefell [4] 25:5 27:11, 24 79:22 objective [1] 42:12 objectively [2] 66:25 84:8

108:11

obligations [2] 56:20 58: 13 obstacle [2] 69:4,7 obtain [3] 4:25 53:24 56:2 obtained [1] 79:20 Obviously [6] 17:23 45:9 **60**:3 **82**:15,16 **83**:5 occurred [3] 17:4 71:3 99: **OFFICER** [1] 1:4 often [3] 24:13 52:6.13 Ohio [1] 79:17 Okay [9] 11:19 13:5 25:20 26:20 42:19 65:20 70:21 **73:1 110:**12 old [1] 72:23 once [4] 38:8 40:18 74:23 83:25 one [48] 6:10,24 7:10,12.18 **9:1 15:13 16:12,23 18:2**, 20 28:21 29:16 30:15 34: 10 36:18.18 37:7 38:16.23 **41**:15.20.20.20 **42**:18.25 44:10.22 45:2 46:9 48:20 **49**:8 **51**:11 **53**:12 **58**:24 **69**: 16,17,17 70:24,25 79:9 88: 18 **90**:1,2 **97**:1 **98**:22 **106**: 25 110:20 ones [2] 109:8,10 only [15] 7:5 10:6 26:2 30:1 31:15 37:7,21 38:8 42:7 **59**:25 **60**:16 **63**:10 **67**:11 103:1 104:10 open [1] 90:17 opinion [7] 9:2 11:3 14:11 **26**:18.23 **35**:22 **76**:11 opportunities [2] 56:19 **58:**18 opportunity [2] 53:15 60:7 opposed [2] 15:22 70:8 opposite [1] 89:10 options [1] 63:10 oral [7] 1:17 3:2,5,8 4:7 47: 9 84:13 order [1] 43:12 ordering [3] 65:10,21 66: ordinary [1] 9:22 organism [1] 30:20 **ORGANIZATION** [2] 1:9 4: organize [1] 96:13 original [2] 39:3 71:20 other [51] 7:2,14,24 8:2,7 **13**:1 **14**:4 **15**:19 **16**:20 **19**: 7 **25**:12 **27**:10 **32**:11 **34**:8, 10 36:10 38:21 40:14,15, 17 41:22 43:19 48:15 51:3 53:5 54:18 57:1 58:1.2 59: 10 60:2 61:18 65:24 70:9 76:23 80:23 84:2 86:23.24 90:23 94:1 100:8.16 104:5. 12,21 106:14,22 107:1,6

Official others [2] 14:4 78:23 otherwise [3] 28:13 32:19 73:14 out [27] 7:12,18 16:7 18:14 **19:**25 **20:**8 **25:**13,18 **28:**3 45:11 48:20 49:14 56:8 58: 12 59:19 62:13 67:7 68:21 **72**:17 **75**:6 **76**:1 **80**:4 **81**: 23 89:24 96:7 104:8 111: 12 outlawed [1] 79:8 outlier [1] 94:8 outset [1] 104:1 outside [2] 5:12 108:12 outsider [1] 97:25 outward [2] 13:16 46:3

outweighs [2] 36:18,19 over [10] 14:17 19:5 35:16 37:1 52:20 59:16 72:13 88: 19 96:15 107:1 overall [1] 41:13 overlooking [1] 109:23 overrule [19] 6:1 7:25 8:15 9:5,20 10:16 26:11 39:7 42:7 43:1 47:1 63:12 71:3, 5,13 81:2 85:22 91:23 108: 5 overruled [17] 12:23 37:14 79:7 80:3,14 87:25 92:5,

18 93:11,13,16,20,21,21 94:24 95:3,6 overrules [2] 25:19 113:22 overruling [12] 10:8,22 26: 4 40:21 45:5 47:25 63:21 85:1 90:9,10 94:4 95:9 overrulings [3] 36:10 46: 11 70:18

overturn [3] 9:25 13:9 28:6 overturned [2] 23:10 48:4 overturning [2] 10:3 88:19 overwhelming [1] 91:18 own [3] 14:13 98:1 110:2

#### P

PAGE [2] 3:2 69:9
pages [7] 68:5 76:11 89:22,
24 91:2,7 108:25
pain [4] 17:16 18:1,7 21:10
papers [4] 16:19 19:19,25
68:21
paradox [2] 70:4,22
paradoxical [1] 67:14
paragraph [1] 16:23
paragraphs [1] 17:2
parental [3] 56:9 57:9 110:
15
Parenthood [3] 4:11 57:4
58:13
parenting [6] 56:15,17,20
57:22 109:17 111:13

14 **4**:8 **111**:5

Petitioners' [1] 109:15

philosophical [8] 30:20

physical [5] 48:10 51:15

pick [4] 77:1,21 82:21 106:

picking [2] 78:22 111:9

**57**:24 **72**:7 **74**:20

physically [1] 31:15

**66**:5,10,11 **67**:2 **69**:15 **78**:

philosophers [2] 29:24 32:

22 33:3 34:20.21 42:6 45: 2 **57**:4 **72**:19 **89**:15 **110**:18 participate [2] 85:20 109:3 participation [1] 52:21 particular [5] 7:11 50:3 54: 25 58:3 99:11 particularly [4] 6:12 10:2 13:16 48:22 partners [1] 96:13 parts [2] 9:1 13:1 pass [1] 41:19 passages [1] 91:11 passed [1] 35:6 passing [1] 58:12 past [2] 52:18 61:15 path [1] 69:5 pay [2] 98:5 100:2 people [64] 5:10,17,18,23, 25 **7**:20 **8**:11 **9**:3 **11**:18,19 **12**:1,2,3 **13**:21 **15**:17,21,23 **17:**25 **20:**9 **21:**3.6.8 **25:**9 28:14 29:4 30:25.25 32:14 **33:**1.4.20 **34:**10.14.14.15 **36**:4.4 **43**:22 **44**:1 **49**:1 **52**: 5,8,10,10 61:5,9 63:2,3 64: 13 **67**:10 **70**:1,8 **71**:15 **75**: 19 **77**·9 **89**·5 **91**·1 **96**·17 97:24,24 99:14 101:2 107: 13 18 people's [3] 22:25 54:21 66:3 perceived [1] 91:22 percent [4] 21:5 31:6 98:3, percentage [1] 31:7 perception [1] 15:6 perhaps [6] 16:25 43:23 **52**:8 **69**:20 **89**:12 **91**:23 period [5] 26:11 54:25 56:2. 11 68:17 permit [2] 55:5,16 persist [1] 98:16 person [2] 72:4 97:14 person/one [1] 79:9 personal [2] 15:22 22:18 personhood [2] 32:10 66: perspective [1] 40:3 petition [2] 37:6.17 Petitioner [1] 56:8 Petitioners [6] 1:6 2:3 3:4.

place [10] 14:25 22:3 34:17 **42**:18,19 **51**:21 **55**:11 **57**: 16 **80**:11 **81**:22 placed [1] 89:17 places [2] 5:16 34:22 Planned [2] 4:11 101:2 planning [1] 97:23 play [1] 67:7 plea [1] 91:4 please [5] 4:10 47:12 62:9 84:17 91:4 Plessy [11] 36:13 45:6 80:8 90:13,15 92:14,21,22 93:8 94:15 113:8 plunge [1] 36:23 plus [1] 69:18 point [32] 10:21 28:19 29:2 **31**:25 **32**:10 **35**:7 **36**:8 **51**: 13,20 **53**:14 **54**:7,9 **55**:17 **59:**19 **60:**9 **65:**3,15 **66:**1,2, 15 **69**:19.22 **71**:10 **75**:10 87:16 89:20 21 90:20 98:6 102:12 105:10 107:1 pointed [8] 18:14 19:25 23: 21 25:13 28:3 45:11 96:11 111:12 points [6] 37:17 39:11 56:8 64:22 77:25 111:8 poisoned [1] 4:15 poked [1] 18:19 police [1] 79:12 political [11] 4:18 10:4,9 **14:**21 **15:**7.21.24 **16:**2 **33:** 2 36:24 70:11 politicians [1] 70:11 poor [3] 31:6 48:24 52:13 poorer [1] 31:17 popular [3] 67:9,13 70:3 population [2] 28:14 31:7 posed [1] 50:22 posing [1] 103:18 position [9] 32:9 76:25 77: 19 **78**:8 **80**:21 **90**:6 **105**:12 108:24.24 positions [1] 41:11 possibility [2] **54**:2 **60**:25 possible [3] 15:10 45:5 47: post-viability [2] 49:18 50: potential [1] 34:9 Potter [1] 10:21 power [1] 11:1 powerful [1] 113:11 powerfully [1] 46:21 practicable [1] 8:10 practice [2] 66:18 80:19 pre-viability [6] 37:8 49:21 50:7.20 58:6 72:8 precedent [19] 13:3 47:15. 17 **67**:17 22 23 **71**:12 17 **79**:7 **80**:4.7.14 **81**:1.4 **87**: 25 91:21 95:15,16 105:25

part [13] 9:4 13:14 20:16 25:

parents [1] 22:21

parsing [1] 101:8

Parrish [1] 90:2

**112:**13

precedents [5] 12:22 37:

regarded [1] 67:18

regulate [4] 50:1,24 79:11

regulations [8] 41:19 56:1

60:12 61:17 62:3,20 68:14

reinforce [2] 73:15 105:2

reject [4] 14:15 24:4 104:

rejected [5] 7:2 47:24 59:

related [5] 49:11 59:3 73:

relationship [4] 88:3,5,9

relationships [2] 25:7 96:

reliance [35] 17:3 25:10 84:

25 89:8.17.18.20 91:16 94:

6,11,15,17,18,19 95:20,23

**96**:4,6,10,12,18,23 **97**:20

**98**:9 **100**:17,19 **101**:7 **102**:

regulation [1] 102:22

regimes [1] 55:19

regular [1] 17:22

103:20

20 105:1

99:25

11 **78**:4 **81**:9

rejects [1] 75:13

11 78:11 112:7

relevant [1] 60:5

### Official

25 80:17.23 88:25 preclude [1] 18:16 pregnancies [4] 73:9 85: 10 98:16,25 pregnancy [44] 48:1,9,21, 24 49:3,17 50:24 51:15,16 52:13 53:13 54:9 56:21 57: 3.23 **58**:17 **64**:18 **65**:6.16 72:8 74:13.16.21 75:22 76: 4 78:16 84:21.23 85:5 86: 11 87:21 97:17.24 98:23 99:22 100:12 102:12 103: 1 **104**:2.7 **108**:9 **109**:13 **110**:14 **111**:21 pregnant [4] 47:18 52:11 99:20 106:19 PRELOGAR [34] 2:6 3:9 84:12,13,16 86:3,22 87:19 88:23 91:5 92:7,19 93:4, 12,18,24 94:1,3,12 95:5 96: 9 98:11 99:8 100:25 101:6 102:8 18 103:15 104:24 105:5.21 107:21 109:20 110:17 premise [2] 92:24 93:14 prenatal [1] 50:5 present [2] 38:10 79:14 presented [4] 38:9 39:12 63:17 80:6 presenting [1] 39:12 presents [1] 47:22 preserve [4] 48:14 50:25 51:1 106:1 Preserving [1] 48:13 pressure [5] 10:4.5.23 13: 17.18 pressures [1] 10:9 presumably [3] 19:21 38: 21 44:5 pretty [3] 8:23 30:18 68:12 prevail [5] 12:8 44:3,7 107: 1 108:4 prevent [2] 32:2 33:1 preventing [1] 33:4 previous [1] 81:1 primarily [3] 58:15 69:23 principal [1] 76:6 principle [12] 9:13 10:4 13: 25 **20**:7,7,10 **32**:24 **33**:13 **46**:25 **68**:11 **83**:12 **104**:22 principled [9] 54:4 65:9,12 **66:**21 **82:**15 **83:**3,5 **105:**20, principles [8] 9:5 10:11 13: 8 **39:**20 **82:**10 **83:**10,17 **85:** prior [8] 9:20 61:17 62:11, 20 67:16 80:17 87:20 107: privacy [10] 6:7,8,17,19 22: 25 23:23 71:24 72:24 73:3 pro-choice [4] 43:21 64:

13 77:7.13 pro-life [4] 43:20 64:14 77: 6,14 probably [1] 64:10 problem [11] 21:21 27:4 **35**:20,22 **56**:23 **70**:5,6 **76**: 24 106:21.25 112:18 problematic [3] 7:22 8:13 40:16 problems [4] 12:16 20:2 29:20 42:8 procedure [1] 5:5 process [11] 4:15 6:22 27: 12,13,19 43:24 72:4,25,25 73:1 82:1 procreation [1] 59:4 produced [1] 25:11 profession [1] 53:9 professional [1] 58:18 profound [6] 7:13 49:4 85: 10 **96**:23 **104**:4 **105**:3 progress [1] 25:22 prohibit [7] 43:11.13 50:11 **60**:15 **61**:3 **79**:17 **87**:13 prohibition [5] 60:13 69:6 100:23 102:4.5 prohibitions [2] 37:9 60: 12 prohibits [2] 4:23 5:7 promises [1] 5:16 promote [1] 8:9 promotes [1] 86:6 propel [1] 48:18 proper [1] 75:11 properly [3] 91:22 93:20 97:7 propose [2] 8:2 105:16 proposed [1] 59:22 proposition [1] 24:4 prosecutions [1] 79:19 protect [4] 5:11 6:16 30:3 **34**:3 protected [2] 89:15 101:11 protecting [3] 34:9,9 87: protection [5] 72:2 74:24

83:23 94:20 101:15

protective [1] 83:19

proved [2] 60:2.4

provided [1] 6:14

provides [1] 78:8

providing [1] 87:11

provision [1] 73:24

prudential [1] 83:16

purposeful [1] 25:15

purposefully [1] 35:9

proves [1] 21:9

84:20

14

purse [1] 69:22 pursue [3] 34:2 52:23 53:8 push [1] 42:4 put [5] 8:10 9:1 14:25 31:9, puts [2] 8:11 25:18 Putting [4] 30:12 31:4 53: 10 60:2 Q

quadruple [1] 70:20 quality [1] 96:2 question [53] 10:19 19:22 21:12 24:24 26:10 30:15 **31:**21 **34:**11 **37:**7 **38:**9.12. 16.16.22 39:12 42:5.24 43: 19.21 44:21 48:3 49:8 56: 7,25 59:9,24 60:24 64:8,22 67:24 68:3 69:13 71:21 77: 5,7,13,17 **78:**20 **80:**14 **81:** 20,23 82:14 93:9 99:16 **102**:7 **103**:9,18 **105**:8,13, 18 107:4 108:20,22 questions [15] 6:3 24:22 30:21 37:4.19 39:6 41:3 **55**:2 **60**:3 **73**:23 **78**:22.23 84:9 99:10 107:8 auick [1] 41:10 quickening [2] 74:13 75:5 quintessentially [1] 21:25 quite [9] 22:4 26:9 27:17 39:18 40:19,23 55:24 67:6,

#### R

quote [2] 10:21 109:1

race [1] 113:13 radar [1] 27:3 raise [1] 100:3 raised [3] 38:7,20 69:14 ramifications [1] 7:13 ran [1] 24:2 range [1] 68:17 rape [1] 85:5 raped [1] 97:24 rare [4] 9:8.15 13:7 70:7 rate [2] 98:8.20 rather [4] 46:8 77:20 107: 12 **110**:15 rational [1] 8:8 rationale [1] 33:25 re-argued [1] 92:14 re-evaluate [1] 37:25 re-read [1] 91:7 reach [3] 16:4 25:18 36:5 reached [1] 113:17 reaching [1] 65:3 react [1] 35:2 reaction [4] 9:17 45:14 103:12 107:6 read [13] 8:22 10:14 19:6 24 90:8 91:2,4,6 103:16

reading [1] 15:6 reads [1] 11:5 ready [1] 70:10 reaffirm [4] 9:1 19:6 63:11 reaffirmed [3] 14:18 64:4 85:14 reaffirming [3] 20:18 91: 18 **102**:9 real [4] 21:14 36:16 63:10 98.9 real-world [1] 85:1 realities [1] 113:15 reality [2] 38:4 99:4 realize [2] 93:8 99:20 realized [1] 93:15 really [20] 7:12 10:14 12:3 **18**:3 **21**:22 **25**:21 **40**:21 **46**: 22 53:19 55:19 59:6 64:14 **70**:8,9,19,24 **79**:1 **89**:2 **92**: 13 93:3 reason [12] 10:17 22:14 46: 9 47:25 68:20 70:2 15 16 **71**:13 **102**:17 **106**:22 **111**: reasonable [4] 22:4 54:1 60:25 94:6 reasonably [1] 32:16 reasoned [1] 23:22 reasoning [1] 12:23 reasons [12] 25:1 30:22,24 32:25 42:25 47:21 53:22 **54:**5 **55:**17.18.18 **57:**13 REBUTTAL [3] 3:12 111:3. recast [1] 12:22 recently [1] 59:23 recognize [7] 4:20 36:16 **49**:2 **73**:25 **74**:8 **89**:6 **113**:

2 108:20 109:1,7,15,16,22 110:13 relied [4] 48:19 76:1,6 95: relieve [1] 111:19 religion [2] 22:21 30:13 religions [1] 29:25 religious [6] 29:22 30:3 32: 18 21 **61:**8 10 relinauishina [1] 56:9 rely [2] 27:12 94:18 relying [4] 49:9 59:7 73:3 89.1 recognized [16] 22:20,22, remain [2] 47:18 79:14 24 23:2 30:18 36:13 79:10, remember [3] 19:18 76:10 23 84:19 85:14 96:11 97:8 90:15 98:15 103:25 107:23 110: remembering [1] 56:12 removed [1] 6:14 recognizes [2] 74:23 84:1 renounce [1] 48:3 recognizing [2] 52:12 88: renounces [1] 85:13 replace [1] 101:13 replaced [1] 59:12 require [1] 67:1 17 **85:**9

protects [3] 48:14 71:24 recoil [2] 18:20 21:7 recoiling [1] 18:19 reproductive [1] 96:18 reconsider [2] 37:24 55: Republic [1] 54:21 repudiation [1] 10:11 provide [3] 6:16 13:23 101: record [2] 42:13,15 recourse [1] 108:11 required [4] 79:12 81:5 83: reducing [1] 48:17 requiring [1] 57:8 reexamine [1] 10:17 refer [1] 53:1 resisting [1] 83:24 reference [2] 58:12 87:11 resolve [6] 4:19 9:12 37:21 referred [2] 99:24 110:10 43:23 67:1 107:14 public [4] 15:5 33:3 45:14 referring [3] 91:10 103:17 resolved [2] 66:6 78:5 109:8 resources [1] 100:2 25:23 58:10 63:9 69:16 89: pure [3] 90:23.23 113:10 refuse [1] 47:20 respect [7] 13:25 14:11 39: refusing [1] 108:7 10 80:20 86:24 103:6 104: readily [1] 40:24 regard [1] 46:4 Heritage Reporting Corporation

respectfully [4] 16:10 28: 10,11 30:5 respects [1] 45:12 respond [2] 60:7 77:24 Respondents [9] 1:10 2:5, 9 **3**:7,11 **47**:10 **84**:15 **111**: 11 112:6 responding [2] 21:4 63:17 response [3] 21:8 62:7 104:19 responsive [1] 99:10 rest [1] 68:13 restriction [2] 59:22 99:15 restrictive [1] 44:15 rests [1] 21:22 result [1] 12:9 retain [3] 91:20 95:15 110: retaining [1] 91:21 retrospect [1] 39:21 return [4] 30:25 60:24 77: 19 80:21 returned [2] 12:4 36:3 reverse [2] 97:18 101:16 review [2] 8:8 37:8 revisit [1] 37:24 revoked [1] 85:18 rid [3] 38:1 42:8 46:16 Riggins [1] 59:1 rights [18] 15:19 32:9 51:6 **56**:9 **57**:9 **61**:6 **66**:14 **85**: 16 **87**:3,4,5 **88**:10,15 **90**:3 **100**:10 **107**:25 **108**:7 **110**: RIKELMAN [52] 2:4 3:6 47: 8,9,11 **49**:10,25 **50**:9.21 **52**: 4 53:1.21 55:1.14 56:6 57: 12 **58**:20 **60**:8 **61**:22 **62**:1, 12,23 63:15 64:21 65:8,13, 18,21 66:3,8,20 67:22 68: 25 71:9 72:1,11 73:5 74:3, 10,15,18 **75**:8,20 **76**:9 **77**: 25 **80**:25 **82**:13,25 **83**:11, 21 108:22 109:6 Rikelman's [1] 108:24 rise [1] 26:23 rising [1] 26:20 risk [3] 31:4.10.17 risks [6] 5:4 48:10 57:24 **58:**4.7 **74:**20 road [2] 40:23 42:2 **ROBERTS** [39] **4:**3 **18:**23 19:8,12,17 20:3,5 37:2 38: 18 **39:**15 **41:**1,4 **43:**3 **44:** 18 **47**:4,8 **51**:19 **52**:24 **53**: 10 54:14 55:12 56:5 67:3 68:8 71:18 73:18 76:18 81: 17 84:10 100:14 101:1.24 102:14 103:7 104:14 106: 8 108:17 111:1 114:1 robust [1] 4:24 Roe [70] 4:11 5:9.14.24 6:1. 7 8:1 9:1,6,7 12:8,25 13:9 16:24 17:6 18:15,25 19:6,

10,11 20:7,16 22:16 23:8,9 23 26:17 32:1 33:14,20 35: 7 37:13 39:3,22,23 40:21 43:1 47:25 48:4,7 51:22 **52:**3 **56:**14 **57:**17,22 **58:**10 63:11,21 64:1,4 67:24 68: 11,23 69:18 76:6 82:19 85: 2,14 87:8 91:18 97:3.13 98:13 103:25 108:5.5 110: 6 111:23 112:19 113:18 Roe's [6] 12:22 20:17 48:6 **59:**11 **76:**2.16 role [1] 75:11 romantic [1] 25:7 rooted [1] 75:18 roughly [1] 56:2 rule [17] 8:17 11:2 13:7,7 35:25 39:4 48:2 49:5 79: 18 90:7 91:14 92:2 94:7 95:18.24 96:1 106:5 rules [7] 25:6.9 26:4 40:19 **45**:17 **55**:25 **108**:3 rulina [1] 28:8 run [9] 9:10 10:1 24:2 25:2 **32**:20 **90**:24 **92**:1 **94**:5 **97**: running [1] 113:18 rush [1] 54:8

# S

safe [8] 56:7,22 57:11 109: 5,22 110:4 111:10,15 safely [1] 25:18 same [20] 10:21 25:9 31:23 34:17,24 39:24 49:21 52:1 **55**:11,20 **57**:4 **59**:24 **65**:4, 16 78:2 80:23 81:8 83:14 95:14 111:24 same-sex [3] 27:25 79:17. save [1] 50:12 saw [2] 13:17 34:10 saying [19] 20:17 23:17 27: 13 31:14 32:5 33:9 34:20 44:1 46:12 58:9,14 62:7 66:12 68:10 70:14 72:19 77:18 78:4 89:5 says [6] 22:10 33:12 69:24 **75**:4 **82**:5 **106**:14 scale [2] 42:7 104:6 science [5] 17:9.22 18:3 **21**:13.22 scope [4] 82:3 87:10 101: 10 22 SCOTT [5] 2:2 3:3,13 4:7 111:4 scrupulously [3] 77:12,17 **112:**15 second [13] 9:2,4 15:20 48: 7 **59**:1 **78**:7 **82**:4,21 **83**:19 86:16 87:4 90:2 97:6 section [1] 71:5 secular [2] 32:8 66:11 secured [1] 97:3

see [12] 11:14 13:17 15:10 **18:**3 **26:**14 **44:**12 **65:**12 **88:** 2,10 90:19 102:6 104:21 seeing [2] 15:22 70:4 seek [2] 101:21 111:14 seeking [2] 50:5 103:19 seem [4] 6:6 57:3 67:13 104.9 seemed [3] 59:20 67:10 13 seems [6] 6:6 53:17 56:13 23 57:5 109:6 seen [3] 14:2,5 56:13 segregation [1] 92:25 Senate [1] 15:1 sensation [1] 21:10 sense [12] 22:3,25 27:10 **41**:10 **64**:15 **73**:14 **82**:7 **83**: 12,22 84:5 90:10 96:6 sentence [2] 10:20 38:23 sentences [1] 10:13 separate [3] 79:8 90:15 103:2 separately [2] 66:24 84:6 separateness [1] 102:19 separation [1] 52:10 serious [1] 10:19 seriously [2] 64:6 80:18 serve [1] 50:2 serves [2] 17:2 111:24 services [2] 61:8.10 set [10] 14:14 15:14.19 40: 16 **45**:17,20,24 **54**:23 **66**: 22 79.9 setting [1] 88:12 settled [1] 40:19 setup [1] 33:10 several [1] 76:13 severe [1] 85:2 sex [1] 25:9 share [2] 54:20,25 she's [1] 90:6 shift [1] 38:14 shifted [1] 37:12 shortest [1] 56:10 Shortly [3] 49:13 74:7,11 shouldn't [2] 67:11 81:3 show [4] 10:2,7 21:13 70: 20 showing [2] 53:3,4 side [10] 9:2 34:8 59:10 61: 18 **65**:24 **76**:24 **104**:5.12 106:14.22 side's [1] 107:7 sides [7] 5:22 13:18 36:17 **70**:8 **77**:2,21 **112**:24 signaled [1] 38:10 significantly [1] 112:11 signs [1] 52:13 silent [2] 43:18 79:14 similar [3] 23:23 54:11 90: similarly [1] **36**:15 simply [6] 12:21 61:9 63:2

since [10] 14:14.18 17:4.6 **18**:13 **29**:24 **33**:20 **57**:15, 17 98:13 Sir [1] 18:21 sit [1] 90:21 situation [6] 34:23 35:14 77:3 91:19 95:6 97:17 six-week [3] 14:25 54:10 101:19 slightly [2] 36:18,19 small [2] 18:6 98:8 social [5] 10:4 55:17 77:2. 20 109:3 societal [4] 84:25 89:18 97: 8 19 society [9] 15:14 28:25 51: 21 52:22 53:7 85:21 94:16 97:9 113:3 socioeconomic [1] 55:18 Solicitor [2] 2:2.6 solutions [1] 36:6 solve [1] 36:2 somebody [2] 95:25 112: somehow [2] 23:8 43:10 sometimes [2] 79:3 95:23 somewhat [2] 79:2 107:19 sorry [9] 11:23 16:17 17:20 19:9,13 24:23 25:21 52:24 105:7 sort [5] 36:7 41:17 52:1 67: 17 **72:**17 sorts [2] 39:25 112:9 **SOTOMAYOR** [49] **14**:6.8 **15**:9 **16**:1,8,11,15,18,21 **17**: 10.12.19.21 18:6.11.21 20: 22.25 21:1.20 22:6 23:12. 16 24:23 27:9,22,24 28:5, 12,19,22 29:1,6,9,13,21 30: 6,12,16 **31:**3 **41:**7 **76:**19 87:23 88:24 97:22 99:5,9, 24 100:13 sounded [1] 102:3 sounds [1] 103:19 source [2] 19:19 76:6 South [3] 49:15 90:18 94: space [1] 39:9 spades [1] 70:19 special [7] 9:7,8 13:7 26:4 81:5.7 90:11 specific [4] 72:22 103:17 **104:**3 **109:**9 specifically [10] 6:9 59:3 **75**:24 **76**:1 **85**:25,25 **86**:1, 8.20 87:18 spent [1] 76:11 **splintered** [1] **59:**23 sponsors [2] 14:22 15:1 spontaneous [1] 21:7 squarely [4] 20:13 68:3,5 **69:**8 stable [1] 95:17

stage [4] 37:17,20 38:15

stages [2] 85:4 103:1 stake [13] 48:16 51:4 65:22 66:22 67:2 75:2 105:5 106: 12,15,16 107:10,17 113:2 stakes [1] 10:12 stand [6] 4:19 12:22 46:23, 23 24 63:11 standard [36] 8:1.2.5.7.16 12:15 13:1 16:25 17:1.23. 24 29:19 38:17 41:13.14 42:11.12.22 51:23 54:2.17. 20,20 **59:**13,14,16 **60:**4,23 **61:**1,19 **62:**11,13,19 **63:**4,5 82.9 standards [1] 54:24 stands [1] 20:19 stare [51] 9:4 11:4,12,13 12: 11 **13**:4,8 **16**:7 **24**:14 **25**: 12,16 26:11 32:23 39:10, 15 **40**:16 **44**:23,25 **45**:2,8, 13.20 47:1.22 59:9 60:6 **67:**4.17.18.21.24.25 **70:**18. 18 71:4.5 78:20.24 79:1 80:15 81:9.24 82:17 83:8 85:17 91:12 92:1 94:3 95: 8 11 12 stark [1] 85:16 started [2] 32:23 75:9 starts [1] 6:13 STATE [51] 1:3 21:2 28:13 29:18 30:2 31:14 36:15 38: 15 **48**:8 **49**:23.25 **50**:1 **51**: 9 53:23 57:7 60:12 61:7 63:16 64:23 72:3 73:24 74: 10.18 79:16.19 81:6.24 82: 1.2.5.7 83:13.24 84:22 85: 25 86:10 87:13 88:6.7.19 92:8 93:5 98:19 101:12 **103**:19,23,24 **107**:12,13,25 109:20 state's [6] 6:2 63:18 82:22 102:22 104:9 113:24 **STATES** [42] **1**:1,18 **2**:8 **3**: 10 12:14 18:16 22:19 24:5 32:2 41:19 43:13.22 44:3. 4.4.6.6.13 **47:**17 **50:**11.23 **51:**5.11 **54:**8.12 **56:**8 **61:**2 63:1 71:11 75:6.9 77:9.16 78:15 84:14 85:3 101:19 103:3.25 107:18 108:7 113:4 states' [2] 79:11 88:9 status [1] 78:18 stench [1] 15:5 step [3] 6:14 39:8 61:15 **STEWART** [77] **2:**2 **3:**3,13 **4**:6,7,9 **6**:4,10 **7**:8 **8**:3,21, 24 10:21 11:8,20,23 13:11, 13 **14**:7 **15**:8 **16**:1,9,14,17, 21 17:14.20 18:5.10.14 19: 2.11.13.23 **20:**4.12.24 **21:** 19 **23**:11,19 **24**:25 **25**:24

92:5 93:21 94:24

26:8,15,21,24 27:16,23 28:

2,10,16,20,24 29:3,7,11,16 **30**:5,10,14 **31**:19 **32**:12 **35**: 3 **37**:16 **38**:24 **40**:6 **42**:3 **43**:8,15,25 **44**:9,17 **45**:23 **47:**6 **111:**3,4,6 stick [1] 80:22 still [10] 29:10,25 31:22 44: 4 46:19 51:5 65:15 94:22. 22 98:15 stimuli [1] 21:5 stopping [2] 54:6 88:13 story [1] 79:3 straight [1] 89:8 strand [2] 58:24 59:2 strands [2] 58:23 59:6 strength [1] 102:2 **strikes** [3] **33**:7,18 **34**:13 **striking** [1] **60**:22 string [1] 79:6 strong [5] 25:10 33:16 42: 25 46:23 100:21 struck [1] 5:1 structure [7] 16:5 22:14 17 23:7.20 46:6 88:2 studies [1] 53:3 submitted [2] 114:2.4 substance [1] 50:19 substantial [4] 55:22 69:4, 7 84.24 substantially [1] 55:22 substantive [6] 7:3 27:12, 13 18 72:25 73:1 subvert [1] 10:18 succeed [1] 5:25 suffer [1] 52:17 sufficient [1] 92:15 sufficiently [1] 103:2 suggest [4] 30:8 59:20 83: 1,2 suggesting [2] 38:20 51: suggestion [1] 38:2 suicide [1] 7:15 Sullivan [1] 15:18 super [4] 67:17,18 70:5,6 supplying [1] 7:3 support [1] 69:23 **supported** [1] **58**:23 supporting [5] 2:8 3:11 58: 24 59:2 84:15 supports [1] 76:16 suppose [2] 64:7 92:13 **supposes** [1] **53:**13 supremacy [1] 94:17 SUPREME [8] 1:1,17 11:1 **15**:2 **22**:11 **82**:2,5 **107**:13 surely [1] 26:11 surge [1] 5:4 surrender [1] 10:9 survive [8] 5:12 15:4.17.24. 25 21:15 66:24 84:6 suspects [1] 79:15 sustain [1] 108:9 swift [1] 85:2

sword [1] 69:22 syllogism [1] 102:16 system [2] 108:13 113:9

19 96:3

112:15

35:10

69:1.10

**81**:14

22 90.4

**104:**13

108:14

23 87:17,22 103:8,9,16

though [7] 27:2 46:20 51:

23 97:10 103:19 106:14

19 47:21 70:6 88:3 111:7

thoroughly [1] 8:23

threatening [1] 58:8

Т tag [1] 19:15 talked [9] 15:10,11 37:12 38:22 52:18 58:22,22 95: teach [1] 22:21 technology [1] 66:17 teeth [1] 71:12 tells [1] 79:2 ten-week [1] 101:20 tend [1] 50:3 term [4] 31:12 84:23 86:12 terminate [5] 53:13 56:9 **57**:9 **110**:14,15 terminating [1] 65:16 termination [3] 25:15,17 terms [3] 22:20 26:13 100: terrible [1] 46:15 test [6] 60:10.11 61:16 62:2 tests [1] 87:3 tethered [1] 21:23 Texas [2] 79:16 111:17 text [8] 6:25 16:5 24:12,12 27:6,19 77:5 86:25 textual [1] 72:2 theater [1] 27:15 theme [1] 107:7 themselves [3] 29:8 36:1 theory [1] 49:9 there's [23] 18:12 21:5.9.10 **22**:6 **26**:9 **27**:13 **29**:4 **32**: 13 34:18 35:24 36:19 52: 16 **55**:1 **76**:12.15 **79**:6 **81**: 4 95:24 97:6 105:22 106: 15 110:3 therefore [2] 43:18 77:8 They've [6] 4:14,15,16,17 30:22 53:14 thinking [6] 33:1,5 41:17 **45**:8,10 **70**:24 third [5] 26:5.17 48:17 82: THOMAS [28] 1:3 6:4 7:4. 22.25 8:18 41:2.3 49:7.13

50:7.13 51:18 71:19.20 72: types [1] 55:20 9,16 73:17 85:24 86:5,13, U.S [1] 11:6 unable [1] 85:7 three [8] 9:3 10:15 17:2 37:

throughout [4] 24:7 50:24 **68**:16 **108**:4 tied [1] 32:17 tips [1] 87:12 title [1] 60:14 today [6] 33:12 40:1,3 46: 14 **81**:12 **102**:1 todav's [2] 40:2.3 tolerates [1] 113:12 took [3] 45:14 110:7 113: topic [1] 8:20 tossing [1] 20:8 total [2] 102:4,5 totally [1] 22:12 touch [1] 21:6 tough [1] 26:4 tradition [11] 16:6 21:25 **24**:3,8,12 **27**:20 **40**:24 **73**: 8 75:25 102:21 103:5 traditional [2] 40:25 47:1 traditionally [4] 6:21,21 24:1 46:10 traditions [2] 4:14 75:19 travel [4] 85:7 100:6 108: 11 112:7 tremendous [2] 89:16 113:

tremendously [2] 31:9 113:6 tried [1] 71:8 trimester [8] 12:25 20:9 59 11 82:4,20,22,22 83:20 triple [1] 70:19 trouble [1] 52:14 true [8] 45:18 57:17 67:8 **71**:14 **97**:23 **99**:21 **100**:16 108:3 trust [1] 5:16

truth [1] 113:15

try [1] 30:14

trying [8] 28:6 50:16 65:11 72:20 73:2 82:25 83:2 108: turns [1] 80:4 twice [1] 48:25 two [23] 7:10 8:25 10:13 12: 23 14:19 16:22 23:12 25: 16,16 **26:**2,14 **47:**13 **48:**19 **55**:1 **58**:23 **69**:17 **89**:2 **90**: 1,13 **106**:15 **107**:9,17 **112**:

type [2] 39:24 55:20 ubiquitous [1] 111:18 ultimately [2] 61:2 62:25 unanimously [1] 60:22 unbelievably [1] 7:13 utterly [1] 21:4 unborn [5] 5:3.11 18:19 30: ٧ 19 113:1

unclear [1] 41:14 unconstitutional 3 5:8 37:10 47:15 undeniably [1] 5:4 under [21] 5:14 10:16,22 12:8.9 13:3 17:24 19:7 29: 14 34:19 42:15,21,22 44:7 **47**:15 **73**:8 **74**:4 **75**:22 **78**: 13.18 **112**:19 undergo [1] 74:20 underlay [1] 92:24 undermine [1] 50:3 underpinnings [1] 91:14 understand [19] 43:13.17 **50**:15 **61**:13 **62**:5 **71**:22 **72**: 19 **82**:23 **86**:13 **91**:10 **94**: 22 103:18 104:19 105:9,9, 9,11 108:23 109:7 understanding [7] 19:14 34:7 61:20 63:14 94:8,19 understandings [1] 40:10 understood [1] 39:18 undue [26] 8:4.16 12:15 14: 11 **16:**24 **29:**14.19 **41:**13 **42**:11,16,18,22 **59**:12,13, 15 **60**:10 **61**:16,16 **62**:2,10, 12,19 63:19 69:1,10 82:9 unfortunate [2] 19:18 46: unfortunately [2] 35:15,25 uniformly [1] 60:20 uninsured [2] 98:4 112:10 unique [7] 7:18 51:14 57: 23,24 112:25 113:1,2 uniquely [1] 40:16 UNITED [5] 1:1.18 2:8 3:10 84.14 uniustified [1] 10:10 unknown [1] 13:1 unless [1] 113:22 unmasked [1] 40:9 unnecessarily [1] 10:22

untethered [1] 8:17 until [6] 48:14 51:13,13 55: 6.10 113:22 unusual [3] 7:22 23:9 46:4 unwilling [2] 9:19,20 unworkable [2] 17:1 54:3 up [15] 55:6,10 57:16 63:8 71:7 78:22 82:8 89:23 94: 15 100:15 101:17 107:25 **110**:1.21 **111**:9 uphold [2] 6:1 113:24 upholds [1] 42:21 using [3] 54:11 98:21,22

unplanned [4] 97:17 98:15,

unprecedented [3] 85:15

unpopular [1] 67:15

23,25

87:7 88:1

vaccines [1] 57:2 validity [1] 39:2 value [2] 107:18 112:18 values [3] 23:23 27:8 36: variation [1] 41:21 variety [3] 41:18,21 87:2 varying [1] 14:21 vast [5] 12:18 24:1 25:2 54: 17 89:3 verifiable [2] 66:25 84:8 version [1] 8:4 versus [20] 4:5.11.12 15:12. 18 22:9 23:6.9 27:17 28:4 45:6 59:1 79:8,12,17,21 90:2 92:14 97:13 113:8 viability [103] 5:7 8:2,17 14: 10,16,19 **18:**24,25 **19:**15, 20 20:8,10,15,16 21:14,17, 21,23 22:3 24:6 29:15,17 30:18 31:9.13 32:11 33:11 **37:**5 **38:**1.2.21 **39:**4 **41:**12 47:14 48:2.14 50:11.25 51: 10.13.25 **53:**17 **54:**5.6.16. 19 **55**:6,10,24 **57**:19 **60**:17, 18 **61**:15,17 **62**:11,14,20, 23 63:20,22,25 64:4,9,20, 24 65:1,4,5,8,17 66:2,2,17 **68:**3,6,7,16,18 **69:**2,5,11 74:25 81:21 82:16 83:2,12, 22 84:4,21 87:11,20 91:14 **100**:16 **101**:9 **102**:5,9,16, 17 **103**:4 **104**:21 **105**:1.23 106:3 view [13] 12:7 17:6 29:22 30:3 32:16 18 44:7 10 62:

22 66:4 75:11.12 80:25 views [5] 28:17 29:4 32:15. 15 64:23 vigorously [1] 29:5 violate [1] 51:5 violation [1] 79:20 Virginia [1] 28:4 Virtually [3] 21:1 54:9 88:

vis-à-vis [1] 88:7 vocally [1] 12:3 voice [1] 44:11 vote [1] 79:10

Wade [3] 4:11 97:13 108:5 Wainwright [1] 79:21 wait [1] 90:13 wake [1] 11:14 walked [2] 39:10 91:11 wanted [8] 12:4 35:1 37:14 41:10 60:6 61:12 96:5 97: wants [2] 64:17 65:6

warned [1] 88:21 warnings [1] 79:13 warrant [1] 95:9 Washington [3] 1:13 2:7

27:17 water [1] 34:19 watershed [9] 9:9 10:17 **13**:7 **15**:11 **26**:3 **27**:1 **45**: 19,22 70:7 way [15] **12**:6 **13**:6 **15**:20 **18**: 20 19:3,6 34:10,11 42:8 43:1 66:8 78:5 80:23 87:9 90.25 ways [2] 56:16 59:16 Wednesday [2] 1:14 61:8 week [2] 61:10 101:3 weeks [30] 4:23 18:1 41:20, 20,21 42:18 51:9,25 52:6, 17 **53**:16,19,25 **55**:15,16, 23,23 **57:**7,8 **60:**15 **82:**5,8, 22,24 **83**:19 **99**:7,17 **100**:8 102:1 105:17 weigh [1] 113:5 weighing [1] 32:3 weighty [1] 104:11 welcome [1] 6:3 well-grounded [1] 16:4 West [4] 45:19 79:10 80:8 90:2 whatever [3] 46:24 73:6 101:3 Whereupon [1] 114:3 whether [38] 9:21 13:9 17: 22 19:9 23:1 34:10 37:8, 13 **39**:17 **41**:19 **48**:4,5 **50**: 17 59:24 65:12 67:9,12,12, 25 **68**:3 **69**:4 **82**:15 **84**:21 **86**:11 **87**:20 **89**:14 **90**:9,19 91:20 95:2.15 96:16 97:25 **103**:13 **105**:14 **108**:1 **109**: 25 112:10 whichever [2] 6:10,23 white [1] 94:16 whole [3] 7:15 68:17 94:16 whom [2] 99:14,15 wide [2] 32:13 41:21 wife [1] 75:12 will [35] 4:3 5:25 8:9 9:25 **10**:1 **15**:4,15,24,25 **21**:7 22:21 33:2,5 36:23 42:5,5 **47**:19 **48**:18 **52**:17 **53**:24, 25 **54**:6,8 **65**:14 **78**:17 **85**: 9 90:19 95:25 97:16 98:23 **104**:20 **107**:14 **108**:10 **112**: 19 113:20 willingness [1] 46:23 wish [1] 34:3 within [1] 36:1 without [9] 46:8,12 54:6 56:25 62:23 63:20 72:4 85: 5 86:10 woman [22] 14:12 31:4 49: 15 **51**:13 **57**:8,15 **64**:17 **65**: 3,4,15 69:5 78:6 84:23 86: 9 **87**:13.20 **96**:24 **103**:10

48:1,8,13 50:12 51:1 64: 17,25 **74**:19 **75**:11 **83**:24 84:20 101:11 109:2,10 womb [2] 5:12 103:21 women [54] 5:4 31:5,8,15 **34:**2 **47:**17 **48:**18,20,23,24 **50**:4 **51**:20 **52**:13 **53**:6,12, 24 57:25 58:8 61:3 73:9, 16 **74**:12 **75**:21 **76**:3 **78**:12 **81**:13 **85**:7 **89**:10,17 **96**:12 97:1,2,12,16,21 98:4,17,22, 24 99:7,16,19,21 100:2,7,9, 18 **102**:24 **104**:12 **107**:24 **108**:6 **110**:19 **111**:14,25 WOMEN'S [12] 1:8 4:5 9: 14 **34**:21 **49**:4 **50**:2 **51**:5 **52**:21 **56**:18 **78**:15 **85**:22 112:3 wonder [2] 69:24 102:2 word [4] 20:15 22:8,11 106: 19 words [3] 9:24 43:19 100: 17 work [8] 5:18 36:5 41:11 **58**:3,19 **61**:6 **100**:5 **112**:8 workability [4] 12:12,15 **16:24 91:**13 workable [21] 8:9 24:13 54: 4 60:18,23 61:1,19,25 62:3, 21,21,24 63:4 74:25 82:16, 20,24 83:3,5 94:7 106:1 worked [1] 29:17 workplace [1] 56:18 world [7] 5:14,15 42:20 89: 14 **104**:8 **108**:10 **109**:17 worse [1] 9:11 written [2] 23:5 86:19 wrongly [5] 39:17,19 40:5 91:25 93:8 wrote [1] 69:25 year [2] 63:25 98:24 years [29] 4:17,19 11:14 12: 12 **14**:2,9,17 **17**:4,8 **26**:1

year [2] 63:25 98:24 years [29] 4:17,19 11:14 12: 12 14:2,9,17 17:4,8 26:1 34:18,19,24 35:12,13,15 49:13 51:11 52:20 60:20 72:13 74:5 81:4,13,13 106: 5 110:20 113:14,18 yells [1] 33:3 York [4] 2:4,4 15:18 107:15 young [3] 49:1 52:10 99:21

**104**:5 **106**:19 **109**:24 **112**:

woman's [16] 4:24 31:23