

1 issue. And I just want to take a moment to underscore
2 how that's really without foundation in this Court's
3 cases.

4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Kovner, and it seems
5 there are two lines of cases which -- in which we've
6 recognized that racial bias in a jury room is an
7 especially important problem, and that there need to be
8 special rules to address that problem.

9 And the first line of cases is the ones that
10 on voir dire say that a lawyer who wants to ask about
11 racial bias on voir dire has to be able to ask about
12 racial bias, and that we've applied to nothing else
13 except for racial bias.

14 And the second is the Batson line of cases
15 where we've said we're going to prevent lawyers from
16 doing what we otherwise allow them to do when striking
17 jurors will lead to -- may lead to race bias in the jury
18 room.

19 Now, here, we have like a screaming race
20 bias in the jury room. We have the best smoking-gun
21 evidence you're ever going to see about race bias in the
22 jury room, and notwithstanding that in these two lines
23 of cases we've said there need to be special rules to
24 address this prevalent and toxic problem in our criminal
25 justice system, here, we're not going to do that.

1 And the question is, why would this category
2 of cases be different from those other two?

3 MS. KOVNER: So, Your Honor, we think this
4 Court has never treated some Sixth Amendment violations
5 as more serious than others, and to talk about these two
6 lines of cases that Your Honor raises.

7 If Your Honor looks at what, I think, the
8 Court was doing in the voir dire cases, race was the
9 particular issue that it confronted there, but it was
10 indicating -- and I think it's indicated in other cases,
11 you have to conduct the kind of voir dire that's
12 reasonably calculated to detect the biases that may be
13 present in a particular case.

14 So those particular kinds of case involve
15 the high risk of racial bias, and that's what why the
16 Court said voir dire on race is required there. And
17 to -- to, I think, answer the question Your Honor asked
18 co-counsel, I think the Court has applied that principle
19 in other contexts.

20 In the capital context, the Court has
21 indicated under the Sixth Amendment you sometimes need
22 to ask questions about ability to consider mitigation
23 evidence, and lower courts have said that same principle
24 requires voir dire on other topics.

25 And then the second area Your Honor raises

1 is the equal protection area, and we think even there,
2 the Court has not distinguished among different types of
3 constitutional violations and said we're going to treat
4 some violations of the Constitution as particularly
5 serious.

6 What the Court said in those cases, we
7 think, Your Honor, is that there has to be special care
8 taken when the government acts based on race, and so
9 some conduct that wouldn't be unconstitutional at all,
10 if it were taken based on some other criteria, is
11 unconstitutional when it's taken based on race.

12 JUSTICE BREYER: The reason I think would be
13 the Sixth Amendment says, in all criminal prosecutions
14 the accused shall enjoy the right to a trial by an
15 impartial jury.

16 I agree with you that racial comments in the
17 room can be equivalent to other comments. But there may
18 be -- that's what I wanted to know, a prophylactic
19 aspect, that if you want impartial juries in general,
20 you have to deal with the problem of racial confidence
21 in the work of the jury. And that's a reason stemming
22 from the language of the amendment, to treat race
23 specially, and we have 20 states that have done so
24 without the reasons for limitation swamping the process.

25 Now, that's what I understand is a textural

1 argument, and purposive, that would, in fact, allow
2 constitutional protection of the kind they're asking
3 for.

4 MS. KOVNER: So I think when considering --
5 I think, Your Honor, when considering a prophylactic
6 approach to preventing Sixth Amendment violations, it's
7 important to consider both the cost of this rule and the
8 other alternative mechanisms that are available, because
9 those are things that the Court has traditionally
10 considered under the Sixth Amendment.

11 So turning to that with respect to race, we
12 think the prophylactic mechanisms that the Court has
13 relied on are likely to be particularly available in
14 many cases with regard to race, and to talk about,
15 first, voir dire and then the in-trial mechanisms that
16 Your Honor mentioned.

17 So in voir dire, as Justice Kagan's
18 questions alluded to, there's a -- a well-settled
19 principle, and it's the law in Colorado that you are
20 going to have the opportunity to ask these questions
21 about race. And there's also been a lot of study and
22 thinking that's gone into how to effectively detect bias
23 with respect to race in particular.

24 And then Your Honor alludes to mid-trial
25 reporting. Now, things can be done to strengthen that

1 safeguard. I think Your Honor alluded to some of the
2 things that can be done about particular instructions,
3 but in general, jurors are instructed that this kind --
4 that bias, in general, is impermissible. And they can
5 be instructed that racial bias, in particular, is
6 impermissible, and even to contact the judge.

7 On the cost side of the ledger, Your Honor,
8 this Court has always recognized that there's a very
9 high danger and a fair trial danger. If you are trying
10 to reconstruct, after the fact, jury deliberations with
11 a sort of he said/she said about what was said, that
12 that can really undermine confidence in the jury system.
13 And we think that that risk may be particularly acute
14 when you're talking about a very sensitive allegation
15 like that racial bias occurred.

16 And I think we know, as time goes on, that
17 racial bias can be expressed in subtle ways, and
18 particularly after a jury verdict is rendered and
19 somebody goes back into the community where a sensitive
20 issue has been debated, and they're trying to recall
21 what was said, there's the real risk that there will be
22 these kinds of credibility --

23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So -- so the more
24 insidious the evil, the less reason we -- the more
25 caution we should have in -- in inquiring of the jury?

1 MS. KOVNER: No. We just think that the --

2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: By them?

3 MS. KOVNER: No. We think that this is a
4 really serious issue and that it ought to be addressed
5 through the kinds of safeguards this Court has always
6 applied. But we think that to the extent the Court has
7 recognized dangers, they are present here, and so to
8 take, for instance, the danger of impeding full and fair
9 jury room deliberations, we think that's a particular
10 risk when you're talking about this kind of very
11 allegation that contains a very high degree of social
12 opprobrium attached to it.

13 So, Your Honor, I mean, there may be cases
14 in which race is discussed in the jury room because it's
15 appropriate, because the claim involves racial bias,
16 because there's allegations surrounding police
17 misconduct in which race is often discussed. And that's
18 different from, for example, coin flipping or
19 intoxication, where there's really never going to be any
20 reason why those things should go on.

21 This is really the kind of allegation that's
22 most likely -- that opening the door to is most likely
23 to impede full and fair debate in the jury room. And
24 that's one of the --

25 JUSTICE KAGAN: It does strike me, though,

1 that given that one of the rules is that a juror can,
2 during deliberations, say something appropriate --
3 something inappropriate is happening here, to the extent
4 that there is this chilling effect, and more -- why
5 doesn't that produce the exact same chilling effect?

6 MS. KOVNER: Yeah. I mean, I think --

7 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, it seems like it's
8 such on the margins, what you're saying.

9 MS. KOVNER: I think, you know, for hundreds
10 of years courts have treated the mid-trial context as
11 different. And I think a reason why is in that
12 mid-trial reporting, you're really talking about
13 something that sets off jurors' alarm bells at the time.

14 Once the trial is over, not only do you have
15 other interests kick in, in finality and tampering and
16 harassment, but there's also just really the risk that
17 jurors regret their decision in the case. They start to
18 second-guess what they did, and as a result they start
19 to misremember, or they're subject to community
20 pressures that aren't present when the trial is
21 occurring.

22 Your Honor, we're not suggesting that this
23 is not a serious issue, and even one that jurisdictions,
24 including this Court when it sits as a rulemaking body,
25 can study and consider. It's a difficult balance. But

1 what this Court has generally said with respect to rules
2 of evidence is that states have a lot of flexibility
3 on -- to adopt different approaches. And we think this
4 is a case in which the interests that the rule serves
5 are fully present, and the safeguards that the rule has
6 relied on historically are fully present, and so the
7 Court --

8 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Like -- like the
9 government of the State of Colorado, the government of
10 the United States would make the same argument in a
11 capital case?

12 MS. KOVNER: We think that capital cases do
13 present Eighth Amendment considerations that are not
14 present here. The Court has often suggested under the
15 Eighth Amendment different sets of rules apply, and
16 there may be different considerations in that context.

17 But, Your Honor, we think, to the extent the
18 Court regards the rule as problematic or as one that
19 deserves further study, the appropriate way to do that
20 is as a rulemaking body, which is another way in which
21 this Court considers and continually exercises oversight
22 as to the rules of evidence. But it shouldn't impose a
23 new constitutional rule that requires setting aside a
24 historic structural --

25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: In the states that allow

1 this kind of evidence, is it -- is it all done by
2 legislation, or by rule -- or by a rule of court? Or
3 has it come about by judicial decision?

4 MS. KOVNER: I think it's a mix. Some of
5 these jurisdictions are jurisdictions that employ,
6 basically, the Iowa rule, so they let in a lot of
7 evidence about what was said in jury deliberations.
8 They just have a broader rule.

9 Some have said, under our State law we think
10 this is an exception that has to exist to the rule. And
11 some of the decisions on which Petitioner relies are
12 constitutional decisions.

13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But if I can -- I'm
14 sorry.

15 JUSTICE ALITO: The issue of -- of a capital
16 case could involve all sorts of misconduct in the jury
17 room. So suppose it -- it came out later that the
18 jurors at the penalty phase in the capital case said, we
19 don't really care what the law is. We just want to
20 impose capital punishment, or they flipped a coin.

21 Would you -- if there were a special rule
22 for capital cases. Would you draw the distinction based
23 on race?

24 MS. KOVNER: I -- I don't think so, Your
25 Honor. I don't think that if there were -- if there

1 were a capital rule, we think it would go to whether
2 it's permissible to apply Rule 606(b) as a general
3 matter in this context.

4 Thank you, Your Honor.

5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

6 Five minutes, Mr. Fisher.

7 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF JEFFREY L. FISHER

8 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

9 MR. FISHER: Thank you. I'd like to make
10 four points, please.

11 First, just to pick up where that
12 conversation left off, to the extent my friends on the
13 other side are saying that in a capital case the Eighth
14 Amendment would become relevant, that's exactly the kind
15 of common-sense structural constitutional argument that
16 we're making and the other side is suggesting improper,
17 that when you look at the Sixth Amendment right to an
18 impartial jury, you also consider other elements of the
19 constitution, like the Fourteenth Amendment.

20 Secondly, with respect to the prophylactic
21 measures that the other side propounds, and specifically
22 voir dire, two points. First is, the studies they point
23 to are studies where race is already infused from -- in
24 the case from the outset. Cases like Ham v.
25 South Carolina, where the defense is all about the

1 person's race. And in those settings, questioning of
2 voir dire is almost incumbent on deference lawyers and
3 sometimes has good effect.

4 But that's not what the question really
5 before the Court today is. If they are saying that voir
6 dire is a cure-all for this situation, what they are
7 saying is in every single criminal case, it's
8 shoplifting, whether it's white-collar crime, whether
9 it's a DUI, any case a defense lawyer is -- is really
10 required to interject race into the case from the
11 outset.

12 And so as between interjecting race into the
13 case from the outset, potentially offending jurors,
14 potentially suggesting race is relevant where it doesn't
15 exist, and our solution, which is just simply having a
16 constitutional failsafe for this once-in-a-blue-moon
17 where you have this grave problem. We think our
18 solution actually does a lot less upheaval to the system
19 than an opinion from this Court that says voir dire is
20 the answer here.

21 Next, let me say something where we agree
22 with the other side. I think I heard both counsel for
23 the other side say this is a balance. And we agree
24 that's what the Court's cases dictate and that's what
25 606(b) itself strikes as a balance between the interest

1 of justice and the principle of jury secrecy.

2 I would suggest to this Court, though, that
3 when you conduct that balance, where we disagree with
4 the other side -- let me use the line from the Solicitor
5 General's brief. They said the Court's duty here is to
6 choose the lesser of two evils. Racial bias is never
7 the lesser evil. The Court has never said that racial
8 bias is a lesser evil than something like the public
9 policy considerations here. And I know the Court is
10 concerned about line drawing. It's obvious in -- in a
11 situation like this where you announce a new rule as a
12 constitutional matter that you're wondering what cases
13 are going to come next.

14 But I respectfully submit that the Court has
15 never refused to remedy intentional race discrimination
16 in the criminal justice system for fear of having to
17 address other questions down the line. And if you look
18 at the Court's cases, whether they're the Ham line of
19 cases, whether the Batson line of cases or anything
20 else, the Court will have ample tools and ample time to
21 decide down the road whether other situations are the
22 same or whether they are different.

23 Our submission here, though, is that race is
24 unique, race is a particular poison, and that the
25 experience of the 20 jurisdictions that have this rule

1 shows that implementing the rule we're asking will not
2 create any significant problems with respect to the
3 State interests or the administrators --

4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Mr. Fisher, it's not a
5 fear of confronting issues down the road. It's a
6 question of understanding the scope of the rule that you
7 are asking us to adopt. And I'll give you one last
8 chance. You will not tell us today whether your rule
9 applies to discrimination on the basis of -- of religion
10 or gender or sexual orientation or to add another one,
11 political affiliation.

12 So if the jurors -- if it came out the
13 jurors said this person is a Democrat, send him to jail,
14 that would be a different result. You will not tell us
15 whether the same -- whether the rule would apply in
16 those situations.

17 MR. FISHER: I think it's easy to say,
18 Justice Alito, that categories covered in the Equal
19 Protection Clause case by rational basis analysis would
20 not require the rule we're seeking today. I'm trying to
21 be forthright with the Court by saying I acknowledge
22 there will be other hard questions about identity, as
23 Justice Kagan put it. I'm not representing somebody
24 today that has that case. And I think the Court would
25 want full briefing on it.

1 And if I could just return to the --

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Maybe you could put the
3 question a little bit differently, because I understand
4 why you don't want to say, well, it wouldn't apply to
5 this or it wouldn't apply to that. But in what ways is
6 race unique?

7 MR. FISHER: Race, this is unique in terms
8 of our history and constitutional structure and in terms
9 of the more practical considerations of rooting it out
10 with the prophylactic measures we've discussed. The
11 briefing is filled with citations and examples of why
12 race is particularly hard to get at through the Tanner
13 factors as compared to even something like other kinds
14 of discrimination.

15 And the tiers of scrutiny analysis I think
16 is a good place for the Court to look, because it's not
17 that we're saying other forms of discrimination are okay
18 under the constitution whereas race discrimination is
19 unconstitutional.

20 We're saying that different tools need to be
21 available, more searching inquiries need to be done,
22 when it comes to race. And that's why we think the rule
23 of evidence here gives way in a situation where it might
24 not in other cases.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

The case is submitted.

(Whereupon, at 12:07 p.m., the case in the
above-entitled matter was submitted.)

A				
a.m 1:14 3:2	agrees 9:25 28:15	American 9:18	argument 1:13 2:2,5,8,12 3:3 3:6 7:19,21,23 28:11 29:5 32:2 38:2 43:13 47:1 51:10 53:7,15	15:7 35:25 36:3 47:8,13 57:21
ability 45:22	Alabama 29:19	amicus 1:22 2:10 43:14	arises 37:5 42:24	avoiding 4:9
able 44:11	alarm 50:13	ample 55:20,20	articles 41:15	
above-entitled 1:12 58:3	Aldridge 21:5	analogy 9:14 10:3,12 11:12 12:18 13:7 16:11	articulated 42:15	B
absence 16:24	alert 38:23	analysis 5:15 11:18 17:24 26:25 56:19 57:15	artificial 30:15	back 11:15 19:16,16 21:6 24:9 35:16 41:1,2 42:14 48:19
absolutely 40:8	Alito 5:7,15 10:4 15:10 16:1,3,7 26:2,13,14,21 52:15 56:4,18	ANGEL 1:3	aside 51:23	bad 16:2 24:23
accept 22:7	Alito's 20:19	announce 55:11	asking 7:20 12:8 14:23 16:20 18:6 21:1 27:1 27:17 37:8,24 47:2 56:1,7	balance 15:24 34:6 36:10 39:17 50:25 54:23,25 55:3
accident 12:3	allegation 18:20 48:14 49:11,21	announced 42:17	asks 22:18,21 24:18	balances 34:5
account 23:23	allegations 33:20 42:3 49:16	answered 32:17	aspect 46:19	balancing 7:7 12:9 15:22
accused 46:14	alleged 13:9,11 22:16 28:19,22 34:14 41:25	answers 5:9	Assistant 1:20	bar 41:8
acknowledge 31:22 34:7,12 35:5 56:21	allow 13:18,24 15:14 30:12 32:14 44:16 47:1 51:25	apparently 34:22	associated 30:22	based 6:5 13:11 15:7 17:21 18:7 27:2 29:8 29:9,20 33:10 42:20 46:8,10 46:11 52:22
acknowledges 31:20 39:8	allowed 10:17 12:4	appeal 17:16	assume 28:25 29:1	basically 29:1 52:6
act 4:1,2	allowing 39:9	APPEARAN... 1:15	assumes 36:24	basis 27:13 40:1 56:9,19
acts 46:8	allows 31:1 34:13	applied 34:21 44:12 45:18 49:6	assure 35:25	Batson 11:9,12 11:13 12:11 14:14 29:19 44:14 55:19
acute 48:13	alluded 47:18 48:1	applies 5:25 16:13,14 26:4 35:12 56:9	atmosphere 42:9	behalf 1:16,19 2:4,7,14 3:7 28:12 53:8
add 21:10,11 56:10	alludes 47:24	apply 13:8 17:14 28:21 31:18 32:11,15 34:9 34:13,15 35:19 43:24 51:15 53:2 56:15 57:4,5	attached 49:12	behave 6:20
address 43:20 44:8,24 55:17	alternative 47:8	approach 47:6	attitudes 37:21	behavior 7:13
addressed 49:4	amendment 3:15 4:15 5:25 6:1,12 9:13,14 12:20 13:8 15:12,13 16:10 16:10,17,20 30:10,15,16 31:10,19 34:12 37:6 42:25 43:25 45:4,21 46:13,22 47:6 47:10 51:13,15 53:14,17,19	approaches 51:3	attorney 19:24 33:19 41:25	believe 11:7 13:21 37:20
adequate 43:4	amendments 20:7	appropriate 49:15 50:2 51:19	automatically 11:16	bells 50:13
administer 3:22		area 45:25 46:1	automobile 12:3	belong 9:19
administrators 56:3		argue 40:3	available 12:21	best 21:21 34:5 37:9 44:20
adopt 35:9 51:3 56:7				better 12:19 18:18 39:24
adopted 35:5 42:18				
adopting 15:5 33:25				
advantage 36:21 36:22				
advocating 15:15				
affidavit 33:18				
affiliation 56:11				
afraid 8:23				
afternoon 27:14				
agree 6:6 32:23 46:16 54:21,23				
agreed 23:5				

<p>beyond 9:9 20:2 20:8,9</p> <p>bias 3:13,18,23 3:25 9:1 10:18 10:19,19 12:24 13:9,11,11 14:7,9 18:8 19:8,8,19 21:8 22:22 24:20 26:13,17 28:23 29:14,16,20 30:4,4,6,7 33:16 34:11,14 35:1,2,2,7 36:2 36:7,12 37:5 37:16 38:8,24 39:1,10,10,24 40:13 41:25 42:5,13,24 43:2,18,25 44:6,11,12,13 44:17,20,21 45:15 47:22 48:4,5,15,17 49:15 55:6,8</p> <p>biased 23:12,23 25:13 37:3</p> <p>biases 36:20 37:10 39:3 45:12</p> <p>bigoted 7:1</p> <p>bit 57:3</p> <p>blah 21:19,20,20 21:20,20</p> <p>blatant 26:3</p> <p>body 17:12 23:16 50:24 51:20</p> <p>Bolling 16:12,23 16:23 17:1</p> <p>bottom 42:3</p> <p>break 19:11</p> <p>breaking 28:4</p> <p>breaks 32:24</p> <p>Breyer 7:9 8:7 8:19 9:2 14:16 14:19 19:21</p>	<p>20:16,17,24 21:10 46:12</p> <p>Breyer's 19:17</p> <p>brief 33:12 39:8 55:5</p> <p>briefing 32:7 38:14 56:25 57:11</p> <p>bring 24:16,23</p> <p>broad 4:21</p> <p>broader 52:8</p> <p>brought 25:2</p> <p>built 14:10</p> <p>bunch 7:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>C 2:1 3:1</p> <p>Cal 1:16</p> <p>calculated 45:12</p> <p>California 3:11</p> <p>call 29:22</p> <p>calling 41:1,1</p> <p>campus 26:16</p> <p>campuses 26:5</p> <p>capital 32:1,5,7 45:20 51:11,12 52:15,18,20,22 53:1,13</p> <p>care 46:7 52:19</p> <p>careful 38:4</p> <p>Carolina 3:11 5:5 9:8 14:13 53:25</p> <p>cars 12:4</p> <p>carved 6:22</p> <p>carving 39:18</p> <p>case 3:4,25 4:12 4:15,15,18 5:11,13,14,24 7:12 8:15 9:12 9:18,21 10:1 10:10 11:16 12:13 13:22,25 14:2 16:23 17:1,14 18:7 19:10,18 20:8 20:9,19 21:16</p>	<p>21:18,24 23:11 23:18 24:1,2 25:13 26:3 27:1,2,12,15 28:2,4,6,19 30:1,15 32:1,5 32:17 33:10,21 34:14 35:18 36:1,3,22 37:12 38:15 41:10,24 45:13 45:14 50:17 51:4,11 52:16 52:18 53:13,24 54:7,9,10,13 56:19,24 58:1 58:2</p> <p>cases 4:22,24 5:4 5:5 9:6 10:8,16 10:21 13:18 14:4,14 17:5,5 20:5,10 28:22 30:7 31:17,21 32:6,7,20 33:14 34:25 36:1 41:10,13 44:3,5,9,14,23 45:2,6,8,10 46:6 47:14 49:13 51:12 52:22 53:24 54:24 55:12,18 55:19,19 57:24</p> <p>categorical 34:13</p> <p>categories 26:10 56:18</p> <p>category 4:21 45:1</p> <p>Catholic 29:21</p> <p>Catholics 4:2,10</p> <p>cause 7:23 8:25 29:17 39:2</p> <p>caution 48:25</p> <p>certain 13:22</p> <p>certainly 6:24 29:13,20 30:20</p>	<p>32:5 33:24 34:7,9 35:3 38:2 41:23 42:16</p> <p>cetera 20:6 41:13,13</p> <p>challenge 32:20</p> <p>challenged 17:21</p> <p>challenges 37:5 43:22</p> <p>chance 56:8</p> <p>characteristics 13:11</p> <p>Chief 3:3,8,24 4:5,8,13 5:24 6:16,25 13:10 15:20 17:11 18:9 22:6,11 22:15,23 24:5 25:10 28:9,13 37:13 38:11,19 38:22 39:7 43:11,16 53:5 57:25</p> <p>chilling 50:4,5</p> <p>choose 55:6</p> <p>Circuit 35:14</p> <p>citations 57:11</p> <p>cited 38:14</p> <p>cites 37:8,8</p> <p>citizen 28:16</p> <p>civil 41:10,13</p> <p>claim 49:15</p> <p>claims 29:19</p> <p>clarify 34:17</p> <p>Clause 12:16 16:13,14,16 17:6,14 18:1 30:17 56:19</p> <p>clearly 23:14</p> <p>climate 41:5</p> <p>co-counsel 45:18</p> <p>coin 15:18 20:6 31:16 49:18 52:20</p> <p>coin-flipping</p>	<p>42:13</p> <p>college 26:5,8,15</p> <p>Colorado 1:6,19 3:4,16,17,19 3:21 19:15 22:1 31:11 39:14,18 41:9 41:23 47:19 51:9</p> <p>come 4:3 15:5 22:24 24:3,9 27:23 32:2 34:14 38:9 52:3 55:13</p> <p>comes 7:16 9:23 12:24 13:19 15:24 21:8 27:7 57:22</p> <p>comment 8:2 26:11</p> <p>comments 18:4 18:15 21:18 46:16,17</p> <p>commit 7:3 17:18</p> <p>commits 40:3</p> <p>committed 6:21 43:19</p> <p>common 37:2 41:22 42:17</p> <p>common-sense 21:16 53:15</p> <p>community 48:19 50:19</p> <p>compared 14:9 57:13</p> <p>comported 28:1</p> <p>composition 11:21</p> <p>concede 30:5,8</p> <p>concentrate 8:19</p> <p>concepts 9:22</p> <p>concern 41:23</p> <p>concerned 55:10</p> <p>concerns 39:7 43:1</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>conclude 12:10 12:11,12 conclusion 5:13 conduct 5:15,16 11:19 26:25 45:11 46:9 55:3 conducted 3:12 confidence 46:20 48:12 confidentiality 4:18 confronted 32:16 38:7 39:16 45:9 confronting 56:5 confused 18:13 confusing 19:6 Congress 33:25 34:3 43:7 connect 16:3 connection 17:12 consider 3:13 26:4 45:22 47:7 50:25 53:18 considerations 51:13,16 55:9 57:9 considered 26:5 26:15 33:25 47:10 considering 47:4 47:5 considers 51:21 consistent 35:23 conspicuous 16:24 constitution 6:14 17:8 29:15 46:4 53:19 57:18 constitutional 46:3 47:2 51:23 52:12</p>	<p>53:15 54:16 55:12 57:8 constitutionali... 43:6 contact 48:6 contacted 27:20 contacting 28:2 contains 49:11 context 24:17 25:19 30:9 31:10 32:15 42:4 45:20 50:10 51:16 53:3 contexts 32:13 45:19 continually 51:21 continue 10:2 conversation 41:19 53:12 convince 40:4 correct 6:1 13:6 29:16 corrected 25:22 correctness 39:21 cost 47:7 48:7 couched 21:18 counsel 28:9 36:18 37:18 41:19 43:11 53:5 54:22 57:25 count 32:24 34:8 counter 38:8 country 3:10 15:8 23:20 25:24 37:21 course 6:14,19 11:14 13:5 23:1,3,4,14 29:18 35:14 42:24 court 1:1,13 3:9 3:16 4:11,25 5:4,8 10:9,16</p>	<p>10:24 12:11 13:1,6 14:5,11 14:12,13 15:6 15:7,21 16:11 16:12,16 18:13 23:19 24:2 25:12,17 26:20 28:14 33:24 34:3 36:6,22 39:13 43:7,17 45:4,8,16,18 45:20 46:2,6 47:9,12 48:8 49:5,6 50:24 51:1,7,14,18 51:21 52:2 54:5,19 55:2,7 55:9,14,20 56:21,24 57:16 Court's 5:2 9:6 9:21 10:8,21 17:7 21:6 23:13 26:19 29:18 44:2 54:24 55:5,18 courthouse 27:12 31:11 courtroom 33:18 courts 24:22 25:11 35:3,11 38:14 42:8 45:23 50:10 covered 56:18 create 20:7,21 35:13 42:9 56:2 creating 20:18 credibility 48:22 creep 36:15 crept 36:7 crime 6:21 40:3 54:8 crimes 7:4 17:19 criminal 30:25 41:13 44:24 46:13 54:7</p>	<p>55:16 criminality 30:23 criteria 46:10 crop 38:21 cross-cutting 21:22 cross-examina... 41:3 crucial 37:6 cure-all 54:6 curiae 1:22 2:10 43:14 current 26:8 cut 10:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 3:1 D.C 1:9,21 danger 48:9,9 49:8 dangers 49:7 date 35:6 day 24:22 25:24 de 40:19 deal 34:25 46:20 dealing 30:9 debate 28:17 42:9 49:23 debated 42:18 48:20 decide 4:11,14 4:24 7:8 18:7 25:25 26:22,22 27:1 55:21 decided 10:22 25:4 27:15 34:3,4 42:20 decision 23:13 50:17 52:3 decision-maki... 23:16 decisions 10:24 13:1 52:11,12 defendant 8:14 8:15 13:14 15:15,23 25:7</p>	<p>29:21 31:11,12 37:7,12 40:7 defendant's 19:10 30:10 31:19 37:6 defendants 43:1 defense 19:24 27:20 53:25 54:9 deference 54:2 degree 49:11 deliberate 32:14 deliberation 33:4 40:22 deliberations 25:20 31:13 36:5,7 39:25 40:5,6 41:4 48:10 49:9 50:2 52:7 deliberative 41:5 demands 22:4 Democrat 56:13 Denver 1:18 deny 4:23 12:10 28:6 denying 6:13 Department 1:21 depends 8:17 depriving 13:13 described 9:7 22:13 describing 19:19 deserves 51:19 despite 20:18 32:3 detect 45:12 47:22 determined 15:18 24:18 33:18 developed 17:12 dictate 54:24 difference 14:7 14:11,12 18:24</p>
--	--	---	---	--

<p>23:6 27:19 29:8 different 5:6 11:18,22 12:1 12:9,9,21,22 13:8 14:6 15:10,11,24 18:11 28:6 29:25 30:4,6 31:7 32:6 36:25 37:1 43:24 45:2 46:2 49:18 50:11 51:3,15 51:16 55:22 56:14 57:20 differently 12:16 29:3 57:3 difficult 31:10 34:6 50:25 dire 10:18 17:5 29:2 31:6 36:2 36:13,19 37:10 38:4,17 44:10 44:11 45:8,11 45:16,24 47:15 47:17 53:22 54:2,6,19 direct 17:16 directly 27:2 disagree 55:3 discrimination 6:4,17,22 12:15,17,22 13:3 16:19 17:13 21:8 29:9 33:10 55:15 56:9 57:14,17,18 discuss 27:13 discussed 30:7 49:14,17 57:10 dispute 29:13 disrespecting 31:15 disruptive 41:17</p>	<p>dissenters 11:16 disserve 43:3 distinction 52:22 distinguish 5:11 distinguished 46:2 disturbing 35:10 divided 23:21 25:11 doing 20:17 28:1 42:8 44:16 45:8 door 7:17,25 8:24,25 49:22 doubt 23:2 24:11 28:20 draw 21:17 42:20 43:4 52:22 drawing 8:12 43:1 55:10 drawn 9:15 35:23 draws 21:4 drive 12:4 39:10 40:11 driver 12:5 drivers 12:4 drives 39:16 due 16:13,16 17:5,6 DUI 54:9 duty 55:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>E 2:1 3:1,1 earlier 15:20 22:13 42:23 easier 21:24 easy 56:17 effect 39:4,14 41:5 50:4,5 54:3 effective 5:17 effectively 47:22</p>	<p>effects 33:4 Eighth 51:13,15 53:13 either 4:20 elements 53:18 elicit 37:15 elicited 27:21 else's 39:25 empirical 15:7 employ 52:5 England 42:14 enjoy 46:14 ensure 28:16 38:18 enter 40:22 entire 14:9 17:12 entirely 27:13 equal 4:15 5:24 9:12 12:16 16:14,18,24 17:13,25 26:19 26:25 30:17 46:1 56:18 equivalent 46:17 eradicating 43:19 erred 25:4 error 25:15 31:13 especially 30:21 44:7 ESQ 1:16,18,20 2:3,6,9,13 et 20:6 41:13,13 ethnic 10:5 ethnicity 9:25 everybody 8:23 9:24 22:25 23:5 evidence 3:13 18:7 19:10 21:25 22:20 24:15 25:6,25 32:18 33:2,8 34:13 36:4 40:4,5 41:24</p>	<p>44:21 45:23 51:2,22 52:1,7 57:23 evidentiary 18:20 evil 48:24 55:7,8 evils 7:18 33:11 55:6 exact 27:16 50:5 exactly 20:15 22:18 31:6 35:14 40:4 42:7 53:14 examined 41:3 example 17:4,15 30:2 33:20 36:1 49:18 examples 33:14 57:11 exceedingly 13:4 exception 3:18 3:22 4:3 14:22 15:14 20:18 33:1 34:11,12 35:13,15 36:5 36:13 39:15 52:10 exceptions 3:20 6:8 14:24 22:1 35:6 36:16,18 39:19 executed 32:3 exercises 51:21 exist 19:14 29:10 52:10 54:15 existence 40:19 expect 12:3 36:18 experience 15:2 15:8 21:16,19 38:3 55:25 experiences 37:1 37:19 explicit 38:9 explore 36:20 expose 37:10</p>	<p>exposed 42:5 expressed 48:17 extend 12:12 extended 9:9 29:19 extending 35:4 extent 8:24 49:6 50:3 51:17 53:12 extraneous 21:25 22:20 extreme 35:1,18 35:20 extreme-cases 34:15 35:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>face 27:2 faces 27:6 fact 7:17 12:25 13:3 20:18 23:22 29:8,20 31:5 38:5 39:10 41:11 47:1 48:10 factors 5:17 11:20,20 57:13 facts 22:15 25:19 failsafe 54:16 fair 4:14 13:14 15:13 20:8,9 20:10 28:17 30:11 33:4 35:25 42:9 48:9 49:8,23 fairer 20:21 fairly 32:14 fairness 21:7 faith 6:19 falls 26:10 far 13:16 35:16 fatal 13:3 favor 4:24 fear 9:3 55:16 56:5 Federal 14:21</p>
--	---	---	--	--

<p>16:13,15,25 22:1 feelings 40:7 Fifth 16:17 figure 42:14 filed 33:9 filled 57:11 finality 50:15 first 5:1 11:13 11:17 18:11 19:7,16 21:14 22:12 23:9,12 24:10,15 26:14 32:23 42:12,15 44:9 47:15 53:11,22 Fisher 1:16 2:3 2:13 3:5,6,8 4:4,11,23 5:14 5:19,20,22 6:2 6:6,13,23 7:6 8:6,9,20 9:4,21 10:7,21 11:4,7 11:11,25 12:7 13:5 14:1,18 14:20 15:19 16:2,6,9 17:3 17:24 18:12,22 19:1,4 20:15 20:23 21:3 22:10,18 23:7 24:7,13 25:9 25:16 26:12,18 26:24 27:5,10 27:25 34:18 53:6,7,9 56:4 56:17 57:7 Fisher's 29:5 five 19:18 23:5 53:6 flexibility 51:2 flip 31:16 flipped 52:20 flipping 15:18 20:5 49:18 Florida 39:12 focused 36:10</p>	<p>follow 3:16 11:16 22:5 32:8 footnote 35:23 forced 40:3 form 43:6,25 forms 29:16 57:17 forth 7:7 forthright 56:21 found 20:13 25:7 foundation 44:2 four 53:10 Fourteenth 6:1 6:12 9:13 16:10 53:19 FREDERICK 1:18 2:6 28:11 frequently 4:5 friends 53:12 full 28:17 33:4 42:9 49:8,23 56:25 fully 32:14 51:5 51:6 functions 31:6 further 43:4,9 51:19 fused 9:22 future 25:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>G 3:1 gain 27:24 gender 11:1,9,15 13:3,15 29:19 34:21 56:10 general 1:18,21 8:11,22 20:21 37:9,11 46:19 48:3,4 53:2 General's 55:5 generally 37:9 41:14 51:1 Ginsburg 9:16 11:24 12:1,25</p>	<p>13:5 16:22 17:3 24:4,8,14 24:20 27:18 34:17,24 37:22 40:16,18,21 42:11 51:25 52:13 give 13:6 15:19 16:11 22:4 29:4 33:20 43:3 56:7 given 6:8,20 7:12 36:6 50:1 gives 7:1 57:23 Gladden 23:13 go 19:16,16 20:2 49:20 53:1 goes 14:2 21:6 40:25 41:8 48:16,19 going 4:18,19,21 7:22 9:1,1 12:10 15:16 18:19 21:21 31:18,18 35:13 36:25 40:14 41:24 44:15,21 44:25 46:3 47:20 49:19 55:13 good 38:17,17 38:23 39:19 54:3 57:16 government 9:24 16:13,15 16:25 32:1 46:8 51:9,9 government's 23:11,18 24:1 grant 23:18 grave 54:17 greater 13:17,23 29:7 Greer 33:21 group 29:22 groups 10:5 guess 4:17 22:16</p>	<p>39:1 guides 37:7 guilt 19:10 guilty 4:2 23:2,3 23:5 25:8 guy 23:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>H.C.'s 21:19 half 3:10 hall 31:14 Ham 5:5 9:8 10:22 14:13 17:4 53:24 55:18 hand 7:24 37:3 handed 28:18 happen 20:1 26:7 31:1 38:6 39:13 happened 31:13 32:3 happening 50:3 happens 24:5 harassment 28:18 33:3,11 33:15 50:16 harbor 39:25 harboring 39:24 harbors 40:13 hard 35:3 38:25 56:22 57:12 harm 15:5,22 29:9,12 30:19 31:2,4,8 harmful 14:7 harms 16:19 29:17,25 HC 23:4 24:10 hear 3:3 8:16 25:25 heard 33:5 54:22 hearing 18:20 heart 14:2 helpful 5:8 9:14 10:12 11:12</p>	<p>high 45:15 48:9 49:11 hint 36:7 Hispanic 9:23 34:23 Hispanics 10:6,8 historic 51:24 historically 51:6 history 17:9 20:12 57:8 hold 25:12 honest 20:11 Honor 6:23 32:4 32:21 33:14 35:22 37:4 40:12 45:3,6,7 45:17,25 46:7 47:5,16,24 48:1,7 49:13 50:22 51:17 52:25 53:4 Honors 43:9 hundreds 43:22 50:9 hurt 9:2 hypotheticals 14:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>idea 25:5 identity 42:13 56:22 identity-based 29:25 30:4,6 imagine 20:5 immediately 25:21 impact 13:17,23 19:3 impartial 3:15 15:14 16:4 30:10 46:15,19 53:18 impeach 17:22 20:2 31:12 40:20 impeaching 39:2</p>
---	--	---	--	--

impeachment 13:18,24	36:5	invalid 7:13	14:21,22 15:9	41:6 42:10
impede 49:23	informed 30:16	invocation 4:16	18:3,21 19:14	43:21 44:6,17
impeding 49:8	infuse 9:13 38:5	involve 20:20	19:15 23:20	44:20,22 46:15
impermissible 4:16,17 48:4,6	infused 16:17	45:14 52:16	25:24 32:19,24	46:21 48:10,12
implementing 3:18 56:1	53:23	involves 5:11	33:1 35:8,9	48:18,25 49:9
implicated 31:20	innate 13:11	49:15	50:23 52:5,5	49:14,23 52:7
implicit 38:9	inquiries 19:20	Iowa 33:22 34:9	55:25	52:16 53:18
importance 35:12	27:16 57:21	43:7 52:6	jurisprudence	55:1
important 14:1	inquiring 42:1	Islamic 6:18	21:7 26:20	jury's 17:22
30:11 31:22	48:25	isolate 9:17	juror 18:4,6	justice 1:21 3:3
32:12 44:7	inquiry 27:17	issue 10:23	19:17 21:19	3:8,24 4:5,8,13
47:7	39:9	17:14 23:19	23:9,13,23	5:7,15,19,21
impose 51:22	insidious 48:24	25:10 30:16	24:16 25:2,3	5:23 6:3,7,10
52:20	instance 49:8	33:19 44:1	25:13 26:16	6:16,25 7:9 8:7
impossible 8:14	instances 35:1	45:9 48:20	27:7 28:5,22	8:18,19,21 9:2
33:7	institutional	49:4 50:23	36:24 37:16	9:16 10:4,13
improper 21:25	29:17	52:15	40:13 42:6,21	10:16,25 11:1
25:1 26:15	instructed 21:13	issues 32:6,14	50:1	11:2,5,8,24
53:16	21:15 22:2	37:19,20 38:7	juror's 28:19	12:1,25 13:5
improve 41:12	48:3,5	38:18 39:9	jurors 8:17 18:5	13:10 14:16,19
in-trial 47:15	instruction	56:5	21:15 22:2,4,8	15:10,20 16:1
inappropriate	19:25 21:21,22	issuing 23:24	22:24 24:9,10	16:3,7,22 17:3
50:3	24:23,25		24:24 26:7	17:11 18:9,10
including 28:15	instructions	J	27:11,14,19,21	18:18,23 19:2
50:24	22:5 48:2	jail 56:13	27:22,23 28:2	19:5,17,21
incorporation	integrity 14:10	JEB 29:18	31:15 33:16,17	20:15,17,19,24
17:2	intentional	JEFFREY 1:16	37:3,25 38:5,6	21:10 22:6,11
incumbent 54:2	26:13,17 55:15	2:3,13 3:6 53:7	40:4,16,18,20	22:15,23 24:4
indicated 45:10	intentionally	Jews 4:2 35:2	40:21 41:2,11	24:8,14,20
45:21	27:2	job 30:13	41:19 42:1,2	25:5,10,14
indicating 45:10	interchangeable	joke 19:11	44:17 48:3	26:2,12,14,21
individual 20:8	9:25	judge 8:3 19:19	50:17 52:18	27:4,6,10,18
20:9 30:9 31:3	interest 15:24	22:18,19,20	54:13 56:12,13	28:9,13,24
31:19 39:24	54:25	24:18 25:6,23	jurors' 50:13	29:24 30:14,25
43:1	interests 28:20	26:21,22,25	jury 3:15,20	31:21,25 32:8
inevitably 7:25	28:25 29:6	27:6,8,15 28:6	4:18 7:2,11 8:1	32:18 33:6
infect 39:25	30:12 31:6,21	39:17 48:6	8:2,15 12:2	34:17,24 35:15
infected 24:19	34:6 50:15	judges 3:13	13:18,25 15:14	36:11,15,23
influence 21:25	51:4 56:3	judgment 34:10	15:17 16:4,4	37:13,22 38:11
40:14	interject 54:10	35:21	17:22 20:1	38:19,22 39:7
influenced	interjecting	judicial 14:10	21:12,17,21,22	39:20 40:10,16
22:22 24:12	54:12	15:23 20:7	22:20 24:23,25	40:18,21 41:7
	interpreted	52:3	25:2,25 26:10	41:20 42:11
	24:25	juries 11:22	28:16,17 30:10	43:11,16 44:4
	interview 22:8	46:19	30:13 31:15	44:25 46:12
	intoxication	jurisdiction 3:19	32:3,12 33:11	47:17 48:23
	49:19	jurisdictions	36:7 40:14,25	49:2,25 50:7

51:8,25 52:13 52:15 53:5 55:1,16 56:4 56:18,23 57:2 57:25 justification 13:4	40:18,22 46:18 48:16 50:9 55:9 Kovner 1:20 2:9 43:12,13,16 44:4 45:3 47:4 49:1,3 50:6,9 51:12 52:4,24	23:15 35:22 44:9,14 55:4 55:10,17,18,19 lines 8:12 42:20 43:2,4 44:5,22 45:6 link 33:2 litigant 14:8 little 40:24 57:3 local 28:1 lockstep 18:1 long 27:25 longer 13:2 look 5:16 9:6 11:22 23:10,17 23:25 25:6,18 53:17 55:17 57:16 looked 38:15 looks 45:7 lot 26:4,8 39:21 47:21 51:2 52:6 54:18 lower 10:24 45:23	39:1 40:2,20 49:13 50:6,7 means 20:9 meant 25:18,19 25:23,23 42:4 measure 38:25 measures 53:21 57:10 mechanism 36:19 38:17 mechanisms 47:8,12,15 medicine 17:10 men 21:19 mention 7:18 mentioned 47:16 mere 23:22 Mexican 9:18 21:19 40:2 Mexicans 4:1 mid-trial 47:24 50:10,12 MIGUEL 1:3 minds 37:25 Minnesota 15:1 28:3 minutes 19:18 23:6 53:6 misbehavior 7:11 misconduct 8:14 22:20 28:23 36:5 42:21 43:3 49:17 52:16 misremember 50:19 Mitchell 5:5 9:8 14:12 mitigation 45:22 mix 52:4 moment 44:1 Mormon 29:21 motions 33:9 multimember 23:15	multiple 3:20 15:8 41:1 42:2 Muslim 6:18,19 29:21 Muslims 6:20 35:2
<hr/> K <hr/>	<hr/> L <hr/>	<hr/> M <hr/>	<hr/> N <hr/>	
Kagan 10:13,16 10:25 11:2,5,8 25:5,14 27:4,6 27:10 28:24 29:24 30:14 31:21 44:4 49:25 50:7 56:23 57:2 Kagan's 47:17 keep 10:13 39:3 KENNEDY 31:25 32:8 41:7,20 48:23 49:2 51:8 key 18:13 kick 50:15 kind 10:19 17:2 19:8 25:14 29:7,12 30:19 31:7 36:4 40:3 42:24 45:11 47:2 48:3 49:10,21 52:1 53:14 kinds 7:17 12:22 13:8 22:19 29:10,25 30:7 45:14 48:22 49:5 57:13 know 4:1 5:23 6:20,20 7:1 9:11 12:5 13:19,20 16:16 17:1,17,17 19:24 23:4,5 30:6 32:3 36:25 37:1 38:11 39:21	L 1:16 2:3,13 3:6 53:7 la 40:19 land 3:19 language 46:22 large 31:4 33:17 Laughter 10:15 law 6:4 9:22 17:12 42:17 47:19 52:9,19 lawyer 10:17 27:20,22,25 28:4 41:24 44:10 54:9 lawyers 37:23 41:10 44:15 54:2 lead 43:5 44:17 44:17 leave 12:23 40:24 ledger 48:7 left 53:12 legal 41:17 43:22 legislation 52:2 lesser 55:6,7,8 let's 4:9 26:3 28:24,24 29:1 lies 13:20 life 15:17 limit 6:11 limitation 46:24 limited 14:22 34:11,20 limiting 4:20 line 14:14 17:5	majority 34:4 43:8 maker 42:19 making 53:16 manufactured 33:19 margins 50:8 Massachusetts 33:21 38:16 matter 1:12 39:18 41:8 42:21,24 53:3 55:12 58:3 mature 38:4 McCowen 38:15 McDonald 14:4 mean 5:23 7:24 8:1 9:17 13:21 17:21 22:7 30:20 34:10	mere 23:22 Mexican 9:18 21:19 40:2 Mexicans 4:1 mid-trial 47:24 50:10,12 MIGUEL 1:3 minds 37:25 Minnesota 15:1 28:3 minutes 19:18 23:6 53:6 misbehavior 7:11 misconduct 8:14 22:20 28:23 36:5 42:21 43:3 49:17 52:16 misremember 50:19 Mitchell 5:5 9:8 14:12 mitigation 45:22 mix 52:4 moment 44:1 Mormon 29:21 motions 33:9 multimember 23:15	N N 2:1,1 3:1 national 9:16,19 34:22 nearly 37:5 necessary 32:13 35:25 36:6 need 24:2 27:5 44:7,23 45:21 57:20,21 needed 7:8 needs 4:11 6:14 32:16 negative 33:7 39:22 neighborhood 17:17,18 18:14 never 8:16 9:9 45:4 49:19 55:6,7,15 new 3:11 14:25 27:16,24 51:23 55:11 no-impeachm... 28:21 30:12 33:23 34:5 35:12 36:9 39:15,19 note 8:3 19:18 19:25 21:13 notwithstandi... 44:22 number 33:9,17 34:19
			<hr/> O <hr/>	
			O 2:1 3:1 object 7:14,15 8:14 objecting 8:10	

<p>8:13 objective 24:21 25:1 26:19 observed 38:6 obvious 24:1 55:10 obviously 4:2,4 17:15,20 occur 31:13 occurred 48:15 occurring 33:3 50:21 October 1:10 odious 6:4,17,24 7:5,6 12:19 off-color 19:11 offending 54:13 offensive 13:12 17:15 23:3 offered 3:14 oh 10:7 17:16 25:22,25 okay 8:20,20 22:15 57:17 once 4:14 8:25 13:2 22:16 24:17 50:14 once-in-a-blue... 54:16 ones 44:9 open 4:21 7:17 8:23,25 opening 7:25 49:22 operate 29:2 operative 16:25 opinion 11:14 17:7 21:4,5 54:19 opportunity 47:20 opposed 18:16 19:8 opposite 5:12 38:6 opprobrium 49:12</p>	<p>oral 1:12 2:2,5,8 3:6 28:11 43:13 order 41:12 42:9 ordinary 11:21 orientation 7:1 7:2 13:16 34:22 56:10 origin 9:17,19 9:23 34:22 ought 32:15 49:4 outset 38:8 53:24 54:11,13 overall 23:25 overlap 4:5 oversight 51:21 overwhelmed 32:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 1:20 2:9 3:1 43:13 p.m 58:2 page 2:2 39:8 paper 19:23 paramount 21:9 Pardon 5:20 14:18 Parker 23:13 part 20:6 37:16 42:5 partial 16:4,7 particular 7:3 13:22 16:19 29:14,15 30:22 33:10,14 35:4 36:1 43:25 45:9,13,14 47:23 48:2,5 49:9 55:24 particularly 9:22 29:2 41:9 46:4 47:13 48:13,18 57:12 parties 9:24 party 10:9</p>	<p>Pena-Rodriguez 1:3 3:4 penalty 41:2 52:18 people 7:3 9:23 17:17,18 18:14 26:6 30:19,20 36:25 38:24 39:3,22 40:24 People's 37:19 perceived 20:22 perception 21:7 percolate 10:23 perjury 41:3 permissible 33:23 53:2 permissive 43:6 permit 32:19 36:12 pernicious 6:4 6:10 39:4 persist 37:20 person 25:22 26:14 30:24 32:2 56:13 person's 54:1 personal 21:19 persuasive 13:4 Petitioner 1:4,17 2:4,14 3:7 28:15,23 31:20 32:25 37:8 38:3 39:7 52:11 53:8 Petitioner's 34:8 37:18 43:23 phase 52:18 pick 53:11 pierce 4:17 place 3:22 28:3 57:16 please 3:9 8:18 8:18 28:14 41:7 43:17 53:10 plenty 10:23 Pless 14:4</p>	<p>point 7:15 8:4 12:15,23 33:1 42:7 53:22 pointed 11:21 points 21:11 53:10,22 poison 55:24 police 49:16 policy 55:9 political 39:21 56:11 poll 24:8 pose 29:9 position 32:9,11 positive 33:8 39:23 possibility 22:21 possibly 36:8 post 35:11 post-trial 36:4 41:18 potentially 54:13,14 practical 57:9 practice 37:7 41:22 practices 6:18 precisely 27:10 31:23 36:17 37:7 38:16 predecessors 35:17 predicting 33:12 present 41:24 45:13 49:7 50:20 51:5,6 51:13,14 pressures 50:20 Presumably 27:4,6 pretty 31:7 prevalence 41:16 prevalent 44:24 prevent 28:17 42:8 44:15 preventing 29:6</p>	<p>47:6 previous 5:2 principal 43:23 principle 3:20 4:20 8:23 16:25 21:6 45:18,23 47:19 55:1 principles 6:11 30:17,18 prison 15:17 prisoner 15:16 private 14:8 probability 24:19 25:3 probably 20:12 problem 13:7 17:9 19:21 20:22 29:14,15 31:16 33:15 36:23 41:14 43:18,20 44:7 44:8,24 46:20 54:17 problematic 51:18 problems 21:14 38:23 56:2 proceeding 22:7 40:23 proceedings 17:23 27:8,11 42:25 process 16:13,16 17:5,6 38:10 46:24 processes 13:25 produce 50:5 Professor 38:14 pronounced 42:12 proper 27:13 prophylactic 46:18 47:5,12 53:20 57:10 proposal 22:8 propound 37:15</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>37:17 propounds 53:21 prosecutions 46:13 prospective 37:16 protected 32:12 protection 4:15 5:24 9:12 12:16 16:14,18 16:24 17:13 18:1 26:19,25 30:17 46:1 47:2 56:19 protections 43:21 protects 15:13 prove 3:14 33:6 provide 10:11 public 55:8 pulled 33:17 punished 31:3 punishing 30:20 punishment 52:20 purely 24:20 purpose 20:6 purposes 15:11 15:12 purposive 47:1 put 56:23 57:2 putting 31:18 37:25 42:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>qualified 35:17 question 4:9 7:12,13,25 8:5 8:10,11,19,22 11:14 13:12 14:2 15:6 18:2 18:17 19:17 21:12 22:19 23:12,17 24:3 24:6,20 25:16 29:23,24 30:3</p>	<p>32:16 36:24 37:2,9,11,23 37:24 39:1 41:15 45:1,17 54:4 56:6 57:3 questioned 41:11 questioning 27:22 36:12 54:1 questions 12:8 23:8 24:13 37:14,14,18 43:10 45:22 47:18,20 55:17 56:22 quiet 39:3 quite 7:6 21:6 23:14 38:5 quotient 42:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>R 1:18 2:6 3:1 28:11 race 4:5,12,14 4:16,19 5:3,5,6 5:7,10,12 6:5,9 6:11 9:10,17 9:20,25 10:9 10:10,22 11:5 11:8,9,14,23 12:17,24 13:15 14:6,23 15:10 15:10,25 17:7 17:9,16,21 18:5 20:20,22 27:3 29:8 30:3 30:21,21 31:3 34:20 35:20 36:2,13 37:12 37:25 38:4 40:7 44:17,19 44:21 45:8,16 46:8,11,22 47:11,14,21,23 49:14,17 52:23 53:23 54:1,10</p>	<p>54:12,14 55:15 55:23,24 57:6 57:7,12,18,22 race-based 18:15 race-specific 9:9 RACHEL 1:20 2:9 43:13 racial 3:13,18,23 6:22 10:19 12:24 14:9 16:5,19 17:13 18:7 19:8,19 21:8,8 24:19 26:11,13,17 29:14 30:4 31:14 33:10,16 34:11,13 35:1 35:6 37:20 38:7,8 39:10 39:10,24 42:5 43:2,18 44:6 44:11,12,13 45:15 46:16,20 48:5,15,17 49:15 55:6,7 racial-bias-only 39:15 racially 22:14 23:23 25:13 racious 26:11 racism 38:5 39:16 racist 8:2 26:6 26:23 raise 32:6 37:3 38:17 raises 45:6,25 rampant 33:10 range 31:21 rare 37:2 rational 56:19 reach 5:12 8:3 19:25 read 6:14 16:10 16:19 readily 28:21</p>	<p>real 20:4 27:9 33:15 43:18 48:21 really 7:20,21 20:11 29:7 44:2 48:12 49:4,19,21 50:12,16 52:19 54:4,9 reason 4:6 5:3 7:10 9:11 14:5 16:8 20:4 43:4 46:12,21 48:24 49:20 50:11 reasonable 18:4 22:21 24:18 25:3 reasonably 45:12 reasons 9:4 16:5 24:1 46:24 REBUTTAL 2:12 53:7 recall 48:20 recognize 35:16 recognized 6:8 29:18 44:6 48:8 49:7 recognizes 22:1 reconstruct 48:10 record 12:9 22:17 Reed 35:16 reflects 42:22 refused 55:15 regard 37:5 47:14 regardless 25:8 regards 51:18 regret 50:17 rejected 43:8 rel 40:19 related 41:15 relevant 20:13 53:14 54:14 relied 47:13</p>	<p>51:6 relief 23:19,24 28:7 relies 52:11 religion 4:6,6,19 5:11,12 56:9 religious 3:24 5:13 29:22 31:14 35:2 36:2 remainder 28:8 remark 18:25 remarks 13:12 remedy 22:4 55:15 remember 10:22 12:15 14:24 17:4 18:9 21:15,17 27:11 rendered 48:18 reply 7:21,21 39:8 reported 39:17 reporting 47:25 50:12 reprehensible 28:20 representing 56:23 request 19:24 require 3:16 36:12 56:20 required 19:5 24:16 45:16 54:10 requirement 3:13 requires 17:9 28:16 45:24 51:23 research 38:13 38:15 reserve 28:8 resolve 24:2 respect 10:5,6,7 28:1 33:16 40:12 47:11,23</p>
--	--	---	---	--

51:1 53:20 56:2 respectfully 15:4 38:7 55:14 respecting 30:22 Respondent 1:7 1:19,23 2:7,11 28:12 43:15 responses 32:22 rest 15:16 result 15:17 50:18 56:14 return 21:12 57:1 reverse 17:2 right 3:15 4:8 6:7 7:18 8:16 8:24 11:3,10 11:11 15:13 16:4,6 18:21 18:22 19:1,4 20:12,23,25 23:7 24:4 27:8 27:10 29:3 30:5,10 31:5 31:19 34:20 36:11,18 43:2 46:14 53:17 rights 37:6 risk 13:13 45:15 48:13,21 49:10 50:16 road 43:5 55:21 56:5 ROBERTS 3:3 3:24 4:8,13 6:16,25 13:10 17:11 22:6,15 22:23 28:9 37:13 38:11,19 38:22 43:11 53:5 57:25 room 7:2 8:16 12:2 21:17 25:2 26:10 28:17 32:3	40:22,25 41:6 42:10 44:6,18 44:20,22 46:17 49:9,14,23 52:17 root 12:21 rooting 5:18 57:9 Rosales-Lopez 21:4 Rose 5:4 9:7 14:11 Roughly 3:10 rule 3:12,17 6:8 7:17 8:25 15:5 28:21 30:12 31:17,23 32:13 33:23,24,25 34:5,9,13 35:4 35:9,12,19 36:9 39:15,19 40:19,19 42:8 42:11,14,17,18 42:19,20 43:3 43:7,7,24 47:7 51:4,5,18,23 52:2,2,6,8,10 52:21 53:1,2 55:11,25 56:1 56:6,8,15,20 57:22 rulemaking 50:24 51:20 rules 9:9 28:1,4 44:8,23 50:1 51:1,15,22 run-amuck 33:9 <hr/> S <hr/> S 2:1 3:1 safeguard 48:1 safeguards 5:17 28:16 29:2 35:24 49:5 51:5 said/she 48:11 satisfy 7:7	saying 4:25 6:19 11:6 25:1 27:14 40:2 50:8 53:13 54:5,7 56:21 57:17,20 says 5:24 6:8 8:2 8:3,23 12:3 13:19,21 17:7 22:25 23:14 26:15 30:18 39:12 46:13 54:19 scope 56:6 screaming 44:19 scrutiny 9:15 10:3,11,14 12:15,23 13:2 57:15 searching 57:21 second 9:11 18:10,11,18 19:9 23:10 32:25 44:14 45:25 second-guess 50:18 Secondly 53:20 secrecy 3:21 17:22 55:1 secret 40:23 see 19:21 27:23 41:12 44:21 seek 3:12 seeking 56:20 seeks 42:8 seen 20:7,9 34:25 35:1 41:21 send 19:25 21:13 56:13 sense 4:21 21:1 sensibility 26:8 sensitive 48:14 48:19 sent 19:17 separate 12:13	serious 28:22 45:5 46:5 49:4 50:23 serves 28:21 30:12 51:4 set 12:9 30:11 32:6 sets 50:13 51:15 setting 7:7 51:23 settings 35:5 54:1 Seventh 35:14 sex 11:22 12:12 12:15 sexual 6:25 7:2 13:16 34:21 56:10 Sharpe 16:12,23 16:24 17:1 shoplifting 54:8 show 24:16 showing 22:12 shown 28:23 38:4 shows 26:16 56:1 side 7:10 15:3,22 29:5 48:7 53:13,16,21 54:22,23 55:4 significant 13:13 41:5 42:25 56:2 significantly 29:22 similar 12:18 17:25 18:17 41:10 similarly 4:7 31:7 simply 8:25 31:15 54:15 single 23:22 54:7 singles 17:6 sits 50:24 situation 27:7	28:5 36:9 54:6 55:11 57:23 situations 5:2 55:21 56:16 six 34:8,11,20 35:8 Sixth 3:14 4:15 5:25 9:14 13:8 15:12,12 16:10 30:10,15,16 31:10,19 34:12 37:6 42:25 43:25 45:4,21 46:13 47:6,10 53:17 skilled 41:23 smoking-gun 44:20 social 49:11 society 17:9 31:4 Solicitor 1:18,20 55:4 solution 54:15 54:18 somebody 7:1 7:14 8:2 12:2 19:23 25:20,21 48:19 56:23 someone's 40:6 Sommers 38:14 sorry 8:20 11:2 11:4 16:14 18:12 19:5 52:14 sort 6:17 33:9 34:15 39:1,4 41:18 48:11 sorts 52:16 Sotomayor 5:19 5:21,23 6:3,7 8:18,21 11:1 18:10,18,23 19:2,5 32:18 33:6 35:15 36:11,15,23 39:20 40:10 South 3:11 5:5
--	---	--	--	---

<p>9:8 14:13 53:25 speaking 9:12 special 17:10 20:22 30:19 44:8,23 46:7 52:21 specially 46:23 specific 8:10 30:9 33:14 41:18 specifically 24:5 33:15 53:21 speech 7:1 spending 15:16 spoke 18:6 21:3 25:21 stain 14:9,14 stand 41:2 42:2 standard 26:4 Stanford 1:16 start 5:3,7,10 50:17,18 State 11:20 15:23 28:25 31:5 41:9,22 51:9 52:9 56:3 statement 22:13 25:19 26:3,23 27:1 35:10 statements 13:15 25:2 26:5 28:19 states 1:1,13,22 2:10 5:25 14:17,19,21 15:2 21:5 23:25 32:1 34:4,8,11,19 43:8,14,19 46:23 51:2,10 51:25 statistics 41:16 stemming 46:21 steps 22:11 27:12 stereotypes</p>	<p>30:22 40:6 stones 12:23 stray 18:25 strength 23:10 23:18,25 strengthen 47:25 strengthens 7:23 stress 15:5 19:13 strict 12:23 13:2 34:4 strictly 9:12 strike 49:25 strikes 39:13,14 39:18 54:25 striking 44:16 strongest 29:5 structural 25:15 43:21 51:24 53:15 structurally 6:15 structure 17:8 57:8 students 26:8 studies 53:22,23 study 47:21 50:25 51:19 subject 22:8 37:19 39:18 42:21 50:19 submission 43:23 55:23 submit 55:14 submitted 58:1 58:3 subsequent 4:24 subtle 48:17 sufficiently 6:21 7:4 suggest 11:19 30:25 55:2 suggested 51:14 suggesting 50:22 53:16 54:14 support 20:14 21:1</p>	<p>supporting 1:22 2:10 43:15 suppose 5:7,10 11:24 12:2,5 31:25 52:17 Supreme 1:1,13 39:13 surfaced 36:4 surfaces 35:11 surrounding 49:16 survived 31:23 suspect 20:4 swamping 46:24 system 3:18,22 6:10 14:10,15 15:23 20:7,21 22:2,4 28:16 30:25 31:15 32:12 41:17 43:21 44:25 48:12 54:18 55:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 2:1,1 table 33:24 take 13:21 41:2 41:9 43:23 44:1 49:8 taken 36:21,21 46:8,10,11 talk 38:24 39:21 40:24 45:5 47:14 talked 14:13 30:1 talking 8:11,22 11:22 18:13 25:10 26:12 28:5 42:23 48:14 49:10 50:12 tampering 28:18 50:15 Tanner 5:16 11:20 14:4</p>	<p>34:2 57:12 tell 8:1 9:2 23:19 31:11 33:8 41:7 56:8,14 tells 18:24 tend 38:8 tension 42:22 term 10:9,10 23:16 terms 16:15 28:4 57:7,8 terrible 7:11 12:5 29:11 test 7:7 18:23 25:1 26:19 34:15 testify 22:24 24:9,24 testimony 22:24 24:16 27:21 textural 46:25 Thank 28:9,13 43:11 53:4,5,9 57:25 theoretical 15:4 15:6 thing 3:25 6:10 21:2 30:23,24 33:22 38:16,25 39:22,23 things 4:25 7:22 8:1 9:7 11:21 11:25 15:12 19:13 22:3 31:2 36:15,16 47:9,25 48:2 49:20 think 4:13 6:16 7:24 8:9 9:4,14 9:21,24 10:11 10:25 11:11 13:7 14:1,3 15:19 16:11 17:3,11,24 19:16 20:11,19 20:25 23:7 24:1 25:7,12</p>	<p>26:18,24 28:3 29:4,16 30:16 31:5,9,22 32:4 32:16,21 34:18 35:3,22 36:17 37:4 39:8,22 39:23 40:13,17 42:22 43:5 45:3,7,10,17 45:18 46:1,7 46:12 47:4,5 47:12 48:1,13 48:16 49:1,3,6 49:9 50:6,9,11 51:3,12,17 52:4,9,24,25 53:1 54:17,22 56:17,24 57:15 57:22 thinking 42:12 47:22 thinks 7:10 26:9 26:16 thought 6:3 38:10 three 11:15 threshold 22:12 tiers 9:15 10:3 10:11,14 12:14 13:1 57:15 time 5:4 13:20 14:5 26:14 28:8 35:4 38:17,23 41:11 42:17,19 48:16 50:13 55:20 times 10:23 timing 7:14 today 3:12 4:12 7:8 12:8 26:16 54:5 56:8,20 56:24 told 27:12 34:18 34:18 40:23 tools 12:21 55:20 57:20 topics 45:24</p>
---	---	---	---	--

toxic 44:24	undermining	29:20 31:12	40:14 51:19,20	wrote 11:13
traditionally	43:20	39:2,5 40:14	57:23	<hr/>
47:9	underscore 44:1	40:20 41:12	ways 21:6 43:20	<hr/> X <hr/>
treat 46:3,22	understand	48:18	48:17 57:5	x 1:2,8
treated 12:16	46:25 57:3	verdicts 28:18	we'll 3:3 25:6	<hr/>
23:15 45:4	understanding	29:8,9 42:13	we're 7:7,20	<hr/> Y <hr/>
50:10	56:6	version 33:23	12:8 16:20	Yarger 1:18 2:6
treating 30:19	understood 18:4	34:4	20:17,20 26:12	28:10,11,13,24
trial 13:14 15:13	18:6,15 30:18	versus 29:18	27:17 35:13	29:13 30:8
27:24 30:11	unfair 15:21	view 18:7	36:10 44:15,25	31:9 32:4,10
35:11,25 36:22	20:5,19	viewed 4:7 9:1	46:3 50:22	32:21 33:13
38:8 46:14	unfairness 29:6	violate 12:20	53:16 56:1,20	34:24 35:22
48:9 50:14,20	unfairnesses	violation 3:14	57:17,20	36:14,17 37:4
trials 3:10	29:10 30:1	violations 45:4	we've 6:8 8:11	37:17 38:2,13
true 10:4,5,7,18	unique 5:6,6	46:3,4 47:6	10:8 30:1,7,18	38:21 39:6
10:19 28:25	17:8 55:24	vital 28:20 30:11	34:25 35:1	40:8,12,17,21
30:14 34:25	57:6,7	voir 10:17 17:5	44:5,12,15,23	41:18,21 42:16
42:16	United 1:1,13,22	29:2 31:6 36:2	57:10	yeah 20:11
truthful 36:25	2:10 21:5 32:1	36:13,19 37:10	weighty 31:22	26:21 50:6
try 40:10	43:14,19 51:10	38:4,16 44:10	well-settled	years 11:15
trying 9:17 13:6	untrue 33:20	44:11 45:8,11	47:18	31:24 43:22
20:20 37:15	unturned 12:24	45:16,24 47:15	went 19:9 27:20	50:10
41:10 48:9,20	upheaval 54:18	47:17 53:22	white-collar	yield 36:9
56:20	use 3:21 13:7	54:2,5,19	54:8	York 3:11 14:25
Tuesday 1:10	21:16 55:4	volunteered	wide 31:20	<hr/>
turning 47:11	<hr/>	41:25	Williams 23:16	<hr/> Z <hr/>
turnkey 3:17	V	volunteering	wished 24:3	<hr/> 0 <hr/>
two 4:25 9:4,22	v 1:5 3:4 5:4,5	27:22	withstood 43:22	<hr/>
14:21 19:13	9:7,8 14:4,11	<hr/>	witness 13:20,20	<hr/> 1 <hr/>
21:11,14 22:10	14:13 16:12,23	W	woefully 15:21	11 1:10 23:2
22:10 23:8	16:23,25 17:1	Wagner 35:18	woman 12:5	11:08 1:14 3:2
24:10,13 31:2	21:5,22 23:13	walk 27:11	women 12:3,4	12 33:16
32:22 44:5,22	25:7 30:11	want 20:2 33:8	wonder 20:24	12:07 58:2
45:2,5 53:22	31:21 35:2	40:5,5,9,25	wondering	15-606 1:4 3:4
55:6	53:24	44:1 46:19	13:17 55:12	16 39:8
type 39:9 43:2	valid 9:3	52:19 56:25	work 21:23	18 14:20
types 7:3 29:17	validity 7:13	57:4	36:13 46:21	1800s 6:9
35:2 37:10,13	values 9:13 16:9	wanted 46:18	world 29:10	1931 21:5
37:14,17 46:2	16:18	wants 44:10	worrying 19:22	1966 23:14
<hr/>	vast 15:2 34:3	Warger 14:4	worse 30:19	1970s 42:18
U	43:8	30:1 34:2	worst 30:23,24	1973 10:22
unconstitutio...	verbatim 21:3	Washington 1:9	wouldn't 4:3	1975 33:24
46:9,11 57:19	verdict 7:16 8:3	1:21	18:1 30:8 43:3	<hr/>
underground	13:18 15:17	wasn't 25:25	46:9 57:4,5	<hr/> 2 <hr/>
39:11,16 40:11	19:18 20:3	27:21	writ 31:4	20 14:17,19,20
undermine	22:21 23:24	way 23:15 25:4	write 8:2 19:23	18:2,20 23:20
48:12	24:19 27:14	37:9 39:2	20:25	32:19 34:19

46:23 55:25 2016 1:10 28 2:7				
<hr/> 3 <hr/>				
3 2:4				
<hr/> 4 <hr/>				
43 2:11				
<hr/> 5 <hr/>				
53 2:14				
<hr/> 6 <hr/>				
606(b) 31:17,23 33:25 35:17 42:8,18 53:2 54:25 606(b)'s 35:17 60s 15:1				
<hr/> 7 <hr/>				
70s 15:1				
<hr/> 8 <hr/>				
<hr/> 9 <hr/>				