

1 MR. BROOME: They -- they presumed that she
2 would be -- that's a reflexive assumption that the
3 mother -- at that time, it was a reflexive assumption --
4 I don't think it's as true today -- but that the mother
5 was going to be the guardian parent, and they wanted to
6 make sure that -- that the physical presence
7 requirements that -- that Congress was passing were not
8 going to have the impact of separating that nonmarital
9 child from who they presumed to be the parent.

10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Suppose -- suppose there
11 were some statistics that would indicate that over
12 100,000 new citizens would qualify or new persons
13 would -- would qualify for citizenship if -- if we
14 adopted leveling up. Would that affect our -- should
15 that affect our decision?

16 MR. BROOME: I don't think it -- I don't
17 think it should, because at the end of the -- at the --

18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And outside would gain
19 200,000.

20 MR. BROOME: Ultimately, I think the Court
21 has to decide whether or not there is an equal
22 protection violation here, whether or not --

23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Don't we have to consider
24 what the Congress likely would have intended?

25 MR. BROOME: Yes, and -- and I think what

1 the record shows is that given Congress' purpose here --
2 in fact, if you take either the purpose that -- that we
3 have argued was the purpose of Section 1409, and the
4 purpose that the government has argued, the
5 statelessness purpose, both of those purposes are served
6 by the remedy we -- we propose, by extending the
7 benefits to unmarried -- unmarried fathers.

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Kneeder --

9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. If we
10 leveled up, how would that affect children who were born
11 to an -- to a citizen father, who were previously denied
12 citizenship, could they come in and claim citizenship
13 now?

14 MR. BROOME: If -- only if they satisfied
15 all -- all -- all the other statutory requirements.

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Which means? The answer
17 is yes?

18 MR. BROOME: Yes, yes, if they satisfied the
19 other statutory requirements.

20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The continuous one year.

21 MR. BROOME: And the legitimation
22 requirements.

23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And the legitimacy.

24 MR. BROOME: Yes.

25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: More than that, I think

1 it would be -- at first the father would have had to
2 have sired this child abroad, would have had to
3 recognized the child, would have had to support the
4 child.

5 MR. BROOME: That's correct, yes.

6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So I don't think that --

7 MR. BROOME: We're talking about a fairly
8 limited class, I think here, Justice Ginsburg, and I --
9 I would like to turn to the government's arguments about
10 the U.S.-connection interest.

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: But before you -- before you
12 do that, Mr. Broome, just on the remedy question, very
13 occasionally this Court has faced a situation when the
14 natural remedy of something that it is holding. We were
15 concerned a little bit about how -- whether Congress
16 would prefer a different remedy.

17 So, for example, in the Northern Pipeline
18 case, what we did in a situation like that was we stayed
19 our judgment for a period of time and allowed Congress
20 essentially to do it a different way if it wanted to.
21 And I'm wondering whether you had considered that
22 possibility here, that we could order a kind of
23 leveling-up judgment but stay it for some period of time
24 so that Congress could decide whether it instead
25 preferred some other way of dealing with the problem,

1 whether that would be appropriate?

2 MR. BROOME: I -- I think, Justice Kagan,
3 first and foremost, the Court needs to remedy the equal
4 protection violation suffered by the parties. So if --
5 if that -- if the Court were to level up and make
6 Respondent -- Respondent a citizen, and then stay the
7 judgment thereafter, I -- you know, I think potentially
8 that could work, but certainly --

9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What relief -- the relief
10 would have been granted to this person. This is not
11 some kind of class action.

12 MR. BROOME: Right. Ultimately, the Court
13 has to decide -- has to remedy the equal protection
14 violation before it and -- and not be thinking about --
15 well, it is not trying to remedy an equal protection
16 violation only in the future. And I think that the
17 fundamental problem with the government's remedy is that
18 it could only apply to unborn children and future
19 parents, and it would have no impact on anybody who is
20 affected by the statute at issue before the Court today.

21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Justice Kagan's
22 suggestion, Congress apparently should -- should have
23 been aware of this after our Flores-Villar, but they
24 were soporific.

25 (Laughter.)

1 MR. BROOME: But what we have seen, Justice
2 Kennedy is that since the date that this discriminatory
3 provision was first enacted in 1940, it has
4 consistently -- Congress has consistently reduced the
5 burden on -- on fathers. So I think if -- if the
6 question is, what would Congress do today, well,
7 Congress has shown that it is continually reducing the
8 physical presence requirements and the age calibration
9 component of it to -- so that it has -- precludes the
10 transfer of citizenship --

11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but, I mean,
12 that argument seems to me that, in other words, they
13 have considered the issue several times, and at no point
14 did they take the step of eliminating it.

15 MR. BROOME: That's -- that's correct,
16 Mr. Chief Justice, but they also haven't been confronted
17 with a -- the last time that Congress considered the
18 statute was in 1986, and it -- and it -- an equal
19 protection challenge to these physical presence
20 requirements was not -- was not made until the
21 Flores-Villar case. And that was --

22 JUSTICE BREYER: Why -- why -- why did you
23 use the word "today"? I thought what we were supposed
24 to do is go back and figure out if they had known that
25 it was unconstitutional to give the unmarried woman a

1 year requirement to live in the United States, but to
2 give the unmarried man where he is a citizen eight
3 years' requirement, suppose they had known that was
4 unconstitutional then, what would they have done then?
5 Is it then or is it now?

6 MR. BROOME: Well, I think it's --

7 JUSTICE BREYER: It's a lot easier for you
8 if it is now, I think.

9 MR. BROOME: I think it is now.

10 JUSTICE BREYER: But -- but -- but which is
11 it -- is there anything -- I mean, you know, you are not
12 going to help me if you just say that because that's in
13 your interest to say. Is there anything that -- that
14 you could -- you could point to that would say it's now
15 and not then?

16 MR. BROOME: Well, as -- as a practical
17 matter, I think that if this -- if the question is how
18 would Congress remedy the statute, it -- it can only be
19 remedied by the Congress sitting today.

20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but that's not
21 the question. The question is what did the Congress
22 that passed this statute intend.

23 MR. BROOME: And I think the answer to
24 that -- well, the question is what -- is how the
25 Congress would pass the -- if the question is how did

1 the Congress that passed this statute, how would they
2 remedy it today, then I think the answer --

3 THE COURT: Not how they would remedy --

4 MR. BROOME: Sorry. How -- how would they
5 remedy that -- that -- that statute if that --

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What would their
7 understanding have been about the appropriate remedy
8 when they passed the statute?

9 MR. BROOME: I -- I think -- I think the
10 answer to that, Mr. Chief Justice, is that they --
11 they -- they were -- they were -- were concerned that
12 the physical presence requirements would create a
13 significant burden on -- on marital children, and that
14 is why they lowered the requirements for the mother,
15 because they presumed the mother was going to be the
16 guardian and that -- and they -- and they presumed that
17 the child should stay with mother. And they didn't want
18 the physical presence requirements to create further
19 burden on that child -- that -- that relationship.

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What the Court -- the
21 Congress in '40 or '52 would do is strange in this
22 context, because the Court -- the Congress sitting then
23 took gender-based lines for granted.

24 MR. BROOME: That's right. And I think that
25 the -- if I could just sort of finish the Chief

1 Justice's question, the -- it is not clear at all that
2 that -- that the 1940 Congress would have chosen to just
3 sever the 1409(c) entirely. And I think it would be
4 just as destructive of Congress' intent to withdraw a
5 benefit that Congress plainly intended to confer than it
6 would be to extend the benefit that perhaps Congress did
7 not --

8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So do -- do I
9 understand you to agree that when we approach these
10 questions, severance and remedy, that we do look at what
11 the Congress at the time when they passed the law would
12 have done?

13 MR. BROOME: I'm not sure if there is a
14 clear answer to that, Mr. Chief Justice. I think
15 the Court could look at what Congress would do today and
16 what Congress has done in the decades since.

17 JUSTICE BREYER: Did you find any case which
18 supports that?

19 MR. BROOME: No, I haven't.

20 JUSTICE BREYER: Did you find any case
21 against it?

22 MR. BROOME: No case for it or against it,
23 Justice Breyer.

24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We're going to find
25 lots of cases when we address this question that talks

1 about the intent of the Congress that passed the
2 statute.

3 MR. BROOME: Certainly -- certainly there
4 are plenty of cases on -- on that, Mr. Chief Justice.
5 But the --

6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And I don't think
7 there are any, but -- you haven't found one, and I don't
8 think anyone could find one.

9 MR. BROOME: But --

10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But let's say, when
11 we're looking at a question of congressional intent and
12 a question of this, we look at what a Congress 60 years
13 later would have thought.

14 MR. BROOME: But if -- as -- if we are
15 looking at --

16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is that true -- is that
17 true of, say, Westcott when they -- the category was
18 unemployed father and -- and it was enlarged to include
19 unemployed mothers? Is it true of Goldfarb, the Social
20 Security cases when -- what Congress did when it did it
21 was just a piece with everything where the man was the
22 dominant person in the family and the woman was the
23 subordinate person.

24 So to say we want to go back to a Congress
25 that had that mindset and ask what they would have done

1 is a little hard.

2 MR. BROOME: It is difficult, and -- and --

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, then don't
4 pretend that you're implementing Congress' intent when
5 you say we're going to -- we're going to put in place
6 when we're talking about a remedy, not in terms of
7 finding a violation. Don't pretend that you're
8 implementing Congress' intent when you look at what
9 Congress -- a Congress 60 years later would do.

10 MR. BROOME: Well, Mr. Chief Justice, when
11 you are remedying a gender-discriminatory statute by
12 leveling up or leveling down, you are never implementing
13 Congress' intent. You're trying --

14 JUSTICE BREYER: That's true. But you can
15 ask, what would they have wanted if they knew they
16 couldn't make this discrimination? That's why I
17 thought, well, if you have to go back to '52, they're
18 going to either have to take the benefit away from the
19 woman or give it to the man. And the two principles
20 that support you is Congress hates taking away a benefit
21 they give anybody. They get into a lot of trouble when
22 they take benefits away.

23 (Laughter.)

24 JUSTICE BREYER: So that would move them in
25 one direction. And it would also move them in the same

1 direction if there are just a handful of them who might
2 really benefit. That's why I asked that question. But
3 nobody -- but if there were millions of men who might
4 benefit, then they might get a little worried about what
5 they're doing, particularly since they're discriminating
6 even more, you know, the other way against the married
7 couple.

8 So that's why I was interested in those
9 questions. But I take it you have said pretty much what
10 you can say on that.

11 MR. BROOME: As to Congress' intent, yes,
12 Justice Breyer.

13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: As to the number --
14 number of people, all you can say is they would have to
15 meet a lot of requirements that you would have -- the
16 U.S. citizen sired a child abroad, recognize that child,
17 supported that child, and --

18 MR. BROOME: Right. You're -- we are
19 talking about, I think, a fairly limited class. These
20 are -- this is just children who were born outside of
21 the United States to unmarried United States-citizen
22 fathers who cannot satisfy the ten-year requirement, but
23 they can satisfy the one-year requirement, so they're
24 somewhere in that nine-year period. I think that --

25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Perhaps you're assuming

1 Justice Ginsburg's point that the father still has to
2 have legitimized the child without marriage. Because
3 if they married the mother, they would end up having to
4 fulfill the five-year. So it would have to be -- are
5 you accepting her proposition that the father has to
6 legitimize the child?

7 MR. BROOME: We're not -- we're certainly
8 not challenging the legitimation requirement.

9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is that -- that's
10 statutory and also the support requirement. Now, they
11 may be independently challengeable, but they are --

12 MR. BROOME: And I think in this case they
13 could be, because this is -- this is a different
14 requirement than -- than what was at issue in the Nguyen
15 case. In Nguyen, the Court addressed a paternal
16 acknowledgement requirement and said, well, that is a
17 minimal burden for the five-year satisfied. He can --
18 he is not similarly situated as -- with respect to
19 biological proof of his relationship with the child.
20 But the requirement that he then come forward and take
21 some affirmative step to demonstrate that by
22 acknowledging the child, that -- that is -- that
23 satisfies intermediate scrutiny.

24 But here we're talking about a legitimation
25 requirement. And if, as the Historians have pointed

1 out -- the -- the Historian amicus brief points out,
2 that legitimation really meant marriage, then that is a
3 much more significant burden placed on the father
4 because the father may not be able to -- may not be able
5 to satisfy that requirement at all. For example, if the
6 mother is not around -- is not available, if she doesn't
7 want to marry the father, or if she is dead.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Or if she is already
9 married.

10 MR. BROOME: Or if she is already married.

11 JUSTICE BREYER: Where is the legitimation
12 requirement? I see 8 U.S.C. 1409(c). It doesn't say a
13 word about legitimation.

14 MR. BROOME: It's in 1409(a).

15 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, 1409(a) doesn't
16 apply. It says "notwithstanding subsection A."

17 MR. BROOME: Right. And so the --

18 JUSTICE BREYER: It says: "Notwithstanding
19 subsection (a), somebody who is born outside the U.S.
20 out of wedlock shall be held to have acquired at birth
21 the nationality status of his mother if the mother is a
22 U.S. citizen and had been physically in the United
23 States for one year."

24 So I don't see anything that says they have
25 to be legitimized for the mother to get that.

1 MR. BROOME: In 1409(a), it applies only
2 after -- after there's been --

3 JUSTICE BREYER: In 1409(a). And what the
4 first words of (c) are, "notwithstanding the provision
5 of subsection A." Anyway, I guess I could figure it out
6 later.

7 MR. BROOME: Well, no.

8 (Laughter.)

9 MR. BROOME: Let me see if I can try and
10 help you, Justice Breyer.

11 The remedy imposed by the Court of Appeals
12 is to -- as 1401(a)(7), which the -- the
13 physical-presence requirement, the ten- and five-year
14 physical-presence requirement, the Court of Appeals --
15 that -- that applies through 1409(a). 1409(a) is the
16 provision that applies to fathers.

17 So the -- the remedy would be to apply the
18 one-continuous-year rule in 1401(a)(7) -- and I grant
19 you this is complicated -- 1401(a)(7) as it -- as it
20 applies through 1409(a). And that would put mothers and
21 fathers on equal footing with respect to the
22 physical-presence requirements. And then the
23 legitimation requirement still applies to fathers.

24 But if I could address the -- the
25 government's U.S.-connection interest in my time

1 remaining.

2 The statute here absolutely bars a
3 U.S.-citizen father under the age of 19 from
4 transmitting citizenship to his foreign-born child, even
5 if the father spent his entire life in the United States
6 up until the day the child is born, and even if the
7 father legitimates the child and seeks to raise the
8 child in the United States.

9 By contrast, the statute automatically
10 confers citizenship on a child whose U.S.-citizen mother
11 spent only a year of her life at any point in her
12 time -- any point in her life, even during infancy, and
13 even if the -- even if the mother marries the alien
14 father, and then -- and then the child is raised by the
15 mother and the alien father.

16 It is impossible to view a statute that
17 permits these results as related to a U.S.-connection
18 interest. And I would submit, Your Honor, that the
19 statelessness interest does not justify the
20 discrimination either.

21 There is no dispute here that the statute
22 creates a risk of statelessness for children born abroad
23 to unmarried United States-citizen fathers who
24 legitimate their children but who cannot satisfy the
25 ten -- ten- and five-year physical-presence requirement.

1 And the statute -- the -- the statute confers
2 citizenship on a child born abroad to an unmarried
3 United States-citizen mother, even if that child
4 faces -- even if her child faces no risk of
5 statelessness at all because she is born -- the child is
6 born in a country that assigns citizenship by virtue of
7 being born there.

8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, we aren't leaving
9 children uncovered whose mothers have not had a
10 continuous one-year residency in the United States, even
11 though that mother may be an American citizen.

12 MR. BROOME: That's -- that's right. My
13 point is that her child may have no risk -- face no
14 risk -- risk of statelessness at all, and -- and yet,
15 the statute still confers citizenship --

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I just said they do.
17 Because the mother can only pass on citizenship if she's
18 been in the United States continuously for one year
19 prior to the birth of the child, correct?

20 MR. BROOME: That's correct.

21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what happens to a
22 citizen mother who can't meet that one-year requirement?
23 What happens to her child?

24 MR. BROOME: That -- that child could be
25 stateless. That child is not --

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So there is a risk of
2 statelessness no matter what?

3 MR. BROOME: There is a risk of
4 statelessness, but that risk of statelessness is created
5 by these physical-presence requirements that Congress
6 chose to impose, whether it's the mother or the father.
7 The risk is greater with respect to the fathers. It is
8 lesser with respect to the mothers. But it is these
9 physical-presence requirements that create the risk of
10 statelessness, and therefore, this scheme cannot be
11 justified as seeking to reduce a risk of statelessness.

12 If the Court has no further questions, thank
13 you.

14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
15 Three minutes, Mr. Kneedler.

16 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF EDWIN S. KNEEDLER

17 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

18 MR. KNEEDLER: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.

19 First, on the merits. The provision here
20 furnished two substantial governmental interests. At
21 the time the child is born, and there is only the mother
22 that as a recognized parent, it is uncertain whether the
23 child will ever be legitimated.

24 Congress has a substantial interest in
25 conferring citizenship on that child at birth if it

1 concludes that there is a sufficient connection to the
2 United States.

3 Congress also has a substantial interest in
4 not divesting that child of citizenship if the child is
5 later legitimated by an alien father. So there are two
6 substantial interests that are furthered, and it is
7 precisely tailored to take care of those two interests.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But if you're concerned
9 about the stateless children in the world, then -- if --
10 if you have a problem with the father who can't transmit
11 his citizenship in a country where women citizenship
12 goes by who is the father.

13 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, it -- it -- if the --
14 if the father later legitimates, he's put in the same
15 position as if the -- if they were married at the time
16 the child was born. And we know from 1401 that that --
17 that that is a -- that that is a --

18 JUSTICE BREYER: But today there are --
19 today there are lots of fathers who do look after their
20 children. I don't say they do it perfectly, but they
21 try.

22 MR. KNEEDLER: No, but all --

23 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, suppose just the words
24 you said, take the same words, just put in "father"
25 instead of "mother," and today why is it any different?

1 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, it -- it isn't
2 different. I -- I just want to repeat again. When the
3 father legitimates, there are two parents. In *Lehr v.*
4 *Robertson* --

5 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm not talking about
6 legitimacy. I'm talking about the ones -- a surprising
7 number of people, unfortunately, never get married. And
8 a lot of them do live abroad, and they do have children.

9 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, certainly --

10 JUSTICE BREYER: So that's one focus. And
11 certainly your words applied where it is the mother.
12 And all my question is, couldn't you take those same
13 words and apply it where it is the father?

14 MR. KNEEDLER: No. I -- I think it's a
15 critical importance in citizenship laws to have a legal
16 occurrence in order to pass citizenship, and that's
17 legitimation. Your suggestion that you could -- that
18 the father could pass on citizenship without even
19 legitimation, which this Court basically sustained on
20 the --

21 JUSTICE BREYER: Doesn't (c) say that?
22 Doesn't (c) say that?

23 MR. KNEEDLER: Yes. But -- but this is a
24 question of remedy. And -- and to -- to -- but -- and
25 also *Lehr v. Robertson*, if the father filed -- filed a

1 notice and -- or filed a document and got notice of the
2 proceeding, he didn't get the veto power that the mother
3 had before legitimation. He just got to be a parent
4 too. And that's exactly what happens here when the
5 father legitimates. He's not put in the same position
6 as the mother because of two parents; it's a two-parent
7 family.

8 With respect to remedy, let me point out at
9 page 38 of our brief where the statelessness is
10 addressed. It's clear the interests that I identify,
11 that Congress wanted to ensure that the child would have
12 citizenship at birth and not be divested.

13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

14 The case is submitted.

15 (Whereupon, at 11:01 a.m., the case in the
16 above-entitled matter was submitted.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A				
a.m 1:15 3:2 57:15	administered 29:15	34:11	arguments 6:2 17:11 40:9	behalf 1:18,20 2:4,7,10 3:8 27:2 54:17
able 15:11,12 22:13 50:4,4	administers 29:4	answer 13:17 14:23 26:14 30:3,5 39:16	Army 20:20	Bell 27:25
above-entitled 1:13 57:16	administrative 37:9	43:23 44:2,10 45:14	Article 3:14	belonging 6:15
abroad 4:10 21:16,20 22:16 22:18 40:2 48:16 52:22 53:2 56:8	adopted 38:14	anybody 32:7 41:19 47:21	asked 30:14 48:2	benefit 11:7 22:19 23:21 26:8 33:18,19 33:21,24 34:2 37:21 45:5,6 47:18,20 48:2 48:4
absolutely 23:16 26:12 52:2	adoption 18:18	Anyway 51:5	asking 13:10,12 34:4	benefits 11:14 39:7 47:22
accept 13:10	advantage 4:7	apparently 41:22	assert 27:22	beyond 11:11,11
accepting 49:5	affect 31:11 32:7 32:8,9,20 34:5 38:14,15 39:10	appeals 30:9 51:11,14	assigns 53:6	biological 27:12 49:19
account 26:18 26:22	affiliation 6:13 16:20	appear 34:10	assume 31:23	birth 4:3,14,15 6:19 7:1,4,6,7 7:14 8:1,5,8,10 8:15 12:5 14:25 15:22 19:3 22:16 30:21 50:20 53:19 54:25 57:12
acknowledge 16:6	affirmative 18:21 49:21	APPEARAN... 1:16	assumed 37:8,10	bit 21:17 40:15
acknowledge... 49:16	age 5:3 14:17 42:8 52:3	applicable 31:13	assuming 28:17 48:25	board 29:9
acknowledging 49:22	ago 19:14	application 8:15	assumption 38:2 38:3	books 7:1 16:18
acquire 12:16	agree 23:3 45:9	applied 27:25 29:22 30:7 31:2,15 56:11	attachment 6:13	borders 37:6
acquired 50:20	ahead 24:25	applies 13:4 34:16,17 51:1 51:15,16,20,23	attendant 3:22	born 3:12 4:9,18 7:2 9:23 11:10 12:21 15:8 16:19,24 17:1 17:20 21:25 32:10 34:15,17 35:15,20,23,24 39:10 48:20 50:19 52:6,22 53:2,5,6,7 54:21 55:16
acquisition 14:25	alien 4:25 17:15 17:16 52:13,15 55:5	apply 8:22 20:6 23:15 24:11 31:1 32:5 41:18 50:16 51:17 56:13	Attorney 1:4 3:5	bothered 29:2
Act 4:1,17 10:1 10:2,3 14:24 32:8	alienage 19:18	approach 5:1 45:9	authority 3:14 24:11	Breyer 12:19 13:5,9,17,21 14:13,22 15:2 15:5,23 16:1 20:15 21:6,11
action 41:11	aliens 28:1,8	appropriate 41:1 44:7	automatic 26:20	
active 20:21	Alito 19:5,13,21 24:14,23 25:1 25:7 34:18 35:5,13,22 36:12,21,25 37:4,12	approximately 22:15	automatically 9:25 52:9	
add 33:21	allowed 40:19	argue 6:2 15:5	available 50:6	
address 9:4 18:14 19:13 24:13 29:18 30:13 45:25 51:24	Alito's 20:1	argued 39:3,4	awarded 19:9	
addressed 5:14 49:15 57:10	allegiance 5:5 7:18	arguing 6:7 37:14	aware 33:13 41:23	
addressing 27:20	amendments 16:5	argument 1:14 2:2,5,8 3:4,7 5:8,11,14,19 5:24,24 6:17 10:14 14:4,8 27:1 33:17 35:16,18 42:12 54:16		
adjudicate 24:22	American 12:22 12:22,24,24 14:18 22:4 27:17 53:11		B	
administer 29:7	amicus 29:1 50:1		baby 21:25	
	Angeles 1:20		baby's 21:25	
	anomaly 34:8,11		back 6:25 21:1 21:18,21 32:15 42:24 46:24 47:17	
			badly 25:19	
			balance 26:15	
			bars 27:10 52:2	
			based 18:4 27:11 28:2	
			basically 10:4 15:20 56:19	
			basis 17:13 18:11 28:1 33:10	
			bastards 36:16	

21:18,21 22:8 22:11 28:15 29:18 30:2 42:22 43:7,10 45:17,20,23 47:14,24 48:12 50:11,15,18 51:3,10 55:18 55:23 56:5,10 56:21 brief 7:11 11:24 12:4 13:18 20:23 29:2 50:1 57:9 briefs 13:23 brilliant 13:19 20:23 bring 25:8 Broome 1:20 2:6 26:25 27:1,3 29:18 30:6 31:14 32:1,5 32:13,18 33:1 33:4,25 34:3 34:10,22 35:2 35:11,17 36:1 36:23 37:2,17 37:22 38:1,16 38:20,25 39:14 39:18,21,24 40:5,7,12 41:2 41:12 42:1,15 43:6,9,16,23 44:4,9,24 45:13,19,22 46:3,9,14 47:2 47:10 48:11,18 49:7,12 50:10 50:14,17 51:1 51:7,9 53:12 53:20,24 54:3 brought 35:19 36:2,3 built 34:12 burden 42:5 44:13,19 49:17 50:3	businesses 22:4 <hr/> C <hr/> c 2:1 3:1 51:4 56:21,22 Cal 1:20 calibration 42:8 caption 8:6 care 26:8 55:7 carries 3:21 case 3:4,25 4:6,8 5:9 6:20 8:25 9:16 11:6 12:11 13:15 14:22 15:19 19:15 23:11 26:21 28:9 30:7,8,9,21 31:2 32:6 33:7 33:13,15 34:13 35:7 36:14 40:18 42:21 45:17,20,22 49:12,15 57:14 57:15 cases 4:11 6:21 6:24 7:15 16:13 17:7,12 20:10 23:11 31:1,24 33:18 35:7 45:25 46:4,20 categories 3:15 20:10 category 46:17 caused 32:22 centers 28:11 certainly 31:2 41:8 46:3,3 49:7 56:9,11 certificate 7:1,6 7:7 certificates 22:16 challenge 42:19 challengeable 49:11	challenging 13:8 13:10 14:10 49:8 Chief 3:3,9 5:8 26:24 27:3 42:11,16 43:20 44:6,10,25 45:8,14,24 46:4,6,10 47:3 47:10 54:14,18 57:13 child 4:13,18 5:5 7:2,6,13 8:7,11 8:17,17 9:2,12 10:12 12:12,17 12:21,21 14:16 14:18 15:19 16:6,9,23 18:17 34:15,17 36:4 38:9 40:2 40:3,4 44:17 44:19 48:16,16 48:17 49:2,6 49:19,22 52:4 52:6,7,8,10,14 53:2,3,4,5,13 53:19,23,24,25 54:21,23,25 55:4,4,16 57:11 child's 14:20 children 4:9 8:16 16:19 17:1,3,20,22 27:16 35:8,15 35:22,23 36:13 36:15,15 37:6 37:15,16 39:10 41:18 44:13 48:20 52:22,24 53:9 55:9,20 56:8 choice 23:11 chose 10:8 54:6 chosen 45:2 Circuit 19:10 citizen 4:19,25	8:8,20 15:7 27:5 39:11 41:6 43:2 48:16 50:22 53:11,22 citizen's 10:17 citizenry 18:10 citizens 4:18,23 28:9 38:12 citizenship 3:12 3:16,21,21 4:2 4:5,9,15 7:17 8:2,4 9:23 10:20 11:9 14:25 18:7,10 19:10 24:2,3,6 27:8,16 28:12 28:14 31:12,19 31:24 32:7,15 32:24 33:9,9 36:19 38:13 39:12,12 42:10 52:4,10 53:2,6 53:15,17 54:25 55:4,11,11 56:15,16,18 57:12 claim 7:17,18 11:6 17:14 18:6 25:9,13 25:13,16 26:19 27:23,23 35:18 35:19 36:2 39:12 claims 5:5 7:24 18:4 28:1 class 36:16 40:8 41:11 48:19 classes 31:17 36:9 Clause 19:7 claw 32:15 clear 4:11 23:5 45:1,14 57:10 clearly 20:6 close 21:12 closely 22:14	Code 16:23 come 12:9 18:12 21:12 29:22 31:3 39:12 49:20 comes 14:10 coming 20:25 compelled 23:6 compelling 23:7 23:8 competing 5:5 7:17,18,24 10:15 complaining 32:14 complaint 11:13 complicated 12:20 51:19 component 42:9 conceive 37:12 concerned 9:13 37:5 40:15 44:11 55:8 concerning 4:13 concerns 3:25 4:8 concludes 55:1 confer 3:12 9:25 45:5 conferral 3:21 conferring 54:25 confers 52:10 53:1,15 confident 10:19 confirm 30:4 confronted 42:16 Congress 3:15 3:17 4:21,25 5:4 8:12 10:4,7 10:8,16,19 11:18,19,22 12:2 14:11 20:12 24:3,12 36:12 37:4,8 37:13 38:7,24
---	--	--	---	---

<p>40:15,19,24 41:22 42:4,6,7 42:17 43:18,19 43:21,25 44:1 44:21,22 45:2 45:5,6,11,15 45:16 46:1,12 46:20,24 47:9 47:9,20 54:5 54:24 55:3 57:11 Congress' 24:11 36:22 37:1,2 39:1 45:4 47:4 47:8,13 48:11 congressional 46:11 connected 14:17 connection 3:19 4:22 5:7 6:3,10 9:9,10,20,22 14:9 15:15 55:1 consider 38:23 considered 40:21 42:13,17 consistent 23:2 consistently 42:4,4 constant 27:6 constitutes 11:16 Constitution 3:11,14 constitutional 17:17 24:19 26:3,19 30:14 constitutionally 23:6 context 6:22 16:14 18:2,16 23:24 24:21 44:22 continually 42:7 continuous 10:3 29:14,20 30:16 30:25 39:20</p>	<p>53:10 continuously 53:18 contrast 52:9 conviction 19:16 convictions 19:17,18,22,24 correct 19:19 33:11,14 37:22 40:5 42:15 53:19,20 counsel 26:24 54:14 57:13 counteract 10:18 country 7:18 9:2 53:6 55:11 counts 20:21 couple 8:14 14:10 15:18 18:25 21:1,2 21:22 48:7 couples 34:5 course 29:1 court 1:1,14 3:10 5:13,14 5:15 6:19 16:13 17:7 18:3 19:6 20:6 23:5,25 24:1 24:19,21 26:2 27:4,25 28:5 30:8,9,9,13,14 31:5,14,21 33:10,13,14 34:22 35:25 38:20 40:13 41:3,5,12,20 44:3,20,22 45:15 49:15 51:11,14 54:12 56:19 Court's 4:10,11 7:9 9:6 18:1,16 21:15 23:2 create 44:12,18 54:9</p>	<p>created 9:19 54:4 creates 52:22 criminal 19:15 19:16,17,18,21 23:19 critical 56:15 cure 32:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 3:1 D.C 1:10,18 date 4:3 14:25 15:21 30:22 42:2 day 9:24 31:4 34:14,14,16 52:6 days 29:24 30:1 dead 50:7 deal 4:4 23:22 dealing 23:18 40:25 deals 8:4 9:16 decades 45:16 decide 18:19 30:13 38:21 40:24 41:13 deciding 26:22 decision 7:10 9:6 18:1,16 21:15 38:15 decisions 12:12 12:17 16:9 deem 4:22 deemed 10:4 deepens 34:20 demonstrate 49:21 demonstrated 3:18 denied 39:11 Department 1:18 22:14 29:4 departure 30:22 Deputy 1:17</p>	<p>descent 27:16 destructive 45:4 determination 30:10 determine 3:15 determined 5:4 devise 34:19 difference 13:1 13:1 27:12 36:2 different 5:1,25 7:22 9:3,3 10:11 12:10 35:14 37:25 40:16,20 49:13 55:25 56:2 differential 5:20 27:18 difficult 33:22 47:2 direction 12:10 47:25 48:1 disagreed 28:5 discriminate 37:15 discriminated 33:10 discriminating 48:5 discrimination 13:2 14:2 18:4 25:17 33:7,15 33:15 35:1,3,6 35:8,15 36:7 47:16 52:20 discriminatory 32:22 42:2 dispute 27:21 28:10,11 52:21 dissenting 28:6 distinctions 16:19 District 30:10 30:17 divested 57:12 divesting 55:4 doctrine 32:4</p>	<p>document 57:1 doing 3:17 27:10 48:5 domestic 6:22 16:13 18:15 domiciled 12:13 dominant 46:22 Dominican 30:22 drafted 37:10 dramatically 31:18 drink 29:10,12 duties 3:22,23 duty 20:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>E 1:3 2:1 3:1,1 earlier 11:1 easier 16:5 20:2 43:7 EDWIN 1:17 2:3,9 3:7 54:16 effect 24:9 25:2 26:10 effectively 24:2 effects 32:22 33:11 eight 12:25 15:10 20:19 21:24 43:2 either 3:19 19:3 33:2 39:2 47:18 52:20 elections 14:19 eliminating 42:14 else's 32:15 emphasis 6:6 enact 37:9 enacted 4:2,17 12:2 42:3 endlessly 13:18 enjoyed 34:2 enlarged 46:18 ensure 5:25 27:13 57:11</p>
--	---	---	---	--

ensuring 27:15	exclusionary 24:21	father's 7:2 10:15	19:11	17:5,19,24
entering 18:10	exist 9:21	fathers 4:11	foot 29:9,12	18:6,24 24:5
entire 52:5	existed 17:20	11:3 17:2,21	footing 51:21	25:13,16 33:17
entirely 6:8	extend 26:7	20:7,10,11,12	footnote 7:11	34:1,5,7,25
10:24 11:20	35:24,25 45:6	20:17 22:19	foreign-born	37:19,23,24
45:3	extended 33:18	27:13 31:7	52:4	39:23,25 40:6
entitled 19:8	33:20	35:24 36:10	foremost 41:3	40:8 41:9
24:15	extending 34:1	39:7 42:5	formal 9:14	44:20 46:16
equal 11:16	34:23 39:6	48:22 51:16,21	forth 15:3	48:13 49:9
13:14 17:6,8	extends 34:20	51:23 52:23	forward 29:23	50:8 55:8
17:11,13 18:3		54:7 55:19	31:3 49:20	Ginsburg's 49:1
19:7 22:25	F	favor 37:16	found 20:24	give 13:18 23:20
25:8,21,24,24	face 5:12 6:8	feasible 26:23	26:2 32:17	32:24 42:25
26:7,8,12	11:25 15:16	Fiallo 18:2	46:7	43:2 47:19,21
27:22 28:7	53:13	27:25 28:4,5	framework 3:25	given 4:23 24:11
33:16 34:20,23	faced 40:13	figure 42:24	6:4	25:2,20 39:1
36:5,7 38:21	faces 53:4,4	51:5	fulfill 49:4	giving 8:15
41:3,13,15	fact 12:1 15:19	filed 56:25,25	fundamental	16:16 37:19,20
42:18 51:21	21:22 25:4	57:1	41:17	go 18:12 21:18
equalize 31:16	36:6,18 39:2	find 15:11,12	furnished 54:20	21:21 24:25
equally 25:19,23	fail 27:19	20:23 21:9,11	further 44:18	36:4 42:24
28:12	fairly 40:7 48:19	22:25 23:8	54:12	46:24 47:17
eradicate 36:7	Falls 29:10	45:17,20,24	furthered 55:6	goes 55:12
especially 6:25	family 46:22	46:8	future 41:16,18	going 15:23
ESQ 1:17,20 2:3	57:7	finding 47:7		18:18 29:21
2:6,9	far 22:20	finds 24:19	G	36:7 37:11,18
essentially 12:8	father 4:6 7:20	finish 44:25	G 3:1	38:5,8 43:12
18:22 40:20	8:7,11,19	finished 22:22	gain 38:18	44:15 45:24
establish 5:7	10:11 12:15,23	first 3:4 9:20	gender 10:24	47:5,5,18
establishing	15:9,17 16:5,8	14:7 15:15	11:13,20,25	Goldfarb 46:19
9:13,15	16:21,25 18:20	29:19 30:14	13:2 14:2	good 17:14
estimate 21:14	18:21,24,25	34:10 40:1	25:17 27:18	36:12
everybody 23:20	21:22 25:18,20	41:3 42:3 51:4	32:21 33:15	governed 32:8
26:8 32:15	25:23,25 26:21	54:19	35:1	government
evidence 30:19	27:5,7,23 28:5	five 5:3 10:8	gender-based	3:24 5:19 28:3
evident 5:12 6:8	28:12 30:15,20	five-year 49:4	44:23	30:18 33:5,8
15:16	32:9 34:14,16	49:17 51:13	gender-discri...	34:6 39:4
evidently 5:4	36:4 37:25	52:25	47:11	government's
exact 23:20	39:11 40:1	floor 37:14	general 1:4,17	27:18 32:19
exactly 8:9 12:1	46:18 49:1,5	Flores-Villar	3:5 4:15 20:8,8	33:6 40:9
18:2 57:4	50:3,4,7 52:3,5	5:9,11 6:3	30:4	41:17 51:25
example 10:25	52:7,14,15	19:15 41:23	generally 22:25	governmental
15:24 40:17	54:6 55:5,10	42:21	generations 6:25	54:20
50:5	55:12,14,24	focus 56:10	generous 8:21	governs 20:9,9
exception 9:19	56:3,13,18,25	follow 19:8 31:7	generously 8:12	grant 10:20
20:8	57:5	follows 18:9	Ginsburg 6:11	22:15 24:2,2
excising 24:6			6:24 9:1 16:15	24:20 51:18

granted 3:16 22:18 24:4 26:3 41:10 44:23	identified 9:7 21:15	47:13 48:11	31:22 32:3,6 32:12,18,23	41:21
granting 4:2,4,9 19:10	identify 7:11 22:13 57:10	interest 9:20 27:13,15 40:10	33:2,5,17 34:1 34:4,7,18,25	Kennedy 5:18 5:23 8:24 19:25 20:14 21:4 24:25 31:10,14,22 32:3,6 38:10 38:18,23 41:21 42:2
greater 5:6 54:7	identity 7:12	43:13 51:25	35:5,13,22	
grounds 5:21	illegitimacy 17:13 18:5	52:18,19 54:24	36:12,21,25	
group 33:19,20 33:20,24 34:2 34:2	illegitimate 36:17	55:3	37:4,12,19,23	
guardian 37:7 37:10 38:5 44:16	Illinois 16:23	interested 29:14 48:8	37:24 38:10,18	
guess 13:25 21:12 51:5	illustrates 25:14	interests 9:7 54:20 55:6,7 57:10	38:23 39:8,9	
<hr/> H <hr/>	immigration 4:1 18:2	intermediate 27:24 35:11 49:23	39:16,20,23,25	kept 20:25
hand 4:24 7:20	immunity 24:21 25:22	intestate 16:24	40:6,8,11 41:2	kind 23:17 36:18 40:22 41:11
handful 48:1	impact 31:18 38:8 41:19	involved 3:18 35:8	41:9,21,21	kinds 15:3
happens 53:21 53:23 57:4	implementing 47:4,8,12	issue 12:3 19:14 19:16,17 30:14	42:1,11,16,22	Kneedler 1:17 2:3,9 3:6,7,9 5:10,22 6:1,16 7:9 8:1,3 9:5 10:1,13,23 11:5,23 13:3,7 13:16 14:7,21 14:24 15:4,14 15:25 16:4,15 17:4,10,23 18:1,8 19:1,5 19:11,20,23 20:5 21:13,19 22:7,9,12,23 23:4,23 24:8 24:16 25:6,10 25:14,16 26:1 26:14 39:8 54:15,16,18 55:13,22 56:1 56:9,14,23
hard 20:20 21:14,14 47:1	importance 56:15	41:20 42:13 49:14	43:7,10,20	
harder 20:3 31:6,11	important 3:23 5:15 8:8	<hr/> J <hr/>	44:6,10,20	
Harlan 23:17	impose 14:11 54:6	judgment 40:19 40:23 41:7	45:8,14,17,20	
hates 47:20	imposed 51:11	Justice 1:18 3:3 3:9 5:8,18,23 6:11,24 7:25 8:24 9:1,18 10:10,22 11:12 12:19 13:5,9 13:17,21 14:13 14:14,22 15:2 15:5,23 16:1 16:15 17:5,19 17:24 18:6,24 19:5,13,21,25 20:1,14,15 21:4,6,11,18 21:21 22:8,11 22:21,24 23:8 23:17 24:5,14 24:23,25 25:1 25:7,13,16 26:5,24 27:3 28:15 29:18 30:2 31:10,14	45:23,24 46:4 46:6,10,16 47:3,10,14,24 48:12,13,25 49:1,9 50:8,11 50:15,18 51:3 51:10 53:8,16 53:21 54:1,14 54:18 55:8,18 55:23 56:5,10 56:21 57:13	
hear 3:3	impossible 32:14,16 52:16		Justice's 45:1	
heard 5:9 13:13	include 46:18		justices 28:6	
heart 11:13 13:14 36:5	included 28:4		justification 14:1 15:11 16:3	
held 31:21 50:20	independently 49:11		justifications 27:18	
help 43:12 51:10	indicate 38:11		justified 54:11	
hesitate 25:10	indicates 9:1		justifies 13:2	
higher 21:17	individual 3:23		justify 52:19	
Historian 50:1	inequality 11:13 32:13,16,25		<hr/> K <hr/>	
Historians 49:25	infancy 52:12		Kagan 7:25 10:22 11:12 22:21,24 23:8 26:5 32:12,18 32:23 33:2,5 39:8 40:11 41:2	
historical 37:3	inherent 16:24			
historically 36:15	innate 27:11			
holding 27:6 40:14	insofar 17:10			
Honor 52:18	intend 43:22			
hope 28:25	intended 38:24 45:5			
<hr/> I <hr/>	intent 36:22 37:1,3 45:4 46:1,11 47:4,8			
			Kagan's 14:14	
				<hr/> L <hr/>
				language 10:24

larger 22:20 34:2	lesser 54:8	LUIS 1:7	membership 18:11	N
Laughter 13:20 21:10 25:12 41:25 47:23 51:8	let's 21:18,21 46:10	Lynch 1:3 3:5	men 6:11 27:12 48:3	N 2:1,1 3:1
law 6:25 19:24 45:11	level 20:2,3 23:1 23:1,13,14,19 24:24 25:1	<hr/> M <hr/>	merits 54:19	name 7:3,3
laws 17:1,20 35:6 56:15	leveled 31:10 39:10	majority 7:15 20:9	million 21:19	names 7:7
learn 27:17	leveling 23:12 23:12 31:15 33:2,3 38:14 47:12,12	making 6:1,17 14:8	millions 48:3	nationalities 7:23
leave 31:8 32:21	leveling-up 40:23	man 6:14 43:2 46:21 47:19	mind 14:5,15	nationality 4:1 10:7 50:21
leaving 53:8	life 4:5 17:25 52:5,11,12	manner 11:8	mindset 46:25	natural 40:14
legal 4:13 11:2,9 56:15	limitation 20:7	marital 34:5 36:14 44:13	minimal 49:17	necessarily 7:5 19:8
legally 6:22 12:6	limited 40:8 48:19	markedly 5:1	minutes 54:15	necessary 31:23
legislation 16:17 16:21	lines 44:23	marriage 15:20 15:21 17:2 49:2 50:2	mixed 10:6,7	need 5:25 37:14
legitimacy 35:3 35:6,19 36:6 39:23 56:6	little 21:17 40:15 47:1 48:4	married 8:14,17 13:4 14:10 15:19,24 16:2 20:10,10 24:15 25:5,17,24,25 28:22,23 33:21 34:8,9 35:16 37:15 48:6 49:3 50:9,10 55:15 56:7	moment 4:13 6:19 7:4 8:1,2 8:10,14 12:4	needs 41:3
legitimate 52:24	live 12:13 15:9 21:23,24 29:8 43:1 56:8	marries 21:25 22:1 34:14,16 52:13	Morales-Sant... 1:7 3:5	neutral 10:24 11:20,25
legitimated 15:20 54:23 55:5	lived 9:23 12:23 12:24 15:2,8	marry 18:25 50:7	morning 3:4	never 15:24 21:25 22:1 28:8 29:12 47:12 56:7
legitimizes 7:21 8:7,12 12:15 15:17 19:4 52:7 55:14 56:3 57:5	lives 14:19	matter 1:13 8:25 23:19 29:21 43:17 54:2 57:16	mother 6:19,22 7:1,5,12 12:5 12:11,22 15:7 16:10,20,25 18:18 19:3 22:1 25:18,19 25:24,24 27:7 27:11 28:13 31:13 34:14 36:4 37:11,21 38:3,4 44:14 44:15,17 49:3 50:6,21,21,25 52:10,13,15 53:3,11,17,22 54:6,21 55:25 56:11 57:2,6	new 30:10,18 38:12,12
legitimizing 8:19	living 16:1 29:8	mean 6:13 13:18 14:22 17:10 22:24 32:12 37:12 42:11 43:11	mother's 7:3	Nguyen 5:13 6:20 7:10 8:24 8:24 9:6,12 21:15 49:14,15
legitimation 8:16 16:11 39:21 49:8,24 50:2,11,13 51:23 56:17,19 57:3	logical 36:20	meant 50:2	mothers 4:11 9:19 11:3 17:1 17:20 20:11 22:18 27:13 35:21,23 36:11 36:14 46:19 51:20 53:9 54:8	Niagara 29:10
legitimize 49:6	long 4:20 20:18	meet 48:15 53:22	move 47:24,25	nine-year 48:24
legitimized 49:2	look 5:15 7:25 8:2 45:10,15 46:12 47:8 55:19	members 37:13	moved 9:24	nonmarital 36:13,15 37:5 38:8
legitimized 10:11 50:25	looked 11:25			Northern 40:17
Lehr 6:21 16:12 18:16 56:3,25	looking 46:11,15			notice 57:1,1
lenient 31:12	Loretta 1:3 3:4			notwithstandi... 50:16,18 51:4
leniently 36:13	Los 1:20			November 1:11
	lot 12:19 29:2 43:7 47:21 48:15 56:8			number 20:25 21:15 22:19 48:13,14 56:7
	lots 45:25 55:19			numbers 20:22 21:5,7,8,16
	lowered 44:14			<hr/> O <hr/>
				O 2:1 3:1
				objectives 10:23 11:19
				obligation 3:24

<p>obligations 18:13 obtained 31:12 occasionally 40:13 occurrence 56:16 Ofar 18:9 offer 23:9 officials 37:9 okay 10:6 22:1 once 31:24 one-continuou... 51:18 one-year 20:7 29:3 34:17 48:23 53:10,22 ones 22:18 56:6 open 4:5 operated 12:1,2 12:7 operating 8:9 operation 5:16 opinion 20:16 options 31:8 oral 1:13 2:2,5 3:7 5:11 27:1 order 30:12 40:22 56:16 ordinarily 18:19 originally 4:1,17 outlying 30:21 outside 3:12 4:2 4:19 17:15,16 38:18 48:20 50:19 outweigh 10:18 overwhelming 7:15 12:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>P 3:1 page 2:2 57:9 parent 4:24,25 5:1,6 6:23 7:16 7:19 8:11 9:12 9:17 11:9 12:6</p>	<p>12:11 16:9,10 16:11 37:11 38:5,9 54:22 57:3 parents 3:20 4:18,20,23 6:12 7:21 8:17 8:21,22 10:5 10:14 11:3 12:18 13:4 14:20 16:7 24:14 25:4,17 28:22 33:22 34:8,9 35:9,16 37:7,15,16 41:19 56:3 57:6 part 3:22,24 24:6 particular 4:8 23:24 particularly 48:5 parties 26:21 41:4 party 23:13,15 26:11 pass 27:16 43:25 53:17 56:16,18 passed 11:2 37:4 43:22 44:1,8 45:11 46:1 passing 38:7 paternal 49:15 paternity 9:8 people 21:16 22:2,4 25:22 31:7,11,18,19 33:22 35:19,20 48:14 56:7 perfectly 55:20 period 4:21 10:6 10:8 20:19 30:24 40:19,23 48:24 permanent 18:11</p>	<p>permits 52:17 person 14:1 17:16 25:7 41:10 46:22,23 person's 25:4 persons 3:15,18 4:2 13:22,25 38:12 pertinent 12:12 Petitioner 1:5,19 2:4,10 3:8 19:8 25:2 54:17 Petitioner's 24:14 physical 38:6 42:8,19 44:12 44:18 physical-prese... 51:13,14,22 52:25 54:5,9 physically 50:22 piece 16:21 46:21 Pipeline 40:17 place 32:21 47:5 placed 50:3 plainly 27:23 45:5 plaintiffs 28:4,7 please 3:10 27:4 plenary 3:13 24:11 plenty 46:4 point 6:17 7:21 8:18 11:24 14:3,8 15:6 17:19 19:2 22:14 24:17,18 26:1 28:16,21 42:13 43:14 49:1 52:11,12 53:13 57:8 pointed 11:24 34:6 49:25 points 28:4 50:1 position 18:22 33:6 55:15</p>	<p>57:5 possession 30:21 possibility 37:13 40:22 possibly 20:16 35:3 potentially 41:7 power 18:23 57:2 powerless 33:11 33:14 practical 29:21 43:16 practice 23:2,4 precisely 55:7 precludes 42:9 prefer 40:16 preference 25:3 25:20 preferred 34:9 40:25 premised 7:11 presence 10:3 38:6 42:8,19 44:12,18 presented 11:21 presume 30:23 presumed 38:1 38:9 44:15,16 presumption 31:1 pretend 47:4,7 pretty 48:9 previously 39:11 primarily 5:20 principles 47:19 prior 53:19 Probate 16:23 problem 9:18 23:16,17 24:13 26:9 28:16 32:12 35:25,25 37:8 40:25 41:17 55:10 problems 25:14 proceeding 57:2 prong 9:20</p>	<p>proof 8:25 9:7 29:24 31:3 49:19 proper 24:10 properly 26:22 proposal 10:25 32:19 propose 34:4 39:6 proposition 7:12 9:3 12:4 14:11 49:5 prospective 31:23 32:4,6 32:19 prospectively 23:15 protection 3:23 11:16 13:14 17:6,9,11,13 18:3 19:7 23:1 25:9,21 26:7,9 26:12 27:23 28:7 33:16 34:20,23 36:5 36:8 38:22 41:4,13,15 42:19 prove 29:11,13 29:23 proved 26:11 provides 14:24 provision 8:4 31:13 42:3 51:4,16 54:19 provisions 4:4 6:9 purpose 39:1,2,3 39:4,5 purposes 39:5 pursuant 3:13 put 7:23 17:1,20 18:18,21 28:17 28:21 47:5 51:20 55:14,24 57:5</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>qualified 20:21 24:20 25:21</p> <p>qualify 20:18 21:23,24 29:11 38:12,13</p> <p>question 9:1 11:9,15,17,18 12:20 13:11,12 13:13,14,25 14:14 18:15 19:6 20:1 27:8 28:8 29:19 30:3,11 40:12 42:6 43:17,21 43:21,24,25 45:1,25 46:11 46:12 48:2 56:12,24</p> <p>questions 23:25 45:10 48:9 54:12</p> <p>quickly 26:15</p> <p>quite 9:3,3 36:11 36:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>R 3:1</p> <p>race 33:7,10,15</p> <p>racially-discriminatory 33:12</p> <p>raise 26:20 52:7</p> <p>raised 17:14 52:14</p> <p>RAMON 1:7</p> <p>rational 28:1</p> <p>rationale 16:16</p> <p>reaches 14:17</p> <p>reaching 5:3</p> <p>read 14:15 29:1</p> <p>reading 11:7</p> <p>real 9:15 15:10</p> <p>reality 17:25</p> <p>really 12:3 22:13 23:11 26:5 28:24 29:6 32:15</p>	<p>48:2 50:2</p> <p>reason 6:14 15:7 17:14 20:11 23:9 29:3 32:4</p> <p>reasons 15:15 23:7 24:1 36:13,20</p> <p>rebuttal 2:8 26:16 54:16</p> <p>recognize 48:16</p> <p>recognized 6:20 6:21,23 12:6 17:8 23:18 40:3 54:22</p> <p>recognizing 9:8</p> <p>record 37:3 39:1</p> <p>reduce 54:11</p> <p>reduced 42:4</p> <p>reducing 27:14 27:15 42:7</p> <p>refer 11:3</p> <p>referring 4:16</p> <p>reflexive 38:2,3</p> <p>regular 19:24</p> <p>rejected 18:3</p> <p>related 52:17</p> <p>relationship 7:13 9:12,13 9:15,16 28:2 44:19 49:19</p> <p>relative 28:3</p> <p>relevant 26:17</p> <p>relief 19:9 23:13 23:16 24:15,20 26:3,12 41:9,9</p> <p>remain 32:14,17</p> <p>remaining 52:1</p> <p>remanded 30:9</p> <p>remedied 43:19</p> <p>remedy 20:2 24:10 25:15 26:9,18,23 29:17 31:8,15 32:6,19,20 34:4,19 39:6 40:12,14,16 41:3,13,15,17</p>	<p>43:18 44:2,3,5 44:7 45:10 47:6 51:11,17 56:24 57:8</p> <p>remediating 47:11</p> <p>repeat 13:13 56:2</p> <p>repeating 14:3</p> <p>Republic 30:23</p> <p>require 9:22</p> <p>required 3:17 5:6</p> <p>requirement 14:12,14 29:3 34:15 43:1,3 48:22,23 49:8 49:10,14,16,20 49:25 50:5,12 51:13,14,23 52:25 53:22</p> <p>requirements 38:7 39:15,19 39:22 42:8,20 44:12,14,18 48:15 51:22 54:5,9</p> <p>reserve 26:15</p> <p>resided 4:20 5:2</p> <p>residency 5:6 10:6 14:11,13 53:10</p> <p>residual 33:11</p> <p>respect 4:12 5:13 6:12 8:6 18:15 29:17 49:18 51:21 54:7,8 57:8</p> <p>Respondent 1:8 1:21 2:7 4:6 11:7 17:15 27:2,8,22 32:9 41:6,6</p> <p>Respondent's 26:18 27:5 28:11 30:15,20 32:9</p>	<p>results 52:17</p> <p>retroactive 8:15 15:21</p> <p>review 27:21 28:1 35:10</p> <p>revised 4:17</p> <p>rid 11:12</p> <p>right 5:22 6:16 11:5 12:16,17 13:6 17:23 18:19 19:20,23 19:23 22:8 26:19,20 28:11 30:2 32:1,18 33:4,4,25 36:19 41:12 44:24 48:18 50:17 53:12</p> <p>rights 3:22 17:17 18:12,12 26:20 28:7 36:18</p> <p>risk 52:22 53:4 53:13,14,14 54:1,3,4,7,9,11</p> <p>ROBERTS 3:3 5:8 26:24 42:11 43:20 44:6 45:8,24 46:6,10 47:3 54:14 57:13</p> <p>Robertson 6:21 16:12 18:16 56:4,25</p> <p>role 18:22</p> <p>rule 8:21 12:5 13:3 20:8,9 22:25 24:12,21 30:16 31:6 33:23 51:18</p> <p>rules 4:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>S 1:17 2:1,3,9 3:1,7 54:16</p> <p>satisfied 30:15 39:14,18 49:17</p>	<p>satisfies 49:23</p> <p>satisfy 48:22,23 50:5 52:24</p> <p>saying 15:7 30:5</p> <p>says 8:7 29:4 50:16,18,24</p> <p>scheme 54:10</p> <p>scored 16:22</p> <p>scrutiny 27:24 35:12,14 49:23</p> <p>Second 19:9</p> <p>Secretary 11:1 11:21</p> <p>Section 27:9 28:14 39:3</p> <p>Security 46:20</p> <p>see 5:16 14:18 23:10 34:12 50:12,24 51:9</p> <p>seeking 28:2 54:11</p> <p>seeks 52:7</p> <p>seen 37:3 42:1</p> <p>sense 6:15 9:14 9:15 23:12 28:24</p> <p>sensibly 8:13</p> <p>separate 9:7</p> <p>separated 17:2 17:21 37:6</p> <p>separating 38:8</p> <p>series 17:7</p> <p>serious 12:3 23:25</p> <p>serve 27:14</p> <p>served 10:24 11:19 39:5</p> <p>set 4:16 6:4 29:9 29:12</p> <p>sever 45:3</p> <p>severance 45:10</p> <p>sex 18:4</p> <p>Sharar 18:9</p> <p>shot 16:19</p> <p>show 29:24 31:3</p> <p>shown 42:7</p> <p>shows 39:1</p>
--	--	--	--	--

significant 44:13 50:3	39:9,16,20 48:25 53:8,16	11:19,22,25 12:2 15:17,18	4:22 5:25 9:2 10:17 55:1	52:25
similar 19:14	53:21 54:1	15:20 16:18	sufficiently 10:19	ten- 51:13 52:25
similarly 4:12 6:12 19:2 25:3 25:22 36:9 49:18	sought 8:2 specifically 8:4 spent 5:19 52:5 52:11	17:17 19:6 24:7 27:10 32:22 33:9,12 34:12 37:5,10 41:20 42:18 43:18,22 44:1 44:5,8 46:2 47:11 52:2,9 52:16,21 53:1 53:1,15	suggestion 41:22 56:17 suit 25:8 support 40:3 47:20 49:10 supported 48:17 supports 45:18 suppose 38:10 38:10 43:3 55:23	ten-year 24:12 34:15 48:22 terms 19:14 27:11 28:13 47:6 text 5:16 th 30:9 thank 26:24 54:12,14,18 57:13
similarly-situa... 31:17	stake 28:8 standard 27:21 35:9	statutes 7:10 statutory 6:4 8:4 36:19 39:15,19 49:10	Supreme 1:1,14 sure 10:16 38:6 45:13 surprising 56:6 sustained 18:17 56:19	thing 13:22 15:13 22:10,12 29:13 37:20 things 9:5 11:5 12:20 23:23 27:6 29:16 think 5:12 6:8 6:14 7:9 11:6,8 11:17 12:20,20 13:7,14 14:4 18:9,9 20:5,6 20:12,15,16,17 22:15,16 24:10 26:17,21 29:20 30:25 31:5 34:22,24 35:3 35:18 36:4,9 36:21,23,25 37:2,17,20 38:4,16,17,20 38:25 39:25 40:6,8 41:2,7 41:16 42:5 43:6,8,9,17,23 44:2,9,9,24 45:3,14 46:6,8 48:19,24 49:12 56:14
simply 30:4 single 31:4 sired 40:2 48:16 sitting 43:19 44:22	standing 27:22 state 7:10 11:1 11:21 19:24 22:14 29:4 stateless 53:25 55:9 statelessness 5:21 6:7 13:22 13:24 14:1 15:13 27:14,15 39:5 52:19,22 53:5,14 54:2,4 54:4,10,11 57:9	stay 40:23 41:6 44:17 stayed 40:18 step 24:12 42:14 49:21	supposed 42:23 Supreme 1:1,14 sure 10:16 38:6 45:13 surprising 56:6 sustained 18:17 56:19	think 5:12 6:8 6:14 7:9 11:6,8 11:17 12:20,20 13:7,14 14:4 18:9,9 20:5,6 20:12,15,16,17 22:15,16 24:10 26:17,21 29:20 30:25 31:5 34:22,24 35:3 35:18 36:4,9 36:21,23,25 37:2,17,20 38:4,16,17,20 38:25 39:25 40:6,8 41:2,7 41:16 42:5 43:6,8,9,17,23 44:2,9,9,24 45:3,14 46:6,8 48:19,24 49:12 56:14
situated 4:12 6:12 19:2 25:3 25:22 36:9 49:18	States 1:1,14 3:11,13,19,24 4:3,19,20,21 4:23 5:2,7 6:4 6:10 9:9,10,17 9:21,22 10:20 14:9,18 15:16 17:16 27:6 29:8,23,25 30:20,25 31:4 43:1 48:21 50:23 52:5,8 53:10,18 55:2	STEPHEN 1:20 2:6 27:1 steps 9:11 18:21 stipulated 30:19 strange 44:21 strength 10:17 strong 25:8 structure 5:16 stuff 15:3 subject 27:24 35:7 submit 32:2 33:6 52:18 submitted 57:14 57:16 subordinate 46:23 subsection 50:16 50:19 51:5 substantial 24:1 54:20,24 55:3 55:6 succession 16:25 suffered 41:4 sufficient 3:19	T T 2:1,1 table 31:9 tailored 55:7 take 18:21 19:25 21:20 26:8 31:19 34:13 39:2 42:14 47:18,22 48:9 49:20 55:7,24 56:12 taken 4:7 12:3 14:4 26:22 31:25 talked 11:2 talking 5:19 16:17 25:21 40:7 47:6 48:19 49:24 56:5,6 talks 45:25 tell 7:5 30:6 ten 5:3 10:8 12:25 15:9 28:18,22 33:23	thought 5:18
situation 4:10 7:16,22,23 8:6 8:20,23 9:11 10:5,7,13,21 13:24 16:6,8 18:3,17,20 24:10 26:5,6 40:13,18	States-citizen 35:20 36:10,11 48:21 52:23 53:3 statistics 38:11 status 4:13 31:11 50:21 statute 3:16 5:12 5:16 6:9 8:5 9:21 11:1,7,15	statutes 7:10 statutory 6:4 8:4 36:19 39:15,19 49:10 stay 40:23 41:6 44:17 stayed 40:18 step 24:12 42:14 49:21	table 31:9 tailored 55:7 take 18:21 19:25 21:20 26:8 31:19 34:13 39:2 42:14 47:18,22 48:9 49:20 55:7,24 56:12 taken 4:7 12:3 14:4 26:22 31:25 talked 11:2 talking 5:19 16:17 25:21 40:7 47:6 48:19 49:24 56:5,6 talks 45:25 tell 7:5 30:6 ten 5:3 10:8 12:25 15:9 28:18,22 33:23	things 9:5 11:5 12:20 23:23 27:6 29:16 think 5:12 6:8 6:14 7:9 11:6,8 11:17 12:20,20 13:7,14 14:4 18:9,9 20:5,6 20:12,15,16,17 22:15,16 24:10 26:17,21 29:20 30:25 31:5 34:22,24 35:3 35:18 36:4,9 36:21,23,25 37:2,17,20 38:4,16,17,20 38:25 39:25 40:6,8 41:2,7 41:16 42:5 43:6,8,9,17,23 44:2,9,9,24 45:3,14 46:6,8 48:19,24 49:12 56:14 thinking 22:2 41:14 thinks 19:6 third 26:20 third-party 26:19 27:22
situations 12:14 24:19 26:2 slightly 12:10 smaller 33:20,23 34:2 Social 46:19 society 18:11 sole 12:16 18:19 Solicitor 1:17 30:4 somebody 29:22 50:19 somewhat 5:25 sophisticated 16:16 soporific 41:24 sorry 22:21 39:9 44:4 sort 44:25 SOTOMAYOR 9:18 10:10	States-citizen 35:20 36:10,11 48:21 52:23 53:3 statistics 38:11 status 4:13 31:11 50:21 statute 3:16 5:12 5:16 6:9 8:5 9:21 11:1,7,15	STEPHEN 1:20 2:6 27:1 steps 9:11 18:21 stipulated 30:19 strange 44:21 strength 10:17 strong 25:8 structure 5:16 stuff 15:3 subject 27:24 35:7 submit 32:2 33:6 52:18 submitted 57:14 57:16 subordinate 46:23 subsection 50:16 50:19 51:5 substantial 24:1 54:20,24 55:3 55:6 succession 16:25 suffered 41:4 sufficient 3:19	T T 2:1,1 table 31:9 tailored 55:7 take 18:21 19:25 21:20 26:8 31:19 34:13 39:2 42:14 47:18,22 48:9 49:20 55:7,24 56:12 taken 4:7 12:3 14:4 26:22 31:25 talked 11:2 talking 5:19 16:17 25:21 40:7 47:6 48:19 49:24 56:5,6 talks 45:25 tell 7:5 30:6 ten 5:3 10:8 12:25 15:9 28:18,22 33:23	thought 5:18

17:5 21:1,4,5 23:9 37:24 42:23 46:13 47:17 thousand 21:1,3 three 20:10 54:15 thrust 5:24 19:25 tie 10:19 ties 5:25 9:2 10:15,16,17 time 4:21 11:24 16:18 19:3,3 20:19 22:5 26:15 30:4 38:3 40:19,23 42:17 45:11 51:25 52:12 54:21 55:15 times 42:13 today 22:15 34:12 35:19 36:2 38:4 41:20 42:6,23 43:19 44:2 45:15 55:18,19 55:25 told 21:8 22:14 tougher 29:3,4 transfer 42:10 transmit 28:12 28:13 55:10 transmitted 27:8 transmitting 52:4 travel 21:16 treat 8:14 15:18 treated 8:17 25:18,23 28:12 treating 36:13 treatment 5:20 Trimble 16:22 trips 21:20 trouble 47:21 troubled 31:5	true 6:6 10:2 16:12 21:7 28:3 29:6 34:18 38:4 46:16,17,19 47:14 try 20:23 26:10 51:9 55:21 trying 21:8,11 41:15 47:13 turn 40:9 two 7:21 8:20 9:4,5,5,6,7,11 10:5,14 12:18 13:5,5 15:14 15:15 16:7,7 17:11 25:22 29:16 31:16 36:9 47:19 54:20 55:5,7 56:3 57:6 two-parent 57:6 typical 16:23 typically 4:12	24:6 28:17 42:25 43:4 uncovered 53:9 underlying 9:14 19:21 understand 8:8 45:9 understanding 44:7 unemployed 46:18,19 unfortunately 56:7 unilateral 16:8 United 1:1,14 3:11,13,19,24 4:3,19,20,21 4:23 5:2,7 6:4 6:10 9:9,10,17 9:21,22 10:20 14:9,18 15:16 17:16,16 27:6 29:8,23,25 30:20,25 31:4 35:20 36:10,11 43:1 48:21,21 50:22 52:5,8 52:23 53:3,10 53:18 55:2 unmarried 20:11,12,17 21:22 34:13 35:20,23,24 36:10,11 37:16 37:21,25 39:7 39:7 42:25 43:2 48:21 52:23 53:2 untold 21:5,7,8 unwed 10:11 25:19,23,24 35:9 unwed-citizen 9:19 use 20:22 42:23	v 1:6 3:5 6:21 16:12 18:16 27:25 56:3,25 values 6:13 27:17 vast 20:9 veto 18:23 57:2 view 52:16 Villar 5:18 violates 19:7 violation 11:16 17:6,8 23:1 24:20,22 26:3 26:7,9,12 33:16 34:20,24 36:5,5,8 38:22 41:4,14,16 47:7 virtue 7:14 53:6 Visas 28:2 votes 14:18 vulnerable 36:16	34:3,3 40:7 45:24 46:11 47:5,5,6 49:7,7 49:24 we've 32:17 37:3 wedlock 4:9 12:21 15:8 16:20,24 17:21 50:20 Wednesday 1:11 well-written 13:19 Welsh 23:18 went 13:22 30:17 weren't 22:5 Westcott 46:17 Western 30:10 30:17 withdraw 45:4 woman 6:15 42:25 46:22 47:19 women 6:11 27:12 55:11 wondered 22:9 wondering 40:21 word 29:14,20 42:23 50:13 words 19:10 23:14 42:12 51:4 55:23,24 56:11,13 work 41:8 working 22:4 world 55:9 worried 14:20 48:4 worries 28:16 worrying 29:16 wouldn't 21:24 23:3 34:7 35:1 35:2,17 written 11:18 wrong 14:13,13 wrongs 13:5
	<hr/> U <hr/> U.S 3:12,16 4:18 4:24 6:13,15 8:20 9:23 10:17 15:7 28:9 48:16 50:19,22 U.S.-citizen 5:1 8:21,22 10:5 20:7 22:18 28:3,5 52:3,10 U.S.-connection 40:10 51:25 52:17 U.S.C 4:16 50:12 uh 26:6 ultimately 30:18 38:20 41:12 unborn 41:18 uncertain 54:22 unconstitutio...	<hr/> V <hr/>	<hr/> W <hr/> want 15:5,6 28:20 29:5 44:17 46:24 50:7 56:2 wanted 10:16 18:14 20:12 38:5 40:20 47:15 57:11 warrant 3:20 14:2 Washington 1:10,18 wasn't 13:24 16:22 18:8 water 29:10,12 way 6:5 11:20 12:1,8 16:10 29:22 31:15,16 40:20,25 48:6 we're 6:1,16,17 14:8 16:16 25:20,21 33:13	

X	1900 30:21	9 1:11		
x 1:2,9	1919 30:23			
Y	1930s 11:2			
yeah 13:9,9	1940 10:2 16:17			
22:11 35:22	36:18,23,25			
year 10:3 12:23	37:4,13 42:3			
15:9 20:22	45:2			
21:2,3,23	1952 4:1 10:1,3			
28:19,21,23	16:17 20:18			
29:9 30:16,25	32:8,10 36:22			
39:20 43:1	37:14			
50:23 52:11	1970s 17:6			
53:18	1986 4:17 16:4			
years 5:3 12:25	32:10 42:18			
12:25 15:2,10	2			
15:10 20:19	200,000 38:19			
21:25 28:18,18	2016 1:11			
28:22 33:23	21 14:17			
46:12 47:9	27 2:7			
years' 43:3	3			
York 30:10,18	3 2:4			
Z	3,000 22:17			
0	365 29:24			
1	38 57:9			
10:01 1:15 3:2	4			
100,000 38:12	4,000 20:25 22:6			
11:01 57:15	40 44:21			
14 5:4 15:2	5			
28:17	52 21:18,21			
1401 4:16 55:16	44:21 47:17			
1401(a)(7) 51:12	54 2:10			
51:18,19	6			
1409 27:9 28:14	60 46:12 47:9			
39:3	7			
1409(a) 8:6	70 21:19			
50:14,15 51:1	70s 17:7			
51:3,15,15,20	8			
1409(c) 20:8	8 4:16 50:12			
22:17 45:3	8,000 22:15			
50:12	9			
15-1191 1:5 3:4				
17 13:23				
19 52:3				