

1 file two. There is one jurisdiction has repeatedly just
2 held over -- in two jurisdictions, have held over and
3 over under Rule 4(b)(2), that the original notice of
4 appeal matures.

5 Now, those cases don't go into the kind of
6 thought that we have here. And --

7 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, right. But Justice
8 Sotomayor's question was, why not? The virtue of having
9 two notices of appeal, it's definite, a certain time,
10 people know what to do. When you have one notice of
11 appeal and then maybe you're going to add a few
12 arguments later, did you -- did they have a fair chance
13 to answer? What is that later time? It raises a lot of
14 questions.

15 So the simplest thing with a rule, and it
16 doesn't hurt anybody, is what I think she was
17 suggesting: Just follow what the government says there.
18 You appeal, then you appeal.

19 MR. RASHKIND: I think my answer to that is,
20 although that may be intuitive --

21 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.

22 MR. RASHKIND: -- the rules don't require
23 lawyers to use their intuition.

24 JUSTICE BREYER: But the rule says --

25 MR. RASHKIND: The rule says to follow the

1 rules.

2 JUSTICE BREYER: You're just being literal
3 in the rule. The rule says in a criminal case, a
4 defendant's notice of appeal must be filed within 14
5 days of the order being appealed from. That's one of
6 the things -- and you're appealing from the order which
7 says, pay so much.

8 MR. RASHKIND: And in this case, it would be
9 from the judgment, because there really are no appeals
10 of right by a defendant from an order.

11 JUSTICE BREYER: From the judgment. So we
12 have a judgment which says -- judgment says, and it
13 leaves restitution open. Then we have another judgment
14 which is -- says how much the amount is. I mean, that's
15 so simple, and all the lawyers would understand it, and
16 the other seems more complicated.

17 Now, what's your response to that?

18 MR. RASHKIND: My response is that that is
19 not the mechanical formulation that the Court provided
20 in Dolan. It wrestled with that, the majority --

21 JUSTICE BREYER: Dolan didn't --

22 MR. RASHKIND: -- wrestled with --

23 JUSTICE BREYER: I know whether it answered
24 the question. But in one second, I'm going to conclude
25 that you don't have a reason, other than I would read

1 the text. Your reason is if the text literally
2 requires -- doesn't require it, then it doesn't.

3 But do you have any answer other than that?

4 MR. RASHKIND: It would be the first time in
5 which two notices of appeal are required in a criminal
6 case. And that, by itself, confuses the process of what
7 the appeals are trying to accomplish. The appeals are
8 trying to -- the rules are trying to make it possible
9 for lawyers to know what to do and when. They are clear
10 as to the criminal side that there is only one notice of
11 appeal that's required.

12 If I may reserve the balance of my time.

13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

14 Mr. Kedem.

15 ORAL ARGUMENT OF ALLON KEDEM

16 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

17 MR. KEDEM: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
18 please the Court:

19 A criminal defendant may appeal an award of
20 restitution by filing a notice of appeal after the
21 amount is determined and entered against him in the form
22 of a criminal judgment. Appellate Rule 4(b)(2) applies
23 to a notice of appeal that's filed after the
24 announcement of a sentence, but before entry of the
25 judgment memorializing the sentence. It does not apply.

1 Whereas here, the notice of appeal is filed long before
2 the Court even decides the appropriate sentence.

3 If I could begin --

4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: May I ask about the
5 criminal rule -- what number is it? -- 32, the provision
6 that says, "Appealing a sentence. After sentence, the
7 Court must advise the defendant of any right to appeal
8 the sentence."

9 MR. KEDEM: That's correct.

10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And Defendant was so
11 advised after the sentence was issued. There was no
12 such instruction, no such advice from the Court when the
13 restitution order was entered.

14 MR. KEDEM: That's correct.

15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So what is your position
16 on whether the Court must advise the defendant of any
17 right to appeal the restitution order?

18 MR. KEDEM: Absolutely, it must. And I
19 think when courts do that, as they absolutely should, it
20 will prevent cases like this from arising again in the
21 future.

22 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it didn't happen in
23 this case.

24 MR. KEDEM: That's correct.

25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So the Court committed an

1 error and it wasn't harmless.

2 MR. KEDEM: Well, the way the Court has
3 addressed those sorts of errors -- and this was
4 discussed in the Peguero case, which is cited in a
5 footnote to our brief -- is to ask whether the litigant
6 was aware of their opportunity to appeal. There's been
7 no suggestion here by a Petitioner that the litigant or
8 his attorney were unaware of the opportunity to appeal.

9 JUSTICE KAGAN: I think in Mr. Rashkind's
10 reply brief, he represents that there was no awareness
11 of the opportunity to appeal. I mean, the only thing
12 that gives you pause in a case like this is just because
13 usually, you only have to file one notice of appeal.
14 You do get worried about, you know, traps for the unwary
15 and a person who just won't understand that there's
16 another stage in this process and needs to file a notice
17 of appeal.

18 As long as the Court is fulfilling its
19 obligation of saying, okay, now, you know, if you have
20 an objection to this, you need to file another notice of
21 appeal, well, that solves the problem. But if the Court
22 doesn't say it, it doesn't solve the problem.

23 And apparently, that's what happened in this
24 case. And Mr. Rashkind represents that his client
25 didn't know of his opportunity to, or his need to

1 appeal.

2 MR. KEDEM: Well, with respect to this
3 Petitioner, I think that that's true. Once the Court
4 makes unmistakably clear that a second notice of appeal
5 is required, I don't think this is the sort of thing
6 that's likely to arise in the future.

7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So would we find this to
8 be a Rule 32 error?

9 MR. KEDEM: Pardon?

10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why don't we just say
11 this was a Rule 32 error?

12 MR. KEDEM: If you're talking about harmless
13 error, I think the types of errors that are cognizable
14 under -- I think it's Federal Criminal Rule 52, whether
15 you're talking about harmless errors or --

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm talking about a
17 Rule 32 error.

18 MR. KEDEM: I see.

19 Rule 32 errors are dealt with in the manner
20 that was discussed in Peguero. And so if there was an
21 error of that sort here, then the proper remedy,
22 assuming one is available, would be to file a 2255
23 petition, assuming that those apply to restitution
24 orders.

25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Other than making a

1 Supreme Court case of this issue, given the practices in
2 the Eleventh Circuit where the Court automatically
3 appears to wait for the restitution transcript to come
4 and for these issues to be litigated once -- I'm not
5 going to talk about whether that's efficient or not.
6 They've chosen it to be efficient. And virtually in
7 every other case, you've never raised an objection.

8 Why did you raise an objection here? What
9 was the purpose of doing this? Was it to make a test
10 case?

11 MR. KEDEM: Not to my knowledge. I think
12 the general principle that you can't appeal a decision
13 that hasn't yet been met is a pretty standard principle
14 in the law generally, not just in criminal cases.

15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It's just --

16 MR. KEDEM: And I can't --

17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- such a hybrid
18 situation. He's absolutely right. In most criminal
19 appeals, it's one criminal appeal from a final judgment.
20 It doesn't appear as if you raised this timeliness
21 objection in the Eleventh Circuit as a matter of course.

22 MR. KEDEM: Well --

23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why in this case?

24 MR. KEDEM: Well, in the Eleventh Circuit,
25 the Court had decided in a case called Muzio -- and that

1 happened in -- I believe it was July of 2014, so before
2 the restitution hearing, but not much before it -- that
3 a criminal defendant in Petitioner's situation had two
4 options: They could either file a notice of appeal
5 after the initial sentencing and then a second notice of
6 appeal after restitution was ordered, or they could wait
7 until the end and file one notice of appeal after
8 restitution. And so that decision came down in July of
9 2014.

10 And we cannot verify or dispute the
11 representations that Petitioner has made that in
12 circuits, courts always wait.

13 But, Justice Kennedy, I think you identified
14 a very troubling implication of Petitioner's argument:
15 Namely, that it would prevent, in many cases, criminal
16 defendants from getting an immediate appeal from their
17 conviction in their terms of imprisonment. Because if
18 Petitioner is correct about Appellate Rule 4(b)(2), it
19 means that the notice of appeal that he filed wouldn't
20 take effect; it wouldn't become effective until after
21 restitution was ordered, which means that the appellate
22 process really shouldn't begin until that point, which
23 is especially troubling for criminal defendants who
24 normally want to get their appeals up and going as soon
25 as possible.

1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Either in the rules
2 themselves or in the colloquy that takes place in the
3 criminal system, is the restitution part of the
4 sentence?

5 MR. KEDEM: Restitution is certainly part of
6 the sentence.

7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So that Rule 32(b) that
8 Justice Ginsburg quotes is applicable. There has to
9 be -- there has to be advice of the right to appeal.

10 MR. KEDEM: That's correct. What many
11 district courts do is they have sentencing scripts which
12 they read at the end of a sentencing. And there's no
13 reason that they couldn't -- for instance, at the end of
14 the script that they used for a restitution hearing --
15 make sure that they advise a criminal defendant that
16 they have a right to separately appeal restitution.

17 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Then why -- why -- you --
18 I think you answered this, but I didn't grasp what the
19 answer was.

20 The -- the judge makes a mistake. Doesn't
21 advise the defendant, if you want to appeal a
22 restitution order you have to file a notice of appeal.
23 Didn't say that. Isn't it harmful error, that slip that
24 the court made?

25 MR. KEDEM: So the Court addressed this in

1 the Peguero case and talked about a situation in which a
2 notice of appeal was not filed, and the Court denied --
3 advised the defendant to file a notice of appeal and
4 there's a proper procedure that you have to go through
5 and the type of prejudice that you're talking about has
6 to depend in part on the State of knowledge of the
7 criminal defendant and his attorney.

8 But if I could, more directly --

9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, but if they don't
10 have knowledge, which is what we're assuming here
11 because that was alleged --

12 MR. KEDEM: So I'm not sure it actually was
13 alleged. I don't see any place in Petitioner's brief
14 where they represent that they didn't know they had a
15 right to appeal. And with respect to their argument
16 that they didn't know they had to file a second notice
17 of appeal, that was inconsistent with directly
18 applicable case law in the very same circuit.

19 If I could --

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But here, in fact, when
21 the restitution judgment was entered, the clerk of the
22 district court sent that order to the court of appeals.
23 So it was an amended judgment that was sent.

24 The -- the clerk of the court treated it as
25 though it were an amendment to the judgment, and it went

1 to the court of appeals. So it seems to me to be the
2 height of formalism to say that there has to be a second
3 notice. The clerk had already notified the court of
4 appeals that the judgment had been amended to include
5 restitution.

6 MR. KEDEM: There's certainly some amount of
7 formalism, but let me explain why I don't think that
8 it's just formalism.

9 The general principle that you can't appeal
10 a decision that hasn't been made I think makes sense and
11 is not only consistent with the rules, but also with
12 the -- the way things normally work in litigation.

13 Let me give you three examples of cases in
14 which you actually would need a second notice of appeal
15 in a criminal case.

16 The first is a motion under Rule 35(a) of
17 the criminal rules to correct a sentence. If you file
18 your notice of appeal before making a Rule 35(a) motion,
19 the courts of appeals generally require you to file a
20 second notice of appeal if what you want to challenge is
21 the resolution of the Rule 35(a) motion.

22 Similarly, Rule 35(b) allows a court to,
23 based on the substantial cooperation of a criminal
24 defendant, alter the sentence. If the sentence is
25 altered and the defendant wants to challenge that, then

1 the defendant has to file a second notice of appeal.

2 And the third example relates specifically
3 to restitution, because under the Mandatory Victims
4 Restitution Act you can actually end up with several
5 different restitution awards and therefore several
6 different judgments. And that's because criminal --
7 victims of criminal offenses have 60 days to bring up
8 new losses which they can raise to the court's attention
9 at any time. And if they do, the court has to award and
10 decide whether there's a new award of restitution. It's
11 not clear how Petitioner's approach either to
12 Rule 4(b)(2) or his approach to judgments would handle
13 that. Whether --

14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Under your approach then,
15 if there are four different victims and you -- and the
16 court has seriatim hearings and makes seriatim
17 judgments, there has to be four different notices of
18 appeal?

19 MR. KEDEM: I'm not aware of any scenario in
20 which a court with respect to --

21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That's my hypothetical.

22 MR. KEDEM: Sure. I understand.

23 I think that if you're talking about things
24 that were understood to be part of the original
25 sentencing, you can wait until that's complete and file

1 one notice of appeal.

2 But if you're talking about completely new
3 losses, there's no reason why the original notice of
4 appeal should suffice to challenge a decision that
5 hasn't yet been made.

6 And keep in mind the nature of Petitioner's
7 challenge here, which is not to the fact of restitution,
8 which he acknowledges was mandatory under the statute.
9 He objected to the amount of restitution, and
10 specifically, to the sufficiency of the evidence that
11 the government put forward at the hearing to justify the
12 \$4500 that was awarded in restitution. And there's
13 simply no way that Petitioner at the time he filed his
14 notice of appeal could have had any idea about the basis
15 for that.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The issue here
17 strikes me as -- as somewhat similar to the issue that
18 arises in the civil context with respect to attorneys'
19 fees.

20 You have a judgment and you're entitled to
21 attorneys' fees because you've won, but of course they
22 don't know what those are yet. And then they have a
23 hearing down the road.

24 What is the rule there? One notice of
25 appeal, two notices of appeal?

1 MR. KEDEM: The rule there is you have to
2 file a second notice of appeal. And I'd point you to a
3 case called Ray Haluch Gravel. That's a good example
4 where you might have a judgment for the defendants on
5 summary judgment and then a notice of appeal. And at
6 the time it grants summary judgment, the district court
7 says, I'm going to determine at a later time the
8 appropriate amount of attorneys' fees.

9 You cannot, on the basis of the first notice
10 of appeal, challenge the amount of attorneys' fees. You
11 have to file a second notice of appeal.

12 We also think that --

13 JUSTICE KAGAN: In the civil context, am I
14 right that sometimes you file a second notice of appeal
15 but you're -- the rules waive fees?

16 MR. KEDEM: That's correct.

17 JUSTICE KAGAN: But not here? I mean, it's
18 a little bit odd. In the civil context, fees would be
19 waived for the second notice of appeal and -- and in the
20 criminal context not.

21 MR. KEDEM: Well, fees would not be
22 raised -- would not be waived in the specific scenario
23 that the Chief Justice posited. There are only certain
24 very limited scenarios in which it would be waived, but
25 if you're talking about a scenario like this one, but a

1 criminal defendant who unlike Petitioner was not
2 indigent, I don't see any reason why the defendant
3 wouldn't be able to file an amended notice of appeal,
4 and many circuits don't require an additional fee.

5 And if the fee issue is something that the
6 Court is concerned about, that is the sort of thing that
7 it would be appropriate for the rules committee to
8 address.

9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You're recognizing that
10 he could file -- you could treat as an amended notice of
11 appeal, so it's one notice of appeal but it's been
12 amended.

13 MR. KEDEM: I think you have to take a step
14 to amend the notice of appeal, because, Justice
15 Ginsburg, as you yourself pointed out, Appellate
16 Rule 3(c)(1)(b) requires the notice of appeal to
17 identify the judgment being appealed, and if you want to
18 see the notice of appeal that Petitioner filed, I would
19 direct you to page 42 of the joint appendix where he
20 states very specifically that he is seeking appeal,
21 quote, "From the final judgment and sentence entered in
22 this action on the 24th day of June, 2014."

23 So the -- he's seeking review of the
24 judgment that did not include restitution.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, the Peguero

1 case, I -- do you read that as saying that if there is
2 prejudice to the defendant who wasn't advised of his
3 right to appeal, that defendant is entitled to
4 collateral relief?

5 MR. KEDEM: I do read it that way.

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And I suppose the
7 collateral relief is what, reopening the -- the case so
8 that he can file a notice of appeal?

9 MR. KEDEM: I think in that case, if you're
10 talking about a case that's cognizable on 2255, I think
11 the remedy could be re-entry of the judgment to give him
12 another opportunity to file his notice of appeal.

13 If I could briefly return just to the
14 Rule 4(b)(2) argument, because I think the text of that
15 rule explains why it is that it doesn't apply to this
16 case.

17 4(b)(2) refers to entry -- announcement of a
18 sentence followed by entry of the judgment, which I
19 think makes clear that you're talking about precisely
20 the same judgment that was just announced. And that's a
21 pretty familiar pattern in criminal cases where
22 sentences get announced and then they get entered into
23 the docket. It's sort of like opening and closing a
24 pair of parentheses, and usually that happens within a
25 few days of one another, if not a few hours.

1 And so when you're talking about
2 Rule 4(b)(2), you're really talking about the notice of
3 appeal that would have been filed, for instance, after
4 the September 17 hearing at which a \$4500 award of
5 restitution was announced but before it was entered into
6 the docket the next day. But that's obviously not what
7 we're dealing with here.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why couldn't you treat a
9 notice of appeal as adequate to cover modifications of
10 the judgment from which the appeal is taken? Because
11 that's what this is. It's a modification of the
12 judgment.

13 MR. KEDEM: I think that would be
14 inconsistent with a few existing appellate rules of
15 procedure. And I -- I think you could change the rules,
16 perhaps, to deal with that situation, but it would be a
17 fairly radical change in the way that notices of appeal
18 and jurisdiction normally work, because normally we
19 think of a notice of appeal as transferring to the court
20 of appeals' jurisdiction over all of the elements
21 contained in the judgment that was identified. And
22 instead you would be transferring on a prospective basis
23 decisions that had yet to be made. And I think it would
24 also, for the reasons that the Chief Justice identified,
25 be for a court of appeals very confusing. Because

1 remember that, in many cases, criminal defendants have a
2 strong incentive to get their appeal up and going as
3 soon as possible. That's especially true if you're a
4 criminal defendant who's got a short prison term or you
5 want to be let out on bail pending appeal.

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You said -- I'm not
7 going to get the phrase right -- jurisdiction over all
8 of the elements of the appeal, or something like that?

9 MR. KEDEM: That's right. Contained in the
10 judgment that you have appealed.

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right. But I
12 thought the basic rule was -- and your friend has
13 emphasized this in his briefs -- that you get the case.
14 You don't get different elements that may have been
15 adjudicated or whatever. If you're filing the appeal,
16 the whole case goes up.

17 MR. KEDEM: Sure. I -- I don't think that
18 that's accurate. The only authority he can point to for
19 that proposition is the Corey decision. But that
20 actually was a very different scenario that I think
21 actually supports the government.

22 In Corey you were dealing with a statute in
23 which a district court was authorized to impose a
24 provisional sentence to the statutory maximum, and then
25 within six months it could revisit that sentence and

1 reduce the sentence based on new information.

2 And the question in Corey was whether the
3 criminal defendant who filed a notice of appeal only
4 after that later-reduced sentence had waited too long to
5 challenge his conviction. It wasn't a challenge to the
6 sentence or anything that happened at the second
7 sentencing. Instead, it was a challenge to the
8 conviction.

9 And what this Court said is no, both the
10 original -- the original sentence to the statutory
11 maximum and the later sentence were sufficiently final
12 that they can be appealed, which is consistent with what
13 this Court has said in Dolan, and the government agrees,
14 which is that you can file a notice of appeal either
15 from your initial sentence or from your later sentence.
16 Or you can wait until the very end and file one notice
17 of appeal at the very end that will allow you to
18 challenge all elements of your sentence, including your
19 conviction.

20 If there are no further questions about
21 this --

22 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. --

23 MR. KEDEM: Yep.

24 JUSTICE KAGAN: Where were you going?

25 MR. KEDEM: I was going to Rule 52, but I'm

1 happy to --

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, maybe this would be a
3 good segue. This -- this -- you understand the
4 requirement of a notice of appeal to be jurisdictional.
5 Do you understand the requirement of timeliness to be
6 jurisdictional or not?

7 MR. KEDEM: Not in the criminal context.

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Now, I understand it
9 doesn't matter in this case --

10 MR. KEDEM: That's right.

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- because you objected.
12 But in the criminal context, that's a non-jurisdictional
13 rule. That's just a claims processing rule --

14 MR. KEDEM: That's right.

15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Is that correct?

16 And are there requirements as to what counts
17 as a notice of appeal? In other words, suppose I'm a
18 defendant and I miss my 14 days, I don't file a notice
19 of appeal within that time. Actually, I don't file it
20 later; I file a brief.

21 MR. KEDEM: Uh-huh.

22 JUSTICE KAGAN: Does the brief count as a
23 notice of appeal?

24 MR. KEDEM: Yes. A brief, in certain
25 situations, has been deemed to count as a notice of

1 appeal.

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: In certain situations?

3 MR. KEDEM: Well, the case -- there's a
4 specific Supreme Court case, the name of which escapes
5 me at the moment, but the Court dealt with it in a
6 pro se situation. I don't know whether the Court would
7 feel the same way if it were someone who's represented
8 by counsel.

9 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. But assuming that
10 that's not just applicable to pro se situations, I file
11 a brief; I file it late; the government doesn't say
12 anything about it. The appeal goes forward?

13 MR. KEDEM: That's correct.

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay.

15 MR. KEDEM: I would also point out that
16 there's a rule that also allows district courts -- and
17 this is Appellate Rule 4(B)(4) -- to extend by 30 days
18 the deadline for filing a notice of appeal so long as
19 you can show reasonable -- reasonable diligence or good
20 cause. And I think good cause might be shown by a
21 counsel's ineffective assistance. So that would be
22 another way to deal with the same thing.

23 Turning now, perhaps, to Appellate Rule --
24 to -- to Rule 52. The types of errors that are
25 cognizable, as I said earlier, are errors in the

1 district court's proceedings. They are not a party's
2 own failure to comply with the rules necessary to bring
3 the issue up for appellate consideration. And if it
4 were --

5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why -- why isn't the
6 judge's failure to give the necessary advice, why
7 doesn't that come under Rule 52?

8 MR. KEDEM: Well, I'm not sure that the
9 relief that Petitioner is asking for here is a
10 correction for that error. I think the Court has
11 already conceived of a different mechanism as the proper
12 way to deal with that.

13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, that seems to -- to
14 have another -- yet another proceeding, a 2255, instead
15 of saying, well, the judge forgot to give them the --
16 the advice, so that was a harmful error.

17 MR. KEDEM: I think, Justice Ginsburg, that
18 would be inconsistent with the way the Court has
19 conceived of its mandatory claim processing rules, in
20 which it has said consistently that a party's failure to
21 comply with such a rule, so long as it's invoked by the
22 other side at the appropriate time, means that dismissal
23 of the claim is mandatory. You don't have a separate
24 inquiry into prejudice.

25 There are a number of Federal rules that

1 include time limits. And if a party's failure to comply
2 with one of those time limits could be excused absent
3 prejudice to the other side, then I think those time
4 limits would no longer be mandatory in a -- in a
5 meaningful sense.

6 I think it's also inconsistent with another
7 Federal rule that I would point out, which is
8 Rule 3(a)(2), which you can find at page 6A of the
9 appendix to the government's brief, which states, "An
10 appellant's failure to take any step, other than the
11 timely filing of a notice of appeal, does not affect the
12 validity of the appeal," which again suggests if you
13 don't have a proper notice of appeal, you don't have a
14 valid appeal.

15 If the Court has no further questions.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

17 Mr. Rashkind, you have three minutes
18 remaining.

19 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL M. RASHKIND

20 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

21 MR. RASHKIND: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 If I may begin with the last point, the
23 reason Rule 3(a)(2) makes the statement it does is
24 because in the civil context, the timeliness, the
25 temporal limits on a notice of appeal, are

1 jurisdictional. And so 3(a)(2), which captures both
2 civil and criminal appeals, would need to say that. It
3 does not say, though, that in a criminal case those same
4 issues apply in exactly the same way.

5 It is perceptive to note that the district
6 court failed to comply with Rule 32(j), because that
7 advice was an important piece of advice in this very
8 case: Not that he had a right to appeal, but that he
9 had a duty to appeal again.

10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So is the government
11 right, however, that the Eleventh Circuit had issued a
12 decision telling you to file a second notice of appeal?
13 Telling the public, not you personally.

14 MR. RASHKIND: Well, I disagree that that's
15 what happened. The Muzio case did not involve a
16 defendant who was appealing restitution. And the battle
17 in the Muzio case, which was issued about the same time
18 as the hearing in this case, the majority judge, Judge
19 Wilson, and the concurring judge, Judge Kugler, both
20 said, we are absolutely not going to decide whether that
21 notice of appeal could have captured the early -- the
22 restitution and the other, because restitution is not at
23 issue.

24 So a discussion in Footnote 9 of a case that
25 is not on point at all, and does not become final -- so

1 it was not denied in that case until after the
2 restitution hearing and judgment in this case, and
3 rehearing was denied by this Court in the next year -- I
4 submit that a decision that isn't final, that isn't on
5 point, and makes its reference by a footnote is not
6 telling the lawyers how to proceed on an appeal.

7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What is the --

8 MR. RASHKIND: The point of the rule --

9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sorry to interrupt
10 you.

11 What is the citation for the case that's not
12 relevant in --

13 (Laughter.)

14 MR. RASHKIND: Muzio is -- Muzio is
15 discussed throughout the Manrique decision. And its
16 citation is --

17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay.

18 MR. RASHKIND: -- 757 F.3d 1243.

19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.

20 MR. RASHKIND: So I don't think it's fair to
21 say that lawyers were on notice. That's why I come back
22 to the Court and say that even the Court shouldn't be
23 deciding this in an opinion. It belongs in the rules.

24 Lawyers are entitled to pick up a book of
25 rules and determine how they should proceed. And what

1 has been clear in these rules from the beginning as to
2 criminal cases, one notice of appeal is all that is
3 required.

4 Where Congress legislates in a way that
5 creates this sort of anomaly where there might be two
6 windows to appeal, it shouldn't change the underlying
7 rules. They are that if you file a notice of appeal as
8 to a type -- which is timely as to part of the sentence
9 and judgment, that it remains timely as to all aspects
10 of that as it is completed.

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
12 The case is submitted.

13 (Whereupon, at 1:53 p.m., the case in the
14 above-entitled matter was submitted.)

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A				
abeyance 9:18 12:2,3 13:6	affect 47:11	33:18	42:2,5,8,15	27:25 30:23
ability 22:4	ago 23:5	answers 22:19	43:3,14,17	40:15 48:4
able 15:3 18:23 39:3	agree 22:22	anybody 25:16	44:4,17,19,23	approach 11:4
above-entitled 1:12 50:14	agrees 43:13	anymore 13:13	45:1,12,18	36:11,12,14
absent 47:2	ahead 7:5 22:4	anyway 13:20 15:24	47:11,12,13,14	appropriate
absolutely 28:18 28:19 31:18 48:20	alleged 34:11,13	apparently 29:23	47:25 48:8,9	15:23 28:2
accepted 24:24	ALLON 1:18	appeal 3:10,11	48:12,21 49:6	38:8 39:7
accomplish 27:7	2:6 27:15	3:13,15,17,17	50:2,6,7	46:22
accurate 42:18	allow 8:11 43:17	4:15,17,19 5:5	appealed 4:18	approval 22:9
acknowledged 22:12	allowed 10:23	5:9,15 6:1,2,6	26:5 39:17	argue 5:8
acknowledges 37:8	allows 35:22 45:16	6:9,9,18,20,21	42:10 43:12	arguing 7:9
Act 3:23 17:21 36:4	alter 35:24	6:22 7:1 8:3,12	appealing 5:5	11:20
action 39:22	altered 35:25	8:22,23 9:5,17	26:6 28:6	argument 1:13
actual 10:20	amend 39:14	9:21,23 10:7	48:16	2:2,5,8 3:3,6
add 11:23 25:11	amended 5:14	10:11,12,15,22	appeals 6:12	7:15,16,17,17
adding 11:15 15:6,16,18,19 15:20	5:16,20 34:23	10:24 11:11,15	11:19 12:1,10	16:25 17:7
additional 5:19 5:20 16:9 39:4	35:4 39:3,10	12:2,7 14:1,2	12:11,18 14:16	20:1,5,11,12
address 22:14 22:17 39:8	39:12	14:12 15:13,15	14:24 20:14	22:24 27:15
addressed 21:3 21:4 29:3 33:25	amendment 34:25	15:24 16:18,23	23:24 24:1	32:14 34:15
adequate 41:9	amount 8:20	17:15 18:9,23	26:9 27:7,7	40:14 47:19
adjudicated 42:15	9:23 11:24,25	18:24 19:7,15	31:19 32:24	arguments 21:8
administration 23:16	17:5,22 18:2	19:16,18,18	34:22 35:1,4	25:12
advice 28:12 33:9 46:6,16 48:7,7	18:13 19:9,11	20:15,16,18,24	35:19 41:25	arises 9:16
advise 28:7,16 33:15,21	19:15,16,19	22:25 23:10,19	48:2	37:18
advised 28:11 34:3 40:2	26:14 27:21	23:21,23 24:4	appeals' 41:20	arising 28:20
	35:6 37:9 38:8 38:10	24:10,25 25:4	appear 31:20	arrives 16:11
	amount-related 4:1	25:9,11,18,18	APPEARAN... 1:15	ascertain 17:22
	announced 18:8	26:4 27:5,11	appears 31:3	asked 9:20 13:7
	18:14,15 40:20	27:19,20,23	appellant 19:22	asking 46:9
	40:22 41:5	28:1,7,17 29:6	appellant's 47:10	aspects 18:5
	announcement 18:16 19:5	29:8,11,13,17	appellate 4:12	24:2 50:9
	27:24 40:17	29:21 30:1,4	16:4 27:22	assistance 45:21
	announces 16:19 17:14,19	31:12,19 32:4	32:18,21 39:15	Assistant 1:16
	18:10	32:6,7,16,19	41:14 45:17,23	1:18
	anomaly 23:21	33:9,16,21,22	46:3	assume 22:22,24
	24:5 50:5	34:2,3,15,17	appendix 39:19	assuming 30:22
	answer 9:25	35:9,14,18,20	47:9	30:23 34:10
	14:11 24:14,24	36:1,18 37:1,4	applicable 4:3	45:9
	25:13,19 27:3	37:14,25,25	33:8 34:18	attention 36:8
	33:19	38:2,5,10,11	45:10	attorney 29:8
	answered 26:23	38:14,19 39:3	applies 17:7,12	34:7
		39:11,11,14,16	17:17 18:9	attorneys' 37:18
		39:18,20 40:3	27:22	37:21 38:8,10
		40:8,12 41:3,9	apply 16:16,21	authority 22:21
		41:10,17,19	17:11,17 21:13	42:18
				authorized 42:23

43:2 correct 4:21,22 5:17 7:16,16 13:18,21 18:3 19:20,21 28:9 28:14,24 32:18 33:10 35:17 38:16 44:15 45:13 correction 20:22 46:10 cost 23:17 counsel 16:13 27:13 39:25 45:8 47:16 50:11 counsel's 45:21 count 13:25 44:22,25 counts 44:16 couple 17:16 course 24:15,25 31:21 37:21 court 1:1,13 3:9 3:21,22,24,25 4:8 5:2,18 8:1 8:5,6,13 10:17 10:18 11:10,19 11:25 13:4 14:16,22 16:7 16:10,19 17:3 17:14 18:9 19:4,7 21:16 21:20 22:8,13 22:15 23:5,11 23:23 24:1,15 26:19 27:18 28:2,7,12,16 28:25 29:2,18 29:21 30:3 31:1,2,25 33:24,25 34:2 34:22,22,24 35:1,3,22 36:9 36:16,20 38:6 39:6 41:19,25 42:23 43:9,13	45:4,5,6 46:10 46:18 47:15 48:6 49:3,22 49:22 court's 3:20 5:21 7:25 22:12 36:8 46:1 courts 6:7 12:7 28:19 32:12 33:11 35:19 45:16 cover 22:23 41:9 create 22:21 created 7:2,3 23:15 creates 50:5 criminal 3:11,11 4:12,12 20:14 21:1 26:3 27:5 27:10,19,22 28:5 30:14 31:14,18,19 32:3,15,23 33:3,15 34:7 35:15,17,23 36:6,7 38:20 39:1 40:21 42:1,4 43:3 44:7,12 48:2,3 50:2 currently 17:22 custody 15:9	44:18 45:17 dead 15:23 deadline 45:18 deal 23:1 41:16 45:22 46:12 dealing 7:24 41:7 42:22 deals 20:3 dealt 30:19 45:5 decades 23:5 decide 14:12 36:10 48:20 decided 3:14 17:24 23:5 31:25 decides 28:2 deciding 49:23 decision 3:21 8:1 16:19 17:15 18:8,10 22:12 23:11 31:12 32:8 35:10 37:4 42:19 48:12 49:4,15 decisions 22:18 41:23 deemed 44:25 defendant 6:8 15:8 19:15,16 19:18 26:10 27:19 28:7,10 28:16 32:3 33:15,21 34:3 34:7 35:24,25 36:1 39:1,2 40:2,3 42:4 43:3 44:18 48:16 defendant's 26:4 defendants 6:12 32:16,23 38:4 42:1 Defender 1:16 deferred 3:12 5:18	definite 25:9 delay 23:17 denied 34:2 49:1 49:3 Department 1:19 depend 34:6 dependent 20:7 designate 4:17 4:19,22 designed 23:14 23:15 details 18:24 19:6,11 determine 38:7 49:25 determined 8:21 9:24 19:10 27:21 difference 5:14 8:7 different 7:7,17 11:10 20:3 36:5,6,15,17 42:14,20 46:11 diligence 45:19 direct 39:19 directly 34:8,17 disagree 48:14 disapproval 22:9 discussed 29:4 30:20 49:15 discussion 48:24 dismiss 23:24 dismissal 46:22 dispute 32:10 district 3:24 33:11 34:22 38:6 42:23 45:16 46:1 48:5 docket 40:23 41:6 document 4:1 5:22 doing 31:9	Dolan 3:21,21 4:10 5:8 9:14 12:9 14:21 17:19 22:12 26:20,21 43:13 drafted 20:17 drafters 20:23 22:8,10,17 23:12 drafting 21:10 due 16:4 duplicates 14:15 duty 48:9
<hr/> E <hr/>				
E 2:1 3:1,1 earlier 7:22 19:25 45:25 early 8:9,16 23:24 24:6 48:21 easy 24:8 effect 32:20 effective 32:20 efficient 31:5,6 either 3:16 6:9 10:12,14 14:23 15:20 32:4 33:1 36:11 43:14 elements 41:20 42:8,14 43:18 Eleventh 9:15 9:17 12:17,21 13:2 14:7,9 21:20 31:2,21 31:24 48:11 eliminate 23:14 emphasized 42:13 encountered 20:18 ended 6:20 ends 6:1 entered 5:1,23 6:2 11:24 16:6 18:1,4,6 27:21				

<p>28:13 34:21 39:21 40:22 41:5 entering 17:16 entirely 4:22 entitled 37:20 40:3 49:24 entry 8:19 16:20 16:22 27:24 40:17,18 equation 7:21 error 29:1 30:8 30:11,13,17,21 33:23 46:10,16 errors 29:3 30:13,15,19 45:24,25 escapes 45:4 especially 32:23 42:3 ESQ 1:16,18 2:3 2:6,9 essentially 3:25 evaluate 3:22 event 3:16 eventually 5:6 evidence 37:10 exactly 19:1 48:4 example 18:16 21:20 23:8 36:2 38:3 examples 35:13 exception 22:22 exceptions 6:12 exclusive 20:11 excused 47:2 exist 7:15 20:1 existing 41:14 expect 14:22 expires 8:12 explain 18:18,21 35:7 explains 40:15 explored 19:4 extend 45:17 extension 16:8</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>F.3d 49:18 fact 6:25 18:22 23:20 34:20 37:7 failed 48:6 failure 8:11 46:2 46:6,20 47:1 47:10 fair 23:16 24:14 25:12 49:20 fairly 41:17 familiar 40:21 far 7:10 Federal 1:16 16:3 20:14 30:14 46:25 47:7 fee 39:4,5 feel 11:14 45:7 fees 37:19,21 38:8,10,15,18 38:21 felt 22:14 file 8:16,22 9:23 12:1 14:1 17:15 24:9,10 24:11 25:1 29:13,16,20 30:22 32:4,7 33:22 34:3,16 35:17,19 36:1 36:25 38:2,11 38:14 39:3,10 40:8,12 43:14 43:16 44:18,19 44:20 45:10,11 48:12 50:7 filed 5:5 9:22 11:20 16:18 18:9 23:23 24:4 26:4 27:23 28:1 32:19 34:2 37:13 39:18 41:3 43:3</p>	<p>filing 7:1 23:10 27:20 42:15 45:18 47:11 fill-in-the-bla... 4:8 filled 5:4,24 filling 5:22 fills 4:1 10:12 17:5 final 8:12 10:14 10:23,24 11:5 11:6,7 17:4 18:5 23:19,20 31:19 39:21 43:11 48:25 49:4 finality 10:25 find 30:7 47:8 findings 18:21 18:22 fine 24:13 first 3:13 8:22 16:3 27:4 35:16 38:9 Firstier 5:3 8:7 8:14 18:17,20 19:4 five 12:12 fix 22:4 fixed 22:3 fixes 21:23 22:1 fixing 8:20 Fla 1:17 fleshed 19:6,12 follow 25:17,25 followed 40:18 following 6:4 footnote 29:5 48:24 49:5 forgot 46:15 form 27:21 formalism 35:2 35:7,8 formulation 17:20 26:19 forward 9:18 14:1,12 22:11</p>	<p>37:11 45:12 four 36:15,17 freighted 10:25 friend 42:12 fulfilling 29:18 full 9:2 fully 3:18 15:3 further 20:21 22:24 43:20 47:15 future 28:21 30:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>G 3:1 general 1:18 31:12 35:9 General's 14:21 generally 31:14 35:19 getting 32:16 Ginsburg 4:16 19:8,14 28:4 28:10,15,22,25 33:8,17 34:9 34:20 39:9,15 41:8 46:5,13 46:17 give 13:22,23 22:4 35:13 40:11 46:6,15 given 19:12 31:1 gives 22:21 23:2 29:12 go 4:13 7:5,22 9:18 12:14 14:1 22:4 25:5 34:4 goes 14:12 42:16 45:12 going 3:22 11:12 11:22 12:1 13:16 17:23 18:20,25 19:2 19:18 22:16,16 25:11 26:24 31:5 32:24</p>	<p>38:7 42:2,7 43:24,25 48:20 good 38:3 44:3 45:19,20 government 9:10,11 12:10 24:10 25:17 37:11 42:21 43:13 45:11 48:10 government's 14:14 22:24 47:9 governs 24:18 grant 18:20,25 19:2 grants 38:6 grasp 33:18 Gravel 38:3 great 23:16 Griggs 23:11 guess 12:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>half 12:12,13,14 Haluch 38:3 handle 36:12 handled 9:15 handling 21:18 happen 28:22 happened 21:15 29:23 32:1 43:6 48:15 happens 4:4 5:25 40:24 happy 44:1 harmful 33:23 46:16 harmless 29:1 30:12,15 hear 3:3 7:6 heard 7:11 14:17 hearing 3:16 10:20 15:2 17:23 32:2 33:14 37:11,23</p>
--	--	---	--	---

41:4 48:18 49:2 hearings 36:16 heavily 16:15 height 35:2 held 13:5 25:2,2 helpful 7:25 hold 9:17 11:16 11:17 12:2,3 12:18 holding 13:19 13:22,25 Honor 6:5,15 13:21 15:18 17:1 47:21 hours 40:25 hurt 25:16 hybrid 31:17 hypothetical 36:21	34:17 41:14 46:18 47:6 incorporates 7:25 indicated 6:5 indicia 10:25 indigent 39:2 ineffective 45:21 information 43:1 initial 3:15 4:23 6:1,20,21 7:13 10:22 32:5 43:15 initiate 19:7 inquiry 46:24 insignificant 11:14 instance 20:13 33:13 41:3 instances 21:3 instruction 28:12 insubstantial 15:10,11 interim 5:1 17:2 17:6 interpreted 21:16 interrupt 49:9 intuition 25:23 intuitive 25:20 invoked 46:21 involve 48:15 issue 13:13 15:4 21:4 31:1 37:16,17 39:5 46:3 48:23 issued 28:11 48:11,17 issues 3:17 11:15 14:17 19:22 22:13 31:4 48:4	judge 17:18 18:19 33:20 46:15 48:18,18 48:19,19 judge's 46:6 judgement 13:3 judgment 3:11 3:18 4:2,6,7,17 4:22,24,24 5:6 5:10,14,14,16 5:19,20,20,23 7:13,14,14,24 8:11 9:2,19 10:2,3,8,23 11:11 13:3 16:6,20,23 17:1,2,4,6,9 18:2,3,6,21 19:1,9 20:25 23:19,20 26:9 26:11,12,12,13 27:22,25 31:19 34:21,23,25 35:4 37:20 38:4,5,6 39:17 39:21,24 40:11 40:18,20 41:10 41:12,21 42:10 49:2 50:9 judgments 5:3 36:6,12,17 July 32:1,8 junction 10:2 June 4:24 5:5 39:22 jurisdiction 12:22 23:25 24:1,5,6 25:1 41:18,20 42:7 jurisdictional 11:4 13:13,13 22:25 23:2 44:4,6 48:1 jurisdictions 24:21 25:2 jury 8:19 justice 1:19 3:3	3:8 4:16 5:13 5:25 6:13,16 7:4,6 8:18 9:4 9:9,20 10:5,10 11:2,18,21 12:17,24 13:7 13:15,19,22 14:4 15:5,15 16:13 17:10,14 18:1,7,12 19:8 19:14,24 20:7 20:10 21:2,7 21:12,22,25 22:20 23:16 24:8,20 25:7,7 25:21,24 26:2 26:11,21,23 27:13,17 28:4 28:10,15,22,25 29:9 30:7,10 30:16,25 31:15 31:17,23 32:13 33:1,7,8,17 34:9,20 36:14 36:21 37:16 38:13,17,23 39:9,14,25 40:6 41:8,24 42:6,11 43:22 43:24 44:2,8 44:11,15,22 45:2,9,14 46:5 46:13,17 47:16 48:10 49:7,9 49:17,19 50:11 justify 37:11	28:9,14,18,24 29:2 30:2,9,12 30:18 31:11,16 31:22,24 33:5 33:10,25 34:12 35:6 36:19,22 38:1,16,21 39:13 40:5,9 41:13 42:9,17 43:23,25 44:7 44:10,14,21,24 45:3,13,15 46:8,17 keep 37:6 Kennedy 6:13 6:16 8:18 9:4,9 9:20 15:5,15 32:13 33:1,7 36:14,21 kind 22:2 25:5 kinds 22:13,15 know 3:20 4:10 4:11 11:5,5,11 11:12,16,21,25 13:4,16 19:17 25:10 26:23 27:9 29:14,19 29:25 34:14,16 37:22 45:6 knowledge 31:11 34:6,10 knows 11:19 12:3 19:15,21 Kugler 48:19
I				
idea 37:14 identified 12:9 12:10 14:13 32:13 41:21,24 identify 39:17 immediate 32:16 immediately 20:19 23:12 implication 32:14 important 48:7 impose 42:23 imprisoned 24:11 imprisonment 32:17 incarceration 24:3 incentive 42:2 include 35:4 39:24 47:1 including 43:18 incomplete 5:1 inconsistent	J	joint 39:19		
			K	
			KAGAN 7:4,6 19:24 20:7,10 21:22,25 29:9 38:13,17 43:22 43:24 44:2,8 44:11,15,22 45:2,9,14 Kedem 1:18 2:6 27:14,15,17	L
				language 11:1 late 45:11 later-reduced 43:4 Laughter 49:13 law 17:19 18:22 31:14 34:18 lawyer 23:9 lawyers 23:6 25:23 26:15 27:9 49:6,21

<p>49:24 leads 4:14 leaves 26:13 leaving 5:23 legal 22:20 legislates 50:4 Lemke 8:1,5,15 let's 22:22,23 23:1 limit 12:15 15:21 limited 38:24 limits 47:1,2,4 47:25 line 19:8 literal 26:2 literally 27:1 litigant 29:5,7 litigated 31:4 litigation 23:6 35:12 little 38:18 logical 6:10 long 12:4 15:16 28:1 29:18 43:4 45:18 46:21 longer 47:4 look 5:12 13:2,3 looked 8:2 10:18 looking 4:11 looks 10:7 losses 36:8 37:3 lost 6:2 lot 25:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>M 1:16 2:3,9 3:6 47:19 majority 26:20 48:18 making 7:18,19 7:20 17:8 30:25 35:18 mandatory 3:23 17:20,21 18:19 19:2,13 36:3</p>	<p>37:8 46:19,23 47:4 manner 30:19 Manrique 1:3 3:4 49:15 MARCELO 1:3 matter 1:12 3:13 12:6 13:5 14:14 21:15 31:21 44:9 50:14 matures 25:4 maximum 42:24 43:11 mean 8:3 11:3 11:23 26:14 29:11 38:17 meaningful 47:5 means 11:10 32:19,21 46:22 mechanical 26:19 mechanics 3:22 3:25 21:16 mechanism 46:11 memorializing 27:25 mention 7:11 met 31:13 Miami 1:17 mind 37:6 mine 14:5 minutes 47:17 mistake 23:10 33:20 modification 41:11 modifications 41:9 modified 12:23 moment 45:5 months 10:21 14:24,25 15:9 15:12,12 16:5 16:7 42:25 Mortgage 5:3</p>	<p>8:7,14 18:20 19:4 motion 35:16,18 35:21 Muzio 31:25 48:15,17 49:14 49:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>N 2:1,1 3:1 name 45:4 natural 9:1 naturally 10:3 nature 37:6 necessarily 11:12 15:21 necessary 21:19 46:2,6 need 16:9 22:14 23:24 29:20,25 35:14 48:2 needs 29:16 never 6:21 11:19 31:7 new 4:6,7 15:1,7 36:8,10 37:2 43:1 non-jurisdicti... 44:12 nonfinal 10:7 normally 17:3 32:24 35:12 41:18,18 note 48:5 notice 3:10,16 4:15,17,18 5:4 5:9 7:1 8:2,22 9:17,21,23 11:10 12:1 14:1 16:5,18 17:15 18:9 20:24 23:10,18 23:21,23 24:4 24:24 25:3,10 26:4 27:10,20 27:23 28:1 29:13,16,20</p>	<p>30:4 32:4,5,7 32:19 33:22 34:2,3,16 35:3 35:14,18,20 36:1 37:1,3,14 37:24 38:2,5,9 38:11,14,19 39:3,10,11,14 39:16,18 40:8 40:12 41:2,9 41:19 43:3,14 43:16 44:4,17 44:18,23,25 45:18 47:11,13 47:25 48:12,21 49:21 50:2,7 noticed 3:13,15 5:11 notices 20:15,18 22:25 24:9 25:9 27:5 36:17 37:25 41:17 notified 35:3 number 11:15 28:5 46:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>O 2:1 3:1 objected 37:9 44:11 objection 29:20 31:7,8,21 obligation 29:19 obtained 11:1 obviously 11:23 41:6 occurred 24:18 24:19 occurring 5:19 October 1:10 odd 10:6 38:18 offenses 36:7 okay 6:16 29:19 44:8 45:9,14 49:17,19 once 8:12 30:3</p>	<p>31:4 open 10:3 26:13 opening 40:23 opens 6:23 opinion 49:23 opportunity 6:22 29:6,8,11 29:25 40:12 option 6:18 options 32:4 oral 1:12 2:2,5 3:6 27:15 order 4:18,19 6:1,3 8:20,23 9:5,6 14:2 16:19 18:13 19:12 24:12 26:5,6,10 28:13,17 33:22 34:22 ordered 8:19 15:25 32:6,21 orders 14:6,11 30:24 original 4:2,6 6:23 11:11 17:6 25:3 36:24 37:3 43:10,10 originally 8:15 16:6 outcome 14:10 outset 3:15 6:5,9 6:13 outstanding 13:5 14:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 3:1 p.m 1:14 3:2 50:13 page 2:2 39:19 47:8 pair 40:24 panel 14:16 Pardon 30:9 parentheses</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>40:24 part 3:12,20 4:5 4:18 7:21 10:12 16:23 20:20,20,21 21:11,14 33:3 33:5 34:6 36:24 50:8 partially 23:22 particular 22:23 parties 11:19 13:4 15:3 16:2 16:9 parts 7:23 party's 46:1,20 47:1 pattern 40:21 PAUL 1:16 2:3 2:9 3:6 47:19 pause 29:12 pay 26:7 Peguero 29:4 30:20 34:1 39:25 pending 42:5 people 25:10 perceptive 48:5 perfects 3:10,17 person 29:15 personally 48:13 petition 30:23 Petitioner 1:4,17 2:4,10 3:7 29:7 30:3 32:11,18 37:13 39:1,18 46:9 47:20 Petitioner's 14:13 32:3,14 34:13 36:11 37:6 phrase 15:23 42:7 phrased 4:9 pick 49:24 piece 48:7 place 24:12,17 33:2 34:13</p>	<p>please 3:9 27:18 plenty 14:25 point 19:17 32:22 38:2 42:18 45:15 47:7,22 48:25 49:5,8 pointed 39:15 portion 24:7 posited 38:23 position 9:10 12:21,23 28:15 possibility 20:18 20:20 possible 23:9 27:8 32:25 42:3 post-Dolan 12:10,11,23,23 13:9 14:15 postpone 17:23 postponed 17:24 power 23:2,4 practical 12:6 practices 31:1 precisely 9:16 10:17,18 17:8 40:19 predicted 14:20 prejudice 34:5 40:2 46:24 47:3 premature 8:2 prepare 16:8,10 prepared 15:2 16:1 present 24:17 Presumably 21:25 presumptuous 22:15 pretermitted 22:18 pretty 31:13 40:21 prevent 28:20 32:15</p>	<p>previous 23:15 previously 5:2 5:23 principally 7:9 principle 22:21 31:12,13 35:9 prison 42:4 pro 45:6,10 problem 22:2 29:21,22 problems 15:8 22:1,1,16 procedure 16:4 34:4 41:15 proceed 12:22 23:6 49:6,25 proceeding 5:7 46:14 proceedings 24:18 46:1 process 9:16 24:15 27:6 29:16 32:22 processing 44:13 46:19 progression 9:1 proper 30:21 34:4 46:11 47:13 proposition 42:19 prospective 41:22 provide 21:9 provided 26:19 provision 28:5 provisional 5:1 10:19 42:24 public 48:13 purpose 31:9 put 37:11</p>	<p>questions 22:19 25:14 43:20 47:15 quote 39:21 quotes 33:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>R 3:1 radical 41:17 raise 31:8 36:8 raised 15:1 19:22 31:7,20 38:22 raises 25:13 rarity 16:12 Rashkind 1:16 2:3,9 3:5,6,8 4:21 5:17 6:4 6:15,17 7:4,5,6 7:20 8:25 9:7 9:12,25 10:9 10:16 11:18 12:6,20 13:1 13:11,18,21,24 14:9 15:11,17 16:25 17:13,17 18:3,11,15 19:11,20,25 20:6,9,12 21:6 21:11,14,24 22:6 23:4 24:14,22 25:19 25:22,25 26:8 26:18,22 27:4 29:24 47:17,19 47:21 48:14 49:8,14,18,20 Rashkind's 29:9 Ray 38:3 re-entry 40:11 read 26:25 33:12 40:1,5 reading 11:3 20:13 ready 23:1 really 7:20 8:1,3 14:20 26:9</p>	<p>32:22 41:2 reason 6:4 14:20 17:8 26:25 27:1 33:13 37:3 39:2 47:23 reasonable 45:19,19 reasons 16:15 41:24 REBUTTAL 2:8 47:19 recognition 8:15 recognized 6:6 23:11 recognizing 39:9 recommend 22:8 recommended 24:25 record 15:1 16:10 reduce 43:1 reference 17:8 49:5 refers 40:17 regarding 20:14 regardless 7:12 rehearing 49:3 relate 4:12 relates 36:2 release 24:3 relevant 49:12 relief 40:4,7 46:9 rely 16:15 remaining 47:18 remains 6:20 50:9 remedy 30:21 40:11 remember 42:1 reopening 40:7 repeatedly 25:1 reply 29:10 reporter 16:7 represent 34:14</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p>32:11 represented 45:7 represents 29:10 29:24 require 3:23 13:24 24:21 25:22 27:2 35:19 39:4 required 4:15 19:2 20:15,19 20:25 27:5,11 30:5 50:3 requirement 44:4,5 requirements 44:16 requires 4:17 24:23 27:2 39:16 reserve 27:12 resolution 35:21 resolve 14:23 resolved 12:13 12:15 15:20 respect 18:2,12 21:10 30:2 34:15 36:20 37:18 Respondent 1:7 1:20 27:16 response 26:17 26:18 restitution 3:14 3:23 4:2,5 5:7 5:18 6:1,3,18 6:19,24 8:20 9:5,8,19,23 11:13,24 12:13 12:19 13:5 14:6,11,11 15:2 16:23 17:5,20,21 18:2,18 19:2,9 19:13 24:7,12 26:13 27:20 28:13,17 30:23</p>	<p>31:3 32:2,6,8 32:21 33:3,5 33:14,16,22 34:21 35:5 36:3,4,5,10 37:7,9,12 39:24 41:5 48:16,22,22 49:2 return 40:13 review 4:23,25 39:23 revisit 42:25 revisited 8:6 rewrote 20:19 right 6:2,9,13,17 10:9 11:13 22:2 23:12 25:7 26:10 28:7,17 31:18 33:9,16 34:15 38:14 40:3 42:7,9,11 44:10,14 48:8 48:11 road 10:21,22 37:23 ROBERTS 3:3 10:5,10 11:2 11:21 16:13 17:10,14 18:1 18:7,12 21:2,7 21:12 27:13 37:16 39:25 40:6 42:6,11 47:16 49:7,9 49:17,19 50:11 routinely 12:18 13:8 rule 7:10,11,12 7:23 8:6 11:8,9 15:6 17:11 18:9 20:21,22 21:10,24 23:13 23:15 25:3,15 25:24,25 26:3 26:3 27:22</p>	<p>28:5 30:8,11 30:14,17,19 32:18 33:7 35:16,18,21,22 36:12 37:24 38:1 39:16 40:14,15 41:2 42:12 43:25 44:13,13 45:16 45:17,23,24 46:7,21 47:7,8 47:23 48:6 49:8 rules 4:12 5:8 11:5 16:3 17:3 20:14,17,19,23 22:8,17,23 23:4,5,6,8,12 23:15,18 25:22 26:1 27:8 33:1 35:11,17 38:15 39:7 41:14,15 46:2,19,25 49:23,25 50:1 50:7 rules' 22:8 run 14:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>S 2:1 3:1 safer 24:25 saying 7:7,8,12 7:16,21 10:7 13:11 17:11 18:19 19:1 21:8 29:19 40:1 46:15 says 4:22 16:18 17:20 18:18,25 22:15 24:10 25:17,24,25 26:3,7,12,12 26:14 28:6 38:7 scenario 36:19 38:22,25 42:20 scenarios 38:24</p>	<p>script 33:14 scripts 33:11 se 45:6,10 second 4:1,4 5:21 6:3 23:10 26:24 30:4 32:5 34:16 35:2,14,20 36:1 38:2,11 38:14,19 43:6 48:12 section 4:14 sections 4:13 see 11:16 21:8 22:15 30:18 34:13 39:2,18 seeking 39:20,23 seen 5:2 21:9 sees 21:25 segue 44:3 sense 35:10 47:5 sent 34:22,23 sentence 3:12,12 3:18 4:6,7 6:23 8:20,23 9:6 10:19 16:19 18:5 20:23,25 24:2 27:24,25 28:2,6,6,8,11 33:4,6 35:17 35:24,24 39:21 40:18 42:24,25 43:1,4,6,10,11 43:15,15,18 50:8 sentences 40:22 sentencing 3:16 6:10,14,17 9:3 10:20 14:23 15:21 32:5 33:11,12 36:25 43:7 separate 46:23 separately 33:16 September 41:4 seriatim 36:16 36:16</p>	<p>served 11:8 setting 17:18 short 42:4 shorter 15:12 show 7:23 45:19 shown 45:20 side 23:9 27:10 46:22 47:3 significance 8:4 significant 10:25 similar 37:17 Similarly 35:22 simple 11:8 26:15 simplest 25:15 simply 4:7 24:9 37:13 single 3:10,16 4:14 7:24 12:11 14:16,16 20:13,15,17 24:24 situation 16:22 17:12 20:3,4 21:5,13 22:23 31:18 32:3 34:1 41:16 45:6 situations 44:25 45:2,10 six 10:21 42:25 slip 33:23 small 19:16 Solicitor 1:18 14:21 solve 29:22 solves 29:21 somewhat 37:17 soon 32:24 42:3 Sorry 49:9 sort 30:5,21 39:6 40:23 50:5 sorts 29:3 SOTOMAYOR 5:13,25 12:17 12:24 13:7,15</p>
---	---	---	--	---

<p>22:20 24:8,20 30:7,10,16,25 31:15,17,23 48:10 Sotomayor's 25:8 speak 21:17 speaking 17:2 specific 21:3 38:22 45:4 specifically 36:2 37:10 39:20 split 21:18 stage 29:16 standard 31:13 started 7:22 State 34:6 statement 18:20 47:23 states 1:1,6,13 2:7 3:4 39:20 47:9 statute 19:3 21:16 37:8 42:22 statutory 16:15 42:24 43:10 step 39:13 47:10 strikes 37:17 strong 42:2 struggled 3:21 struggling 3:24 12:8 submit 15:18 49:4 submitted 50:12 50:14 subsequent 18:13 substantial 35:23 suffice 37:4 sufficiency 37:10 sufficient 19:6 sufficiently 43:11</p>	<p>suggest 22:6 suggesting 5:15 25:17 suggestion 29:7 suggests 47:12 summary 18:21 18:25 19:9 38:5,6 supervised 24:3 supports 42:21 suppose 20:2 40:6 44:17 Supreme 1:1,13 31:1 45:4 sure 9:12 15:5 19:21 33:15 34:12 36:22 42:17 46:8 system 12:5 33:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 2:1,1 take 9:10 14:6 14:24,25 32:20 39:13 47:10 taken 41:10 takes 13:25 33:2 talk 31:5 talked 34:1 talking 8:11 16:14 20:4 30:12,15,16 34:5 36:23 37:2 38:25 40:10,19 41:1 41:2 tardy 8:8,10,14 technical 8:16 tell 5:13 23:5 telling 48:12,13 49:6 temporal 47:25 term 24:3 42:4 terms 16:17 17:3 32:17 test 31:9 text 27:1,1 40:14</p>	<p>Thank 3:8 27:13 47:16,21 49:19 50:11 theme 8:5 thereof 4:18 thing 10:13 25:15 29:11 30:5 39:6 45:22 things 10:14 11:10,15 26:6 35:12 36:23 think 5:8 7:23 8:25 9:13 15:17 16:11,14 19:20,25 20:2 21:14 22:7,7 22:10 24:14 25:16,19 28:19 29:9 30:3,5,13 30:14 31:11 32:13 33:18 35:7,10 36:23 38:12 39:13 40:9,10,14,19 41:13,15,19,23 42:17,20 45:20 46:10,17 47:3 47:6 49:20 third 36:2 thought 25:6 42:12 three 12:13 14:7 15:9,12 16:5,6 35:13 47:17 time 4:25 5:4,11 6:10 8:12 10:22,24 12:20 12:20 14:25 15:9,20,22,22 15:23 16:1,3,8 16:10 20:17 21:17 22:4 23:22,23 24:17 25:9,13 27:4 27:12 36:9 37:13 38:6,7</p>	<p>44:19 46:22 47:1,2,3 48:17 timeliness 31:20 44:5 47:24 timely 9:22 23:22 47:11 50:8,9 times 6:6,8 timing 21:15 today 21:17 tradition 13:2 transcript 12:19 14:2 15:1 16:11 31:3 transcripts 14:3 15:25 16:1,9 transferring 41:19,22 trap 23:14 24:9 traps 29:14 treat 7:13 39:10 41:8 treated 34:24 trial 15:7 troubled 14:18 troubling 32:14 32:23 true 13:25 21:22 30:3 42:3 trying 27:7,8,8 Tuesday 1:10 turn 20:23 Turning 45:23 two 6:6,8,25 11:9 12:14 14:7 20:15,18 24:9 25:1,2,9 27:5 32:3 37:25 50:5 type 11:4 19:5 34:5 50:8 types 30:13 45:24 typical 17:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>Uh-huh 44:21</p>	<p>ultimately 17:24 unavailable 15:8 unaware 29:8 underlying 50:6 understand 9:9 14:5 19:24 26:15 29:15 36:22 44:3,5,8 understood 7:8 7:9,18 17:11 36:24 United 1:1,6,13 2:7 3:4 unmistakably 30:4 unquestioned 23:25 unsure 24:23 untimely 23:22 unusual 11:4 unwary 23:14 29:14 upfront 11:1 urge 24:16 use 5:21 25:23 usually 10:13 29:13 40:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>v 1:5 3:4 valid 47:14 validity 47:12 verdict 8:19 verify 32:10 vice 8:9 victims 3:23 17:21 36:3,7 36:15 view 8:18 violation 8:17 virtually 31:6 virtue 25:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>wait 8:21 9:4,8 9:22 12:22,25</p>
---	--	---	---	---

13:1 21:23 31:3 32:6,12 36:25 43:16 waited 9:2 12:24 43:4 waive 38:15 waived 38:19,22 38:24 want 32:24 33:21 35:20 39:17 42:5 wanted 6:12 wants 35:25 Washington 1:9 1:19 wasn't 16:22 21:19 29:1 40:2 43:5 way 9:13,15 18:16 20:2 29:2 35:12 37:13 40:5 41:17 45:7,22 46:12,18 48:4 50:4 we'll 3:3 22:16 we're 7:23 14:5 34:10 41:7 we've 4:23 7:2 9:12,13 went 23:12 34:25 white 22:11 Wilson 48:19 window 6:23 10:3 windows 6:25 50:6 witnesses 15:8 won 37:21 words 5:21 10:6 17:1 20:2 44:17 work 35:12 41:18 works 16:14 worried 29:14	worth 11:14 wouldn't 18:23 32:19,20 39:3 wrestled 26:20 26:22 written 19:23 <hr/> X <hr/> x 1:2,8 <hr/> Y <hr/> Yeah 25:21 year 14:6 49:3 years 12:12,14 12:14 14:7,7 Yep 43:23 <hr/> Z <hr/> 0 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1:00 1:14 3:2 1:53 50:13 10.6 14:25 11 1:10 12 14:24 1243 49:18 14 6:22 8:23 14:1,2 26:4 44:18 14-day 6:25 15 12:11 15-7250 1:4 3:4 17 12:10 41:4 1953 8:1 1996 17:21 <hr/> 2 <hr/> 2010 21:15 2014 32:1,9 39:22 2016 1:10 2255 30:22 40:10 46:14 24 4:24 5:5 24th 39:22 27 2:7	<hr/> 3 <hr/> 3 2:4 3(a)(2) 47:8,23 48:1 3(c) 4:16,22 3(c)(1)(b) 39:16 30 14:2 45:17 32 14:14 28:5 30:8,11,17,19 32(b) 33:7 32(j) 48:6 35(a) 20:22 35:16,18,21 35(b) 35:22 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 4(b) 4:14 7:23 20:13 4(b)(2) 7:10,11 7:13,15,21,24 8:6 16:16 17:1 20:1,3,8,11,20 25:3 27:22 32:18 36:12 40:14,17 41:2 4(B)(4) 45:17 4(b)(5) 20:22 42 39:19 4500 37:12 41:4 47 2:10 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 52 30:14 43:25 45:24 46:7 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 60 8:21,21 9:24 17:24 36:7 6A 47:8 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7.6 15:12 757 49:18 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 80 9:24 <hr/> 9 <hr/>	9 48:24 90 12:5 14:23 15:6,16,18 17:25 90-day 12:15 15:21 98th 16:5
---	---	---	---