

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- but you could say that
2 the flag is a wonderful emblem, but you can't say that
3 the flag is a terrible emblem.

4 MR. STEWART: I --

5 JUSTICE KAGAN: That would be
6 viewpoint-based.

7 MR. STEWART: Well --

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, that's what this --
9 this regulation does.

10 MR. STEWART: If you're talk --

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: It says you can say one of
12 those things, but you can't say the other and get
13 trademark.

14 MR. STEWART: But it -- it sweeps with a
15 broad brush -- brush. And I think the reason that
16 viewpoint-based discrimination has historically been the
17 most disfavored type of regulation from a First
18 Amendment perspective is that it creates the danger that
19 the government is attempting to suppress disfavored
20 messages. I mean, there was a -- there's a TTAB, a
21 Trademark Trial and Appeal Board decision from 1969 that
22 declined to register a proposed trademark that was
23 essentially the Soviet hammer and sickle with a slash
24 through it. And registration was denied on the ground
25 that it disparaged the national symbol of the Soviet

1 Union. Now, obviously, hostility towards the Soviet
2 Union was not inconsistent with United States policy in
3 1969. No one would have perceived the denial of
4 trademark registration as an attempt to suppress a
5 disfavored viewpoint. And the point of the -- the point
6 of my defense of the statute is it casts -- it sweeps
7 with such a broad brush --

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: But that's like saying it
9 does so much viewpoint-based discrimination that it
10 becomes all right.

11 MR. STEWART: But it -- it does so -- I
12 mean, it -- it imposes this restriction only within the
13 confines of a government program. And --

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Yes, yes. And -- and I'm
15 willing to give you that. But even government programs,
16 again, assuming it's not government speech itself, even
17 government programs are subject to this constraint,
18 which is that you can't distinguish based on the
19 viewpoint of a speaker.

20 MR. STEWART: Well, part -- part of this
21 government program is government speech. And let -- let
22 me just describe the two types of basic services that
23 the PTO performs in the course of administering the --
24 the program.

25 First, when an application is filed, the

1 examining attorney and potentially the -- the Trademark
2 Trial and Appeal Board will go through it to see whether
3 the applicant satisfies the statutory prerequisites to
4 registration. And some of those, like 1052(a), are not
5 essential to having a valid trademark. But many of the
6 prerequisites to registration overlap with the
7 prerequisites to having a valid trademark. And so when
8 the examining attorney decides, is this merely
9 descriptive, is it generic, does it serve as a mark that
10 consumers will associate with the -- the product in
11 commerce, is this person the true owner of the mark, the
12 examining attorney is deciding the same sorts of
13 questions that could arise in an infringement suit if
14 the applicant ever filed one. And therefore --

15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about scandalous?
16 That's another one. Scandalous or immoral. Those are
17 just like disparaged. They block you from registering
18 the mark; right?

19 MR. STEWART: They do block you from
20 registering the mark, not -- not from filing an
21 infringement suit or alleging unfair competition.

22 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Because that's the same
23 thing.

24 MR. STEWART: That's -- that's the -- that's
25 the same thing as disparagement. I -- I was just saying

1 many of the other statutory prerequisites do overlap
2 with the prerequisites to having a valid trademark.

3 And so if the examining attorney approves
4 the application, he is giving the -- the applicant at
5 least some comfort that he can continue to use the mark
6 in commerce with a degree of confidence that if somebody
7 else infringes the mark, he will be able to satisfy
8 the -- the prerequisites.

9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Running the Federal
10 courts is a government program. Can you say that the
11 courts -- when it comes to trademarks, the courts are
12 not open for actions to enforce infringement of a
13 disparaging trademark?

14 MR. STEWART: If Congress had taken to its
15 furthest possible step the desire to disassociate the
16 Federal government from the enforcement of -- or from
17 these marks --

18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So that was how the
19 hypothetical was framed --

20 MR. STEWART: Right.

21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- the furthest
22 possible step. But it's the same -- do you apply the
23 same analysis you do simply with the -- as in this case?
24 How far can they go in defining the government program?

25 MR. STEWART: I think we would typically

1 think of the -- the PTO as exercise of discretionary
2 authority and as -- the exercise of discretionary
3 authority by an executive branch agency as -- as
4 different from the neutral enforcement of the law by --
5 by the courts. Obviously --

6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: If it's a government
7 program, can you do anything you want with speech?
8 Or what -- what are -- what are the restrictions that we
9 can -- is it intermediate? You don't argue that this
10 statute meets strict scrutiny.

11 MR. STEWART: I think -- I think --

12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I take it you don't.

13 MR. STEWART: No. I think the basic test
14 would be is it reasonably relate -- related to the
15 objectives of the government program, and in cases of
16 viewpoint discrimination, in cases where the -- the
17 program raises the concern that the government is
18 attempting to promote disfavored messages and suppress
19 disfavored messages, the -- the program would be
20 presumptively unconstitutional.

21 The second form of service that the PTO
22 provides in the course of administering the program is
23 that if it decides the trademark should be registered,
24 it publishes the trademark on the Federal Register. And
25 publication has a -- is significant in a variety of

1 ways. First, outside the -- the context of legal suits,
2 publication of the trademark on the Federal Register
3 reduces the likelihood that any infringement will occur,
4 because it provides notice to potential competitors in
5 commerce that the PTO has approved this mark. It will
6 give them an incentive to choose marks that are not
7 confusingly similar.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And just as importantly,
9 because your time is running, the questions have
10 concentrated on viewpoint discrimination, but there's
11 also a large concern with vagueness here, and the list
12 that we have of things that were trademarked and things
13 that weren't. Take, for example, one had the word
14 "Heb," and that was okay in one application and it was
15 not okay in another.

16 MR. STEWART: First, if -- if the Court
17 accepts our basic theory that this should be judged by
18 the standards that typically apply to government
19 benefits under a government program, although the
20 statute doesn't draw an entirely bright line, it's
21 sufficiently clear. The Court has approved, for
22 instance, the criteria for awarding any A grants that
23 were at issue in Finley to the effect that the -- the
24 grant givers should take account of the diverse views
25 and -- and beliefs of the American public.

1 The trademark -- the PTO receives 300,000
2 trademark applications every year, so it's not
3 surprising that there is some potential inconsistency.

4 And the other thing I would -- the other two
5 things I would say are, first --

6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Isn't it another way to
7 say it's not clear enough for them to get it right?

8 MR. STEWART: It -- it's not a bright-line
9 rule. I would say two things -- two further things
10 before I sit down.

11 The first is that I think a lot of the
12 examples that the PTO has had trouble with and where it
13 may -- there may be an appearance in, perhaps, the fact
14 of inconsistent decisions, are instances where people
15 are deliberately using terms that have historically been
16 insulting, but with the intent to be edgy, provocative,
17 to reclaim the slur. This is entirely legitimate, but
18 when people self-consciously use words in a way other
19 than they have traditionally been used, it's not
20 surprising that -- that sometimes they're -- they're
21 misunderstood.

22 The second thing I'd say is the examples
23 that the other side gives are -- raise the concern that
24 the PTO might have approved some trademarks that it
25 shouldn't have approved, but they really haven't

1 identified any examples of marks that were rejected as
2 disparaging, even though no reasonable person could view
3 them as such.

4 If I may, I'd like to reserve the balance of
5 my time.

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Counsel.
7 Mr. Connell.

8 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JOHN C. CONNELL
9 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

10 MR. CONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,
11 and may it please the Court:

12 If our client, Mr. Simon Tam, had sought to
13 register the mark of his band as The Proud Asians, we
14 would not be here today. But he did not do that.
15 Instead he sought to register The Slants.

16 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Suppose we had this
17 hypothetical case. The facts are largely parallel to
18 these, other than the band are non-Asians, they use
19 makeup to exaggerate slanted eyes, and they make fun of
20 Asians. Could the government, under a properly-drawn
21 statute, decline to register that as a trademark in your
22 view?

23 MR. CONNELL: They could not.

24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: The First Amendment
25 protects absolutely outrageous speech insofar as

1 trademarks are concerned.

2 MR. CONNELL: That is correct.

3 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I think you have to take
4 that position.

5 MR. CONNELL: Well, we take that position
6 because --

7 (Laughter.)

8 MR. CONNELL: -- because marks constitute
9 both commercial speech and noncommercial speech, and the
10 disparagement clause specifically targets the
11 noncommercial speech and denies registration to marks
12 that only express negative views.

13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But I have --

14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But in your view, the
15 Congress could not draw a statute, even different to
16 this, to make the distinction that the hypotheticals
17 points out, and the Congress, in your view, could draw
18 no statute denying trademark protection in the
19 hypothetical case.

20 MR. CONNELL: I cannot think of a
21 circumstance under which that could occur.

22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Then I have a question
23 for you. This is a bit different than most cases. No
24 one is stopping your client from calling itself The
25 Slants. No one is stopping them from advertising

1 themselves that way, or signing contracts that way, or
2 engaging in any activity, except that stopping someone
3 else from using the same trademark. But even that they
4 could do. Because you don't need a registered trademark
5 to sue under the Lanham Act's entitlement for the
6 confusion of the public in the use of any kind of
7 registered or unregistered mark. If another band called
8 themselves Slants, they would be subject to deceptive
9 advertisements because they wouldn't be this Slants.

10 So there is a big difference. You are
11 asking the government to endorse your name to the extent
12 of protecting it in a way that it chooses not to. So
13 it -- there is a reason why the argument's appealing.
14 And why shouldn't we consider it in those ways when your
15 speech is not being burdened in any traditional way?

16 MR. CONNELL: The registration program, the
17 regulatory system of trademark registration, is widely
18 available to a broad number of mark holders who seek the
19 legal protections of registration.

20 In this case, the government has used the
21 disparagement clause to selectively deny those legal
22 benefits to a mark holder expressing negative views that
23 the government favors, as opposed to mark holders who
24 received those benefits because they express neutral or
25 positive views that the government does favor.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It doesn't answer my
2 question. You can still use your name.

3 MR. CONNELL: But --

4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why is it a burden?

5 MR. CONNELL: It -- it is a -- it is a
6 burden because our client is denied the benefits of
7 legal protections that are necessary for him to compete
8 in the marketplace with another band. And the only
9 reason for the denial of those benefits is the burden on
10 his noncommercial speech contained in the mark.

11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: He can still sue.

12 MR. CONNELL: He can still --

13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: He can still compete.

14 MR. CONNELL: He can still compete, but he
15 can't --

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: He's just not getting as
17 much as he would like, but he's not stopped from doing
18 what he's doing.

19 MR. CONNELL: He could still -- his only
20 resort at that point would be to seek the protection
21 of -- of -- or to assert his right to exclusive use of
22 the mark under Section 43, or State trademark law, or
23 common law, none of which have the extensive and
24 substantial benefits that this Court has recognized
25 under trademark registration.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It seems to me -- I
2 mean, does your argument depend upon the breadth of the
3 government program? Let's say you had a government
4 program putting on a -- a festival or a lecture series.
5 We only want pro-Shakespeare presentations. It's about
6 celebrating Shakespeare. And if you disparage
7 Shakespeare, you can't participate.

8 Is there anything wrong with that?

9 MR. CONNELL: I -- I don't believe there is
10 in that -- in that limited forum, that that -- that
11 would make a difference. But this is not that case.
12 This is a widely available program that's made -- that
13 all comers can -- can utilize.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but no, it's
15 not. If you have a disparaging trademark, you can't
16 utilize it.

17 MR. CONNELL: Except again, that targets the
18 noncommercial aspect of speech, which has nothing to do
19 with the commercial objectives of the Lanham Act.

20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I guess I
21 don't understand yet your distinction why the
22 only-celebrating-Shakespeare program is -- is okay, but
23 the trademark one is not. You can't disparage
24 Shakespeare. You can't have disparaging marks about
25 anybody in the trademark context. Is it just the

1 comprehensive nature of the government program?

2 MR. CONNELL: In -- in this case it is.

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: But why does that --

4 JUSTICE BREYER: Why does that --

5 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- matter?

6 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.

7 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, maybe the government
8 just decides we want to celebrate everything. We want
9 to be relentlessly positive.

10 (Laughter.)

11 MR. CONNELL: And Justice Kagan, that goes
12 back to your point before, that that would -- would
13 discriminate against any negative viewpoints and only
14 arm one side of the debate.

15 JUSTICE BREYER: It isn't quite like that.
16 After all, as Justice Sotomayor pointed out, this is
17 more like a single bulletin board on the train station.
18 The train station which has a thousand bulletin boards.
19 People can say whatever they want. But this bulletin
20 board, one out of a thousand, is reserved today for
21 people who want to say nice things about Shakespeare.

22 This is not a general expression program.
23 This is a program that has one objective. The objective
24 is to identify the source of the product. It stops
25 nobody from saying anything. All it says is when you're

1 trying to fulfill our objective, which is identify the
2 source of your product, if you want, put a little circle
3 with an R in it and write down beneath in tiny letters,
4 Mr. and Mrs. Smith. Anything you want. But in that
5 circle, not the thing that says the insulting thing
6 about somebody else. See? Very much like one
7 Shakespeare celebration board out of a million. Let me
8 say 10 million to make the point stronger. Do you see?
9 That's -- that -- that's where you can't express
10 yourself, so -- and then I said to them, well, why do
11 you do that? And they said because, you know, the
12 purpose of a -- of a trademark is to identify a source.
13 It's not to get people into extraneous arguments. And
14 what this will do is it will get people into extraneous
15 arguments, losing or diluting the force of a program
16 that seeks to use a trademark to identify a source.

17 Now, that's what I got out of my answer to
18 the last question on the other side, and I would like to
19 know what you think.

20 MR. CONNELL: Actually, I think the -- the
21 government's position is --

22 JUSTICE BREYER: I don't care what their
23 position is. I want to know what you think in respect
24 to the question I'm asking.

25 MR. CONNELL: Well, I -- I think what the

1 government is trying to do here is simply encourage
2 commercial actors to conduct business in such a way as
3 to not insult customers.

4 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, not -- not conduct
5 business. They can insult customers. Boy, you could
6 have 50,000 insults on every physical item that you put
7 out. All you cannot do is when it comes to a little
8 mark or a form of words, it is designed to say one
9 thing -- I'm repeating myself -- I am the source of the
10 product. And you can do that in little letters, big
11 letters, tiny letters, no letter, whatever. But there
12 you have to stick to business, and if you're going to go
13 beyond business, don't use insults.

14 Do you believe that they can stop trademarks
15 from saying -- this is the trademark you can't use --
16 Joe Jones is a jerk?

17 MR. CONNELL: They could not stop that.

18 JUSTICE BREYER: They could not stop that.
19 They can't -- can they say Smith's beer is poison?

20 MR. CONNELL: They could not.

21 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, my goodness. I mean,
22 there are laws all over the place that stop you from
23 saying that a competitor is -- has bad products. It's
24 called product disparagement. There are laws all over
25 the place that stop you from saying Joe Jones is a jerk

1 or something more specific. They're called libel laws
2 or slander laws. But you're saying the government
3 couldn't do that?

4 MR. CONNELL: The government cannot burden
5 the noncommercial aspect of the mark, and that's what
6 they would be doing in that case.

7 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Now, that's saying you
8 cannot trademark a slogan that has one of George
9 Carlin's seven day -- dirty words in it.

10 (Laughter.)

11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If you were to use one of
12 those seven words, we won't register your trademark.

13 MR. CONNELL: I think that is a burden on
14 speech. In fact, I think if the phrase that was used in
15 Cohen v. California was -- was trademarked, there's no
16 question that there would be a -- a burden on the
17 noncommercial aspect of that mark.

18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes, but --

19 JUSTICE KAGAN: Can I --

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- due to this Court's
21 specific decision, which said it was okay to ban those
22 words from the airwaves --

23 MR. CONNELL: Well, I --

24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But then -- now, this --
25 this is not, yeah, you can have trademark protection,

1 but we're not going to let you get the extra benefits of
2 registration. It's you can't use those words on the
3 air, and this Court upheld it.

4 MR. CONNELL: Yeah. Pacifica actually
5 simply was limited to time, place, and manner
6 restrictions. The Court expressly said that they were
7 not banning the use of those words. And in addition,
8 Pacifica did say that notwithstanding the content
9 restrictions imposed on -- on -- on those words, the
10 fact of the matter was that if the -- the restrictions
11 were motivated by a negative view of the ideological or
12 political message being conveyed, that would be
13 unconstitutional.

14 JUSTICE BREYER: But time, place, or manner,
15 there is time, place, or manner. In fact, you can use
16 these words anywhere at any time in your performance.
17 Just don't use them as the registered source of the
18 message, I am the owner of the -- of the -- of the band.
19 Time, place, and manner. You have the entire universe
20 where you can say what you want, including this.

21 So why is this somehow not a restriction on
22 time, place, and manner if the others were?

23 MR. CONNELL: Because, again, I come back to
24 the fact that this is a burden on the noncommercial
25 aspect of the mark.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Excuse me.

2 JUSTICE BREYER: How do you --

3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let's go back to, if we
4 can, the earlier part of Justice Breyer's question.

5 1052 has two components. You can't
6 disparage or falsely suggest a connection with a person
7 institution. Are you challenging or saying that the
8 second part of 1052 falsely suggests the connection is
9 unconstitutional as well?

10 MR. CONNELL: That's not the question before
11 this Court.

12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I know. But your
13 argument earlier was that if someone slanders or libels
14 an individual by saying -- Trump before he was a public
15 figure -- Trump is a thief and that becomes their
16 trademark, that even if they go to court and prove that
17 that's a libel or a slander, that trademark would still
18 exist and would be capable of use because otherwise
19 canceling it would be an abridgement of the First
20 Amendment?

21 MR. CONNELL: I believe that's correct.

22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That makes no sense.

23 JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Connell, don't you think
24 that Congress could deny a trademark registration for
25 something that fit within the narrow, historically

1 recognized category of libel and slander which have
2 never been regarded as having First Amendment
3 protection?

4 MR. CONNELL: I -- I think the outer limit
5 of the protection here are the categories of
6 historically prescribed speech. That would include
7 threats, it would include fraud, things such as that.
8 That's not the case, obviously, with the mark that we're
9 using here.

10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, one of the things,
11 Mr. Connell, that troubles me about this case is that
12 it's not quite as simple as just saying, well, here's a
13 government program and the government is discriminating
14 on the basis of viewpoint, because there are aspects of
15 this program that seem like government speech itself,
16 maybe not quite that, but something approaching it,
17 which is the program says that anything that's
18 registered, the government publishes in its own
19 publication. The government sends to foreign countries,
20 again, in its own publication. So the whole program is
21 geared in such a way that individual marks that are
22 registered end up being -- I doubt anybody would ascribe
23 them to the government, but the government republishes
24 them, communicates them and so forth. And doesn't that
25 aspect of the program give the government greater leeway

1 here than it would in a typical program in which no
2 government speech itself is involved?

3 MR. CONNELL: It does not. The register
4 simply serves as a recordation of the marks that the
5 government has approved according to the statutory
6 criteria. This is in no way different than copy
7 registration, patent registration, marriage license
8 registration, car registrations, any other kind of
9 typical government registrations that are simply
10 ministerial. The government is not speaking. It's not
11 its message. The control over the creation and design
12 of the mark is retained at all times by the owner.
13 There is no history here of the government using marks
14 to speak through private mark holders, and there's no
15 association with -- between the government and -- and
16 the mark itself.

17 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But doesn't the
18 government have some interest in disassociating itself
19 from racial ethnic slur -- slurs? Things like, what
20 about the license -- Texas license, vanity license
21 plate, and they said we won't do one with the
22 Confederate flag.

23 MR. CONNELL: That was specifically a
24 government speech case. That's not our case here. This
25 is not a government ID, issued on government property,

1 controlled by the government as to design and content
2 and so on. It's -- in fact, it's exactly the opposite.

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You've said --
4 you've said several times that the problem is that the
5 government is burdening noncommercial -- the
6 noncommercial aspects of the trademark, but it seems to
7 me that that's an awfully blurry line. A lot of these
8 trademarks promote the commercial aspect, in fact, by
9 disparaging other groups. So they figure that it's a
10 way to promote sales. How do you tell the difference
11 between the commercial aspect of the trademark and the
12 noncommercial aspect?

13 MR. CONNELL: The commercial aspect is that
14 part of the mark that simply identifies the source of
15 the good or service in question. In the case of The
16 Slants, there's another component, that being the
17 noncommercial, which communicates the political and
18 social message of Asian pride.

19 This is akin to Justice Breyer before
20 talking about the in -- inherit advertisement that can
21 take place. Bands don't exist without names, and -- and
22 people associate the music with the band name and the
23 band name with the music that they perform.

24 So that -- that is where the noncommercial
25 aspect of -- of the speech comes in. And to the extent

1 that the government is burdening it by denying
2 registration because they believe that it -- it conveys
3 a negative view, that's unconstitutional.

4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You want us to say that
5 trademark law is just like a public park -- the public
6 park, a public forum, the classic example of where you
7 can say anything you want. We treat this -- we treat
8 trademarks just like we treat speech in a public park.
9 Thank you very much. Good-bye. That's it. That's your
10 argument.

11 MR. CONNELL: It -- it is my argument. I
12 think the limitation on that, as I said before, are the
13 categories of historically prescribable speech.

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Connell, this
15 can't be right, because think of all the other things,
16 the other -- I mean, I'll call them content distinctions
17 because they are -- that trademark law just makes. I
18 mean, Section 2 prohibits the registration of any mark
19 that's falsely suggestive of a connection with persons
20 likely to cause confusion, descriptive, misdescriptive,
21 functional, a geographic indication for wine or spirits,
22 government insignia, a living person's name, portrait,
23 or signature. You couldn't make any of those
24 distinctions in a -- in a -- in a public park, and yet,
25 of course, you can make them in trademark law, can't

1 you?

2 MR. CONNELL: All of those other
3 distinctions are viewpoint-neutral and advance the
4 commercial objectives of the Lanham Act in terms of
5 reducing consumer confusion.

6 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, these might be
7 viewpoint-neutral, but they're certainly not
8 content-neutral, and yet we would -- I mean, I think
9 that a challenge to many of these would fall flat.

10 MR. CONNELL: On what basis?

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: Because -- like, how is
12 trademark law supposed to function unless it can make
13 these kinds of distinctions?

14 MR. CONNELL: I'm suggesting that those --
15 those sections would survive.

16 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well --

17 MR. CONNELL: Section B --

18 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- okay. If those would
19 survive, then this is not a public park, because those
20 would not survive in a public park.

21 MR. CONNELL: Agreed.

22 JUSTICE KAGAN: There's something different
23 here, in other words, that this is coming up in the
24 context of a government program --

25 MR. CONNELL: Well --

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- which provides certain
2 benefits that the government doesn't have to provide at
3 all.

4 MR. CONNELL: The -- the point here is
5 that the -- the government program, at least the goals
6 of the Lanham Act, are to reduce consumer confusion, and
7 that is a legitimate interest that the government has.
8 And these -- these factors under 1052 advance that --
9 that purpose.

10 JUSTICE ALITO: I want to come back to
11 the -- the Chief Justice's question. I really have
12 difficulty separating the expressive from the commercial
13 aspect of a trademark. Let me give you an example.

14 I think that Nike's phrase "Just Do It" is a
15 registered trademark. Now, is that commercial or is
16 that expressive?

17 MR. CONNELL: It is both. The -- the two
18 are intertwined. The -- just like with The Slants. You
19 have the source identifier that is inextricably
20 intertwined with the message that the mark is -- is
21 conveying about the source -- or about the goods and
22 services identified.

23 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if they're
24 inexplicably intertwined, then I -- I don't understand
25 how we can separate them and apply to the expressive

1 part a more rigorous test than we would apply to the
2 commercial part.

3 MR. CONNELL: I'm not sure I understand your
4 question.

5 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Do you think
6 that viewpoint discrimination is always prohibited in
7 commercial speech? For example, could the government
8 say -- and maybe it already has said -- that a
9 manufacturer of cigarettes could not place on a package
10 of cigarettes "Great for your health. Don't believe the
11 surgeon general"?

12 MR. CONNELL: Viewpoint discrimination is
13 prohibited in commercial speech, no question, under the
14 Sorrell case.

15 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, it's back to really
16 the Chief Justice's question. I -- I wouldn't ask it,
17 but I think -- except that I think you do have something
18 of an answer that you haven't fully expressed.

19 Look. We're creating, through government, a
20 form of a property right, a certain form. That's a
21 trademark. It's as if through government we created a
22 certain kind of physical property right that certain
23 people could dedicate a small part of their houses or
24 land to Peaceful Grove. And in Peaceful Grove, you
25 write messages, but peaceful messages. And above all,

1 you don't write messages that will provoke others to
2 violence or bad feelings. Okay?

3 Anything wrong with that? I can't think of
4 anything wrong with that. There are thousands of places
5 where they can express hostile feelings. It's just in
6 this tiny place, one-quarter of an acre, that you
7 yourself have chosen to take advantage of that you can't
8 because it will destroy the purpose. It will destroy
9 the purpose of Peaceful Grove. That's why I asked my
10 question.

11 To what extent does interfering with
12 viewpoints here serve a trademark-related purpose? As
13 we can see how in Peaceful Grove or in Shakespeare, the
14 messages that we were talking about did harm the
15 government purpose. And here, they're saying similarly,
16 disparaging messages get in the way of the objective of
17 this program, which is to identify the source. Now,
18 that, I think, is what I heard. That's what I'd like
19 you to think about and respond to.

20 MR. CONNELL: Disparaging messages in
21 trademark do not interfere with the source. They simply
22 control the -- the other component of -- of the message.
23 The -- The Slants is -- is the band. It's clearly
24 identified. So the -- the identification of the source
25 of the service, the music in question, is -- is served

1 by the mark. What the government objects to is the
2 other message. It's the other message.

3 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I understand that.
4 But now your answer -- okay, I've got your answer. And
5 now your other answers were worrying me, because what's
6 worrying me is I accept what you just said -- suppose I
7 did; am I suddenly saying no Peaceful Grove, no
8 Shakespeare celebration, no normal restrictions on
9 normal restrictions, no function -- you know, it's
10 functional, can't have functional things in a trademark,
11 da, da, da, all the ones we read. If I buy into your
12 answer just -- that you just gave, have I suddenly
13 opened the door to striking down all those things?

14 MR. CONNELL: No. I don't think so,
15 because --

16 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, why not?

17 MR. CONNELL: Because the purpose, as -- as
18 you said, Your Honor, of Peaceful Grove was to have a
19 place of seclusion, of solitude, of -- of calm. That's
20 completely different than the trademark regime, which is
21 open to all comers and which simply is trying to advance
22 the goal of source identification. And if the mark
23 holder wishes to include a component in the mark to
24 somehow advertise the good, the service to convey a
25 different message, that doesn't get in the way of the

1 source identification at --

2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but it seems
3 to me that you're defining the government program
4 differently than the government would. I think they're
5 suggesting that there's more to their program than just
6 source identification.

7 MR. CONNELL: That is not clear at all in
8 the Lanham Act. In fact, the only purpose of the Lanham
9 Act, as identified by this Court in Park 'N Fly -- and
10 this was a citation to, I believe, the -- the Senate
11 Report, was the reduction of consumer confusion and the
12 protection of the goodwill of the mark holder. There
13 was no suggestion that this was a --

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, we heard --

15 MR. CONNELL: -- a politeness statute.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, we heard from
17 Mr. Stewart that they thought the disparagement aspect
18 would distract from the commercial identification. I --
19 I think that's what he said.

20 MR. CONNELL: Yes.

21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And you're saying
22 that's -- that's not really their purpose or --

23 MR. CONNELL: Well, I'll say they -- that's
24 nowhere in the legislative history and that's nowhere in
25 the legislation itself. I mean, that seems to be pulled

1 out of thin air by the government, who, again, in their
2 brief talks about reducing the -- the level of insult or
3 the occasion of insult to customers. That's -- that's
4 not part of the Lanham Act. That's not part of the
5 commercial purpose of the Lanham Act.

6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Would you say the same
7 thing about a scandalous mark? Would that be equally
8 impermissible?

9 MR. CONNELL: I think that conclusion is
10 inevitable.

11 If there are no further questions.

12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

13 MR. CONNELL: Thank you.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Stewart, two
15 minutes.

16 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF MALCOLM L. STEWART

17 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

18 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.

19 Let me make three quick points.

20 Mr. Connell has said that the government --
21 that the government registration program regulates only
22 the expressive and not the commercial aspect of the
23 mark, and I think that's getting it exactly backwards.
24 The -- Mr. Tam wants to do two things with the mark The
25 Slants. He wants to use the mark himself in relation to

1 his band, and he wants to be able to sue other people
2 who use it in a way that would cause him commercial
3 harm. And denial of a registration affects only the
4 second thing. It places no restrictions on his ability
5 to use the mark. It may limit the remedies that are
6 available for infringement, but -- but that's entirely
7 regulating the commercial aspects of the conduct.

8 The second thing is Mr. Connell's position
9 clearly is that the test for constitutionality of a
10 registration condition is, could the government ban this
11 speech altogether? And putting that in place would
12 eviscerate the trademark registration program. Most
13 obviously, as -- as Justice Kagan has pointed out, there
14 are a lot of other content-based registration criteria.

15 And in addition, I'd point out one of the
16 prerequisites to registration is that you be using the
17 mark in commerce. If this were truly a suppression of
18 speech, we'd ask by what authority could the government
19 make the right to speech contingent on providing goods
20 and services in commerce.

21 Finally, Justice Kagan, you mentioned
22 commercial speech. And there is an important government
23 communicative aspect to this program. The preparation
24 of the principal register is not just an ancillary
25 consequence of this program. It's the whole point to

1 provide a list of trademarks so other people know what
2 has been approved, what's off limits.

3 And the consequence of Mr. Connell's
4 position is that the government would have to place on a
5 principal register, communicate to foreign countries the
6 vilest racial epithets, insulting caricatures of
7 venerated religious figures. The test for whether the
8 government has to do that can't be coextensive with the
9 test for whether private people can engage in that form
10 of expression.

11 JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Stewart, you really
12 think that speech can be restricted by the government on
13 the ground that foreign countries may object to it?

14 Could -- could the government do that with
15 copyright? I mean, an awful lot of things are
16 copyrighted in this country that are deeply offensive to
17 some foreign countries, and yet, the FBI enforces the
18 copyright laws.

19 MR. STEWART: I would agree that with the
20 copyright is different. It's historically played a far
21 more fundamental role in free expression than trademark
22 law has played, but the government, at the very least,
23 has a significant interest in not incorporating into its
24 own communications words and symbols that the public and
25 foreign countries will find offensive.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
2 Case is submitted.

3 (Whereupon, at 11:03 a.m., the case in the
4 above-entitled matter was submitted.)

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A	<p>air 36:3 48:1 airwaves 35:22 akin 40:19 alike 12:12 Alito 4:24 18:4 18:16 19:14 37:23 43:10,23 44:5 50:11 alleging 22:21 allowed 15:14 altogether 49:11 Amendment 3:22 4:2,17,23 4:24 5:21 11:24 19:7 20:18 27:24 37:20 38:2 American 25:25 amicus 8:18 analysis 15:22 23:23 ancillary 49:24 and/or 18:11 answer 6:23 9:18,22,22 10:1,2,11,17 10:20,21,22 13:15 19:14,16 30:1 33:17 44:18 46:4,4 46:12 answers 14:2 46:5 antithetical 11:19 anybody 14:6 31:25 38:22 Appeal 20:21 22:2 appealing 29:13 appearance 26:13 APPEARAN... 1:17 appeared 17:14 applicant 7:8 22:3,14 23:4</p>	<p>application 3:14 6:6,9,10 9:2 21:25 23:4 25:14 applications 26:2 applied 14:25 applies 13:14 19:24 apply 15:23 23:22 25:18 43:25 44:1 approaching 38:16 approved 25:5 25:21 26:24,25 39:5 50:2 approves 23:3 argue 5:21 24:9 argument 1:15 2:2,5,8 3:3,6 6:21 7:1 27:8 31:2 37:13 41:10,11 48:16 argument's 29:13 arguments 33:13,15 arm 32:14 ascribe 38:22 Asian 40:18 Asian-American... 17:15 Asian-American... 9:16 17:18 Asians 27:13,20 aside 15:6,23 asked 45:9 asking 29:11 33:24 aspect 18:15 31:18 35:5,17 36:25 38:25 40:8,11,12,13 40:25 43:13 47:17 48:22 49:23</p>	<p>aspects 38:14 40:6 49:7 assert 30:21 associate 22:10 40:22 association 39:15 Assume 12:2 assuming 14:12 21:16 attacks 15:11 attempt 8:9 21:4 attempted 4:20 15:25 attempting 20:19 24:18 attorney 17:12 22:1,8,12 23:3 attractive 18:10 authority 24:2,3 49:18 available 16:3 29:18 31:12 49:6 awarding 25:22 awful 50:15 awfully 40:7</p>	<p>30:8 36:18 40:22,23 45:23 49:1 bands 4:6 40:21 banning 36:7 bar 9:4 Barry 14:16 16:4 based 3:13 12:6 13:4 19:8,11 19:13 21:18 basic 9:25 21:22 24:13 25:17 basically 8:22 basis 9:13 14:15 38:14 42:10 beer 34:19 behalf 1:20,21 2:4,7,10 3:7 27:9 48:17 beliefs 3:13 25:25 believe 6:8 9:6 14:19 31:9 34:14 37:21 41:2 44:10 47:10 beneath 33:3 benefits 25:19 29:22,24 30:6 30:9,24 36:1 43:2 beyond 34:13 big 29:10 34:10 bit 28:23 block 22:17,19 blurry 40:7 board 20:21 22:2 32:17,20 33:7 boards 32:18 Boos 14:16 16:4 boy 10:19 34:5 branch 17:25 24:3 breadth 31:2 breaking 18:18</p>
			B	
			<p>B 42:17 B&B 8:13 back 16:19 19:15 32:12 36:23 37:3 43:10 44:15 backwards 48:23 bad 7:20 12:15 12:24 13:17,18 13:22 14:6,8 17:4 34:23 45:2 balance 27:4 ban 13:14 35:21 49:10 band 3:15 17:20 27:13,18 29:7</p>	

Breyer 7:11 8:4 9:17 10:16 11:2 32:4,6,15 33:22 34:4,18 34:21 36:14 37:2 40:19 44:15 46:3,16	Carlin's 35:9 case 3:4,11 5:12 9:15 11:9 13:1 13:13 15:4 23:23 27:17 28:19 29:20 31:11 32:2 35:6 38:8,11 39:24,24 40:15 44:14 51:2,3	44:10 circle 33:2,5 circular 6:22 circumstance 28:21 citation 47:10 claim 6:22 13:8 classic 13:1 14:13 41:6 clause 28:10 29:21 clear 25:21 26:7 47:7 clearly 4:11 15:24 19:10,11 19:12,19 45:23 49:9	49:22 common 8:20 30:23 communicate 18:11 50:5 communicates 38:24 40:17 communication 8:14 16:2 communicatio... 50:24 communicative 49:23 community 15:11 compete 30:7,13 30:14 competing 11:17 competition 6:18 22:21 competitor 34:23 competitors 11:20 25:4 completely 46:20 complimentary 9:3 15:14 component 40:16 45:22 46:23 components 37:5 comprehensive 32:1 concentrated 25:10 concept 18:17 concern 15:7 24:17 25:11 26:23 concerned 6:21 28:1 concluded 7:25 conclusion 48:9 condition 49:10 conduct 34:2,4	49:7 Confederate 39:22 confidence 23:6 confines 21:13 confronted 14:18 confusingly 25:7 confusion 29:6 41:20 42:5 43:6 47:11 Congress 4:20 7:24 8:23 10:8 11:13,20 18:13 23:14 28:15,17 37:24 connection 37:6 37:8 41:19 Connell 1:21 2:6 27:7,8,10,23 28:2,5,8,20 29:16 30:3,5 30:12,14,19 31:9,17 32:2 32:11 33:20,25 34:17,20 35:4 35:13,23 36:4 36:23 37:10,21 37:23 38:4,11 39:3,23 40:13 41:11,14 42:2 42:10,14,17,21 42:25 43:4,17 44:3,12 45:20 46:14,17 47:7 47:15,20,23 48:9,13,20 Connell's 49:8 50:3 consequence 49:25 50:3 consider 29:14 constitute 28:8 constitutionali... 5:19 49:9 constraint 12:5 14:14 21:17
Breyer's 37:4 brief 13:3 48:2 briefs 4:25 8:18 bright 25:20 bright-line 26:8 bring 5:1 6:10 14:21 broad 20:15 21:7 29:18 broadly 14:23 15:25 brought 5:21 brush 20:15,15 21:7 bulletin 32:17 32:18,19 burden 30:4,6,9 35:4,13,16 36:24 burdened 29:15 burdening 40:5 41:1 business 10:7 34:2,5,12,13 buy 46:11	cases 18:21 24:15,16 28:23 cast 18:10 casts 12:9 21:6 categories 13:8 38:5 41:13 category 13:9,12 13:21 38:1 cause 11:10,11 41:20 49:2 caused 11:7 celebrate 32:8 celebrating 31:6 celebration 33:7 46:8 certain 43:1 44:20,22,22 certainly 4:9 5:18 8:8 13:20 14:10 16:24 19:10 42:7 challenge 5:21 42:9 challenging 37:7 Chief 3:3,8 6:20 9:10 16:7 23:9 23:18,21 27:6 27:10 31:1,14 31:20 40:3 43:11 44:16 47:2,14,16,21 48:12,14,18 51:1 choose 25:6 chooses 29:12 chosen 45:7 cigarettes 44:9	clerks 10:3 client 27:12 28:24 30:6 close 5:6 coextensive 50:8 Cohen 35:15 Coke 11:18 come 5:6 36:23 43:10 comers 31:13 46:21 comes 5:15 23:11 34:7 40:25 comfort 23:5 coming 42:23 commentary 17:17 commerce 3:17 7:9 11:21 22:11 23:6 25:5 49:17,20 commercial 8:1 8:9 11:22 18:8 28:9 31:19 34:2 40:8,11 40:13 42:4 43:12,15 44:2 44:7,13 47:18 48:5,22 49:2,7	compete 30:7,13 30:14 competing 11:17 competition 6:18 22:21 competitor 34:23 competitors 11:20 25:4 completely 46:20 complimentary 9:3 15:14 component 40:16 45:22 46:23 components 37:5 comprehensive 32:1 concentrated 25:10 concept 18:17 concern 15:7 24:17 25:11 26:23 concerned 6:21 28:1 concluded 7:25 conclusion 48:9 condition 49:10 conduct 34:2,4	
C C 1:21 2:1,6 3:1 27:8 California 35:15 call 41:16 called 8:13 29:7 34:24 35:1 calling 28:24 calm 46:19 canceling 37:19 capable 37:18 car 39:8 care 33:22 caricatures 50:6				

<p>consumer 8:20 42:5 43:6 47:11 consumers 22:10 contained 30:10 contempt 14:21 content 17:1 36:8 40:1 41:16 content-based 49:14 content-neutral 42:8 context 5:10 25:1 31:25 42:24 contingent 49:19 continue 23:5 contracts 29:1 control 39:11 45:22 controlled 40:1 controversy 11:11 convey 8:11 46:24 conveyed 36:12 conveying 43:21 conveys 41:2 copy 7:4 39:6 copyright 3:23 3:23,25 4:1,11 4:18,21,21 5:2 5:8,10,11,13 5:14,17,23 6:2 6:3,9,11 16:20 16:22,23 17:22 17:22,25 50:15 50:18,20 copyrightability 6:12 copyrightable 5:20 copyrighted 50:16</p>	<p>correct 6:15 28:2 37:21 corrupt 12:18 12:18 19:19 cost 18:24 counsel 6:20 27:6 48:12 51:1 count 17:5 countries 38:19 50:5,13,17,25 country 50:16 couple 14:1 course 21:23 24:22 41:25 court 1:1,15 3:9 14:17 25:16,21 27:11 30:24 36:3,6 37:11 37:16 47:9 Court's 35:20 courts 23:10,11 23:11 24:5 create 13:12 created 44:21 creates 20:18 creating 44:19 creation 39:11 criteria 25:22 39:6 49:14 criticism 11:25 15:1 cultural 18:3 culture 4:5 customers 34:3 34:5 48:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>D 3:1 D.C 1:11,19 da 46:11,11,11 danger 8:17 20:18 day 35:9 dealing 11:1 debate 3:17 15:8 32:14</p>	<p>deceptive 29:8 decided 15:4 decides 22:8 24:23 32:8 deciding 18:12 22:12 decision 20:21 35:21 decisions 26:14 decline 11:25 27:21 declined 20:22 dedicate 44:23 deeply 50:16 defamation 13:10 defend 5:18 defense 21:6 defines 16:16 defining 23:24 47:3 degree 23:6 deliberately 26:15 Democrats 12:12,18,21 denial 21:3 30:9 49:3 denied 3:14 6:10 17:23,24 20:24 30:6 denies 28:11 deny 5:2,8 18:4 18:6 29:21 37:24 denying 28:18 41:1 Department 1:19 depend 10:14 11:8 31:2 depending 19:4 Deputy 1:18 describe 17:7 21:22 described 10:11 descriptive 7:7</p>	<p>22:9 41:20 design 39:11 40:1 designed 34:8 desire 23:15 destroy 45:8,8 detail 17:12 determine 11:13 11:20 development 8:1 devise 8:9 difference 6:2 29:10 31:11 40:10 different 10:12 10:13 13:7 16:3 24:4 28:15,23 39:6 42:22 46:20,25 50:20 differently 47:4 difficulty 43:12 diluting 33:15 DIRECTOR 1:3 dirty 35:9 disassociate 23:15 disassociating 39:18 discourse 8:20 discrete 13:25 discretionary 24:1,2 discriminate 14:15 32:13 discriminating 13:4 38:13 discrimination 13:1 20:16 21:9 24:16 25:10 44:6,12 discussion 16:9 disfavored 20:17,19 21:5 24:18,19 disparage 3:12 11:23 17:6</p>	<p>31:6,23 37:6 disparaged 10:15 20:25 22:17 disparagement 3:19 4:10 7:3 7:17 9:4 10:7 10:21,22 11:4 11:9 12:9,11 17:23 22:25 28:10 29:21 34:24 47:17 disparaging 6:23,24 7:25 9:11 10:14,16 11:7 14:9 15:25 23:13 27:2 31:15,24 40:9 45:16,20 disrepute 14:22 distinct 13:7 distinction 5:22 12:13 15:13 16:7 19:5,8 28:16 31:21 distinctions 12:6 41:16,24 42:3 42:13 distinguish 5:10 21:18 distinguished 19:17 distract 8:20 10:19 47:18 distracted 9:24 distracting 10:5 10:17,20 11:12 distraction 8:25 10:23,25 11:1 11:4,7,14 distractions 10:9 diverse 25:24 doing 12:7 30:17 30:18 35:6 door 46:13 doubt 38:22</p>
--	--	--	--	--

draw 25:20 28:15,17	essential 22:5	45:11	5:20 11:24	furthest 23:15 23:21
drink 11:17	essentially 20:23	extra 36:1	19:7 20:17	
dual-purpose 8:14	ethnic 39:19	extraneous 33:13,14	21:25 25:1,16	<hr/> G <hr/>
due 35:20	evidence 17:16	extraordinary 15:12	26:5,11 27:24	G 3:1
<hr/> E <hr/>	evidently 7:24	extremely 12:4	37:19 38:2	geared 38:21
E 2:1 3:1,1	eviscerate 49:12	eyes 27:19	first-line 10:11	general 1:18 18:19,22,23 32:22 44:11
earlier 18:8 37:4 37:13	exactly 12:7 40:2 48:23	<hr/> F <hr/>	fit 37:25	generally 6:19 16:14
edgy 26:16	exaggerate 27:19	facility 15:24	flag 19:23 20:2,3 39:22	generic 7:7 22:9
effect 17:17 25:23	examiner 17:13	fact 4:5 26:13 35:14 36:10,15 36:24 40:2,8 47:8	flat 42:9	geographic 41:21
either 4:21 6:17 7:10 12:18,22	examining 17:11 22:1,8,12 23:3	falls 13:9	Fly 47:9	George 35:8
emanate 8:6	example 7:6 8:12 12:16 13:16 15:2,3 25:13 41:6 43:13 44:7	falsely 37:6,8 41:19	focus 18:14	getting 30:16 48:23
embassies 14:25	examples 10:4 26:12,22 27:1	factors 43:8	follow-up 10:2	GINSBURG 6:1 6:13 9:1 16:25 17:9 22:15,22 25:8 35:7,11 35:18,20,24 39:17 48:6
embassy 14:20	excluded 6:25	fairly 13:1	following 8:1	give 5:4,7 14:1 15:2 21:15 25:6 38:25 43:13
emblem 19:23 20:2,3	exclusive 30:21	fall 18:20 42:9	force 33:15	givers 25:24
encourage 11:21 11:25 34:1	exclusively 18:14	falls 13:9	foreign 14:20,21 14:25 38:19 50:5,13,17,25	gives 26:23
endorse 29:11	Excuse 37:1	falsely 37:6,8 41:19	form 10:19 11:21 17:17 24:21 34:8 44:20,20 50:9	giving 23:4
enforce 23:12	executive 24:3	far 9:23 23:24 50:20	forth 4:6 12:12 38:24	go 22:2 23:24 34:12 37:3,16
enforcement 23:16 24:4	exercise 24:1,2	favor 29:25	forum 16:2 31:10 41:6	goal 46:22
enforces 50:17	exist 10:9 37:18 40:21	favors 29:23	foster 18:2	goals 43:5
engage 3:17 14:19 50:9	express 4:8 28:12 29:24 33:9 45:5	FBI 50:17	found 16:5,6 17:16,19	goes 32:11
engaging 29:2	expressed 12:17 17:1 44:18	Federal 23:9,16 24:24 25:2	four-word 5:23 5:24	going 5:3 18:25 34:12 36:1
entire 16:11 36:19	expressing 4:7 4:16 29:22	feelings 45:2,5	framed 23:19	good 12:14,23 13:18,23 17:3 19:18 40:15 46:24
entirely 16:3 25:20 26:17 49:6	expression 3:17 4:3 16:15 18:2 32:22 50:10,21	feet 14:20	fraud 38:7	Good-bye 41:9
entitled 6:11 16:22 18:14	expressive 8:7 14:19 18:5,7 43:12,16,25 48:22	fellow 15:15	free 4:3 18:2 50:21	goodness 34:21
entitlement 29:5	expressly 36:6	festival 31:4	fulfill 33:1	goods 8:6 43:21 49:19
epithets 9:8 11:10 15:10 50:6	extensive 30:23	fighting 13:11	fully 44:18	goodwill 47:12
equal 19:5	extent 10:14 29:11 40:25	figure 37:15 40:9	fun 27:19	government
equally 48:7		figures 50:7	function 8:5 16:18 42:12 46:9	
especially 16:24		file 6:15	functional 41:21 46:10,10	
ESQ 1:18,21 2:3 2:6,9		filed 6:5,9 21:25 22:14	fundamental 5:22 50:21	
		filing 22:20	further 7:18 26:9 48:11	
		Finally 49:21		
		find 50:25		
		Finley 25:23		
		fire 18:23		
		first 3:4,22 4:2 4:15,17,23,24		

<p>3:21,24 4:1,12 5:1 6:21 12:2,4 14:12,21 15:16 15:24 16:8 18:17,18,21,24 18:25 19:17 20:19 21:13,15 21:16,17,21,21 23:10,16,24 24:6,15,17 25:18,19 27:20 29:11,20,23,25 31:3,3 32:1,7 34:1 35:2,4 38:13,13,15,18 38:19,23,23,25 39:2,5,9,10,13 39:15,18,24,25 39:25 40:1,5 41:1,22 42:24 43:2,5,7 44:7 44:19,21 45:15 46:1 47:3,4 48:1,20,21 49:10,18,22 50:4,8,12,14 50:22 government's 15:20 33:21 grant 25:24 grants 25:22 great 10:18 44:10 greater 38:25 ground 5:8 15:10 17:23 20:24 50:13 group 7:20 9:9 12:14,15 13:25 groups 19:1,2 40:9 Grove 44:24,24 45:9,13 46:7 46:18 guess 19:13 31:20</p>	<hr/> <p>H</p> <hr/> <p>Haddonfield 1:21 hammer 20:23 harm 11:10 45:14 49:3 hate 5:4 health 44:10 hear 3:3 13:15 heard 6:12 45:18 47:14,16 hearing 5:5 Heb 25:14 held 14:24 help 7:18 helps 9:23 hinder 7:25 historical 9:6 historically 4:2 5:12 13:4,7 16:15 20:16 26:15 37:25 38:6 41:13 50:20 history 39:13 47:24 holder 29:22 46:23 47:12 holders 29:18,23 39:14 Honor 46:18 hostile 45:5 hostility 21:1 houses 44:23 hypothetical 5:5 15:3 23:19 27:17 28:19 hypotheticals 28:16</p> <hr/> <p>I</p> <hr/> <p>ID 39:25 idea 12:17 identification 16:17 18:15 45:24 46:22 47:1,6,18</p>	<p>identified 27:1 43:22 45:24 47:9 identifier 8:3 18:9 43:19 identifies 8:15 40:14 identify 7:13 8:10,22 10:19 11:18 32:24 33:1,12,16 45:17 ideological 36:11 ignore 4:5 illegal 14:18 immoral 22:16 impermissible 48:8 important 4:12 12:5,13 49:22 importantly 25:8 imposed 36:9 imposes 21:12 incentive 25:6 incentivization 4:3 include 6:24 38:6,7 46:23 including 36:20 inconsistency 26:3 inconsistent 21:2 26:14 incorporating 50:23 indicates 6:8 indication 41:21 individual 37:14 38:21 inevitable 48:10 inexplicably 43:24 inextricably 43:19 infringement</p>	<p>6:3,7,17 22:13 22:21 23:12 25:3 49:6 infringes 23:7 inherit 40:20 insignia 41:22 insofar 27:25 instance 5:12 25:22 instances 10:4 26:14 instinct 15:4 institution 37:7 institutions 3:12 insult 34:3,5 48:2,3 insulting 26:16 33:5 50:6 insults 34:6,13 intellectual 4:19 18:1 intended 8:11 8:21 14:21 18:2 intent 17:14,19 26:16 interest 15:7 39:18 43:7 50:23 interested 13:15 interfere 45:21 interfering 45:11 intermediate 24:9 Internet 17:17 interrupt 19:14 intertwined 43:18,20,24 involve 16:3 involved 12:3 39:2 issue 3:10 4:23 4:25 10:7 11:9 25:23 issued 39:25 issues 4:17</p>	<p>item 34:6</p> <hr/> <p>J</p> <hr/> <p>January 1:12 jerk 34:16,25 Jiffy 8:12 Joe 34:16,25 JOHN 1:21 2:6 27:8 Jones 34:16,25 judged 25:17 Justice 1:19 3:3 3:8,23 4:4,10 4:24 6:1,13,20 7:11 8:4 9:1,10 9:17 10:16 11:2,15 12:2 12:10 13:6 14:3,4,11 15:16,20 16:7 16:25 17:9 18:4,16 19:6 19:12,14,22 20:1,5,8,11 21:8,14 22:15 22:22 23:9,18 23:21 24:6,12 25:8 26:6 27:6 27:10,16,24 28:3,13,14,22 30:1,4,11,13 30:16 31:1,14 31:20 32:3,4,5 32:6,7,11,15 32:16 33:22 34:4,18,21 35:7,11,18,19 35:20,24 36:14 37:1,2,3,4,12 37:22,23 38:10 39:17 40:3,19 41:4,14 42:6 42:11,16,18,22 43:1,10,23 44:5,15 46:3 46:16 47:2,14 47:16,21 48:6</p>
---	--	--	--	--

49:13,21 50:11 51:1 Justice's 43:11 44:16 justification 5:5 10:12	large 25:11 largely 27:17 Laughter 28:7 32:10 35:10 law 10:3 14:18 14:22 16:5 18:1 24:4 30:22,23 41:5 41:17,25 42:12 50:22 laws 6:17 13:3,3 13:10 34:22,24 35:1,2 50:18 lecture 31:4 Lee 1:3 3:4 leeway 38:25 legal 25:1 29:19 29:21 30:7 legislation 47:25 legislative 47:24 legitimate 26:17 43:7 let's 12:16 31:3 37:3 letter 34:11 letters 33:3 34:10,11,11 letting 10:8 level 8:25 48:2 libel 13:3,10 35:1 37:17 38:1 libelism 13:6 libels 37:13 license 39:7,20 39:20,20 light 18:10 likelihood 25:3 limit 3:16,20 5:19 14:5 16:1 38:4 49:5 limitation 41:12 limited 31:10 36:5 limits 5:10 50:2 line 18:21 25:20 40:7	list 25:11 50:1 little 33:2 34:7 34:10 living 41:22 logos 4:6 long 8:23 9:8 Look 44:19 looking 13:12 losing 33:15 lot 17:12,16 26:11 40:7 49:14 50:15 lots 18:19 low 13:9 Lube 8:13	marks 7:6,7 23:17 25:6 27:1 28:8,11 31:24 38:21 39:4,13 marriage 39:7 material 5:2,20 matter 1:14 12:19,22 32:5 36:10 51:4 matters 18:2 mean 4:9 15:17 19:4,10 20:8 20:20 21:12 31:2 32:7 34:21 41:16,18 42:8 47:25 50:15 meanings 8:19 meets 24:10 members 15:11 mentioned 49:21 merely 7:6 22:8 message 9:25 10:23 11:12 18:11 36:12,18 39:11 40:18 43:20 45:22 46:2,2,25 messages 8:11 10:6 15:7 20:20 24:18,19 44:25,25 45:1 45:14,16,20 MICHELLE 1:3 million 33:7,8 miniature 8:16 ministerial 39:10 minority 7:20 9:9 minutes 48:15 misdescriptive 41:20 misunderstood	26:21 money 18:24 morning 3:4 motivated 36:11 music 4:16,22 40:22,23 45:25
K			N	
K 1:3 Kagan 11:15 12:2,10 13:6 14:3,11 19:6 19:12,22 20:1 20:5,8,11 21:8 21:14 32:3,5,7 32:11 35:19 38:10 41:14 42:6,11,16,18 42:22 43:1 49:13,21 KENNEDY 3:23 4:4,10 14:4 15:16,20 24:6,12 27:16 27:24 28:3,14 41:4 kind 8:15 11:13 11:24 17:25 29:6 39:8 44:22 kinds 10:12,13 42:13 know 7:12,21,22 7:22 33:11,19 33:23 37:12 46:9 50:1 known 11:10 knows 17:5			N 2:1,1 3:1 47:9 N.J 1:21 name 8:12 17:20 29:11 30:2 40:22,23 41:22 names 40:21 narrow 37:25 national 3:13 19:24 20:25 nature 15:1 19:4 19:8 32:1 necessary 30:7 need 29:4 negative 28:12 29:22 32:13 36:11 41:3 net 12:9 neutral 24:4 29:24 never 38:2 new 5:14 13:12 nice 7:19 8:24 32:21 Nike's 43:14 non-Asians 27:18 noncommercial 28:9,11 30:10 31:18 35:5,17 36:24 40:5,6 40:12,17,24 nonconfrontat... 15:9 normal 46:8,9 noteworthy 16:21 notice 25:4 notwithstandi... 36:8	
L		M		
L 1:18 2:3,9 3:6 48:16 land 44:24 Lanham 16:16 29:5 31:19 42:4 43:6 47:8 47:8 48:4,5		majority 14:17 makeup 27:19 MALCOLM 1:18 2:3,9 3:6 48:16 manner 36:5,14 36:15,19,22 manufacturer 11:17 44:9 mark 3:12,15,16 6:23 7:9 8:14 8:19 12:17,19 12:20 16:16 18:8 22:9,11 22:18,20 23:5 23:7 25:5 27:13 29:7,18 29:22,23 30:10 30:22 34:8 35:5,17 36:25 38:8 39:12,14 39:16 40:14 41:18 43:20 46:1,22,23 47:12 48:7,23 48:24,25 49:5 49:17 market 4:8 marketplace 30:8		

<p>number 7:3 29:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>O 2:1 3:1 object 50:13 objectionable 5:2 objective 7:16 9:21 32:23,23 33:1 45:16 objectives 24:15 31:19 42:4 objects 46:1 obviously 9:14 11:23 21:1 24:5 38:8 49:13 occasion 48:3 occur 25:3 28:21 offensive 17:20 50:16,25 office 1:5 5:13 16:23 officials 13:22 Oh 34:21 okay 14:6 25:14 25:15 31:22 35:21 42:18 45:2 46:4 omnipresent 15:17 one-quarter 45:6 ones 46:11 only-celebrati... 31:22 open 23:12 46:21 opened 46:13 opinion 14:17 opposed 29:23 opposite 40:2 oral 1:14 2:2,5 3:6 27:8 order 6:6 original 5:15</p>	<p>17:25 outer 38:4 outrageous 27:25 outside 9:4 25:1 overlap 22:6 23:1 owner 7:8 22:11 36:18 39:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 3:1 Pacifica 36:4,8 package 44:9 PAGE 2:2 parallel 27:17 park 41:5,6,8,24 42:19,20 47:9 part 4:4 21:20 21:20 37:4,8 40:14 44:1,2 44:23 48:4,4 participate 31:7 particular 7:17 9:9 10:25 11:3 13:21 15:6 16:9 18:13 patent 1:4 39:7 peaceful 44:24 44:24,25 45:9 45:13 46:7,18 people 8:24 13:21,25 26:14 26:18 32:19,21 33:13,14 40:22 44:23 49:1 50:1,9 perceived 21:3 percent 10:18 perform 40:23 performance 36:16 performs 21:23 person 5:14,15 8:18 12:14,15 22:11 27:2 37:6</p>	<p>person's 19:8 41:22 personal 15:10 persons 3:12 41:19 perspective 20:18 Petitioner 1:6,20 2:4,10 3:7 48:17 phrase 5:23,24 17:2,2,24,25 35:14 43:14 phrases 5:14 16:23 physical 34:6 44:22 pick 18:8 picking 10:8 Piney 8:13 pithy 5:16 place 34:22,25 36:5,14,15,19 36:22 40:21 44:9 45:6 46:19 49:11 50:4 placed 7:5 places 3:20 45:4 49:4 plate 39:21 played 50:20,22 please 3:9 11:15 27:11 plurality 14:23 16:4 point 4:7 5:16 8:18 12:22,23 17:21 18:12,18 21:5,5 30:20 32:12 33:8 43:4 49:15,25 pointed 13:2 32:16 49:13 points 28:17 48:19 poison 34:19</p>	<p>police 18:22 policy 21:2 politeness 47:15 political 4:17 13:21 18:3 36:12 40:17 politicians 12:17 12:20 19:18,18 portrait 41:22 pose 4:22 position 5:13 28:4,5 33:21 33:23 49:8 50:4 positive 8:11 11:12 29:25 32:9 possible 23:15 23:22 possibly 5:6 post 14:19 15:6 potential 19:5,6 19:7 25:4 26:3 potentially 22:1 powerful 4:12 practice 9:6,14 precise 10:12 11:8 precludes 12:8 12:11 prefer 11:20,21 preparation 49:23 prerequisites 22:3,6,7 23:1,2 23:8 49:16 prescribable 41:13 prescribed 38:6 presentations 31:5 presumptively 24:20 prevent 9:23 11:24 prevents 9:23 previously 7:9</p>	<p>pride 17:15 40:18 principal 49:24 50:5 print 5:24 private 39:14 50:9 pro-Shakespe... 31:5 probably 9:6 10:3,6 problem 40:4 problems 19:5,7 product 7:14 8:24 9:24,25 11:19 22:10 32:24 33:2 34:10,24 products 8:12 11:23 18:10 34:23 program 3:21 3:24 4:1,12 6:21,24 14:12 16:8,11,14 18:18,21 21:13 21:21,24 23:10 23:24 24:7,15 24:17,19,22 25:19 29:16 31:3,4,12,22 32:1,22,23 33:15 38:13,15 38:17,20,25 39:1 42:24 43:5 45:17 47:3,5 48:21 49:12,23,25 programs 12:4 18:25 21:15,17 prohibit 4:20 prohibited 44:6 44:13 prohibiting 10:13 prohibits 3:11 41:18</p>
---	--	--	--	---

promote 11:22 24:18 40:8,10	37:14 41:5,5,6 41:8,24 42:19 42:20 50:24	read 46:11 really 7:5 19:15 26:25 43:11 44:15 47:22 50:11	registration 3:11 4:1,22 10:13 16:14 20:24 21:4 22:4,6 28:11 29:16,17,19 30:25 36:2 37:24 39:7,7,8 41:2,18 48:21 49:3,10,12,14 49:16	restriction 3:21 6:13 14:13 21:12 36:21 restrictions 5:11 7:4 24:8 36:6,9 36:10 46:8,9 49:4
promoting 8:23 15:8	publication 24:25 25:2 38:19,20	reason 20:15 29:13 30:9	29:16,17,19 30:25 36:2 37:24 39:7,7,8 41:2,18 48:21 49:3,10,12,14 49:16	retained 39:12 right 4:17 8:4,7 9:17 17:23 19:9,20,21 21:10 22:18 23:20 26:7 30:21 41:15 44:5,20,22 49:19
properly-drawn 27:20	publishes 24:24 38:18	reasonable 3:20 27:2	registrations 39:8,9	rigorous 44:1
property 4:19 18:1 39:25 44:20,22	pulled 47:25	reasonably 24:14	regulates 48:21	ROBERTS 3:3 6:20 9:10 16:7 23:9,18,21 27:6 31:1,14 31:20 40:3 47:2,14,16,21 48:12,14 51:1
proposed 20:22	purely 16:17	REBUTTAL 2:8 48:16	regulating 49:7	rock 4:6
protected 13:25	purpose 7:13,16 8:21 9:21 11:4 33:12 43:9 45:8,9,12,15 46:17 47:8,22 48:5	received 29:24	regulation 19:17 20:9,17	role 50:21
protecting 29:12	purposes 18:5,7	receives 26:1	regulatory 29:17	room 15:6,24
protection 4:19 4:21 5:2,8,11 5:17 7:17 17:22 18:22,23 19:1,5 28:18 30:20 35:25 38:3,5 47:12	pursue 6:6	reclaim 17:14 26:17	rejected 27:1	rule 26:9
protections 29:19 30:7	put 8:24 33:2 34:6	recognize 16:21	relate 7:23,23 24:14	rules 15:10
protects 27:25	putting 31:4 49:11	recognized 17:18 30:24 38:1	related 7:12 9:21 24:14	ruling 3:15
Proud 27:13	<hr/> Q <hr/>	recordation 39:4	relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	run 6:24
prove 37:16	qua 8:21	reduce 43:6	relentlessly 32:9	running 23:9 25:9
provide 10:21 19:1 43:2 50:1	question 4:15 9:18,19,20 10:2 11:3 28:22 30:2 33:18,24 35:16 37:4,10 40:15 43:11 44:4,13 44:16 45:10,25	reduces 25:3 48:2	religious 50:7	<hr/> S <hr/>
provides 10:5 18:19 24:22 25:4 43:1	questions 6:12 16:4 22:13 25:9 48:11	reducing 42:5 48:2	remains 5:13	S 2:1 3:1
providing 18:22 18:23 49:19	quick 48:19	reduction 47:11	remedies 49:5	sales 40:10
provision 3:10 3:13,20 7:3,18 7:19	quite 32:15 38:12,16	reference 16:17	repeating 34:9	satisfies 22:3
provocative 26:16	<hr/> R <hr/>	regarded 38:2	Report 47:11	satisfy 23:7
provoke 45:1	R 3:1 33:3	regime 6:2 46:20	Republicans 12:12	saying 5:22,23 8:24 12:20 14:8,8 15:18 18:7 19:18 21:8 22:25 32:25 34:15,23 34:25 35:2,7 37:7,14 38:12
PTO 3:14 17:4 21:23 24:1,21 25:5 26:1,12 26:24	racial 9:7 11:10 15:10 39:19 50:6	register 3:14 6:3 6:6,9,11 11:17 14:9 16:23 20:22 24:24 25:2 27:13,15 27:21 35:12 39:3 49:24 50:5	related 7:12 9:21 24:14	reserved 32:20
PTO's 3:15 9:6 9:14	raise 19:3 26:23	registered 7:10 18:13 24:23 29:4,7 36:17 38:18,22 43:15	relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	resort 30:20
public 8:6 15:5 15:23 16:10 18:19,23,24 25:25 29:6	raises 24:17	registering 6:22 22:17,20	relates 7:12 9:21 24:14	respect 33:23
		registrability 7:5	regulatory 29:17	respond 45:19
			rejected 27:1	Respondent 1:9 1:22 2:7 27:9
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	Respondent's 3:14,16
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	restrict 15:25
			relentlessly 32:9	restricted 50:12
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	
			Report 47:11	
			Republicans 12:12	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			regulatory 29:17	
			rejected 27:1	
			relate 7:23,23 24:14	
			related 7:12 9:21 24:14	
			relation 9:16 11:3 48:25	
			relentlessly 32:9	
			religious 50:7	
			remains 5:13	
			remedies 49:5	
			repeating 34:9	

45:15 46:7 47:21 says 7:19 8:23 13:17 20:11 32:25 33:5 38:17 scandalous 22:15,16 48:7 school 15:5,9,11 15:23 schoolteacher 15:17,21 scope 5:19 16:8 scrutiny 24:10 seclusion 46:19 second 16:20 24:21 26:22 37:8 49:4,8 Section 3:19 6:16 30:22 41:18 42:17 sections 42:15 see 8:12 12:22 22:2 33:6,8 45:13 seek 29:18 30:20 seeks 33:16 selectively 29:21 self-consciously 26:18 Senate 47:10 sends 38:19 sense 11:11 37:22 sentiment 11:19 separate 43:25 separating 43:12 series 31:4 serious 19:4 serve 9:21 18:9 22:9 45:12 served 16:15 45:25 serves 8:15 39:4 service 3:15 16:16 24:21	40:15 45:25 46:24 services 8:6 18:19 21:22 43:22 49:20 set 15:5 setting 15:23 seven 35:9,12 Shakespeare 31:6,7,24 32:21 33:7 45:13 46:8 SHIAO 1:8 shirts 4:6 short 5:14,16 16:23,24 17:2 17:2,24 sickle 20:23 side 8:18 26:23 32:14 33:18 signature 41:23 significance 18:3 significant 6:1 24:25 50:23 significantly 11:8 signing 29:1 signs 14:19 similar 25:7 similarly 19:22 45:15 Simon 1:8 27:12 simple 38:12 simply 8:2 16:1 17:6 23:23 34:1 36:5 39:4 39:9 40:14 45:21 46:21 sincere 17:13 single 32:17 singled 13:20 sit 26:10 slander 35:2 37:17 38:1 slanders 37:13 slanted 27:19	Slants 3:15 4:16 9:2,11,15 16:22 17:4,5 27:15 28:25 29:8,9 40:16 43:18 45:23 48:25 slash 20:23 slogan 35:8 slur 17:16 26:17 39:19 slurs 9:8 39:19 small 44:23 Smith 33:4 Smith's 34:19 social 4:16 40:18 soft 11:17 Solicitor 1:18 solitude 46:19 somebody 7:8 13:18,19 23:6 33:6 somewhat 4:25 songs 4:18 Sorrell 44:14 sorry 7:4 12:1 sort 8:14 sorts 22:12 Sotomayor 26:6 28:13,22 30:1 30:4,11,13,16 32:16 37:1,3 37:12,22 sought 27:12,15 source 7:13 8:2 8:10,15,22 16:17 18:9,14 32:24 33:2,12 33:16 34:9 36:17 40:14 43:19,21 45:17 45:21,24 46:22 47:1,6 Soviet 20:23,25 21:1 space 10:4 speak 39:14	speaker 21:19 speaking 39:10 specific 35:1,21 specifically 18:1 28:10 39:23 speech 3:21 5:11 7:5 12:2 13:9 14:13 15:25 19:9 21:16,21 24:7 27:25 28:9,9,11 29:15 30:10 31:18 35:14 38:6,15 39:2 39:24 40:25 41:8,13 44:7 44:13 49:11,18 49:19,22 50:12 spirits 41:21 stand 15:13 standards 25:18 State 30:22 stated 13:16 statement 7:15 States 1:1,4,15 21:2 station 32:17,18 statute 5:5 6:7 21:6 24:10 25:20 27:21 28:15,18 47:15 statutory 3:10 22:3 23:1 39:5 step 23:15,22 Stewart 1:18 2:3 2:9 3:5,6,8,25 4:9,13 5:4 6:5 6:15 7:2,24 8:5 9:5,13 10:10 10:24 11:6,15 11:16 12:8 13:2,20 14:7 14:16 15:19,22 16:13 17:8,11 18:6 19:3,10 19:21,25 20:4 20:7,10,14	21:11,20 22:19 22:24 23:14,20 23:25 24:11,13 25:16 26:8 47:17 48:14,16 48:18 50:11,19 stick 34:12 sting 17:9 Stinks 11:18 stop 34:14,17,18 34:22,25 stopped 30:17 stopping 28:24 28:25 29:2 stops 32:24 stretching 18:17 strict 24:10 striking 46:13 strong 15:3 stronger 33:8 struck 14:22 students 15:6,15 16:1 subject 3:18 12:4 14:14 21:17 29:8 submitted 51:2 51:4 substantial 4:23 4:24 30:24 suddenly 46:7 46:12 sue 6:2 29:5 30:11 49:1 sufficiently 25:21 suggest 37:6 suggesting 42:14 47:5 suggestion 47:13 suggestive 41:19 suggests 37:8 suit 6:7,11,16 22:13,21 suits 25:1 superior 9:2,11 9:12
--	--	--	---	--

suppose 9:1 15:5 27:16 46:6	26:15 42:4	thousand 32:18 32:20	16:14 18:4,6 18:13 23:11	46:3
supposed 42:12	terrible 20:3	thousands 45:4	26:24 28:1	unfair 6:18 22:21
suppress 20:19 21:4 24:18	test 24:13 44:1 49:9 50:7,9	threats 38:7	34:14 40:8	Union 21:1,2
suppression 49:17	Texas 39:20	three 4:13 48:19	41:8 50:1	United 1:1,4,15 21:2
Supreme 1:1,15	Thank 3:8 27:6 27:10 41:9	tied 4:2	traditional 5:19 29:15	universe 36:19
sure 9:22 44:3	48:12,13,18 51:1	time 14:6 25:9 27:5 36:5,14 36:15,16,19,22	traditionally 26:19	university 15:5 16:10
surgeon 44:11	theory 25:17	times 39:12 40:4	train 32:17,18	unregistered 6:18 29:7
surprised 4:25	thief 37:15	tiny 33:3 34:11 45:6	treat 41:7,7,8	upheld 36:3
surprising 26:3 26:20	thin 48:1	Title 6:16	treated 13:4	use 3:16 17:14 17:15,19 23:5 26:18 27:18 29:6 30:2,21 33:16 34:13,15 35:11 36:2,7 36:15,17 37:18 48:25 49:2,5
survive 42:15,19 42:20	thing 9:7 16:10 22:23,25 26:4 26:22 33:5,5 34:9 48:7 49:4 49:8	today 27:14 32:20	Trial 20:21 22:2	user 9:24
sweeping 14:23	things 4:13 8:24 10:18 12:14,15 12:23,24 13:23 15:14 20:12 25:12,12 26:5 26:9,9 32:21 38:7,10 39:19 41:15 46:10,13 48:24 50:15	topic 18:12	trouble 26:12	uses 8:19
sweeps 20:14 21:6	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12	topics 15:7	troubles 38:11	utilize 31:13,16
symbol 17:15 20:25	things 4:13 8:24 10:18 12:14,15 12:23,24 13:23 15:14 20:12 25:12,12 26:5 26:9,9 32:21 38:7,10 39:19 41:15 46:10,13 48:24 50:15	trademark 1:5 6:14,17,18 7:4 7:13,14,17 8:2 8:21,22 10:5 11:5,8,18 14:9 16:11,13,16 17:11,13 20:13 20:21,22 21:4 22:1,5,7 23:2 23:13 24:23,24 25:2 26:1,2 27:21 28:18 29:3,4,17 30:22,25 31:15 31:23,25 33:12 33:16 34:15 35:8,12,25 37:16,17,24 40:6,11 41:5 41:17,25 42:12 43:13,15 44:21 45:21 46:10,20 49:12 50:21	true 7:8 8:8 9:7 12:11 22:11	U
symbol 17:15 20:25	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12	trademark-rel... 45:12	truly 49:17	U.S.C 3:11 6:8
symbols 3:13 19:24 50:24	thing 9:7 16:10 22:23,25 26:4 26:22 33:5,5 34:9 48:7 49:4 49:8	trademarked 25:12 35:15	Trump 37:14,15	Uh-huh 19:25
system 29:17	things 4:13 8:24 10:18 12:14,15 12:23,24 13:23 15:14 20:12 25:12,12 26:5 26:9,9 32:21 38:7,10 39:19 41:15 46:10,13 48:24 50:15	trademarks 6:25 7:25 8:9 9:21 10:14,18	trying 17:21 33:1 34:1 46:21	ultimate 7:12
<hr/> T <hr/>	things 4:13 8:24 10:18 12:14,15 12:23,24 13:23 15:14 20:12 25:12,12 26:5 26:9,9 32:21 38:7,10 39:19 41:15 46:10,13 48:24 50:15		TTAB 20:20	unconstitutio... 5:7 16:5 24:20 36:13 37:9 41:3
T 2:1,1	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		turn 14:25	understand 19:16 31:21 43:24 44:3
take 9:3 10:1 24:12 25:13,24 28:3,5 40:21 45:7	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		two 4:13 15:9 16:23 21:22 26:4,9,9 37:5 43:17 48:14,24	U
taken 23:14	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		type 10:25 11:3 11:6,6,8,16 20:17	v 1:7 3:4 14:16 16:4 35:15
takes 17:9	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		types 11:1 21:22	vagueness 25:11
talk 20:10	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		typical 39:1,9	valid 22:5,7 23:2
talking 16:9,10 18:21 40:20 45:14	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		typically 23:25 25:18	value 13:9
talks 48:2	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		U	values 4:3
Tam 1:8 3:4 16:21 27:12 48:24	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		U.S.C 3:11 6:8	vanity 39:20
Tam's 17:13,19	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		turn 14:25	variety 24:25
targets 28:10 31:17	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		two 4:13 15:9 16:23 21:22 26:4,9,9 37:5 43:17 48:14,24	vehicles 16:15
tee 4:6	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		type 10:25 11:3 11:6,6,8,16 20:17	venerated 50:7
tell 8:5 40:10	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		types 11:1 21:22	venue 16:9
term 9:3,15 17:6	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		typical 39:1,9	view 4:7 27:2,22 28:14,17 36:11 41:3
terms 9:8 16:1	think 3:25 7:2 7:24 9:5,7,13 10:3,10,10,12 13:24 16:19,20 17:8 18:8,20 19:3 20:15 23:25 24:1,11 24:11,13 26:11 28:3,20 33:19 33:20,23,25 35:13,14 37:23 38:4 41:12,15 42:8 43:14 44:5,17,17 45:3,18,19 46:14 47:4,19 48:9,23 50:12		typically 23:25 25:18	viewpoint 12:6 13:1,5 14:15 17:1 19:11,13 21:5,19 24:16 25:10 38:14 44:6,12
	thought 12:3,25 47:17		U	viewpoint-bas... 13:14,16,24

<p>14:24 15:13 16:6 19:19 20:6,16 21:9 viewpoint-neu... 42:3,7 viewpoints 32:13 45:12 views 4:8,16 25:24 28:12 29:22,25 vilest 50:6 violate 3:22 violence 45:2 virtuous 12:21 12:21 19:18 Vista 8:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>want 9:17,22 10:22,24,25,25 13:24 19:14,15 24:7 31:5 32:8 32:8,19,21 33:2,4,23 36:20 41:4,7 43:10 wanted 12:16 wants 11:17,18 48:24,25 49:1 Washington 1:11,19 way 4:19 8:1 12:19,22 13:9 13:10 15:8,9 26:6,18 29:1,1 29:12,15 34:2 38:21 39:6 40:10 45:16 46:25 49:2 ways 25:1 29:14 we'll 3:3 8:24 we're 11:1 14:7 14:8 18:25 36:1 38:8 44:19 Wednesday 1:12 went 17:12</p>	<p>weren't 25:13 wide 12:9 widely 29:17 31:12 willing 21:15 wine 41:21 wishes 3:18 46:23 wonder 18:16 wonderful 19:23 20:2 word 17:4,10,14 17:19 25:13 words 5:14 7:6 8:19 11:10 13:11 16:24 26:18 34:8 35:9,12,22 36:2,7,9,16 42:23 50:24 work 4:11 worrying 46:5,6 wouldn't 4:11 29:9 44:16 write 5:24 33:3 44:25 45:1 wrong 11:13 31:8 45:3,4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <p>x 1:2,10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>yeah 32:6 35:25 36:4 year 26:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>10 33:8 10:07 1:16 3:2 1052 37:5,8 43:8 1052(a) 3:11 22:4 11:03 51:3</p>	<p>1125(a) 6:16 15 3:11 6:16 15-1293 1:6 3:4 17 6:8 18 1:12 1969 20:21 21:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/> <p>2 41:18 2017 1:12 27 2:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/> <p>3 2:4 300,000 26:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <hr/> <p>411(a) 6:8 43 30:22 48 2:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <hr/> <p>50,000 10:4 34:6 500 14:20 52(a)'s 3:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <hr/> <p>99 10:18</p>		
---	---	---	--	--