1	IN THE CHODEME COHOT OF THE INTER OTATES
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	ANTOINE BRUCE, :
4	Petitioner : No. 14-844
5	v. :
6	CHARLES E. SAMUELS, JR., :
7	ET AL. :
8	x
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Wednesday, November 4, 2015
11	
12	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
13	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
14	at 10:51 a.m.
15	APPEARANCES:
16	ANTHONY F. SHELLEY, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf
17	of Petitioner.
18	NICOLE A. SAHARSKY, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
19	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on
20	behalf of Respondents.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	ANTHONY F. SHELLEY, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	NICOLE A. SAHARSKY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	27
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	ANTHONY F. SHELLEY, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	50
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (10:51 a.m.) 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument next in Case 14-844, Bruce v. Samuels. 4 5 Mr. Shelley. 6 ORAL ARGUMENT OF ANTHONY F. SHELLEY 7 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER 8 MR. SHELLEY: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it 9 please the Court: 10 There are at least three reasons why the 11 Court should adopt what the courts of appeals have 12 called the per-prisoner approach under PLRA 13 Section 1915(b)(2). 14 One, the per-prisoner approach best comports 15 with the statutory text. 16 Two, the per-prisoner approach is the only one consistent with the careful balance Congress struck 17 between deterring frivolous prisoner lawsuits and 18 preserving their right to bring meritorious ones. 19 20 And three, the per-prisoner approach avoids anomalies and disincentives that Congress could never 21 22 have intended, disincentives such as discouraging 23 prisoner work by taking one hundred percent of their 24 income month after month. 25 I would like to, of course, start with the

1 text. The key sentence is in -- the key sentence is the 2 second sentence of Subsection (b) (2). It says the 3 following: "The agency having custody of the prisoner 4 shall forward payments from the prisoner's account to the clerk of the court" -- singular -- "each time the 5 6 amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the filing fees" -- plural -- "are paid." 7 8 The combination of that singular, clerk of 9 the court, and filing fees, plural, indicates the 10 per-prisoner approach because it means one clerk is to 11 receive payments, even when there are numerous filing 12 fees. 13 Filing fees, the plural, appears in the --14 JUSTICE SCALIA: There -- there --15 MR. SHELLEY: -- in the statute exactly 16 twice. 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: Can't there be more than one filing fee for a single court? 18 19 MR. SHELLEY: No, there cannot, not in the 20 way Congress understood the term in the PLRA. If you look to (b)(1) and (b)(3), Congress creates it almost as 21 22 a term of art. A filing fee includes -- a filing fee, 23 with the word "filing" in front of "fee," a filing fee 24 includes all of the subsidiary costs to start up a case. 25 So a court of appeals, for instance, has a

Alderson Reporting Company

\$500 docketing fee and a \$5 statutory fee, and that's 1 2 referred to as a --3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So what happens if you 4 have -- the district court makes an order, there has to 5 be a filing fee? 6 MR. SHELLEY: In the district court? 7 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes, and then that fee is 8 not totally paid. In fact, the prisoner loses, so 9 there's a cost award in addition. And then it goes up to the court of appeals, where there's another filing 10 11 fee. 12 MR. SHELLEY: Right. 13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Does -- does -- on your 14 reading, does the court of appeals filing fee also have to wait until the district court filing fee is fully 15 16 paid? 17 There would be an MR. SHELLEY: Yes. initial partial filing fee that's paid upon the -- the 18 filing of the appeal. Under Subsection (b)(1) there's a 19 20 20 percent initial partial filing fee that would be 21 assessed against the prisoner. 22 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And that would be across 23 the board that you always have to pay. 24 MR. SHELLEY: Always have to pay that. 25 But the second filing fee, the one from the

Alderson Reporting Company

1 court of appeals, would line up. So the first filing 2 fee from the district court would be paid off first, and 3 then sequentially the court of appeals would be paid 4 off, but only 20 percent would be taken every month from 5 the prisoner. You wouldn't take 40 percent. 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: If he files enough cases, he's never going to have to pay for it, right? I mean, 7 you know, he files 20 cases, that 20 percent will 8 9 never -- will never come home to roost. What -- what's the disincentive for him --10 11 for his continuing to file cases? 12 MR. SHELLEY: Well, first of all, it would 13 come to roost back in 1995 when Congress enacted the statute because the filing fee for a district court case 14 15 was only \$120, and a court of appeals was 105. And so a prisoner who earned, say, 55 or 100 -- 50 or \$100 a 16 17 month, which is not outlandish given the small wages, could pay off a filing fee in a -- in a matter of months 18 under that. It's much higher now. 19 20 But to get to the rest of your question, 21 Justice Scalia, there are a number of other things in 22 the statute that work in tandem with (b)(2) to stop that 23 kind of behavior. So there's the initial partial filing 24 fee. He or she is always going to have to pay that. There's the three-strikes rule, which comes into being. 25

Alderson Reporting Company

\sim	or	•	- 1
υ	ffi	C1	al

1 There's the ability of the district court or court of 2 appeals to sui sponte dismiss under the PLRA those cases 3 that are frivolous.

JUSTICE SCALIA: All right. So -- so you say there are disincentives, but this was intended to be a disincentive. Was this not intended to be a disincentive?

8 MR. SHELLEY: It was and it is.

9 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm pointing out that it's 10 a very ineffective disincentive if the person keeps 11 filing a lot of cases.

MR. SHELLEY: Well, it's not an ineffective disincentive because it creates months and months more of additional income being garnished. So it lines up with the others. None of them were anticipated to be wholly barriers to filing a lawsuit. And this one, as with the others, creates a whole scheme where there are added disincentives.

But Congress also wanted to make sure the meritorious cases would be brought. And so it didn't want to be overwhelming and put -- and put the thumb too much on the scale to kill all of those cases.

JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Shelley, can we go back to the sentence that you're relying on, because I have to admit I don't understand your reading. The -- the

Alderson Reporting Company

1 sentence says -- and I'm taking out some unnecessary 2 words. It says, the agency shall forward payments to 3 the clerk, singular, until the filing fees are paid. 4 Now, that makes perfect sense if the filing 5 fees are all in one case, going to a single clerk. But 6 if you're right and what they mean is the filing fees 7 are in multiple cases, then it doesn't make sense to say 8 that the agency shall forward payments to the clerk. 9 The only way to make that sentence makes sense, if the 10 filing fees are in multiple cases, is for Congress -- is 11 for the statute to have read, the agency shall forward 12 payments to many clerks or to several clerks seriatim. 13 And that suggests not the per-prisoner approach, but the 14 per-case approach. 15 MR. SHELLEY: I think that's incorrect 16 because I think what -- what you should insert, if -- if

17 necessary, in front of the clerk of the court is the 18 relevant clerk of the court. So the prison officials 19 are going to distribute to the relevant clerk of the 20 court.

JUSTICE KAGAN: But then -- so that -- that seems right, to make the sentence work the way you want it to work -- and this is the sentence you are relying on. To make it work the way you want it to work, you have to add language to it.

8

Alderson Reporting Company

2 3 4 5 6 7	there's still there's one clerk of the court, and there's always going to be a clerk, because every case the filing fee is paid to a clerk; so there's always going to be the clerk of the court. And and in most cases in in all cases, there's only one filing.
4 5 6	the filing fee is paid to a clerk; so there's always going to be the clerk of the court. And and in most
5 6	going to be the clerk of the court. And and in most
6	
	cases in in all cases there's only one filing
7	cases in in all cases, chere's only one liting.
/	JUSTICE KAGAN: Yes, I'm going to say, you
8	know what, even as I look at that, even if you add that
9	language, it still doesn't make sense. "The agency
10	shall forward payment to the relevant clerk until
11	multiple filing fees are paid." It's just it
12	doesn't the two halves of the sentence don't connect
13	there.
14	MR. SHELLEY: Well, the filing fee's plural.
15	It it can't allow for the for the per-case
16	approach, the opposite approach, because filing fees we
17	know means more than one. And so it has to mean more
18	than one, because in (b)(1) and (b)(3) Congress used
19	this term of art to mean filing fee encompasses. It's
20	the thing that comprises all of the other fees.
21	And so I would say that the opposite side is
22	the one that cannot make any textual sense out of that
23	sentence, because there's never more than one filing fee
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>It it can't allow for the for the per-case approach, the opposite approach, because filing fees we know means more than one. And so it has to mean more than one, because in (b)(1) and (b)(3) Congress used this term of art to mean filing fee encompasses. It's the thing that comprises all of the other fees.</pre>

25 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, but Congress doesn't

Alderson Reporting Company

1 know that. Congress just thinks fees in a case, fees in 2 a case. So then it makes perfect sense. Give the fees 3 in a case to the clerk. But you're saying give fees in 4 multiple cases to the clerk, when it's not. It's give 5 fees to -- in multiple cases to multiple clerks. 6 MR. SHELLEY: It's give the fee to that 7 clerk that that clerk is owed until all the fees are 8 paid. You keep going back to a clerk until all the fees 9 are paid. So --10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Isn't -- isn't the statute drafted, though, that with a single-case focus, 11 that is, 1915 throughout, talks about an action? 12 13 MR. SHELLEY: Not -- not true. First of 14 all, the whole purpose of the statute was to deal 15 with -- with multiple filers. So the whole -- Congress comes into it with a purpose of dealing with individuals 16 17 who file many cases. But second of all, the three-strikes rule 18 assumes numerous cases. And I'd also point to 19 20 Section 1915(d), which has the statutory language, "The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process 21 22 and perform all duties in such cases." 23 So there are references to more than one 24 case in various plays. But even if it was written in a singular way, in a way to deal only with one case, that 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1 really doesn't prove anything against us. It just means 2 you got to look to other statutory construction tools, 3 because it would just say that there's going to be an 4 initial partial filing fee in every case. And there 5 needs to be installment payments in every case. 6 And we don't disagree with that. We agree that there are installment payments in every case, and 7 8 it's just a matter of when. And the statute doesn't 9 answer when, necessarily, right off the bat. 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But why is the initial 11 fee -- the initial partial fee, you say each time you 12 file it, you've got to pay that? Why shouldn't that go 13 the same way? 14 MR. SHELLEY: Well, the -- the two sections 15 are written differently. (b)(1) is very different from (b) (2). It's a different collector. One is the prison 16 The other is the clerk of the court. 17 official. One mentions filing fees, plural. The other only mentions 18 filing fee, singular. There's a \$10 rule in the 19 20 second -- in the second -- in (b)(2) but not in (b)(1). So they -- they are a little bit different. 21 22 But even if --23 JUSTICE BREYER: If you don't know -- I 24 mean, I can't get anything out of the second sentence, to tell you the truth, because I think that a prison is 25

Alderson Reporting Company

usually within a single district. And so when you're
 worried about a multiple filer, what he does is he files
 about 15 cases in the same court.

And -- and therefore, it's perfectly understandable why Congress would refer to multiple fees, because they are going to be like ten of them. It's the people they are really worried about are -- are what's at issue. So I can't get anything out of the second sentence.

10 It's the first sentence that -- that is, it seems to me, both your strength and your weakness. 11 12 There it talks about after payments of the -- the 13 initial partial filing fee. Okay. It sounds as if it's 14 talking about a case. And so what do we do about that? 15 I mean, there it is. "After the initial partial filing 16 fee, the prisoner shall be required to make monthly 17 payments of 20 percent," et cetera. That's where you have the word "fee." 18

MR. SHELLEY: Well, first of all, I don't think Congress necessarily had in mind a prisoner who is filing numerous cases in the same court, because if you look up to (a)(2), for instance, it talks about the prisoner having to file a statement of his indigency. And Congress talked about it needed to be obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the

Alderson Reporting Company

1 prisoner is or was confined. 2 And the government concedes that prisoners 3 are moved around all the time, and therefore, there are 4 numerous districts --5 JUSTICE BREYER: I don't mean it's 6 exclusive. 7 MR. SHELLEY: Sure. 8 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm just giving you an 9 explanation as to why they might have used the words "fees," even though they previously defined a filing fee 10 11 of \$350. 12 MR. SHELLEY: So then let's go to that first 13 sentence in -- in the statutory text in 14 Subsection (b)(2). We don't disagree that after a 15 partial filing fee is assessed, the installment payments will also start to kick in. It's just a matter of do 16 17 they line up or do they -- or do they come first. 18 So I don't think under that -- I don't think 19 (b) -- (b)(2) in that first sentence then would answer 20 the question. It -- under our theory, you also get a --21 you also get an installment payment after the payment of 22 the initial partial filing fee. 23 But --24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: In terms of one of your purposes, why isn't the government's concession that in 25

Alderson Reporting Company

no circumstance can a fee 20 percent be taken until -unless it's above \$10? Why doesn't that take care of your problem?

4 MR. SHELLEY: Because I don't think the 5 government's position is -- is statutory allowed. So if 6 you take a situation where, let's say \$11 was earned by 7 the prisoner the -- the previous month, and that's all 8 that was in the account. In that case you'd have to 9 take -- under the statute, you meet the statutory terms. There's \$10 in -- in the account, and you have to take 10 20 percent. "Shall" is the word. Shall take 20 percent 11 12 if there's over \$10. Well, there's \$11 in there. The 13 government would say, we're not going to take the 20 14 percent because it's going to send it under \$10. So that -- that can't work out. 15 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, what the 17 government -- I understand -- and they'll correct me -is I think they are saying that if you pay a -- the 18 initial filing fee, and you're down to \$8, they can't 19

```
20 take another 10 -- another 20 percent.
```

21 MR. SHELLEY: Sure. Well, that's --22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's how I understood 23 their concession. 24 MR. SHELLEY: So that was their alternative

25 position. But even that one won't hurt our purposes

Alderson Reporting Company

1	argument, because if you take a prisoner who now, let's
2	say, he has \$55 in income. And that's not outlandish
3	because if you earn 35 cents an hour and you work a
4	40-hour week, you have \$55. In that situation, the
5	first four encumbrances will take \$11 each, \$44, leaving
6	you at \$11. The last one is going to take the whole
7	amount, cause you have got a fifth encumbrance and
8	you're over \$10.
9	So under even this alternative approach, you
10	take 100 percent of the income every time the income is
11	more than \$55. Maybe I should go through that again.
12	Okay. So
13	JUSTICE BREYER: If you're clarifying it,
14	\$11, I guess you'd take a dollar. All right. I mean,
15	what's unclear?
16	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: If you're at 55, you
17	take 10.
18	MR. SHELLEY: The statute says 20 percent if
19	it exceeds \$10. So if you have \$11 in there after
20	the after the fourth one, you have to take the fifth
21	20 percent increment
22	JUSTICE BREYER: They can't administer it
23	that way. I mean, is there somebody who is
24	administering this that way?
25	MR. SHELLEY: Every circuit who has looked

15

Alderson Reporting Company

1 at it has described the per-prisoner approach as one 2 that as long as there's \$10 in the account, it's the 3 trigger. You can take the whole income. 4 JUSTICE BREYER: And they are really doing 5 that? 6 MR. SHELLEY: As far as I know, yes. Well, 7 the BOP says it is not. I understand the States, where 8 many of the prisoner are, are doing exactly that. And 9 we showed two -- we cited two district court cases where 10 they went over what the States were doing, and they 11 drain it down to zero. 12 I mean, the Newlin case says you can drain 13 it down to zero. Torres, Siluk. In fact, that's why 14 they -- they -- the -- the Second, Third, and Fourth 15 Circuits all got into this issue of -- of the 16 constitutionality or the purposes because they said, 17 look, prisoners are going to be left with zero. JUSTICE SCALIA: That's inconceivable to me. 18 19 I mean, what? Is -- is there a constitutional 20 requirement that prisoners be given income while they are in prison? 21 22 MR. SHELLEY: There isn't, I -- I don't 23 think. They are given the essentials, but the point of 24 it is, is that --25 JUSTICE SCALIA: Especially if the fact that

Alderson Reporting Company

1 they don't have any is their own fault, if they keep 2 filing baseless suits.

3 MR. SHELLEY: Well, but the -- you'd be out 4 of -- you wouldn't be filing baseless suits because you 5 got the three strikes, eventually. But Mr. Bruce, for 6 instance, had -- had six cases before he incurred his first strike, even. And so the -- the constitutional 7 dimension that the Second, Third, and Fourth Circuits 8 9 have all adopted goes to the point of you can't put 10 the -- the prisoner in the position of losing 11 100 percent of the income that he works to earn as -- as 12 the Hobson's choice to filing what may be a meritorious 13 case. They haven't said it's unconstitutional. Thev've 14 just said the statute leaves you scratching your head. JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, it -- it's not a 15 Hobson's choice if he forfeits all except \$10. That's 16 going to be the difference, whether he has \$10 in his 17 18 account or nothing. 19 MR. SHELLEY: Yes. Yes. And as this Court 20 has said, and as Congress said in 1892 when it enacted

21 the in forma pauperis statute, a man who has very little 22 cares about each penny. And in prison if you have \$6, 23 it makes a difference. It makes a difference that month 24 than if you had zero. It's a difference between --25 JUSTICE BREYER: Is there a way of

Alderson Reporting Company

1 interpreting the statute, which I think would make --2 I -- I would guess, not in every case, where this 3 multiple thing is likely to arise is where: Files a 4 frivolous case, it's dismissed by the district court, and then he takes an appeal. Okay? There we have two. 5 6 And so the question is -- he has to get the 7 filing fee. Then the next slot is going to be, like, 20 percent or 40 percent, right? But you should leave 8 9 him with \$10. 10 Given the purpose of the statute, which is to stop these frivolous suits, or at least make it a 11 12 little tougher, doesn't it make sense, say, what I just 13 said: Leave him with the \$10, but you can take the 14 40 percent: 20 percent for one court, 20 percent for the other? 15 16 Now, maybe what I've just said doesn't make 17 To me, it makes sense at the moment. But it sense. would -- it would both leave him with the \$10, but allow 18 the clerks to collect the 20 percent for the district 19 20 court, 20 percent for the Court of Appeals. 21 Is -- is that impossible to bring about? 22 MR. SHELLEY: I think it is, given the 23 statutory language. I think, given the fact that the 24 prison officials shall forward payments each time the 25 amount in the account is greater than \$10 doesn't allow

1 the prison official to say I'm going to use it as a 2 floor, as opposed to a trigger. 3 And while that might have been the way Congress could have written it, it did not. And given 4 the way Congress did write it, the best approach is that 5 6 per-prisoner approach that leaves some money to the 7 prisoner for things like phone calls. 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Actually, I -- I didn't 9 realize the back end of this. Forget about the 10 prisoner. I'm thinking about the courts. 11 Basically, you're saying the last court that 12 brings him over 10 -- the moment he has over \$10, the 13 court who gives -- no. That doesn't happen. The 14 court -- he pays a filing fee. He now has more than \$10 15 in the account. That court gets more money than the other courts? 16 17 MR. SHELLEY: No. They all get -- they all get 20 percent. The -- the way it should work is, is 18 there \$10 in the account? If there's \$10.01, you then 19 can take 20 percent, a 20 percent installment. Perhaps 20 another 20 percent for cost; that's a different issue. 21 22 But you -- for the filing fees you take a 20 percent 23 installment, and it goes down to, say, \$8 at that point. 24 That's the end of it under the -- under the per-prisoner 25 approach that we espouse.

19

Alderson Reporting Company

1 Under the per-case approach, the way the 2 courts of appeals at least have understood it, if there 3 is \$10.01 in the account and there are five filing fees, 4 that's 100 percent of the \$10.01 that goes. 20 percent 5 for each. 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That wouldn't be true for a Federal prisoner, because the Bureau of Prisons says 7 8 no matter what, the prisoner has to be left with \$10. 9 MR. SHELLEY: It's a matter of grace. It's 10 not set out in regulation. It's not set out in 11 quidance. It's --12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Do they know to the 13 extent to which the States are following that? 14 MR. SHELLEY: Yes. To the extent we've been 15 able to investigate that, we've cited two -- two 16 district courts in our reply brief. I think one from 17 Iowa and maybe one from another Midwest state --18 Maryland and Iowa. Those two cases, the -- the way the Court's decision reads, it indicates that they are 19 20 looking to see if there's \$10.01, and then they're taking -- they're going down 20, 20, 20, and 20. 21 22 And I would also note, if you look at Siluk, 23 the Third Circuit; Torres, the Fourth Circuit; and 24 Judge Easterbrook's decision in Newlin, they all talk about if there's more than the \$10, you take 100 percent 25

1 if there's five filing fees.

2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If -- if --3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Now, I don't see how 4 the Bureau of Prisons can do this as a matter of grace. 5 I mean, the statute says what it says, "shall." I 6 don't -- I don't know why think they can do -- they may 7 have their own views on what's good policy, but 8 Congress, it seems to me, has written the statute 9 exactly the way you say. 10 MR. SHELLEY: I agree. I agree with that. 11 The only possibility is that alternative approach they 12 say, where they stop after the first one goes below \$10. 13 But that one takes 100 percent of the income and helps 14 us in proving the per-prisoner approach, because in most 15 cases, where there's any -- any -- any job or wage 16 income being earned by the prisoner, you're going to 17 take 100 percent because the last 20 percent increment 18 will be above the \$10 threshold. 19 JUSTICE BREYER: You can interpret it the 20 other way, linguistically. You say, you forward the 20 percent each time the amount in the account exceeds 21 22 \$10. Well, the instant you write that check, you say, 23 it -- it does not exceed \$10, and therefore you can't 24 forward it. So you can't write that kind of a check. 25 I mean, isn't that literally --

	22
1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it exceeds \$10
2	until they cash the check.
3	MR. SHELLEY: I would agree with that.
4	JUSTICE BREYER: Well, now we're getting
5	into the technicalities of banking
6	(Laughter.)
7	JUSTICE BREYER: which so so I
8	I I think you can read it the way I suggested, if
9	you're not too technical about it. It seems consistent
10	with the language.
11	JUSTICE SCALIA: Does the Fed have a
12	position on this that that that Chevron requires
13	us to defer to?
14	MR. SHELLEY: No. No Chevron deference
15	here. There's no regulation. There's no guidance.
16	It's a statement in an adversary brief.
17	But, Justice Breyer, I think that there
18	are there are a number of machinations that can be
19	go that can be gone through in order to defend
20	this this idea or that idea, and the government comes
21	up with alternative ones that they're not even using to
22	try to deal with the plural filing fees, for instance.
23	But our approach is much simpler. Our
24	approach is you read the statute the way it the way
25	it states a "shall." You know, "shall the prisoner."

22

Alderson Reporting Company

1 You don't look to see if it's -- the payment's going to 2 be under \$10 afterwards, but look to see if it's over as 3 a trigger and then pay. In addition, you don't have a lot of other 4 5 problems with our approach. You just figure out which 6 one was first, which one was second, which one was 7 third. 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What happens -- I think 9 this -- this -- Mr. Bruce had multiple filings, right? 10 MR. SHELLEY: At this point, yes. 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes. It's something 12 like -- how many? 17? 13 MR. KIMBERLY: 19. But -- but the first --14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: 19. So it is --15 realistically, those later fees are never going to be 16 paid, because he's going to be released from prison 17 somewhere along that chain. MR. SHELLEY: First of all, Mr. Bruce didn't 18 19 incur -- the last seven or so have not been filed, essentially because he had had three strikes by the 20 12th. So there's really only 12 lawsuits we're -- we're 21 22 talking about that could have filing fees. 23 But the answer to the question, Justice 24 Ginsburg, of whether he's never going to pay those, again I would go back to 1995 and say Congress thought, 25

well, they could be paid relatively quickly if the
 filing fees were lower.

3 But you -- you touch on a question that is 4 unsettled in the lower courts, which is does the amount 5 come due at the end of when the prisoner -- when the 6 prisoner sentence ends? And the courts of appeals are 7 divided about that, with the Fifth Circuit saying, yes, 8 it does come due, and you have to come up with some kind 9 of a plan with each of the clerks to which you owe money, and you shall pay it off. Other circuits say it 10 is. It does come due, but it only comes due and you 11 12 have to pay it if you're not in forma pauperis at the 13 time the government seeks to collect it after you're 14 out -- out of release.

15 So I don't think it's correct to say, at 16 least as a matter of law, it's settled as to whether 17 these filing fees forever go away once the prisoner --18 prisoner leaves prison.

I had also started just talking about the difference -- the different problems of the per-case approach that ours avoids. And the, as I mentioned, the -- the per-prisoner approach allows you to line up the fees one after another. You just have to figure out which one was incurred first, second, third, fourth. But under their approach, what happens after the fifth

Alderson Reporting Company

1 one? You have five. Takes 100 percent of income. And 2 at that point, well, the sixth one becomes our approach. 3 If you have six, seven, eight, it then becomes the --4 the sequential approach that has all the problems they 5 say our --6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: I thought the 7 government's position was you can't get blood out of a 8 stone, so when it's 100 percent, that's it. Sixth, 9 seventh, eighth, we'll just have to --10 MR. SHELLEY: They stood in line. What --11 the government says that -- page 30 of their brief is 12 that that gets deferred. Deferred until one of them 13 gets paid off. So then you have to figure out, well, 14 one of them got paid off, so which one do you switch in, 15 and how much do you switch in? And it just -- the 16 problems start to multiply. 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: Why do you pick the earliest? I mean, all of them -- all of them are owed. 18 19 Why don't you pick the nearest court? MR. SHELLEY: Well, you could. You could 20 21 pick the nearest court; you could pick the last one, the 22 most -- the most --23 JUSTICE SCALIA: Right. 24 MR. SHELLEY: -- the most current. 25 But the way the courts of appeals have

Alderson Reporting Company

1	looked at it, they've looked at, in time, the first.
2	And I don't think the government disagrees
3	because, at least when you get to the sixth one, it's
4	they they treat that one as being deferred under
5	their approach, with the first five being paid.
6	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is he on the hook
7	for the filing fees here?
8	MR. SHELLEY: He is not. He is not.
9	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why not?
10	MR. SHELLEY: I don't understand the Court
11	to apply the PLRA for its cert petitions, for instance.
12	And in any event, I believe his filing fee was paid
13	on oh, he is he is subject to a filing fee in this
14	case, and he did pay it. But I don't understand the
15	Court to apply the PLRA to cert petitions.
16	One last point I wanted to make is that I
17	think it is often thought that a prisoner who has 12
18	filing fees or 10 filing fees is a recidivist prisoner,
19	but that's not the case.
20	As the the Second Circuit noted in the
21	Whitfield case, that single case produced five
22	encumbrances. It was a district court appeal, it was a
23	district court case, a Second Circuit appeal. There
24	were two cost awards from the district court and the
25	court of appeals, and there was a second appeal. There

Alderson Reporting Company

1	were five encumbrances in one single piece of
2	litigation. So these encumbrances can add up quite
3	quickly, and you can get up to five, seven, eight.
4	And that case, it was a meritorious case,
5	the Second Circuit said. It wasn't a frivolous case.
6	The prisoner didn't win, but it was it was a case
7	that wasn't, as as Justice Kagan used in the last
8	argument, it wasn't a laughing-stock case. These
9	were it was a meritorious case in the sense that it
10	was one that could be brought by any other American that
11	the prisoner that the prisoner brought.
12	So I'd like to reserve the remainder of my
13	time for rebuttal.
14	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
15	Ms. Saharsky.
16	ORAL ARGUMENT OF NICOLE A. SAHARSKY
17	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
18	MS. SAHARSKY: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
19	please the Court:
20	This statute is written from the perspective
21	of a single case. And what I mean by that is that for
22	each action or appeal, it provides a list of things for
23	the Court to do: To check for the affidavit of
24	indigency, to check for three strikes, and as relevant
25	here, to require full payment of filing fees on an

Alderson Reporting Company

1 installment schedule.

2	So what we're talking about here is
3	Subsection (b), which is the filing fee provision, and
4	it says, first, that an IFP prisoner has to pay the full
5	amount of each action or appeal, talking about a single
6	action or appeal, and then it sets out an installment
7	plan, which is an initial payment and a monthly payment.
8	So we're at the point where Petitioner
9	agrees that the initial payment is for each case, but
10	the he says that the statute somehow shifts
11	perspective to all of an inmate's cases when it starts
12	talking about the monthly payments.
13	And we just don't think there's any kind of
14	clear explanation in the statute that does that. We
15	think the burden should be really on him to show a shift
16	from a single case to all of the cases, and it wouldn't
17	make sense to do that.
18	I think Justice Scalia made the point in
19	this discussion that there just wouldn't be any marginal
20	deterrence if an inmate could file as many lawsuits as
21	he wanted and not make any additional
22	JUSTICE BREYER: You say the word "fees."
23	What about the word "fees"? Does that show?
24	MS. SAHARSKY: Right. What petitioner says
25	is that the word "fees," plural, always means more than

one case. And we know from the text of this statute that that's not true, because there are several places where Congress says "fees" and it's referring to a single case.

5 So for example, in Subsection (b)(3), 6 Congress talks about the amount of fees permitted by 7 statute for a civil action or an appeal. In (b)(1) it 8 talks about any court fees required by law for an action 9 or appeal. Similarly, in (a)(1) and (a)(2) it talks 10 about fees, plural, for a -- a single action or a single 11 appeal.

12 And to be clear, we think that in this 13 statute Congress used "fee" and "fees" somewhat 14 interchangeably in the way that people do out in the world; that people would say "attorney's fees," "an 15 attorney fee award," "fee shifting," "we're shifting all 16 the fees," that sort of thing, that that's just common 17 18 usage, and that the single/plural fees in 19 Subsection (b)(2) just does not provide the kind of 20 strong indication that would be necessary to overcome the real thrust of the rest of the statute, which is 21 22 that it's something that a court does for each of these 23 cases. It sets out this payment plan; it does each of 24 the other things that are set out in the statute. 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Saharsky, I

Alderson Reporting Company

don't -- I can't -- I'm not terribly persuaded by your 1 2 deterrence argument. I mean, no statute pursues its 3 purposes at every cost. And maybe Congress decided they 4 could -- they didn't need to take the last 23 cents an 5 hour the prisoner earned to promote the deterrence when 6 they have all these other anti-filing provisions in the 7 law. MS. SAHARSKY: Well, this is the only 8 9 provision that provides a financial deterrent. There 10 are other provisions, like the three-strikes provisions, et cetera. But this is the one, and this is in the 11 12 record, where members of Congress said it makes an 13 inmate stop and think: Is this lawsuit worth the cost? And in the amount that --14 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes, but Congress is 16 talking about, okay, they have to pay the filing fee. 17 Certainly Congress didn't focus, I wouldn't think, on whether it's -- well, I assume they didn't focus on 18 whether it's per case or per prisoner, because it's 19 20 really quite unclear. 21 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, we think that Congress 22 did make that decision when it wrote the -- the statute 23 from a perspective of a single case. Congress --24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I wanted to talk about that. I -- the first reading, two struck me as 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1 different than one, because in -- in one you're talking 2 about, oh, this is what the Court should do. But on two 3 you say this is what's required of the prisoner, and it 4 just says up to 20 percent. 5 Now I know one says required two, but it 6 immediately shifts its -- he's required to pay the whole 7 thing, and that's the important point. But -- but two, it says this is what's required of the prisoner, monthly 8 9 payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income. MS. SAHARSKY: Right. Towards --10 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: To me that seems to 12 be more a prisoner focus than a case-by-case. 13 MS. SAHARSKY: But it's -- it's linked to 14 Subsection (b)(1). This whole thing is talking about 15 what happens in a -- in a specific case. It starts by saying the -- "When a civil action or an appeal is 16 17 filed, you shall pay the full amount of the filing fee, and here's the way to do it." And Subsection (b) then 18 19 triggers -- Subsection (b) (1) then triggers 20 Subsection (b)(2). 21 We think Judge Shreenavossen got this 22 exactly right in the opinion for the D.C. Circuit where 23 he said that when you start reading (b)(2), it is 24 triggered from (b)(1), and it continues the per-case 25 focus that it's talking about, first we have an initial

31

Alderson Reporting Company

partial payment for one case, and then we have monthly
 payments to pay off the balance of that case.

3 And that's --

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm not sure that's 5 responsive to my point. I guess what I was saying is I 6 don't think it carries forward the case-by-case basis 7 because it speaks solely of what's required of the 8 prisoner in a way that doesn't address case by case at 9 all. I mean, that's why this is such a confusing 10 statute.

11 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, we think -- the reason 12 that we think it does, just to be clear as possible, is 13 because of the language that starts Subsection (b)(2), 14 which is, "After payment of the initial partial filing 15 fee" -- which is for one case -- "then the prisoner is 16 required to make monthly payments," which is to pay the 17 remaining amount because we know he has to pay the full 18 amount for one case.

19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Of 20 percent of the 20 preceding month's income. To me, I -- well, I would 21 think at least as reasonable as you're reading, to say 22 when you look at what is required of the prisoner, 20 23 percent of his income, that doesn't have anything to do 24 case by case, because what you would require, of course, 25 is that he pay 40 percent if there are two cases, or 60

Alderson Reporting Company

percent if there are three, or all of it, if it's above \$10. And I think he -- anyone -- someone looking at that may say, no, he's only supposed to pay 20 percent of his income.

5 MS. SAHARSKY: But this -- this part of the 6 statute, and all the parts of this statute, really, are 7 talking about the perspective of a single case. And we 8 think the 20 percent makes sense in that regard, because 9 as one member of Congress said -- and this was quoted in 10 the D.C. Circuit's opinion -- the filing fee of -- of 20 11 percent is small enough not to deter a prisoner with a 12 meritorious claim, but it's large enough to deter 13 frivolous claims or multiple filings.

14 So the 20 percent essentially leaves room 15 for multiple filings that you could apply at more than 16 one time when a prisoner has a second case, a third 17 case, a --

18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, yes, but he 19 doesn't get off the hook for the other ones. It's 20 just -- has to pay it further down, you know, it's --21 it's like a mortgage. I mean, you get 30 years, you 22 have to pay it further down. If you're on 15 years, you 23 have to pay it less.

MS. SAHARSKY: Well, I think -CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I mean, it's not

Alderson Reporting Company

Official

1 like he's getting off Scott-free.

2	MS. SAHARSKY: Right. I understand your
3	question, but I think that there are a couple of
4	problems with that. One is that there's nothing in the
5	statute that suggests that you would delay the payments.
6	And it doesn't make sense in terms of the statutory
7	purpose to delay them.
8	As Judge Easterbrook's opinion for the
9	Seventh Circuit said, if you want to have a
10	pay-as-you-go system where there's an immediate cost to
11	each individual case, the person needs to have an
12	obligation to start paying right away, not five years in
13	the future, or perhaps never.
14	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, he pays the 20
15	percent in every case right away.
16	MS. SAHARSKY: Right. But that's a one-time
17	payment, and it could be quite small. And that's very
18	different from having an ongoing monthly payment that
19	starts right away and that you have to pay.
20	But I do want to address another another
21	point that I think your question raised, which is are
22	prisoners ever going to be paying these amounts,
23	particularly where they have filed numerous cases like
24	the individual in this case. And I think the answer is
25	no, for two reasons. The first is a practical one. The

34

Alderson Reporting Company

1 second one is a legal one. 2 I guess I'll start with the legal one that 3 numerous courts that have considered this issue have 4 said that once a prisoner is out in the world and is no 5 longer a prisoner but wants to continue his case, he can 6 try to qualify for regular IFP status and no longer have 7 to make his payments. So potentially, he's not making 8 those payments. 9 And regardless of how you would decide 10 that legal --11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You agree with that 12 interpretation? 13 MS. SAHARSKY: It's not something that we've 14 taken a position on in this case. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Then I don't think 15 it's fair to rely on it. 16 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, then I -- I do think 17 it's fair to rely on it in light of the fact that no one 18 is collecting -- no one is -- there's not any 19 20 centralized mechanism to collect now. 21 And that's really the second point that I 22 wanted to make, which is regardless of how you would 23 resolve that legal question, and actually the reason 24 that the administrative office has not, so far as we know, taken a position on that, is because there are 25

1	serious practical problems to collecting.
2	One of those problems when you when
3	you look at the statute, Subsection (b) allows you to
4	take money from the trust accounts, so it's fairly easy
5	to collect while the individual is in prison. But once
6	the person is out in the world, courts each
7	individual court trying to collect filing fees that
8	are that the inmates the former inmate's on the
9	hook for is quite a difficult thing.
10	We have spoken with folks from the
11	administrative office who tell us that there is not now
12	any centralized system for trying to refer those
13	obligations for treasury, and I think you could imagine
14	how cumbersome that would be. So to think that
15	potentially paying five years down the road, or never
16	paying is any kind of marginal deterrent I think would
17	be wrong.
18	We think that Congress knew that there were
19	multiple filers out there, and that if they were going
20	to file more lawsuits, they should pay more. And just
21	to be clear about what Congress was saying here, no one
22	is precluded from going to court. All Congress is
23	saying is something very reasonable, which is if you
24	have funds available and you continue filing more

25 lawsuits and appeals, you should have to pay. You

Alderson Reporting Company

should have to contribute. And we think that that makes
 complete sense.

JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Saharsky, can I get back to the \$10 rule and the BOP policy with respect to this? I think it was the Chief Justice who said that he didn't really understand. And I share his lack of understanding about how the BOP is actually doing this, given the language of the statute.

9 The statute says, you know, "shall forward 10 payments each time the amount in the account exceeds 11 \$10." So that suggests to me that if you're looking at 12 an account with \$10 and 1 cent, you make the next 13 payment. And I understand from your brief that that's 14 not what the BOP is doing. And how can the BOP be not 15 doing that?

MS. SAHARSKY: Well, a -- a couple of answers. First of all, we think that that language that you -- you cited can be read multiple ways, as I think the discussion with Justice Breyer perhaps suggested, that it could mean a \$10 floor or it could mean that you start making payments at the \$10 and then go below the \$10.

The BOP has long-standing -- has taken the position, long-standing position, that you have a \$10 floor that is not in any kind of regulation or published

Alderson Reporting Company

guidance. The way that we do it is we have a database 1 2 that is basically for inmate trust accounts. It's just 3 an electronic system for having money coming in and out of the accounts. And we have had that \$10 floor in 4 5 there since that database was set up in 2001. But we 6 think the statute could be read both ways, and we think 7 it is more consistent with Congress's intention to leave the \$10 in --8 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes, that's probably right. 10 But how can it be read that way? Tell me how it can be 11 read that way. 12 MS. SAHARSKY: Right. 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: You're saying you can't 14 touch \$10. In -- in all cases, \$10 will always remain 15 in the account. How can you read it that way? 16 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, because when it says "exceeds \$10," I think you could -- you could read it as 17 that it can't -- kind of the flip side, that you can't 18 go below the \$10. Or you could say that you could start 19 20 at the --21 JUSTICE SCALIA: Just read the language. 22 Read the language. What -- what language produces that 23 result? 24 MS. SAHARSKY: Right. We think that it is -- when you say it exceeds \$10 that that means that 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1 you can't -- there's the flip side of it that you can't 2 go below \$10. But to be --

JUSTICE SCALIA: That doesn't follow. It --4 it says you can take 20 percent if the account exceeds 5 \$10. If the account exceeds \$10, you take 20 percent of 6 the account.

MS. SAHARSKY: Well, Justice Scalia --JUSTICE SCALIA: That's what it says. MS. SAHARSKY: Right. If -- if you -- we think that it can read both ways, but this is -- if you disagreed about that, we don't think that that issue resolves this case for a number --

13 JUSTICE BREYER: Anyway, why can't you read 14 it that way? You forward the payment each time the 15 amount exceeds \$10. Forwarding it consists of pressing 16 an electronic button. And so the instant you press that button, it does not exceed \$10. And there we have it. 17 So there is a way of construing the language which is 18 consistent with the obvious purpose to me, which is to 19 20 leave this prisoner with at least \$10.

21 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, that's what we think. 22 We think it can be read multiple ways. And so far as we 23 know about the experience, it has been read multiple 24 ways. There are cases in the courts of appeals that 25 have read it one way versus the other. To be clear

39

Alderson Reporting Company

1 though, these cases not ones that have gone through any 2 detailed statutory analysis, not even as much detail as 3 this Court's discussion at argument today, but have just 4 said in an offhand line either you can go below the \$10 5 or can't. They've kind of gone both ways on that. 6 And in terms of experience, we know from the 7 Second Circuit's case that's cited in the briefs, Whitfield, that New York did not go below the \$10. I 8 9 asked counsel of record for the States. The States filed a brief on our side of this case, and -- and the 10 11 counsel of record is the Solicitor General of Michigan. 12 He said that Michigan does not go below the \$10. My 13 friend on the other side has suggested --14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But does all of this by 15 all of the States, all of the prisons? Because your 16 adversary says that some are going below the \$10. 17 MS. SAHARSKY: I'm not sure that that's 18 true. He cites two cases. One is -- they're from Maryland and from Iowa. One of the cases said that 19 20 there was -- that it went below the \$10 in a -- in one case. But it didn't say that was the State policy. 21 22 I -- you know, I don't know if it was. 23 And then the second was an individual 24 accounting clerk who had gone below the \$10 and who had done it manually. So I don't know that that represents 25

1 any kind of policy either.

2 But if I could step back, I think it is not 3 necessary for this Court to resolve the \$10 issue to 4 resolve the question presented, because the question is should an inmate who files more cases have to make 5 6 monthly payments? 7 And just as -- and just parenthetically to highlight why the Court doesn't need to resolve the \$10 8 9 issue to resolve this case, this was not an issue that Petitioner briefed before the D.C. Circuit. It's not 10 anything that the D.C. Circuit's opinion addressed. And 11 12 it's not something that was in the certiorari petition. 13 So why --14 JUSTICE SCALIA: And that issue could come 15 up in the very first payment, couldn't it? If the guy has \$10 and 1 cent in his account, the very first 16 payment could raise that issue. 17 MS. SAHARSKY: Yes, that's true. 18 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: So it's not an issue 20 presented by the -- by the question of whether you use the per-case or per-prisoner approach. 21 22 MS. SAHARSKY: I completely agree. 23 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's a problem either way. 24 MR. SULLIVAN: However you resolve the question presented, that would still be a separate 25

Alderson Reporting Company

question, one that the courts of appeals have not
 considered in any level of detail.

The extent --

3

4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Saharsky, I 5 find -- I -- I think others may too. I find the issue 6 that's before us very difficult to resolve based on the 7 statutory language. Is there any doctrine tantamount to the rule of lenity in interpreting a criminal statute 8 9 that applies here? Because the thing that strikes me 10 most is the extreme harshness of your position. We're talking about earning 23 cents an hour, filing fees of 11 12 \$350, \$505. And the money is used to -- for what? 13 Phone calls to family and friends, stamps for letters, 14 and to buy books. And you're going to take the last, 15 you know, whatever so that someone who's in there for 20 16 years can't even buy a book? That seems very, very 17 harsh.

18 And you seem to be willing to interpret the ambiguity in the statute to save the last \$10, but 19 20 you're unwilling to interpret what I think at least I regard as the ambiguity in the statute to allow 21 22 prisoners -- I mean, they are prisoners. They're not --23 that's perhaps not entitled to any grace, but who in 24 some States earn 10 cents an hour. You're not willing to interpret that ambiguity in a way that allows them to 25

Alderson Reporting Company

keep that so they can pay for phone calls to their
 family.

3 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, a couple of answers. First of all, we think that this is not -- this -- this 4 5 question of a person having so little in their money --6 money in their the account only arises in the case that 7 you're talking about someone who has filed five or more lawsuits, which is not the ordinary case. I mean, it is 8 9 obviously a case to consider. But at least in the BOP's experience, the vast majority of people who have orders 10 owed are for one lawsuit, two lawsuits. Most of the 11 12 court of appeals' cases below are like that, et cetera. 13 Putting that to the side, of course, this 14 statute never prevents a prisoner from bringing a 15 meritorious case because of the safety-valve provision. 16 We also interpret the \$10 amount to leave money in the 17 account.

There's also, of course, administrative 18 19 remedies, which administrative exhaustion is required. 20 So that helps resolve any questions about making sure that claims are heard. And, in fact, the BOP does have 21 22 the discretion to allow phone calls, et cetera, to 23 indigent prisoner, also stamps, et cetera, et cetera. 24 So in terms of what you potentially perceive as harshness, this only arises in cases where an inmate 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1 is filing so many cases, that potentially a large 2 portion of his income used to pay filing fees. And 3 really that's --4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Just a thought. 5 Well, if you're there for 20 years, maybe you should let 6 them buy a book. 7 MS. SAHARSKY: Right. And this -- again, 8 this situation there -- I think most prisons actually 9 have libraries that books are available. And like the Court has had some cases about what libraries --10 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sure they are --I'm sure they are very good libraries, too. 12 13 MS. SAHARSKY: Well, just -- just to be 14 clear, you know, this is a situation that only arises in 15 the fairly atypical situation. We -- it's true that the 16 statute does not specifically address the -- the 17 situation of a prisoner with five lawsuits or six 18 lawsuits and orders et cetera. But we think the reason 19 that that is and that the Seventh Circuit suggested in 20 one of the early opinions after PLRA was enacted is because Congress thought that the three -- three-strikes 21 22 provision was going to prevent all of these lawsuits, 23 but it --24 JUSTICE SCALIA: Do a lot of prisoners buy books? I mean, does Amazon really make a lot of money 25

Alderson Reporting Company

1	off these people?
2	MS. SAHARSKY: I can't say, you know
3	JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't think
4	MS. SAHARSKY: the experience that we have
5	in this case, for example, might be good to highlight,
6	which is Pinson, the individual who has filed more than
7	a hundred cases gets regular deposits into his
8	account, had been using them to buy books and other
9	materials. Those are in the Joint Appendix starting on
10	pages 55, 56, et cetera. So it shows that prisoners are
11	able to even Pinson who has filed over a hundred
12	cases is able to to pay those amounts.
13	And just to just to clarify, I think the
14	Court is thinking of a situation of, you know, a
15	prisoner who has 10 cents of income or \$10 of income.
16	But we don't think that that is the typical situation.
17	Pinson's account at the time he filed shows that he
18	received an average of I think it was \$48 a month of
19	income. I have asked the BOP for statistics just
20	because I was curious about what is the median income
21	that's received, what is the median deposit. They said
22	that for the the past fiscal year, the median
23	median income an inmate receives is approximately \$120 a
24	month. So, in fact, with that, if an inmate has 20
25	percent that he's paying towards filing fees, he could

Alderson Reporting Company

1 pay them off at a reasonable rate. And we think that 2 that's just really a much better alternative from what 3 Congress intended.

JUSTICE KAGAN: But that does raise -- I mean, as Mr. Shelley says, using that exact \$50 number. If you have \$50 and you have five lawsuits, the \$50 is gone, assuming that the BOP's policy is not uniform and maybe is not statutorily authorized.

9 MS. SAHARSKY: Yes, I think that -- I think 10 that that is the implication of it, and we think that 11 that is what Congress intended.

12 I am not sure if the Court is interested in 13 such information, but we actually did go ahead and just 14 look in our database to see how many inmates have one 15 PLRA order that they're paying as opposed to five orders 16 that we're paying. And what we found, just checking 17 last week, was that inmates -- there are 944 inmates of the over 200,000 in the federal system who are paying on 18 one order, and only 60 inmates of the over 200,000 who 19 20 are paying on five or more orders or who had six, and then 42 inmates who have six or more orders. 21

22 So this actually is a -- a very small 23 problem, at least federally, and we would not want this 24 very small tail to wag the dog of this statute that is 25 clearly -- clearly intended by Congress to make sure

46

Alderson Reporting Company

1 that inmates who are filing frivolous lawsuits have a 2 financial disincentive to do so. And --3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Be clear about your 4 policy. And I think your adversary agrees. Under (a), 5 the 20 percent has to be paid on all five cases whether 6 the amount is above 10 or -- the amount in the account 7 is above 10 or below 10, correct? 8 MS. SAHARSKY: Correct. 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So those five cases 10 would always wipe out the \$10. 11 MS. SAHARSKY: The \$10 is not an impediment 12 to the initial partial filing fee. It is only in the 13 second part of it. That --14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So -- and so the \$10 15 floor starts once the account goes up -- you have to --16 a bottom. You have always \$10 in your account, but any 17 dollar that goes into your account after that, 18 20 percent has to be paid. 19 MS. SAHARSKY: Right. Be we think --20 assuming that it's over the \$10. But we think that -that there's a -- you know, that there's at least \$10 in 21 22 the account or would wait until there was \$10 in the 23 account. So if I'm understanding your question 24 correctly, we would wait until there was \$10 in the account as just a matter of --25

Alderson Reporting Company

	4
1	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But you would take
2	20 percent. Let's say there's \$10 and there's \$11.
3	The one extra dollar is paid to whom?
4	MS. SAHARSKY: To
5	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let's assume there's
6	five cases there.
7	MS. SAHARSKY: We would if we can't pay
8	all of the five cases, as we believe Congress intended,
9	we would pay the oldest lawsuit. The oldest and
10	and and I don't mean that by filing date. I mean the
11	oldest order that was
12	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Would take that entire
13	\$1?
14	MS. SAHARSKY: Yes. Because the statute
15	says to pay 20 percent per case, so we would try to do
16	the closest thing that we we could to that.
17	And as Justice Ginsburg said, you can't get
18	blood out of a stone. There comes a point at which, if
19	there's not funds, we can't pay. But as we understand
20	the statute, and we think it makes perfect sense in
21	terms of what Congress wanted, if you have money
22	available and you continue to file more lawsuits and get
23	orders entered, that you the inmate should have to
24	pay for them.
25	So at the end of the day, we think that the

Alderson Reporting Company

1 D.C. Circuit got it right, that both when you look at 2 the specific text of this provision, which is 3 Subsection (b) about filing fees but really the entire 4 statute written from the perspective of a single case, a 5 checklist for a single case, that Congress wanted 6 inmates who were filing more lawsuits to pay more. 7 And we think that that makes sense and that also -- and I want -- this is, I think, a partial answer 8 9 to one of the prior questions of the Chief Justice in 10 terms of interpretative canons, etc., that it's 11 consistent with what this Court did last term in the 12 Coleman case. 13 In the Coleman case the Court was 14 considering the three-strikes provision, but it 15 recognized that that provision is written from the 16 perspective of a single case, a single action, or appeal. You could get a strike for the action. You 17 18 could get a strike for the appeal. 19 And the same thing we think is true for the filing fees. You could owe the filing fees for the 20 action. You could owe the filing fees for the appeal. 21 22 And we think that that makes complete sense. 23 So unless there are further questions, we 24 would urge the Court to affirm the decision of the D.C. Circuit. 25

49

Alderson Reporting Company

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
2	Oh, you have four minutes remaining.
3	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF ANTHONY F. SHELLEY
4	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
5	MR. SHELLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
6	First of all, with respect to the the
7	hypothetical that Justice Sotomayor asked, in fact, I
8	think if the account had \$11 in it, the government's
9	position would lead to none of the clerks of the courts
10	being paid because the first payment would take the
11	the balance under \$10. So that seems, again, as a
12	number of justices have mentioned, other than
13	Justice Breyer, a perverse reading of the \$10 rule.
14	But I also want to take on the idea that
15	this is the only provision in the statute that provides
16	for a financial effect on the prisoner when filing a
17	lawsuit. No as the Chief Justice mentioned, the
18	initial partial filing fee applies in every case. No
19	\$10 rule. No minimum.
20	So that is a an immediate financial
21	incentive not to file a frivolous lawsuit because money
22	is going to go immediately. And if it doesn't go
23	immediately, it's a debt that gets paid sequentially,
24	much like the per-prisoner approach would adopt for
25	(b)(2). But (b)(2) then takes a longer-term point of

Alderson Reporting Company

view. (b)(1) is an immediate financial disincentive. (b)(2) is the longer financial disincentive month after month with the installment payments.

I also want to take on the idea that "fees" 4 5 and "filing fee" appear interchangeably in the statute. 6 They do not. Ms. Saharsky mentioned the word "fees," but "fees" unadorned with the word "filing" in front of 7 it appears differently than does the word "filing fees." 8 9 The plural "filing fees" appears in exactly (b) (2). And then in the cross-reference to 10 two spots: the cost section, I believe it's (f) -- in the (f) 11 12 section where it says that costs shall be charged the 13 same way as filing fees. They both use the plural "filing fees." 14

15 So this was purposeful. Congress used the 16 plural "filing fees" only twice. And that's because, I 17 think, they were talking about the -- the numerous cases 18 being paid to a single clerk.

And finally, I'd like to say, it has often been said that the measure of a society is not how it treats it's outstanding citizens but how it treats weakest.

Here there's no -- it's criminals. Here there's no real reason to pile on to prisoners with this additional onerous financial incentive when the other

Alderson Reporting Company

Official

1	aspects of the PLRA do the work of deterring frivolous
2	lawsuits along with the disincentive that's provided
3	under the per-prisoner approach.
4	We would ask that the Court reverse the
5	D.C. Circuit.
6	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
7	The case is submitted.
8	(Whereupon, at 11:41 a.m., the case in the
9	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
a.m1:143:252:8ahead46:13appears4:1351:8ability7:1allow9:1518:18,25Appendix45:9 $6:22$ 9:18,18 $11:15,16,20,20$ above-entitled1:1242:2143:22applies42:950:18 $11:15,16,20,20$ $13:14,19,19$ $28:3$ account4:4,614:8allows24:2236:3 $33:15$ $29:5,7,19$ $31:14$ $31:18,19,20,23,24$ alcowed14:5approach3:12,14 $31:18,19,20,23,24$ $32:13$ $36:3$ $49:3$ 14:1016:217:1842:25approach $3:12,14$ $31:18,19,20,23,24$ $32:13$ 18:2519:15,19alternative14:24 $3:16,20,4:10$ $8:13$ $50:25,25,51:1,2$ $51:10$ 37:1238:1539:446:216:119:5,6,25 $91:29:23:23:10:8$ $91:9:12$ $27:11$ 39:5,641:1643:6Amazon44:25 $20:12:11:1,14$ $91:9:23:25:37:3$ $91:9:23:25:37:3$ $91:8$ 43:1745:2524:21,22,25:25:224:21,22,25:25:2 $24:21,22,25:25:2$ $25:2:6:30:14$ $91:9:9:23:25:37:3$ $41:2$ accounting40:24 $37:10$ $37:10$ $39:15:43:16$ $37:10$ $39:15:43:16$ $92:45:23$ $91:12$ $38:4$ $28:5:29:6:30:14$ $30:10:42:25$ $38:2$ $38:6:43:2$ $42:26$ $44:24:45:8$ $38:4$ $32:5:29:6:30:14$ $39:15:43:16$ $38:2:2$ $38:3:2:6$ $61:19:7:7:16$ </th
ahili 111 - 111 - 111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 1111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 11111 - 111111
able 20:15 45:11,12 above-entitled 1:12 52:9allow 9:15 18:18,25 42:21 43:22 allowed 14:5 allows 24:22 36:3 42:25Appendix 45:9 applies 42:9 50:18 apply 26:11,15 33:15 approach 3:12,14 3:16,20 4:10 8:13 8:14 9:16,16 15:9bit if ed 41:10 bring 3:19 18:21 bring 3:10 48:16 bring 3:10 48:16 bring 3:10 48:16
above-entitled1:12 $42:21 \ 43:22$ applies $42:9 \ 50:18$ $11:10, 10, 20, 20, 20$ briefs $40:7$ $52:9$ allowed $14:5$ applies $42:9 \ 50:18$ $13:14, 19, 19 \ 28:3$ $52:5, 7, 19 \ 31:14$ $51:10, 10, 20, 20, 20, 20$ $51:10, 10:10, 10, 20, 20, 20, 20$ $14:10 \ 16:2 \ 17:18$ $42:25$ approach $3:12, 14$ $31:18, 19, 20, 23, 24$ $50:25, 25, 51:1, 2$ $51:10$ $20:3 \ 21:21 \ 37:10$ $15:9 \ 21:11 \ 22:21$ $8:14 \ 9:16, 16 \ 15:9$ $50:25, 25, 51:1, 2$ $51:10$ $50:25, 25, 51:1, 2$ $51:10$ $37:12 \ 38:15 \ 39:4$ $46:2$ $16:1 \ 19:5, 6, 25$ $20:1 \ 21:11, 14$ $19:9 \ 23:25 \ 37:3$ $31:4 \ 19:9 \ 23:25 \ 37:3$ $43:17 \ 45:8, 17$ $42:25$ $20:1 \ 21:11, 14$ $19:9 \ 23:25 \ 37:3$ $41:2$ $47:6, 15, 16, 17, 22$ $42:25$ $24:21, 22, 25 \ 25:2$ $41:2$ $47:23, 25 \ 50:8$ $38:4$ $825 \ 29:6 \ 30:14$ $37:10 \ 39:15 \ 43:16$ $38:4$ $28:5 \ 29:6 \ 30:14$ $37:10 \ 39:15 \ 43:16$ $31:16 \ 49:16, 17, 21$ $47:6, 6$ $37:10 \ 39:15 \ 43:16$ $31:16 \ 49:16, 17, 21$ $47:6, 6$ $27:8, 16 \ 30:2 \ 40:3$ $31:16 \ 49:16, 17, 21$ $45:12$ $50:3$ $3d \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2$ $45:12$ $50:3$
above cuntured 1.12 allowed 14:5 approach 3:12,14 15.1.5,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,19,
account 4:4,6 14:8 14:10 16:2 17:18 18:25 19:15,19allows 24:22 36:3 42:25 alternative 14:24 15:9 21:11 22:21 46:2 $33:15$ approach 3:12,14 $3:16,20 4:10 8:13$ $3:16,20 4:10 8:13$ $50:25,25 51:1,2$ $51:10$ bringing 43:14 brings 19:12 $32:13 36:3 49:3$ $50:25,25 51:1,2$ $51:10$ $37:12 38:15 39:4$ $39:5,6 41:16 43:6$ $43:17 45:8,17$ $43:17 45:8,17$ $47:6,15,16,17,22$ $47:23,25 50:8$ Amazon 44:25 $42:25$ $47:23,25 50:8$ $31:16 49:16,17,22$ $42:25$ $31:16 49:16,17,22$ $42:25$ American 27:10 $42:25$ $22:23,24 23:5$ $24:21,22,25 25:2$ $25:4 26:5 41:21$ $50:24 52:3$ $appropriate 12:25$ $approximately$ $45:23$ $Burce 1:3 3:4 17:5$ $23:9,18$ accounting 40:24 $38:4$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $31:16 49:16,17,21$ $45:12$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $47:6,6$ $45:12$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $45:12$ $27:8,16 30:2 40:3$ $50:3$ $Back 6:13 7:23 10:8$ $14:2$ C $C2:1 3:1$ C add $8:25 9:8 27:2$ $added 7:18$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $45:12$ $37:10 39:15 43:16$ $45:12$ $39:2 6$ $50:3$ $38:2$ $50:3$
actount 4.4, 9, 14.3 $42:25$ approach $3:12,14$ $3:16,20,4:10,8:13$ $3:16,20,2,2,4$ brings $19:12$ $14:10 16:2 17:18$ alternative $14:24$ $3:16,20,4:10,8:13$ $3:2:13,36:3,49:3$ $50:25,25,51:1,2$ $51:10$ $20:3 21:21,37:10$ $15:9 21:11,22:21$ $8:14,9:16,16,15:9$ $50:25,25,51:1,2$ $51:10$ $50:25,25,51:1,2$ $37:12,38:15,39:4$ $46:2$ $16:1,19:5,6,25$ $50:25,25,57:3$ $51:10$ $27:11$ $39:5,6,41:16,43:6$ Amazon $44:25$ $20:1,21:11,14$ $19:9,23:25,37:3$ $23:9,18$ $43:17,45:8,17$ $42:25$ $24:21,22,25,25:2$ $41:2$ $50:11$ $47:6,15,16,17,22$ $42:25$ $25:4,26:5,41:21$ $50:11$ $50:11$ $47:23,25,50:8$ American $27:10$ $25:4,26:5,41:21$ $50:11$ $50:11$ $accounting 40:24$ $amount 4:6,15:7$ $50:24,52:3$ $50:11$ $50:11$ $accounts,36:4,38:2$ $18:25,21:21,24:4$ $appropriate 12:25$ $baseless,17:2,4$ $38:4$ $28:5,29:6,30:14$ $argument 1:13,2:2$ $baseless,17:2,4$ $38:4$ $28:5,29:6,30:14$ $45:23$ $argument 1:13,2:2$ $31:16,49:16,17,21$ $47:6,6$ $2:5,8,3:3,6,15:1$ $38:2$ $add 8:25,9:8,27:2$ $amounts,34:22$ $27:8,16,30:2,40:3$ $basi,32:6$ $added,7:18$ $45:12$ $50:3$ $43:1,22$
18:25 19:15,19 alternative 14:24 3:16,20 4:10 8:13 50:25,25 51:1,2 51:10 37:12 38:15 39:4 46:2 16:1 19:5,6,25 51:10 brought 7:20 27:1 39:5,6 41:16 43:6 Amazon 44:25 20:1 21:11,14 19:9 23:25 37:3 41:2 47:6,15,16,17,22 42:25 22:23,24 23:5 41:2 balance 3:17 32:2 47:23,25 50:8 American 27:10 25:4 26:5 41:21 50:11 button 39:16,17 accounting 40:24 amount 4:6 15:7 50:24 52:3 appropriate 12:25 50:11 button 39:16,17 38:4 28:5 29:6 30:14 31:17 32:17,18 37:10 39:15 43:16 argument 1:13 2:2 50:3 basel 42:6 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 38:2 basis 32:6 basis 32:6 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 45:12 50:3 50:3 45:12 50:3 43:1,22
16:25 19:15,1915:9 21:11 22:218:14 9:16,16 15:915:0.25,25 51:1,227:1137:12 38:15 39:446:216:1 19:5,6,2551:1051:1027:3139:5,6 41:16 43:6Amazon 44:2520:1 21:11,1419:9 23:25 37:341:223:9,1847:6,15,16,17,2242:2524:21,22,25 25:241:250:1123:9,1847:23,25 50:8American 27:1025:4 26:5 41:2150:11barking 22:550:11accounting 40:24amount 4:6 15:750:24 52:3appropriate 12:2550:11button 39:16,1738:428:5 29:6 30:1431:17 32:17,18approximately45:23based 42:644:24 45:838:437:10 39:15 43:1647:6,625:8 3:3,6 15:127:8,16 30:2 40:350:363:12add 8:25 9:8 27:245:1250:350:350:350:350:350:3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
43:17 45:8,17 ambiguity 42:19,21 22:23,24 23:5 41:2 burden 28:15 47:6,15,16,17,22 42:25 24:21,22,25 25:2 50:11 burden 28:15 accounting 40:24 amount 4:6 15:7 50:24 52:3 50:24 52:3 50:11 button 39:16,17 accounts 36:4 38:2 18:25 21:21 24:4 28:5 29:6 30:14 appropriate 12:25 banking 22:5 burden 28:15 38:4 28:5 29:6 30:14 31:17 32:17,18 approximately 45:23 baseless 17:2,4 basically 19:11 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 amounts 34:22 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 50:3 basis 32:6 called 3:12 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 45:12 50:3 50:3 basis 32:6 bast 11:9 43:1,22
47:6,15,16,17,22 42:25 24:21,22,25 25:2 balance 3:17 32:2 Bureau 20:7 21:4 47:23,25 50:8 amount 4:6 15:7 50:24 52:3 balance 3:17 32:2 button 39:16,17 accounting 40:24 amount 4:6 15:7 50:24 52:3 banking 22:5 button 39:16,17 accounts 36:4 38:2 18:25 21:21 24:4 appropriate 12:25 approximately 45:23 44:24 45:8 38:4 28:5 29:6 30:14 31:17 32:17,18 approximately 45:23 argument 1:13 2:2 58:3 3,6 15:1 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 38:2 asis 32:6 C add 8:25 9:8 27:2 45:12 50:3 50:3 43:1,22 43:1,22
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
accounting 40:24 accounts 36:4 38:2 $38:4$ amount 4:6 15:7 $18:25 21:21 24:4$ $28:5 29:6 30:14$ 50:24 52:3 appropriate 12:25 approximately $45:23$ banking 22:5 barriers 7:16 based 42:6 baseless 17:2,4 basically 19:11 $38:2$ buy 42:14,16 44:6 44:24 45:8action 10:12 27:22 $28:5,6 29:7,8,10$ $31:16 49:16,17,21$ add 8:25 9:8 27:2 added 7:18 $31:17 32:17,18$ $47:6,6$ $50:24 52:3$ approximately $45:23$ argument 1:13 2:2 $2:5,8 3:3,6 15:1$ $27:8,16 30:2 40:3$ $50:3$ buy 42:14,16 44:6 $44:24 45:8$
accounts 36:4 38:2 18:25 21:21 24:4 appropriate 12:25 barriers 7:16 based 42:6 38:4 31:17 32:17,18 45:23 argument 1:13 2:2 baseless 17:2,4 basically 19:11 31:16 49:16,17,21 37:10 39:15 43:16 25,8 3:3,6 15:1 25,8 3:3,6 15:1 38:2 called 3:12 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 amounts 34:22 45:12 50:3 50:3 basel 11:9 43:1,22
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \hline action 10:12 \ 27:22 \\ 38:4 \\ action 10:12 \ 27:22 \\ 31:16 \ 49:16,17,21 \\ add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ added \ 7:18 \\ \hline action 10:12 \ 27:22 \\ 28:5 \ 29:6 \ 30:14 \\ 31:17 \ 32:17,18 \\ 37:10 \ 39:15 \ 43:16 \\ 47:6,6 \\ \hline amounts \ 34:22 \\ 45:12 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline added \ 7:18 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 27:2 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 11 \ 9:7 \ 42:13 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 11 \ 9:7 \ 42:13 \\ \hline add \ 8:25 \ 9:8 \ 11 \ 9:7 \ 42:13 \\ \hline add \ 8:1 \ 9:7 \ 42:13 \\ \hline add \ 8:1 \ 9:7 \ 42:13 \\ \hline add \ 8:1 \ 9:7 \ 43:1,22 \\ \hline add \ 8:1 \ 9:7 \ 11 \ 9:7 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ 11 \ $
action 10:12 27:22 31:17 32:17,18 45:23 based 42:0 based 42:0 28:5,6 29:7,8,10 37:10 39:15 43:16 45:23 baseless 17:2,4 baseless 17:2,4 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 2:5,8 3:3,6 15:1 38:2 bases 32:6 cliptical and 1:0 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 amounts 34:22 45:12 50:3 bases 32:6 bases 32:6 cliptical and 1:0
action 10:12 27:22 31:17 32:17,18 45:23 baseless 17:2,4 28:5,6 29:7,8,10 37:10 39:15 43:16 argument 1:13 2:2 basically 19:11 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 2:5,8 3:3,6 15:1 38:2 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 amounts 34:22 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 basically 19:11 called 3:12 added 7:18 45:12 50:3 basically 19:11 38:2
28:5,6 29:7,8,10 37:10 57:15 45:10 argument 1:15 2:2 basically 19:11 31:16 49:16,17,21 47:6,6 2:5,8 3:3,6 15:1 38:2 add 8:25 9:8 27:2 amounts 34:22 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 basis 32:6 added 7:18 45:12 50:3 basis 24:02 called 3:12
31.10 49.10,17,21 17.0,0 2.5,0 50.5,0 10.11 38.2 add 8:25 9:8 27:2,12 amounts 34:22 27:8,16 30:2 40:3 basis 32:6 43:1,22 added 7:18 45:12 50:3 50:3 bat 11:9 43:1,22
add 8:25 9:8 27:2 45:12 50:3 basis 32:6 43:1,22 43:1,22
added 1.10 analysis 40.2 arises 43.6.25 below 11.10, 20.2.4 canons 49:10
addition 5:9 23:4 analysis to 2 and the store to 0,20 benalt 1:16,20 2:4 conc 14:2
additional /:14 anomales 5.21 ++.14 2:/,10 3:/ 2/:1/ eproful 2:17
28:21 51:25 answer 11:5 15 are 122 51.15 50:4 50:4
address 52.8 34.20 25.25 51.25 51.25 and asked 10.5 15.15 Denavior 0.25
44:16 Defieve 26:12 48:8 perce 2:4 4:24 6:14
addressed 41:11 [110101011100 [uspects 52:11 12 15] 51:11 [51:11]
administer 15.22 2.5,5 5.6 50.5 association (association of the provide state of the provide
auministering whething one income the petter 40.2
15:24 antipated 7.15 assume 50.16 40.5 bit 11:21
35:24 36:11 43:18 Anyway 39:13 assuming 46:7 block 25:7 10:10 26:14,19,21,21,2 35:24 36:11 43:18 Anyway 39:13 assuming 46:7 board 5:23 27:4.45,6,80,21
43:19 appeal 5:19 18:5 47:20 book 42:16 44:6 27:4,4,5,6,8,9,21
admit 7:25 adopt 2:11 50:24 26:22,23,25 27:22 28:5 6 29:7 9 11 attorney 29:16 attorney's 29:15 44:25 45:8 28:9,16 29:1,4 30:19,23 31:15
adopt 5.11 50.24 2013, 5, 11 action of 52.10 44.25 45.8 22.1 2 8 8 15 19
adopted 17:9 31:16 49:17,18,21 atypical 44:15 BOP 16:7 37:4,7,14 32:12,8,8,15,18
adversary 22:16 appeals 3:11 4:25 authorized 46:8 37:14,23 43:21 32:24,24 33:7,16
40:16 47:4 5:10,14 6:1,3,15 available 36:24 45:19 33:17 34:11,15,2 40:16 47:4 5:10,14 6:1,3,15 available 36:24 45:19 33:17 34:11,15,2
affidavit 27:23 7:2 18:20 20:2 44:9 48:22 BOP's 43:9 46:7 35:5,14 39:12
affirm 49:24 24:6 25:25 26:25 average 45:18 bottom 47:16 40:7,10,21 41:9
agency 4:3 8:2,8,11 36:25 39:24 42:1 avoids 3:20 24:21 Breyer 11:23 13:5 43:6,8,9,15 45:5
appeals' 43:12 award 5:9 29:16 13:8 15:13,22 48:15 49:4,5,12 49:14,5,12 49:14,5,12
agree 11:6 21:10,10 appear 51:5 awards 26:24 16:4 17:25 21:19 49:13,16 50:18
22:3 35:11 41:22 APPEARANCES 52:7,8

				raye J4
case-by-case 31:12	20:23 24:7 26:20	comports 3:14	counsel 27:14 40:9	46:14
32:6	26:23 27:5 31:22	comprises 9:20	40:11 50:1 52:6	date 48:10
cases 6:6,8,11 7:2	34:9 41:10 44:19	concedes 13:2	couple 34:3 37:16	day 48:25
7:11,20,22 8:7,10	49:1,25 52:5	concession 13:25	43:3	deal 10:14,25 22:22
9:6,6 10:4,5,17,19	Circuit's 33:10	14:23	course 3:25 32:24	dealing 10:16
10:22 12:3,21	40:7 41:11	confined 13:1	43:13,18	debt 50:23
16:9 17:6 20:18	circuits 16:15 17:8	confusing 32:9	court 1:1,13 3:9,11	decide 35:9
21:15 28:11,16	24:10	Congress 3:17,21	4:5,9,18,25 5:4,6	decided 30:3
29:23 32:25 34:23	circumstance 14:1	4:20,21 6:13 7:19	5:10,14,15 6:1,2,3	decision 20:19,24
38:14 39:24 40:1	cited 16:9 20:15	8:10 9:18,25 10:1	6:14,15 7:1,1 8:17	30:22 49:24
40:18,19 41:5	37:18 40:7	10:15 12:5,20,24	8:18,20 9:2,5	defend 22:19
43:12,25 44:1,10	cites 40:18	17:20 19:4,5 21:8	10:21 11:17 12:3	defer 22:13
45:7,12 47:5,9	citizens 51:21	23:25 29:3,6,13	12:21 16:9 17:19	deference 22:14
48:6,8 51:17	civil 29:7 31:16	30:3,12,15,17,21	18:4,14,20,20	deferred 25:12,12
cash 22:2	claim 33:12	30:23 33:9 36:18	19:11,13,14,15	26:4
cause 15:7	claims 33:13 43:21	36:21,22 44:21	25:19,21 26:10,15	defined 13:10
cent 37:12 41:16	clarify 45:13	46:3,11,25 48:8	26:22,23,24,25	delay 34:5,7
centralized 35:20	clarifying 15:13	48:21 49:5 51:15	27:19,23 29:8,22	Department 1:19
36:12	clear 28:14 29:12	Congress's 38:7	31:2 36:7,22 41:3	deposit 45:21
cents 15:3 30:4	32:12 36:21 39:25	connect 9:12	41:8 43:12 44:10	deposits 45:7
42:11,24 45:15	44:14 47:3	consider 43:9	45:14 46:12 49:11	described 16:1
cert 26:11,15	clearly 46:25,25	considered 35:3	49:13,24 52:4	detail 40:2 42:2
Certainly 30:17	clerk 4:5,8,10 8:3,5	42:2	Court's 20:19 40:3	detailed 40:2
certiorari 41:12	8:8,17,18,19 9:2,3	considering 49:14	courts 3:11 19:10	deter 33:11,12
cetera 12:17 30:11	9:4,5,10,24 10:3,4	consistent 3:17	19:16 20:2,16	deterrence 28:20
43:12,22,23,23	10:7,7,8 11:17	22:9 38:7 39:19	24:4,6 25:25 35:3	30:2,5
44:18 45:10	40:24 51:18	49:11	36:6 39:24 42:1	deterrent 30:9
chain 23:17	clerks 8:12,12 10:5	consists 39:15	50:9	36:16
charged 51:12	18:19 24:9 50:9	constitutional	creates 4:21 7:13	deterring 3:18 52:1
CHARLES 1:6	closest 48:16	16:19 17:7	7:17	difference 17:17,23
check 21:22,24	Coleman 49:12,13	constitutionality	criminal 42:8	17:23,24 24:20
22:2 27:23,24	collect 18:19 24:13	16:16	criminals 51:23	different 11:15,16
checking 46:16	35:20 36:5,7	construction 11:2	cross-reference	11:21 19:21 24:20
checklist 49:5	collecting 35:19	construing 39:18	51:10	31:1 34:18
Chevron 22:12,14	36:1	continue 35:5	cumbersome 36:14	differently 11:15
Chief 3:3,8 21:3	collector 11:16	36:24 48:22	curious 45:20	51:8
22:1 26:6,9 27:14	combination 4:8	continues 31:24	current 25:24	difficult 36:9 42:6
27:18 29:25 30:15	come 6:9,13 13:17	continuing 6:11	custody 4:3	dimension 17:8
30:24 31:11 32:4	24:5,8,8,11 41:14	contribute 37:1		disagree 11:6 13:14
32:19 33:18,25	comes 6:25 10:16	correct 14:17 24:15	D	disagreed 39:11
34:14 35:11,15	22:20 24:11 48:18	47:7,8	D 3:1	disagrees 26:2
37:5 42:4 44:4,11	coming 38:3	correctly 47:24	D.C 1:9,16,19	discouraging 3:22
49:9 50:1,5,17	common 29:17	cost 5:9 19:21	31:22 33:10 41:10	discretion 43:22
52:6	complete 37:2	26:24 30:3,13	41:11 49:1,25	discussion 28:19
choice 17:12,16	49:22	34:10 51:11	52:5	37:19 40:3
circuit 15:25 20:23	completely 41:22	costs 4:24 51:12	database 38:1,5	disincentive 6:10

7:6,7,10,13 47:2	encumbrance 15:7	fairly 36:4 44:15	45:6,11,17	34:12 36:15 43:7
51:1,2 52:2	encumbrances 15:5	family 42:13 43:2	filer 12:2	44:17 46:6,15,20
disincentives 3:21	26:22 27:1,2	far 16:6 35:24	filers 10:15 36:19	47:5,9 48:6,8
3:22 7:5,18	ends 24:6	39:22	files 6:6,8 12:2 18:3	flip 38:18 39:1
dismiss 7:2	entered 48:23	fault 17:1	41:5	floor 19:2 37:20,25
dismissed 18:4	entire 48:12 49:3	Fed 22:11	filing 4:6,9,11,13	38:4 47:15
distribute 8:19	entitled 42:23	federal 20:7 46:18	4:18,22,22,23,23	focus 10:11 30:17
district 5:4,6,15 6:2	Especially 16:25	federally 46:23	5:5,10,14,15,18	30:18 31:12,25
6:14 7:1 12:1	espouse 19:25	fee 4:18,22,22,23	5:19,20,25 6:1,14	folks 36:10
16:9 18:4,19	ESQ 1:16,18 2:3,6	4:23 5:1,1,5,7,11	6:18,23 7:11,16	follow 39:3
20:16 26:22,23,24	2:9	5:14,15,18,20,25	8:3,4,6,10 9:4,6	following 4:3 20:13
districts 13:4	essentially 23:20	6:2,14,18,24 9:4	9:11,14,16,19,23	forever 24:17
divided 24:7	33:14	9:19,23 10:6 11:4	11:4,18,19 12:13	forfeits 17:16
docketing 5:1	essentials 16:23	11:11,11,19 12:13	12:15,21 13:10,15	Forget 19:9
doctrine 42:7	et 1:7 12:17 30:11	12:16,18 13:10,15	13:22 14:19 17:2	forma 17:21 24:12
dog 46:24	43:12,22,23,23	13:22 14:1,19	17:4,12 18:7	former 36:8
doing 16:4,8,10	44:18 45:10	18:7 19:14 26:12	19:14,22 20:3	forward 4:4 8:2,8
37:7,14,15	event 26:12	26:13 28:3 29:13	21:1 22:22 23:22	8:11 9:10 18:24
dollar 15:14 47:17	eventually 17:5	29:16,16 30:16	24:2,17 26:7,12	21:20,24 32:6
48:3	exact 46:5	31:17 32:15 33:10	26:13,18,18 27:25	37:9 39:14
drafted 10:11	exactly 4:15 16:8	47:12 50:18 51:5	28:3 30:16 31:17	Forwarding 39:15
drain 16:11,12	21:9 31:22 51:9	fee's 9:14	32:14 33:10 36:7	found 46:16
due 24:5,8,11,11	example 29:5 45:5	fees 4:7,9,12,13 8:3	36:24 42:11 44:1	four 15:5 50:2
duties 10:22	exceed 21:23 39:17	8:5,6,10 9:11,16	44:2 45:25 47:1	fourth 15:20 16:14
	exceeds 4:6 15:19	9:20 10:1,1,2,3,5	47:12 48:10 49:3	17:8 20:23 24:24
E	21:21 22:1 37:10	10:7,8 11:18 12:6	49:6,20,20,21	friend 40:13
E 1:6 2:1 3:1,1	38:17,25 39:4,5	13:10 19:22 20:3	50:16,18 51:5,7,8	friends 42:13
earliest 25:18	39:15	21:1 22:22 23:15	51:9,13,14,16	frivolous 3:18 7:3
early 44:20	exclusive 13:6	23:22 24:2,17,23	filings 23:9 33:13	18:4,11 27:5
earn 15:3 17:11	exhaustion 43:19	26:7,18,18 27:25	33:15	33:13 47:1 50:21
42:24	experience 39:23	28:22,23,25 29:3	finally 51:19	52:1
earned 6:16 14:6	40:6 43:10 45:4	29:6,8,10,13,15	financial 30:9 47:2	front 4:23 8:17
21:16 30:5	explanation 13:9	29:17,18 36:7	50:16,20 51:1,2	51:7
earning 42:11	28:14	42:11 44:2 45:25	51:25	full 27:25 28:4
Easterbrook's	extent 20:13,14	49:3,20,20,21	find 42:5,5	31:17 32:17
20:24 34:8	42:3	51:4,6,7,8,9,13,14	first 6:1,2,12 10:13	fully 5:15
easy 36:4	extra 48:3	51:16	12:10,19 13:12,17	funds 36:24 48:19
effect 50:16	extreme 42:10	fifth 15:7,20 24:7	13:19 15:5 17:7	further 33:20,22
eight 25:3 27:3		24:25	21:12 23:6,13,18	49:23
eighth 25:9	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$	figure 23:5 24:23	24:24 26:1,5 28:4	future 34:13
either 40:4 41:1,23	f 1:16 2:3,9 3:6	25:13	30:25 31:25 34:25	
electronic 38:3	50:3 51:11,11	file 6:11 10:17	37:17 41:15,16	G
39:16	fact 5:8 16:13,25	11:12 12:23 28:20	43:4 50:6,10	G 3:1
enacted 6:13 17:20	18:23 35:18 43:21	36:20 48:22 50:21	fiscal 45:22	garnished 7:14
44:20	45:24 50:7	filed 23:19 31:17	five 20:3 21:1 25:1	General 1:19 40:11
encompasses 9:19	fair 35:16,18	34:23 40:10 43:7	26:5,21 27:1,3	getting 22:4 34:1

Ginsburg 5:3,7,13	halves 9:12	45:20,23	interpretation	justices 50:12
5:22 10:10 11:10	happen 19:13	inconceivable	35:12	
20:6,12 21:2 23:8	happens 5:3 23:8	16:18	interpretative	K
23:11,14,24 25:6	24:25 31:15	incorrect 8:15	49:10	Kagan 7:23 8:21
48:17	harsh 42:17	increment 15:21	interpreting 18:1	9:7,25 27:7 37:3
give 10:2,3,4,6	harshness 42:10	21:17	42:8	46:4
given 6:17 16:20,23	43:25	incur 23:19	investigate 20:15	keep 10:8 17:1 43:1
18:10,22,23 19:4	head 17:14	incurred 17:6	Iowa 20:17,18	keeps 7:10
37:8	hear 3:3	24:24	40:19	key 4:1,1
gives 19:13	heard 43:21	indicates 4:9 20:19	issue 10:21 12:8	kick 13:16
giving 13:8	helps 21:13 43:20	indication 29:20	16:15 19:21 35:3	kill 7:22
go 7:23 11:12 13:12	higher 6:19	indigency 12:23	39:11 41:3,9,9,14	KIMBERLY 23:13
15:11 22:19 23:25	highlight 41:8 45:5	27:24	41:17,19 42:5	kind 6:23 21:24
24:17 37:21 38:19	Hobson's 17:12,16	indigent 43:23		24:8 28:13 29:19
39:2 40:4,8,12	home 6:9	individual 34:11,24	J	36:16 37:25 38:18
46:13 50:22,22	hook 26:6 33:19	36:5,7 40:23 45:6	job 21:15	40:5 41:1
goes 5:9 17:9 19:23	36:9	individuals 10:16	Joint 45:9	knew 36:18
20:4 21:12 47:15	hour 15:3 30:5	ineffective 7:10,12	JR 1:6	know 6:8 9:8,17
47:17	42:11,24	information 46:13	Judge 20:24 31:21	10:1 11:23 16:6
going 6:7,24 8:5,19	hundred 3:23 45:7	initial 5:18,20 6:23	34:8	20:12 21:6 22:25
9:3,5,7 10:8 11:3	45:11	11:4,10,11 12:13	Justice 1:19 3:3,8	29:1 31:5 32:17
12:6 14:13,14	hurt 14:25	12:15 13:22 14:19	4:14,17 5:3,7,13	33:20 35:25 37:9
15:6 16:17 17:17	hypothetical 50:7	28:7,9 31:25	5:22 6:6,21 7:4,9	39:23 40:6,22,22
18:7 19:1 20:21		32:14 47:12 50:18	7:23 8:21 9:7,25	40:25 42:15 44:14
21:16 23:1,15,16	<u> </u>	inmate 28:20 30:13	10:10 11:10,23	45:2,14 47:21
23:24 34:22 36:19	idea 22:20,20 50:14	38:2 41:5 43:25	13:5,8,24 14:16	
36:22 40:16 42:14	51:4	45:23,24 48:23	14:22 15:13,16,22	L
44:22 50:22	IFP 28:4 35:6	inmate's 28:11 36:8	16:4,18,25 17:15	lack 37:6
good 21:7 44:12	imagine 36:13	inmates 36:8 46:14	17:25 19:8 20:6	language 8:25 9:9
45:5	immediate 34:10	46:17,17,19,21	20:12 21:2,3,19	10:20 18:23 22:10
government 13:2	50:20 51:1	47:1 49:6	22:1,4,7,11,17	32:13 37:8,17
14:13,17 22:20	immediately 31:6	insert 8:16	23:8,11,14,23	38:21,22,22 39:18
24:13 25:11 26:2	50:22,23	installment 11:5,7	25:6,17,23 26:6,9	42:7
government's	impediment 47:11	13:15,21 19:20,23	27:7,14,18 28:18	large 33:12 44:1
13:25 14:5 25:7	implication 46:10	28:1,6 51:3	28:22 29:25 30:15	laughing-stock
50:8	important 31:7	instance 4:25 12:22	30:24 31:11 32:4	27:8
grace 20:9 21:4	impossible 18:21	17:6 22:22 26:11	32:19 33:18,25	Laughter 22:6
42:23	incentive 50:21	instant 21:22 39:16	34:14 35:11,15	law 24:16 29:8 30:7
greater 18:25	51:25	intended 3:22 7:5,6	37:3,5,19 38:9,13	lawsuit 7:16 30:13
guess 15:14 18:2	includes 4:22,24	46:3,11,25 48:8	38:21 39:3,7,8,13	43:11 48:9 50:17
32:5 35:2	income 3:24 7:14	intention 38:7	40:14 41:14,19,23	50:21
guidance 20:11	15:2,10,10 16:3	interchangeably	42:4 44:4,11,24	lawsuits 3:18 23:21
22:15 38:1	16:20 17:11 21:13	29:14 51:5	45:3 46:4 47:3,9	28:20 36:20,25
guy 41:15	21:16 25:1 31:9	interested 46:12	47:14 48:1,5,12	43:8,11 44:17,18
	32:20,23 33:4	interpret 21:19	48:17 49:9 50:1,5	44:22 46:6 47:1
<u> </u>	44:2 45:15,15,19	42:18,20,25 43:16	50:7,13,17 52:6	48:22 49:6 52:2
L				

				rage 57
lead 50:9	majority 43:10	24:10 36:4 38:3	0	P 3:1
leave 18:8,13,18	making 35:7 37:21	42:12 43:5,6,16	O 2:1 3:1	page 2:2 25:11
38:7 39:20 43:16	43:20	44:25 48:21 50:21	obligation 34:12	pages 45:10
leaves 17:14 19:6	man 17:21	month 3:24,24 6:4	obligations 36:13	paid 4:7 5:8,16,18
24:18 33:14	manually 40:25	6:17 14:7 17:23	obtained 12:24	6:2,3 8:3 9:4,11
leaving 15:5	marginal 28:19	45:18,24 51:2,3	obvious 39:19	10:8,9 23:16 24:1
left 16:17 20:8	36:16	month's 31:9 32:20	obviously 43:9	25:13,14 26:5,12
legal 35:1,2,10,23	Maryland 20:18	monthly 12:16 28:7	offhand 40:4	47:5,18 48:3
lenity 42:8	40:19	28:12 31:8 32:1	office 35:24 36:11	50:10,23 51:18
let's 13:12 14:6	materials 45:9	32:16 34:18 41:6	officers 10:21	parenthetically
15:1 48:2,5	matter 1:12 6:18	months 6:18 7:13	official 11:17 12:25	41:7
letters 42:13	11:8 13:16 20:8,9	7:13	19:1	part 33:5 47:13
level 42:2	21:4 24:16 47:25	mortgage 33:21	officials 8:18 18:24	partial 5:18,20
libraries 44:9,10,12	52:9	moved 13:3	oh 26:13 31:2 50:2	6:23 11:4,11
light 35:18	mean 6:7 8:6 9:17	multiple 8:7,10	okay 12:13 15:12	12:13,15 13:15,22
line 6:1 13:17 24:22	9:19 11:24 12:15	9:11 10:4,5,5,15	18:5 30:16	32:1,14 47:12
25:10 40:4	13:5 15:14,23	12:2,5 18:3 23:9	oldest 48:9,9,11	49:8 50:18
lines 7:14	16:12,19 21:5,25	33:13,15 36:19	once 24:17 35:4	particularly 34:23
linguistically 21:20	25:18 27:21 30:2	37:18 39:22,23	36:5 47:15	parts 33:6
linked 31:13	32:9 33:21,25	multiply 25:16	one-time 34:16	pauperis 17:21
list 27:22	37:20,20 42:22		onerous 51:25	24:12
literally 21:25	43:8 44:25 46:5	N	ones 3:19 22:21	pay 5:23,24 6:7,18
litigation 27:2	48:10,10	N 2:1,1 3:1	33:19 40:1	6:24 11:12 14:18
little 11:21 17:21	means 4:10 9:17	nearest 25:19,21	ongoing 34:18	23:3,24 24:10,12
18:12 43:5	11:1 28:25 38:25	necessarily 11:9	opinion 31:22	26:14 28:4 30:16
long 16:2	measure 51:20	12:20	33:10 34:8 41:11	31:6,17 32:2,16
long-standing	mechanism 35:20	necessary 8:17	opinions 44:20	32:17,25 33:3,20
37:23,24	median 45:20,21	29:20 41:3	opposed 19:2 46:15	33:22,23 34:19
longer 35:5,6 51:2	45:22,23	need 30:4 41:8	opposite 9:16,21	36:20,25 43:1
longer-term 50:25	meet 14:9	needed 12:24	oral 1:12 2:2,5 3:6	44:2 45:12 46:1
look 4:21 9:8 11:2	member 33:9	needs 11:5 34:11	27:16	48:7,9,15,19,24
12:22 16:17 20:22	members 30:12	never 3:21 6:7,9,9	order 5:4 22:19	49:6
23:1,2 32:22 36:3	mentioned 24:21	9:23 23:15,24	46:15,19 48:11	pay-as-you-go
46:14 49:1	50:12,17 51:6	34:13 36:15 43:14	orders 43:10 44:18	34:10
looked 15:25 26:1,1	mentions 11:18,18	New 40:8	46:15,20,21 48:23	paying 34:12,22
looking 20:20 33:2	meritorious 3:19	Newlin 16:12 20:24	ordinary 43:8	36:15,16 45:25
37:11	7:20 17:12 27:4,9	NICOLE 1:18 2:6	outlandish 6:17	46:15,16,18,20
loses 5:8	33:12 43:15	27:16	15:2	payment 9:10
losing 17:10	Michigan 40:11,12	note 20:22	outstanding 51:21	13:21,21 27:25
lot 7:11 23:4 44:24	Midwest 20:17	noted 26:20	overcome 29:20	28:7,7,9 29:23
44:25	mind 12:20	November 1:10	overwhelming 7:21	32:1,14 34:17,18
lower 24:2,4	minimum 50:19	number 6:21 22:18	owe 24:9 49:20,21	37:13 39:14 41:15
<u></u>	minutes 50:2	39:12 46:5 50:12	owed 10:7 25:18	41:17 50:10
$\frac{M}{M}$	moment 18:17	numerous 4:11	43:11	payment's 23:1
machinations	19:12	10:19 12:21 13:4		payments 4:4,11
22:18	money 19:6,15	34:23 35:3 51:17	P	8:2,8,12 11:5,7
		l	I	

				Idge 50
12:12,17 13:15	2:4,10 3:7 28:8,24	41:25	provided 52:2	real 29:21 51:24
18:24 28:12 31:9	41:10 50:4	preserving 3:19	provides 27:22	realistically 23:15
32:2,16 34:5 35:7	petitions 26:11,15	press 39:16	30:9 50:15	realize 19:9
35:8 37:10,21	phone 19:7 42:13	pressing 39:15	proving 21:14	really 11:1 12:7
41:6 51:3	43:1,22	prevent 44:22	provision 28:3 30:9	16:4 23:21 28:15
pays 19:14 34:14	pick 25:17,19,21,21	prevents 43:14	43:15 44:22 49:2	30:20 33:6 35:21
penny 17:22	piece 27:1	previous 14:7	49:14,15 50:15	37:6 44:3,25 46:2
people 12:7 29:14	pile 51:24	previously 13:10	provisions 30:6,10	49:3
29:15 43:10 45:1	Pinson 45:6,11	prior 49:9	30:10	reason 32:11 35:23
per-case 8:14 9:15	Pinson's 45:17	prison 8:18 11:16	published 37:25	44:18 51:24
20:1 24:20 31:24	places 29:2	11:25 12:25 16:21	purpose 10:14,16	reasonable 32:21
41:21	plan 24:9 28:7	17:22 18:24 19:1	18:10 34:7 39:19	36:23 46:1
per-prisoner 3:12	29:23	23:16 24:18 36:5	purposeful 51:15	reasons 3:10 34:25
3:14,16,20 4:10	plays 10:24	prisoner 3:18,23	purposes 13:25	rebuttal 2:8 27:13
8:13 16:1 19:6,24	please 3:9 27:19	4:3 5:8,21 6:5,16	14:25 16:16 30:3	50:3
21:14 24:22 41:21	PLRA 3:12 4:20	12:16,20,23 13:1	pursues 30:2	receive 4:11
50:24 52:3	7:2 26:11,15	14:7 15:1 16:8	put 7:21,21 17:9	received 45:18,21
perceive 43:24	44:20 46:15 52:1	17:10 19:7,10	Putting 43:13	receives 45:23
percent 3:23 5:20	plural 4:7,9,13 9:14	20:7,8 21:16		recidivist 26:18
6:4,5,8 12:17 14:1	11:18 22:22 28:25	22:25 24:5,6,17	Q	recognized 49:15
14:11,11,14,20	29:10 51:9,13,16	24:18 26:17,18	qualify 35:6	record 30:12 40:9
15:10,18,21 17:11	point 10:19 16:23	27:6,11,11 28:4	question 6:20 13:20	40:11
18:8,8,14,14,14	17:9 19:23 23:10	30:5,19 31:3,8,12	18:6 23:23 24:3	refer 12:5 36:12
18:19,20 19:18,20	25:2 26:16 28:8	32:8,15,22 33:11	34:3,21 35:23	references 10:23
19:20,21,22 20:4	28:18 31:7 32:5	33:16 35:4,5	41:4,4,20,25 42:1	referred 5:2
20:4,25 21:13,17	34:21 35:21 48:18	39:20 43:14,23	43:5 47:23	referring 29:3
21:17,21 25:1,8	50:25	44:17 45:15 50:16	questions 43:20	regard 33:8 42:21
31:4,9 32:19,23	pointing 7:9	prisoner's 4:4	49:9,23	regardless 35:9,22
32:25 33:1,3,8,11	policy 21:7 37:4	prisoners 13:2	quickly 24:1 27:3	regular 35:6 45:7
33:14 34:15 39:4	40:21 41:1 46:7	16:17,20 34:22	quite 27:2 30:20	regulation 20:10
39:5 45:25 47:5	47:4	42:22,22 44:24	34:17 36:9	22:15 37:25
47:18 48:2,15	portion 44:2	45:10 51:24	quoted 33:9	relatively 24:1
perfect 8:4 10:2	position 14:5,25	prisons 20:7 21:4	R	release 24:14
48:20	17:10 22:12 25:7	40:15 44:8	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} 3:1}$	released 23:16
perfectly 12:4	35:14,25 37:24,24	probably 38:9	raise 41:17 46:4	relevant 8:18,19
perform 10:22	42:10 50:9	problem 14:3 41:23	raised 34:21	9:10 27:24
permitted 29:6	possibility 21:11	46:23	rate 46:1	rely 35:16,18
person 7:10 34:11	possible 32:12	problems 23:5	read 8:11 22:8,24	relying 7:24 8:23
36:6 43:5	potentially 35:7	24:20 25:4,16	37:18 38:6,10,11	remain 38:14
perspective 27:20	36:15 43:24 44:1	34:4 36:1,2	38:15,17,21,22	remainder 27:12
28:11 30:23 33:7	practical 34:25	process 10:21	39:10,13,22,23,25	remaining 32:17
49:4,16	36:1	produced 26:21	reading 5:14 7:25	50:2
persuaded 30:1	preceding 31:9	produces 38:22	30:25 31:23 32:21	remedies 43:19
perverse 50:13	32:20	promote 30:5	50:13	reply 20:16
petition 41:12	precluded 36:22	prove 11:1	reads 20:19	represents 40:25
petitioner 1:4,17	presented 41:4,20	provide 29:19		require 27:25

Page 5	59
--------	----

				raye Jy
32:24	29:25 30:8,21	sections 11:14	shifting 29:16,16	specifically 44:16
required 12:16	31:10,13 32:11	see 20:20 21:3 23:1	shifts 28:10 31:6	spoken 36:10
29:8 31:3,5,6,8	33:5,24 34:2,16	23:2 46:14	show 28:15,23	sponte 7:2
32:7,16,22 43:19	35:13,17 37:3,16	seeks 24:13	showed 16:9	spots 51:10
requirement 16:20	38:12,16,24 39:7	send 14:14	shows 45:10,17	stamps 42:13 43:23
requires 22:12	39:9,21 40:17	sense 8:4,7,9 9:9,22	Shreenavossen	start 3:25 4:24
reserve 27:12	41:18,22 42:4	10:2 18:12,17,17	31:21	13:16 25:16 31:23
resolve 35:23 41:3	43:3 44:7,13 45:2	27:9 28:17 33:8	side 9:21 38:18	34:12 35:2 37:21
41:4,8,9,24 42:6	45:4 46:9 47:8,11	34:6 37:2 48:20	39:1 40:10,13	38:19
43:20	47:19 48:4,7,14	49:7,22	43:13	started 24:19
resolves 39:12	51:6	sentence 4:1,1,2	Siluk 16:13 20:22	starting 45:9
respect 37:4 50:6	Samuels 1:6 3:4	7:24 8:1,9,22,23	Similarly 29:9	starts 28:11 31:15
Respondents 1:20	save 42:19	9:12,23 11:24	simpler 22:23	32:13 34:19 47:15
2:7 27:17	saving 10:3 14:18	12:9,10 13:13,19	single 4:18 8:5 9:24	state 20:17 40:21
responsive 32:5	19:11 24:7 31:16	24:6	12:1 26:21 27:1	statement 12:23
rest 6:20 29:21	32:5 36:21,23	separate 41:25	27:21 28:5,16	22:16
result 38:23	38:13	sequential 25:4	29:4,10,10 30:23	states 1:1,13 16:7
reverse 52:4	says 4:2 8:1,2 15:18	sequentially 6:3	33:7 49:4,5,16,16	16:10 20:13 22:25
right 3:19 5:12 6:7	16:7,12 20:7 21:5	50:23	51:18	40:9,9,15 42:24
7:4 8:6,22 11:9	21:5 25:11 28:4	seriatim 8:12	single-case 10:11	statistics 45:19
15:14 18:8 23:9	28:10,24 29:3	serious 36:1	single/plural 29:18	status 35:6
25:23 28:24 31:10	31:4,5,8 37:9	serve 10:21	singular 4:5,8 8:3	statute 4:15 6:14
31:22 34:2,12,15	38:16 39:4,8	set 20:10,10 29:24	10:25 11:19	6:22 8:11 10:11
34:16,19 38:9,12	40:16 46:5 48:15	38:5	situation 14:6 15:4	10:14 11:8 14:9
38:24 39:9 44:7	51:12	sets 28:6 29:23	44:8,14,15,17	15:18 17:14,21
47:19 49:1	scale 7:22	settled 24:16	45:14,16	18:1,10 21:5,8
road 36:15	Scalia 4:14,17 6:6	seven 23:19 25:3	six 17:6 25:3 44:17	22:24 27:20 28:10
ROBERTS 3:3	6:21 7:4,9 16:18	27:3	46:20,21	28:14 29:1,7,13
21:3 22:1 26:6,9	16:25 17:15 22:11	seventh 25:9 34:9	sixth 25:2,8 26:3	29:21,24 30:2,22
27:14 29:25 30:15	25:17,23 28:18	44:19	slot 18:7	32:10 33:6,6 34:5
30:24 31:11 32:4	38:9,13,21 39:3,7	share 37:6	small 6:17 33:11	36:3 37:8,9 38:6
32:19 33:18,25	39:8 41:14,19,23	Shelley 1:16 2:3,9	34:17 46:22,24	42:8,19,21 43:14
34:14 35:11,15	44:24 45:3	3:5,6,8 4:15,19	society 51:20	44:16 46:24 48:14
42:4 44:4,11 50:1	schedule 28:1	5:6,12,17,24 6:12	solely 32:7	48:20 49:4 50:15
52:6	scheme 7:17	7:8,12,23 8:15 9:1	Solicitor 1:18 40:11	51:5
room 33:14	Scott-free 34:1	9:14 10:6,13	somebody 15:23	statutorily 46:8
roost 6:9,13	scratching 17:14	11:14 12:19 13:7	somewhat 29:13	statutory 3:15 5:1
rule 6:25 10:18	second 4:2 5:25	13:12 14:4,21,24	sort 29:17	10:20 11:2 13:13
11:19 37:4 42:8	10:18 11:20,20,24	15:18,25 16:6,22	Sotomayor 13:24	14:5,9 18:23 34:6
50:13,19	12:9 16:14 17:8	17:3,19 18:22	14:16,22 15:16	40:2 42:7
	23:6 24:24 26:20	19:17 20:9,14	19:8 40:14 47:3,9	step 41:2
S	26:23,25 27:5	21:10 22:3,14	47:14 48:1,5,12	stone 25:8 48:18
S 2:1 3:1	33:16 35:1,21	23:10,18 25:10,20	50:7	stood 25:10
safety-valve 43:15	40:7,23 47:13	25:24 26:8,10	sounds 12:13	stop 6:22 18:11
Saharsky 1:18 2:6	section 3:13 10:20	46:5 50:3,5	speaks 32:7	21:12 30:13
27:15,16,18 28:24	51:11,12	shift 28:15	specific 31:15 49:2	strength 12:11
L				

Page 60

				2
strike 17:7 49:17	25:1 50:25	36:13,14,16,18	29:2 40:18 41:18	vast 43:10
49:18	talk 20:24 30:24	37:1,5,17,18 38:6	44:15 49:19	versus 39:25
strikes 17:5 23:20	talked 12:24	38:6,17,24 39:10	trust 36:4 38:2	view 51:1
27:24 42:9	talking 12:14 23:22	39:11,21,22 41:2	truth 11:25	views 21:7
strong 29:20	24:19 28:2,5,12	42:5,20 43:4 44:8	try 22:22 35:6	
struck 3:17 30:25	30:16 31:1,14,25	44:18 45:3,13,16	48:15	W
subject 26:13	33:7 42:11 43:7	45:18 46:1,9,9,10	trying 36:7,12	wag 46:24
submitted 52:7,9	51:17	47:4,19,20 48:20	twice 4:16 51:16	wage 21:15
Subsection 4:2 5:19	talks 10:12 12:12	48:25 49:7,8,19	two 3:16 9:12 11:14	wages 6:17
13:14 28:3 29:5	12:22 29:6,8,9	49:22 50:8 51:17	16:9,9 18:5 20:15	wait 5:15 47:22,24
29:19 31:14,18,19	tandem 6:22	thinking 19:10	20:15,18 26:24	want 7:21 8:22,24
31:20 32:13 36:3	tantamount 42:7	45:14	30:25 31:2,5,7	34:9,20 46:23
49:3	technical 22:9	thinks 10:1	32:25 34:25 40:18	49:8 50:14 51:4
subsidiary 4:24	technicalities 22:5	third 16:14 17:8	43:11 51:10	wanted 7:19 26:16
suggested 22:8	tell 11:25 36:11	20:23 23:7 24:24	typical 45:16	28:21 30:24 35:22
37:19 40:13 44:19	38:10	33:16		48:21 49:5
suggests 8:13 34:5	ten 12:6	thought 23:25 25:6	<u> </u>	wants 35:5
37:11	term 4:20,22 9:19	26:17 44:4,21	unadorned 51:7	Washington 1:9,16
sui 7:2	49:11	three 3:10,20 17:5	unclear 15:15	1:19
suits 17:2,4 18:11	terms 13:24 14:9	23:20 27:24 33:1	30:20	wasn't 27:5,7,8
SULLIVAN 41:24	34:6 40:6 43:24	44:21	unconstitutional	way 4:20 8:9,22,24
supposed 33:3	48:21 49:10	three-strikes 6:25	17:13	10:25,25 11:13
Supreme 1:1,13	terribly 30:1	10:18 30:10 44:21	understand 7:25	15:23,24 17:25
sure 7:19 13:7	text 3:15 4:1 13:13	49:14	14:17 16:7 26:10	19:3,5,18 20:1,18
14:21 32:4 40:17	29:1 49:2	threshold 21:18	26:14 34:2 37:6	21:9,20 22:8,24
43:20 44:11,12	textual 9:22	thrust 29:21	37:13 48:19	22:24 25:25 29:14
46:12,25	Thank 27:14 50:1,5	thumb 7:21	understandable	31:18 32:8 38:1
switch 25:14,15	52:6	time 4:5 11:11 13:3	12:5	38:10,11,15 39:14
system 34:10 36:12	theory 13:20	15:10 18:24 21:21	understanding	39:18,25 41:23
38:3 46:18	thing 9:20 18:3	24:13 26:1 27:13	37:7 47:23	42:25 51:13
	29:17 31:7,14	33:16 37:10 39:14	understood 4:20	ways 37:18 38:6
$\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{T} 2 \cdot 1 1}$	36:9 42:9 48:16	45:17	14:22 20:2	39:10,22,24 40:5
T 2:1,1	49:19	today 40:3	uniform 46:7	we'll 3:3 25:9
tail 46:24	things 6:21 19:7	tools 11:2	United 1:1,13	we're 14:13 22:4
take 6:5 14:2,6,9,10	27:22 29:24	Torres 16:13 20:23	unnecessary 8:1	23:21,21 28:2,8
14:11,13,20 15:1	think 8:15,16 11:25	totally 5:8	unsettled 24:4	29:16 42:10 46:16
15:5,6,10,14,17 15:20 16:3 18:13	12:20 13:18,18	touch 24:3 38:14	unwilling 42:20	we've 20:14,15 35:13
	14:4,18 16:23	tougher 18:12	urge 49:24	
19:20,22 20:25	18:1,22,23 20:16	treasury 36:13	usage 29:18 use 19:1 41:20	weakest 51:22 weakness 12:11
21:17 30:4 36:4	21:6 22:8,17 23:8	treat 26:4	51:13	
39:4,5 42:14 48:1	24:15 26:2,17	treats 51:21,21		Wednesday 1:10 week 15:4 46:17
48:12 50:10,14 51:4	28:13,15,18 29:12	trigger 16:3 19:2	usually 12:1	week 15:4 46:17 went 16:10 40:20
taken 6:4 14:1	30:13,17,21 31:21	23:3	V	Whitfield 26:21
35:14,25 37:23	32:6,11,12,21	triggered 31:24	v 1:5 3:4	40:8
takes 18:5 21:13	33:2,8,24 34:3,21	triggers 31:19,19	various 10:24	40.8 wholly 7:16
takes 10.3 21.13	34:24 35:15,17	true 10:13 20:6	,	whony /.10
				Ι

				rage o
willing 42:18,24	10 4:6 11:19 14:2	12:22 13:14,19	6	
wining 42.10,24 win 27:6	14:10,12,14,20	29:9,19 31:20,23		
wipe 47:10	15:8,17,19 16:2	32:13 50:25,25	6 17:22	
word 4:23 12:18	17:16,17 18:9,13	51:2,10	60 32:25 46:19	
14:11 28:22,23,25	18:18,25 19:12,12	20 5:20 6:4,8,8	7	
51:6,7,8	19:14,19 20:8,25	12:17 14:1,11,11		
words 8:2 13:9	21:12,18,22,23	14:13,20 15:18,21	8	
work 3:23 6:22	22:1 23:2 26:18	18:8,14,14,19,20	8 14:19 19:23	
8:22,23,24,24		19:18,20,20,21,22	014.17 17.25	
14:15 15:3 19:18	33:2 37:4,11,12 37:20,21,22,24	20:4,21,21,21,21	9	
52:1	38:4,8,14,14,17	21:17,21 31:4,9	944 46:17	
works 17:11	38:19,25 39:2,5,5	32:19,22 33:3,8		
world 29:15 35:4	39:15,17,20 40:4	33:10,14 34:14		
36:6	40:8,12,16,20,24	39:4,5 42:15 44:5		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
worried 12:2,7	41:3,8,16 42:19 42:24 43:16 45:15	45:24 47:5,18		
worth 30:13		48:2,15		
wouldn't 6:5 17:4 20:6 28:16,19	45:15 47:6,7,7,10	200,000 46:18,19		
	47:11,14,16,20,21	2001 38:5		
30:17 write 19:5 21:22,24	47:22,24 48:2	2015 1:10 23 20:4 42:11		
written 10:24 11:15	50:11,13,19	23 30:4 42:11 27 2:7		
	10.01 19:19 20:3,4	Z 1 Z.1		
19:4 21:8 27:20	20:20 10:51 1:14 3:2	3		
49:4,15		3 2:4 4:21 9:18 29:5		
wrong 36:17	100 6:16,16 15:10	30 25:11 33:21		
wrote 30:22	17:11 20:4,25	35 15:3		
X	21:13,17 25:1,8 105 6:15	350 13:11 42:12		
x 1:2,8	103 0.13 11 14:6,12 15:5,6			
	15:14,19 48:2	4		
Y	50:8	4 1:10		
year 45:22	11:41 52:8	40 6:5 18:8,14		
years 33:21,22	12 23:21 26:17	32:25		
34:12 36:15 42:16	12 23.21 20.17 120 6:15 45:23	40-hour 15:4		
44:5	120 0.13 43.23 12th 23:21	42 46:21		
York 40:8	14-844 1:4 3:4	44 15:5		
	15 12:3 33:22	48 45:18		
Z	17 23:12			
zero 16:11,13,17	1892 17:20	5		
17:24	192 17.20 19 23:13,14	5 5:1		
	19 25.15,14 1915 10:12	50 2:10 6:16 46:5,6		
0	1915(b)(2) 3:13	46:6		
1	1915(d) 10:20	500 5:1		
1 4:21 5:19 9:18	1915(d) 10.20 1995 6:13 23:25	505 42:12		
11:15,20 29:7,9		55 6:16 15:2,4,11		
31:14,19,24 37:12	2	15:16 45:10		
41:16 48:13 51:1	2 4:2 6:22 11:16,20	56 45:10		
-1.10 -0.13 31.1	, -			
	1	1	1	1