1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	
2	x	
3	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR :	
4	OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL., :	
5	Petitioners :	
6	v. : No. 08-1448	
7	ENTERTAINMENT MERCHANTS :	
8	ASSOCIATION, ET AL. :	
9	x	
10	Washington, D.C.	
11	Tuesday, November 2, 2010	
12		
13	The above-entitled matter came on for oral	
14	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States	
15	at 10:04 a.m.	
16	APPEARANCES:	
17	ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI, ESQ., Supervising Deputy Attorney	
18	General, Sacramento, California; on behalf of	
19	Petitioners.	
20	PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of	
21	Respondents.	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioners	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	26
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioners	56
11		
12		
13	,	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	(10:04 a.m.)	
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument	
4	first this morning in Case 08-1448,	
5	Schwarzenegger v. Entertainment Merchants Association.	
6	Mr. Morazzini.	
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI	
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS	
9	MR. MORAZZINI: Mr. Chief Justice, and may	
10	it please the Court:	
11	The California law at issue today before	
12	this Court differs from the New York law at issue in	
13	Ginsberg in only one respect: Where New York was	
14	concerned with minors' access to harmful sexual material	
15	outside the guidance of a parent, California is no less	
16	concerned with a minor's access to the deviant level of	
17	violence that is presented in a certain category of	
18	video games that can be no less harmful to the	
19	development of minors.	
20	When this Court in Ginsberg crafted a rule	
21	of law that permits States to regulate a minor's access	
22	to such material outside the presence of a parent, it	
23	did so for two fundamental reasons that are equally	
24	applicable this morning in this case.	
25	First, this rule permits parents' claim to	

- 1 authority in their own household to direct the
- 2 upbringing and the development of their children; and,
- 3 secondly, this rule promotes the States' independent
- 4 interest in helping parents protect the well-being of
- 5 children in those instances when parents cannot be
- 6 present.
- 7 So this morning, California asks this Court
- 8 to adopt a rule of law that permits States to restrict
- 9 minors' ability to purchase deviant, violent video games
- 10 that the legislature has determined can be harmful to
- 11 the development and the upbringing --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: What's a deviant -- a
- 13 deviant, violent video game? As opposed to what? A
- 14 normal violent video game?
- 15 MR. MORAZZINI: Yes, Your Honor. Deviant
- 16 would be departing from established norms.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: There are established norms
- 18 of violence?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Well, I think if we look
- 20 back --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: I mean, some of the Grimms'
- 22 fairy tales are quite grim, to tell you the truth.
- 23 (Laughter.)
- MR. MORAZZINI: Agreed, Your Honor. But the
- 25 level of violence --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: Are they okay? Are you
- 2 going to ban them, too?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Not at all, Your Honor.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What's the difference? I
- 5 mean, if you -- if you are supposing a category of
- 6 violent materials dangerous to children, then how do you
- 7 cut it off at video games? What about films? What
- 8 about comic books? Grimms' fairy tales?
- 9 Why are video games special? Or does your
- 10 principle extend to all deviant, violent materials in
- 11 whatever form?
- 12 MR. MORAZZINI: No, Your Honor. That's why
- 13 I believe California incorporated the three prongs of
- 14 the Miller standard. So it's not just deviant violence.
- 15 It's not just patently offensive violence. It's
- 16 violence that meets all three of the terms set forth
- 17 in --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I think that misses
- 19 Justice Ginsburg's question, which was: Why just video
- 20 games? Why not movies, for example, as well?
- 21 MR. MORAZZINI: Sure, Your Honor. The
- 22 California Legislature was presented with substantial
- 23 evidence that demonstrates that the interactive nature
- 24 of violent -- of violent video games where the minor or
- 25 the young adult is the aggressor, is the -- is the

- 1 individual acting out this -- this obscene level of
- 2 violence, if you will, is especially harmful to minors.
- 3 It --
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, do you actually have
- 5 studies that show that video games are more harmful to
- 6 minors than movies are?
- 7 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, in the record, Your
- 8 Honor, I believe it's the Gentile and Gentile study
- 9 regarding violent video games as exemplary teachers.
- 10 The authors there note that video games are not only
- 11 exemplary teachers of pro-social activities, but also
- 12 exemplary teachers of aggression, which was the
- 13 fundamental concern of the California Legislature in
- 14 enacting this statute.
- So, while the science is continually
- 16 developing -- indeed, it appears that studies are being
- 17 released every month regarding --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What was the --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: And suppose -- suppose a new
- 20 study suggested that movies were just as violent. Then,
- 21 presumably, California could regulate movies just as it
- 22 could regulate video games.
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, there is
- 24 scientific literature out there regarding the impact of
- 25 violent media on -- on children. In fact, for decades,

- 1 the President, Congress, the FTC, parenting groups have
- 2 been uniquely concerned with the level of violent media
- 3 available to minors that they have ready access to.
- 4 So --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I don't know -- is that
- 6 answering Justice Kagan's question? One of the studies,
- 7 the Anderson study, says that the effect of violence is
- 8 the same for a Bugs Bunny episode as it is for a violent
- 9 video. So can the legislature now, because it has that
- 10 study, say we can outlaw Bugs Bunny?
- MR. MORAZZINI: No --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And there are people who
- 13 would say that the cartoon has very little social value;
- 14 it's entertainment but not much else. This is
- 15 entertainment.
- I'm not suggesting that I like this video,
- 17 the one at issue that you provided the five-minute clip
- 18 about. To me, it's not entertainment, but that's not
- 19 the point. To some, it may well be.
- MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Sotomayor, cartoons
- 21 do not depart from the established norms to -- of a
- 22 level of violence to which children have been
- 23 historically exposed to. We believe the level of
- 24 violence in these video games --
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: That same argument could

- 1 have been made when movies first came out. They could
- 2 have said, oh, we've had violence in Grimms' fairy
- 3 tales, but we've never had it, you know, live on the
- 4 screen. I mean, every time there's a new technology,
- 5 you can make that argument.
- 6 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, I think
- 7 that's the beauty of incorporating the three prongs of
- 8 the Miller standard into California's law. This
- 9 standard is very prophylactic and ensures that only a
- 10 narrow category of material will be covered, certainly
- 11 not Grimms' fairy tales.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How is this any
- 13 different than what we said we don't do in the First
- 14 Amendment field in Stevens, where we said we don't look
- 15 at a category of speech and decide that some of it has
- 16 low value. We decide whether a category of speech has a
- 17 historical tradition of being regulated. Now, other
- 18 than some State statutes that you point to, some of
- 19 which are very clearly the same as those that we struck
- 20 down in Wynn, where's the tradition of regulating
- 21 violence?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, California
- 23 submits that when the rights of minors are at issue and
- 24 not the rights of adults, the standard should be more
- 25 flexible. The Constitution should recognize that when

- 1 the audience is minors, the same standard should not
- 2 apply. Therefore, the question should not be whether or
- 3 not historically violent speech was regulated, but
- 4 whether or not the Constitution guarantees minors a
- 5 right --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you get rid of rap
- 7 music? Have you heard some of the lyrics of some of the
- 8 rap music, some of the original violent songs that have
- 9 been sung about killing people and about other violence
- 10 directed to them?
- MR. MORAZZINI: I would agree that it --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could the State --
- 13 MR. MORAZZINI: I would agree it's
- 14 egregious, Justice Sotomayor. However --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why isn't that obscene
- in the sense that you're using the word, or deviant?
- 17 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, I'm not sure initially
- 18 that it's directly harmful to the development of minors
- in the way that we know that violent video games can be.
- 20 We know that violent material, like sexual material,
- 21 appeals to a base instinct in -- in especially minors.
- 22 It has -- it can be presented in a manner that --
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: When you talk about minors,
- 24 what -- what are you -- what age group are you talking
- 25 about? If a video game manufacturer has to decide under

- 1 your statute how to -- where its game stands, what age
- 2 of -- of a child should the manufacturer have in mind?
- 3 A 17-year-old? A 10-year-old?
- 4 MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, I would submit
- 5 that, just like in the obscenity context for minors, a
- 6 law similar to the New York law at issue in Ginsberg,
- 7 though California's law hasn't been construed or
- 8 applied, I would submit that the jury would be
- 9 instructed to consider minors as a whole. In California
- 10 that's under 18 years old. So I believe they would just
- 11 be instructed minors as a class, not --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: How can they -- how can they
- 13 do that? Isn't the average person likely to think that
- 14 what's appropriate for a 17-year-old may not be
- appropriate for a 10-year-old or an 8-year-old?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, I think juries
- 17 and judges do this every day in the
- 18 variable obscenity --
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But California doesn't do
- 20 that. California has in big letters "18." So it's not
- 21 -- is it okay for a 7-year-old? Is it okay for a
- 22 12-year-old? Part of this statute requires labeling
- 23 these video games in big numbers "18." So it's 18, and
- 24 California doesn't make any distinctions between
- 25 17-year-olds and 4-year-olds.

- 1 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Ginsburg, and I
- 2 think rightfully so. I think a jury would be charged
- 3 with -- with perhaps the standard of what the community
- 4 believes an average minor. So the manufacturer would
- 5 consider --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: Because the average minor
- 7 is halfway between 0 and 18, is that 9 years old?
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 MR. MORAZZINI: Fair point, Justice Scalia.
- 10 I think a jury could be instructed as to the typical age
- 11 group of minors that are -- that are playing these
- 12 games.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Why wouldn't you, if
- 14 necessary, simply say that -- that a -- a video game
- 15 that appeals to the prurient, shameful, or morbid
- 16 interests of those 18 or under, but let's take 18, and
- 17 it's not suitable in the community for those 18, and it
- 18 has no redeeming importance of any kind, no serious
- 19 literary, artistic, political, or scientific value for
- 20 those 18, that at least as to those, you can't sell it
- 21 without -- the parent can buy it, but the child can't
- 22 buy it. So you can't sell to a 12-year-old something
- 23 that would be horrible for an 18-year-old. Is that --
- 24 would you be willing to accept that, if necessary, to
- 25 make this okay on its face?

- 1 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Breyer, absolutely.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Okay.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Morazzini, could I take
- 4 you back to Justice Scalia's original question, which
- 5 was what counts as deviant violence or morbid violence?
- 6 Because I read your briefs all the way through, and the
- 7 only thing that I found was -- you said was clearly
- 8 covered by this statute was Postal 2. But presumably
- 9 the statute applies to more than one video game. So
- 10 what else does it apply to? How many video games? What
- 11 kind of video games?
- I mean, how would you describe in plain
- 13 English what -- what morbid violence is, what you have
- 14 to see in a video game for it to be covered?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Okay, Justice Kagan, I would
- 16 go back to the language of the statute, and the statute
- 17 covers video games where the range of options available
- 18 to the player includes maiming, killing, dismembering,
- 19 torturing, sexually assaulting, and those types of
- 20 violence. So I would look to games where --
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: So anything that has those
- 22 kinds of violence counts?
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: No, and then we would move
- 24 to the three prongs of the Miller standard, Your Honor.
- 25 We would look to see what --

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, so how do we separate
- 2 violent games that are covered from violent games just
- 3 as violent that are not covered?
- 4 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, I think a
- 5 jury could be instructed with expert testimony, with
- 6 video clips of game play, and to judge for
- 7 themselves whether --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: I'm not concerned about the
- 9 jury judging. I'm concerned about the producer of the
- 10 games who has to know what he has to do in order to
- 11 comply with the law. And you're telling me, well, a
- 12 jury can -- of course, a jury can make up its mind, I'm
- 13 sure. But a law that has criminal penalties has to be
- 14 clear. And how is the manufacturer to know whether a
- 15 particular violent game is covered or not?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, if we
- 17 look --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Would he convene his own
- 19 jury and -- and try it before -- you know --
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: I -- I really wouldn't know
- 22 what to do as a manufacturer.
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Scalia, I'm
- 24 convinced that the video game industry will know what to
- 25 do. They rate their video games every day on the basis

- 1 of violence. They rate them for the intensity of the
- 2 violence, the amount --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: So is what's covered here
- 4 the -- the mature category in the ratings? Is that what
- 5 this statute covers? Is that what it's meant to cover?
- 6 MR. MORAZZINI: I believe that some mature-
- 7 rated games would be covered, but not all.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Some, but not all.
- 9 MR. MORAZZINI: But not all.
- 10 Your Honor, just like with sexual material,
- 11 we can -- we can trust individual panderers of sexual
- 12 material to judge whether or not it's a -- it's in --
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Let me just make one
- 14 comment on that point. It seems to me all or at least
- 15 the great majority of the questions today are designed
- 16 to probe whether or not this statute is vague. And you
- 17 say the beauty of the statute is that it utilizes the
- 18 categories that have been used in the obscenity area and
- 19 that -- that there's an obvious parallel there.
- The problem is, is that for generations
- 21 there has been a societal consensus about sexual
- 22 material. Sex and violence have both been around a long
- 23 time, but there's a societal consensus about what's
- 24 offensive for sexual material, and there are judicial
- 25 discussions on it. Now, those judicial discussions are

- 1 not precise. You could have had the same questions
- 2 today with reference to an obscenity statute, and we
- 3 have -- we have said that with reference to obscenity,
- 4 there are certain -- that there are certain materials
- 5 that are not protected. Those rules are not precise at
- 6 the margins, and some would say not precise in a more
- 7 significant degree as well.
- 8 But you're asking us to go into an entirely
- 9 new area where there are no consensus, no judicial
- 10 opinions. And this is -- and this indicates to me the
- 11 statute might be vague, and I just thought you'd like to
- 12 know that -- that reaction.
- 13 (Laughter.)
- MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Kennedy, as with
- 15 sexual -- the regulation of sexual material and
- 16 obscenity, we had to start somewhere. California is
- 17 choosing to start now. We can build a consensus as to
- 18 what level of violence is in fact patently offensive for
- 19 minors, is deviant for minors, just as the case law has
- 20 developed over time with sexual depictions. Your Honor,
- 21 I believe the key is the -- the similarities violence
- 22 has with sex. This is material --
- 23 JUSTICE SCALIA: What about excessive
- 24 glorification of drinking, movies that have too much
- 25 drinking? Does that have an effect on minors? I

- 1 suppose so.
- 2 I -- I am not just concerned with the
- 3 vagueness. I am concerned with the vagueness, but I'm
- 4 concerned with the First Amendment, which says Congress
- 5 shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech. And
- 6 it was always understood that the freedom of speech did
- 7 not include obscenity. It has never been understood
- 8 that the freedom of speech did not include portrayals of
- 9 violence.
- 10 You're -- you're asking us to create a -- a
- 11 whole new prohibition which the American people never --
- 12 never ratified when they ratified the First Amendment.
- 13 They knew there were -- you know, obscenity was -- was
- 14 bad, but what's next after violence? Drinking?
- 15 Smoking? Movies that show smoking can't be shown to
- 16 children? Does -- will that affect them? Of course, I
- 17 suppose it will.
- 18 But is -- is that -- are -- are we to sit
- 19 day by day to decide what else will be made an exception
- 20 from the First Amendment? Why -- why is this particular
- 21 exception okay, but the other ones that I just suggested
- 22 are not okay?
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Justice Scalia, I
- 24 would like to highlight the fact that the material at
- 25 issue in Ginsberg was not obscene. Under no existing

- 1 definition of obscenity was the partial nudity that this
- 2 Court allowed States to regulate minors' access to --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I think what
- 4 Justice Scalia wants to know is what James Madison
- 5 thought about video games.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: Did he enjoy them?
- JUSTICE SCALIA: No, I want to know what
- 9 James Madison thought about violence. Was there any
- 10 indication that anybody thought, when the First
- 11 Amendment was adopted, that there -- there was an
- 12 exception to it for -- for speech regarding violence?
- 13 Anybody?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, as to minors, I
- 15 believe, looking at some of the historic statutes States
- 16 had passed, had enacted in the past, there was a social
- 17 recognition that there is a level of violent material --
- 18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's the earliest
- 19 statute?
- 20 MR. MORAZZINI: Pardon?
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's the earliest
- 22 statute and how much enforcement was entered?
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, I don't know the
- 24 earliest statute off the top of my head. I believe they
- 25 go back into the early 1900s, perhaps later. I

- 1 apologize, but I don't know that --
- JUSTICE BREYER: But, on the principle, I
- 3 mean, it's been quite some years, hasn't it, before this
- 4 -- since this Court has held that one instance that
- 5 courts -- that the country, legislatures, can regulate
- 6 are fighting words? And we regulate fighting words,
- 7 don't we?
- 8 MR. MORAZZINI: Absolutely.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Because they provoke
- 10 violence. And the American Psychological Association
- 11 and the American Pediatric Association have said that
- 12 certain kinds of video games here create violence when
- 13 children are exposed. There are 80 people who think to
- 14 the contrary. There are two huge things of meta-
- 15 studies that think that -- not to the contrary. All
- 16 right. So what are we supposed to do?
- 17 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Justice Breyer, I
- 18 think, in going back to Justice Scalia's question, I
- 19 find it hard to believe, and I know of no historical
- 20 evidence that suggests, that our Founding Fathers, in
- 21 enacting the First Amendment, intended to guarantee
- 22 video game retailers' First Amendment right --
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: May I go back to -- to
- 24 what Justice Breyer was asking? Because this Court,
- 25 with respect to the fighting words -- Chaplinsky and "in

- 1 your face and provoke an immediate action -- the Court
- 2 has been very careful to cordon that off so it doesn't
- 3 have this spillover potential. So you -- you didn't
- 4 latch on to fighting words. Your analogy is to
- 5 obscenity for teenagers, as I understand it.
- 6 MR. MORAZZINI: Yes, Justice Ginsburg. With
- 7 regard to fighting words, the -- the societal interest
- 8 in preventing acts of violence is -- is different than
- 9 the concern at issue here today.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: So could I just make -- make
- 11 sure I understand that, Mr. Morazzini, because, as I
- 12 understand, the State has given up its argument that the
- interest protected by this law is an interest in
- 14 preventing minors who see these games from going out and
- 15 committing violent acts themselves; that the State is
- 16 not saying that that's the interest in the law; is that
- 17 correct? That instead the State is saying that the
- 18 interest in the law is in protecting children's moral
- 19 development generally?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Kagan, we welcome
- 21 that as -- as an effect of California's regulation, but
- 22 the primary interest was the internal intrinsic harm to
- 23 minors. That's what the State of California is deeply
- 24 concerned with in this case.
- 25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I have a point of

- 1 clarification. Justice Ginsburg talked about the
- 2 labeling parts of this Act. The circuit court struck
- 3 those portions of the Act. You have not challenged that
- 4 ruling.
- 5 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: There are two sections
- 7 to the Act.
- 8 MR. MORAZZINI: Sure.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: One is a criminal act
- 10 for selling to a minor, and the other is a requirement
- 11 that you label in a certain way each video. The
- 12 district court said both were -- I think the circuit
- 13 court said both were unconstitutional, correct?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Yes, Justice Sotomayor.
- 15 They found --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And your brief has not
- 17 addressed the labeling requirements at all.
- 18 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, we didn't, Your Honor,
- 19 because one holding of the Ninth Circuit hinged upon the
- 20 other. In striking down the body of California's law,
- 21 the restriction on the sale, the court found that since
- it's not illegal to sell these games to 18-year-olds,
- 23 that the governmental purpose served behind the label
- 24 itself was -- was in fact misleading. So under the
- 25 Zauderer case law -- I don't have the case cite before

- 1 me -- but under Zauderer regarding lawyers' advertising
- 2 of -- of services, it's -- the government can require a
- 3 labeling, so long as it's necessary to prevent
- 4 misleading the consumer.
- 5 The Ninth Circuit found that because they
- 6 struck down the body of our law, that the "18" label
- 7 would be misleading. So that --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's an interesting
- 9 concession on your part, that the labeling doesn't have
- 10 a need separate from the restriction on sale. I would
- 11 have thought that if you wanted a lesser restriction,
- 12 that you would have promoted labeling as a reasonable
- 13 strict scrutiny restriction to permit the control of
- 14 sale of these materials to minors, but you seem to have
- 15 given up that argument altogether.
- MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Sotomayor, I
- 17 certainly did not attempt or intend to concede that the
- 18 Ninth Circuit's opinion was correct in any sense in this
- 19 case.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, you have conceded
- 21 it by not appealing it, but okay. We're not -- your
- 22 case on labeling rises and falls on the sale to minors?
- MR. MORAZZINI: At this point, I would
- 24 agree, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Does California --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: I gather that -- that if --
- 2 if the parents of the minor want the kid to watch this
- 3 violent stuff, they like gore, they may even like
- 4 violent kids --
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- then -- then the State
- 7 of California has no objection, right? So long as the
- 8 parent buys the thing, it's perfectly okay.
- 9 MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, under Ginsberg,
- 10 they're entitled to direct the development and the
- 11 upbringing of their children in the manner they see fit.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes.
- MR. MORAZZINI: It's important to the State
- 14 of California that the parent -- that we ensure that the
- 15 parent can involve themselves in this important
- 16 decision.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: So -- so that's basically
- 18 all this is, is a -- a law to help parents; is that
- 19 right?
- MR. MORAZZINI: It's one of the two
- 21 fundamental interests that are served by this law, yes,
- 22 ensuring that parents can involve themselves in the
- 23 front end. California sought to erect a barrier in
- 24 between a retail sales clerk and a minor with regard to
- 25 violent material, just as we allow for minors' access to

- 1 sexual material, because California sees that the
- 2 developmental harm that could be caused to minors is no
- 3 less significant than that recognized by this Court in
- 4 -- in Ginsberg with regard to minors' exposure to sexual
- 5 material. Now, again, the material at issue in Ginsberg
- 6 was not obscene.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: Do you think there's any
- 8 barrier in California to minors' access to sexual
- 9 material?
- 10 MR. MORAZZINI: I -- I believe California
- 11 has a law, Penal Code Section 313.1.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: California has a
- 13 Ginsberg-type law.
- MR. MORAZZINI: Yes.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: Does your office spend a lot
- 16 of time enforcing that?
- 17 MR. MORAZZINI: I'm not aware,
- 18 Justice Alito. But there is a proscription on the sale
- 19 of sexual material to minors. It's defined as harmful
- 20 to minors, similar to California's Act. In fact,
- 21 California's Act, in incorporating the three prongs of
- 22 Miller, goes even further than the Ginsberg law at issue
- 23 in Ginsberg v. New York.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is there -- you've been
- 25 asked questions about the vagueness of this and the

- 1 problem for the seller to know what's good and what's
- 2 bad. California -- does California have any kind of an
- 3 advisory opinion, an office that will view these videos
- 4 and say, yes, this belongs in this -- what did you call
- 5 it -- deviant violence, and this one is just violent but
- 6 not deviant? Is there -- is there any kind of opinion
- 7 that the -- that the seller can get to know which games
- 8 can be sold to minors and which ones can't?
- 9 MR. MORAZZINI: Not that I'm aware of,
- 10 Justice Ginsburg.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: You should consider
- 12 creating such a thing. You might call it the California
- 13 office of censorship. It would -- it would judge each
- of these videos one by one. That would be very nice.
- MR. MORAZZINI: Your Honor, we -- we ask
- 16 juries to judge sexual material and its appropriateness
- 17 for minors as well. I believe that if -- if we can view
- 18 the --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: Do we let the government do
- 20 that? Juries are not controllable. That's the
- 21 wonderful thing about juries, also the worst thing about
- 22 juries. But --
- 23 (Laughter.)
- JUSTICE SCALIA: But do we let government
- 25 pass upon -- you know, a board of censors? I don't

- 1 think so.
- 2 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Scalia, California's
- 3 not doing that here. The standard is quite similar to
- 4 that in the sexual material realm. California is not
- 5 acting as a censor. It is telling manufacturers and
- 6 distributors to look at your material and to judge for
- 7 yourselves whether or not the level of violent content
- 8 meets the prongs of this definition.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I can see your white
- 10 light's on. But even if we get past what I think are
- 11 difficult questions about vagueness and how to interpret
- 12 this law, isn't there a less restrictive alternative
- 13 with the -- a V-chip?
- MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, I believe
- 15 you're referring to the parental controls that are
- 16 available --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Yes.
- MR. MORAZZINI: -- on some of the new
- 19 machines. As we submitted in our briefing, a simple
- 20 Internet search for bypassing parental controls brings
- 21 up video clips instructing minors and young adults how
- 22 to bypass the parental controls, so --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: So V-chips don't work.
- 24 MR. MORAZZINI: I believe the V-chip is
- 25 limited to television, Justice Kennedy.

- 1 If I could reserve the remainder of my time.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
- 3 Morazzini.
- 4 MR. MORAZZINI: Thank you.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Smith.
- 6 ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL M. SMITH
- 7 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- 8 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 9 please the Court:
- 10 The California law at issue restricts the
- 11 distribution of expressive works based on their content.
- 12 California, as we've heard today, does not seriously
- 13 contend that it can satisfy the usual First Amendment
- 14 standards that apply to such a law. Instead, it's
- 15 asking this Court to grant it a new free pass, a
- 16 brand-new Ginsberg-like exception to the First Amendment
- 17 that would deny constitutional protection to some
- 18 ill-defined subset of expressive works and, I submit,
- 19 not just video games, but necessarily movies, books, and
- 20 any other expressive work that describes or portrays
- 21 violence in a way that some court somewhere, some day,
- 22 would decide is deviant and offensive.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What about -- the
- 24 distinction between books and movies may be that, in
- 25 these video games, the child is not sitting there

- 1 passively watching something; the child is doing the
- 2 killing. The child is doing the maiming. And I suppose
- 3 that might be understood to have a different impact on
- 4 the child's moral development.
- 5 MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, it might. The
- 6 -- the State of California has not marshaled a shred of
- 7 evidence to suggest it's true. And if you look at the
- 8 social science --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What was -- what was
- 10 the state of the record that was present before the
- 11 Court in Ginsberg?
- 12 MR. SMITH: The state of the record was that
- 13 they were aware of science on both sides, but made a
- 14 judgment that as a matter of common sense, they could
- 15 decide that obscenity, even somewhat at-large
- 16 obscenity --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So the Court acted
- 18 on the basis of common sense?
- 19 MR. SMITH: Yes. It said as long as there's
- 20 science on both sides, but in that particular area,
- 21 which is an exception based -- that goes back to the
- 22 founding, they felt that it was -- it was proper for
- 23 them to adjust the outer boundaries of the exception.
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But the material wasn't
- 25 obscene. They were girlie magazines. I imagine to

- 1 today's children they would seem rather tame --
- 2 MR. SMITH: Well --
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- the magazines
- 5 involved. But they were definitely not obscene with
- 6 respect to adults.
- 7 MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, that's
- 8 certainly true, but one of the things about the case
- 9 that is important to recognize, they didn't pass on the
- 10 particular material before the Court. They simply said,
- 11 is this somewhat larger a definition of variable
- 12 obscenity going to be acceptable to --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Talking about common sense,
- 14 why isn't it common sense to say that if a parent wants
- 15 his 13-year-old child to have a game where the child is
- 16 going to sit there and imagine he's a torturer and
- 17 impose gratuitous, painful, excruciating, torturing
- 18 violence upon small children and women, and do this for
- 19 an hour or so, and there is no social or redeeming
- 20 value, it's not artistic, it's not literary, et
- 21 cetera -- why isn't it common sense to say a State has
- 22 the right to say, parent, if you want that for your
- 23 13-year-old, you go buy it yourself. Which I think is
- 24 what they're saying.
- 25 MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, the State has

- 1 to have some reason to think that parents --
- JUSTICE BREYER: It does, it does. What it
- 3 has is -- and I've looked at the studies, perhaps not as
- 4 thoroughly as you, but it seemed to me that Dr. Ferguson
- 5 and Dr. Anderson are in a disagreement. They aren't in
- 6 that much of a disagreement actually, but they've looked
- 7 in depth at a whole lot of video games, not movies
- 8 they're talking about or other things; they're talking
- 9 about video games.
- 10 And both groups come to the conclusion that
- 11 there is some tendency to increase violence. And the
- 12 American Psychiatric -- Psychological Association and
- 13 the American Pediatric Association sign on to a long
- 14 list on -- I think it's the Anderson side that this does
- 15 hurt children.
- I have to admit that if I'm supposed to be a
- 17 sociological expert, I can't choose between them. But
- 18 if I can say could a legislature have enough evidence to
- 19 think there's harm, the answer is yes.
- MR. SMITH: There is two aspects of harm.
- 21 The one I was about to address was the question of
- 22 whether parents need additional help in exercising the
- 23 role that they have played throughout the history --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. They need additional
- 25 help because many parents are not home when their

- 1 children come home from school. Many parents have jobs,
- 2 I -- we hope. And -- and when -- when their children
- 3 are there, they do what they want. And all this says
- 4 is, if you want that gratuitous torture of, let's say
- 5 babies, to make it as bad as possible, what you do,
- 6 parent, is you go buy it; don't let him buy it on his
- 7 own, and he's 13 years old. Now, what's the common
- 8 sense or what's the science of that?
- 9 MR. SMITH: Well, two aspects. With respect
- 10 to parental controls, Your Honor, there's a whole
- 11 variety -- a whole series of things that parents have
- 12 available to them and are using today to deal with any
- 13 concerns that they have about what's appropriate for
- 14 their children.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I don't want to
- 16 interrupt your answer, but any 13-year-old can bypass
- 17 parental controls in about 5 minutes.
- 18 MR. SMITH: That is one element of about
- 19 five different elements, Your Honor. And if I could
- 20 talk about them -- there is the ratings. Parents are
- 21 doing the purchasing 90 percent of the time. Even if
- the child does the purchasing, they bring the game home,
- 23 the parent can review it.
- The game is being played in the home on the
- 25 family television or computer most of the time. Any

- 1 harm that's supposed to be inflicted on them is supposed
- 2 to take place over a period of years, not minutes, so
- 3 the parent has ample opportunity to exercise parental
- 4 supervision over what games are being played in the
- 5 house. Plus there is the parental controls, which are
- 6 very similar to the ones that the Court has found to be
- 7 significant in the Playboy case, in the COPA case, in a
- 8 whole variety of cases.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: How much do these videos
- 10 cost?
- 11 MR. SMITH: They cost in the range of \$50 to
- 12 \$60 when new, Your Honor.
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: Not too many 13-year-olds
- walk in with a \$50 bill, do they?
- MR. SMITH: It seems very likely that the
- 16 people, if there are any out there, buying games without
- 17 parental permission -- which the State, by the way, has
- 18 not even tried to show -- they are very likely in the
- 19 16-year-old category. The --
- JUSTICE BREYER: You're away from the common
- 21 sense. If you're going back to the common sense of it,
- 22 what common sense is there in having a state of the law
- 23 that a State can forbid and says to the parent the
- 24 child, the 13-year-old, cannot go in and buy a picture
- of a naked woman, but the 13-year-old child can go in

- 1 and buy one of these video games as I have described?
- 2 I've tried to take as bad a one as I could think of,
- 3 gratuitous torture of children. Okay? Now, you can't
- 4 buy a naked woman, but you can go and buy that, you say
- 5 to the 13-year-old. Now -- now, what sense is there to
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. SMITH: Well, there's -- there's various
- 8 aspects of this that I think it's important to
- 9 understand. First of all, violence has been a feature
- 10 of works that we create for children and encouraged them
- 11 to watch throughout the history of this country. We
- 12 have a very different sense of whether violence
- 13 per se --
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: You mean love is not
- 15 something that people have tried to encourage children
- 16 to understand and know about? I mean, what's the
- 17 difference between sex and violence? Both, if anything?
- 18 MR. SMITH: There's a huge difference. The
- 19 difference is --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you. I understand
- 21 that.
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 MR. SMITH: We do not -- the difference is
- 24 we do not make films for children in which explicit sex
- 25 happens. We do make films for children in which graphic

- 1 violence happens --
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Graphic violence.
- 3 There is a difference. We do not have a tradition in
- 4 this country of telling children they should watch
- 5 people actively hitting schoolgirls over the head with a
- 6 shovel so they'll beg with mercy, being merciless and
- 7 decapitating them, shooting people in the leg so they
- 8 fall down -- I'm reading from the district court
- 9 description -- pour gasoline over them, set them on
- 10 fire, and urinate on them. We do not have a tradition
- 11 in this country. We protect children from that. We
- 12 don't actively expose them to that.
- 13 MR. SMITH: And -- and parents have been
- 14 doing that for -- since time immemorial. The question
- 15 before this Court is whether you're going to create an
- 16 entirely new exception under the First Amendment,
- 17 whether parents need to have such a new exception
- 18 created, and whether or not, if you're going to do it,
- 19 you could possibly figure out what the scope of that
- 20 exception is.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is it your position
- 22 -- I know this is a facial challenge, Mr. Smith. So is
- 23 it your position that the First Amendment could not
- 24 prohibit the sale to minors of the video game that I
- 25 just described?

- 1 MR. SMITH: My position is that most people
- 2 would think that that's an inappropriate game for
- 3 minors -- we do not try to sell it to minors -- but that
- 4 the Constitution should not be --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I know you don't,
- 6 but what is -- you're avoiding the answer. Does the
- 7 First Amendment protect the sale of that video to
- 8 minors?
- 9 MR. SMITH: My position --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A minor?
- 11 MR. SMITH: -- is that there is not a
- 12 violence exception to the First Amendment for minors,
- 13 and there should not be.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So your position is
- 15 that the First Amendment does not, cannot, no matter
- 16 what type of law, whether this one is vague or not, that
- 17 the State legislature cannot pass a law that says you
- 18 may not sell to a 10-year-old a video in which they set
- 19 schoolgirls on fire.
- MR. SMITH: And the reason for that is
- 21 there's no possible way, it's an insuperable problem, to
- 22 use the English language to draw up an exception to the
- 23 Constitution, to the First Amendment, that would --
- 24 JUSTICE ALITO: But what if -- what if a
- 25 State passes a -- what if California took the list of

- 1 video games that your association rates as mature and
- 2 said there's a civil penalty? And you apparently don't
- 3 want your -- you don't want vendors selling those games
- 4 to minors; isn't that right?
- 5 MR. SMITH: Exercising our First Amendment
- 6 rights, we have decided --
- JUSTICE ALITO: You don't want that. And,
- 8 now, what if California said there's a civil penalty
- 9 attached to that?
- 10 MR. SMITH: What that would do is transform
- 11 the ESRB, the private voluntary system that exists, into
- 12 the -- the censorship commission that this Court struck
- down in Interstate Circuit. When you -- when the
- 14 government does that and you have to go to them for
- 15 permission to allow kids into the movies or to play this
- 16 game, it is a prior restraint. You have way too much
- 17 discretion. It's a licensing authority that the First
- 18 Amendment doesn't allow.
- JUSTICE ALITO: You seem to argue that --
- 20 that there really is no good reason to think that
- 21 exposure to video games is -- is bad for minors,
- 22 exposure to really violent video games is bad to minors;
- 23 is that right?
- MR. SMITH: I think it's important to draw a
- 25 distinction between harm that could be cognizable under

- 1 the law and appropriateness. Families have different
- 2 judgments that they make about their children at
- 3 different ages and with different content and different
- 4 family values, and that's what --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Smith, is there
- 6 any showing that the State could make that would satisfy
- 7 you, that would say, yes, that's a sufficient showing
- 8 for this law to go forward?
- 9 You know, I understand that you think that
- 10 the current studies don't suggest much of anything about
- 11 harm.
- MR. SMITH: No, they don't.
- 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: But -- but are there studies
- 14 that would be enough?
- MR. SMITH: Well, I guess I can imagine a
- 16 world in which expression could transform 75 percent of
- 17 the people who experience it into murderers. That's
- 18 clearly not the way the human mind works. And here the
- 19 reality is quite the opposite. Dr. Anderson testified
- 20 in the Illinois trial, which is in the record, that the
- 21 vast majority of people playing the games will grow up
- 22 and be just fine. And, in fact, he -- he acknowledged
- that the effects of these games are not one whit
- 24 different from watching cartoons on television or
- 25 reading violent passages in the Bible or looking at a

- 1 picture of a gun.
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: So why --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: But you really don't want
- 4 to argue the case on that ground. I -- I gather you
- 5 don't believe that the First Amendment reads: Congress
- 6 shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech except
- 7 those that make sense. Is that --
- 8 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, my main ground today
- 9 is exactly that, that this Court said last year in
- 10 United States v. Stevens it doesn't have a freewheeling
- 11 authority to create new exceptions to the First
- 12 Amendment after 200 years based on a cost-benefit
- 13 analysis, and this is -- this is a test of that. This
- 14 is exactly what the State of California is asking you to
- 15 do.
- JUSTICE ALITO: But we have here a new -- a
- 17 new medium that cannot possibly have been envisioned at
- 18 the time when the First Amendment was ratified. It is
- 19 totally different from -- it's one thing to read a
- 20 description of -- as one of these -- one of these video
- 21 games is promoted as saying, "What's black and white and
- 22 red all over? Perhaps the answer could include
- 23 disposing of your enemies in a meat grinder." Now,
- 24 reading that is one thing. Seeing it as graphically
- 25 portrayed --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: And doing it.
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: -- and doing it is still a
- 3 third thing.
- 4 So this presents a question that could not
- 5 have been specifically contemplated at the time when the
- 6 First Amendment was adopted. And to say, well, because
- 7 nobody was -- because descriptions in a book of violence
- 8 were not considered a category of speech that was
- 9 appropriate for limitation at the time when the First
- 10 Amendment was violated is entirely artificial.
- MR. SMITH: We do have a new medium here,
- 12 Your Honor, but we have a history in this country of new
- 13 mediums coming along and people vastly overreacting to
- 14 them, thinking the sky is falling, our children are all
- 15 going to be turned into criminals.
- 16 It started with the crime novels of the late
- 17 19th century, which produced this raft of legislation
- 18 which was never enforced. It started with comic books
- 19 and movies in the 1950s. There were hearings across the
- 20 street in the 1950s where social scientists came in and
- 21 intoned to the Senate that half the juvenile delinquency
- in this country was being caused by reading comic books,
- 23 and there was enormous pressure on the industry. They
- 24 censored -- they self-censored. We have television. We
- 25 have rock lyrics. We have the Internet.

- 1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Smith, do you think all
- 2 video games are speech in the first instance? Because
- 3 you could look at these games and say they're the
- 4 modern-day equivalent of Monopoly sets. They're games.
- 5 They're things that people use to compete. You know,
- 6 when you think about some of them -- the first video
- 7 game was Pong. It was playing tennis on your TV. How
- 8 is that speech at all?
- 9 MR. SMITH: The games that we are talking
- 10 about have narrative, events that are occurring,
- 11 characters, plot. And that's exactly what the State has
- 12 set out to regulate here. It says if these events occur
- 13 here -- there is violence, one person is hurting another
- 14 person -- it has to be a human being who's the victim --
- 15 and it's doing it in a -- in a way that they find
- offensive in some way, we're going to regulate it. So,
- 17 obviously, what --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: So are we going to separate
- 19 video games into narrative video games and non-narrative
- video games?
- 21 MR. SMITH: You don't have to, as long as
- 22 the law is limited to regulating narrative. That's what
- 23 this law is limited to. Now, if the law said you
- 24 shouldn't buy -- play games that have red images that
- 25 appear in them, or something else that was somehow

- 1 non-content based, that might be a closer case.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, what -- what about a
- 3 law that says you can't sell to minors a video game --
- 4 it doesn't care what the plot is, but no video game in
- 5 which the minor commits violent acts of maiming,
- 6 killing, setting people on fire? What about that?
- 7 Would that -- would that be regulating speech?
- 8 MR. SMITH: Well, of course, Your Honor.
- 9 That's exactly what -- what --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: It's not speech. You're
- 11 saying you just can't let the kid maim -- maim, kill --
- MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. Were you --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- or set on fire. What
- 14 the law would be directed at is not the plot, not the
- 15 video game itself, but the child's act of -- of
- 16 committing murder, maiming, and so forth.
- MR. SMITH: Well, the events in a video game
- 18 are -- what happens in the plot is a combination of what
- 19 the game gives you and what the player adds to it.
- 20 There's a -- there's a creative aspect coming at it from
- 21 the other side. It's often referred to as a dialogue
- 22 between the player and the game. I would submit that
- 23 both are completely protected by the First Amendment.
- 24 Just as a person actually --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: The child is speaking to

- 1 the game?
- 2 MR. SMITH: No. The child is helping to
- 3 make the plot, determine what happens in the events that
- 4 appear on the screen, just as an actor helps to portray
- 5 what happens in a play. You're acting out certain
- 6 elements of the play, and you're contributing to the
- 7 events that occur and adding a creative element of your
- 8 own. That's what makes them different and in many ways
- 9 wonderful.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Smith, your --
- 11 your challenge is a facial challenge?
- MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So that under --
- 14 whether you use the Salerno test or the Glucksberg test,
- 15 if there is either one or any applications that would
- 16 satisfy the Constitution, the facial challenge fails.
- 17 Right?
- 18 MR. SMITH: Very clear under the law of this
- 19 Court that those tests don't apply in a First Amendment
- 20 context if the -- the --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I thought we
- 22 referenced them last year in the Stevens case, and the
- 23 only reason we didn't have to decide which applies is
- 24 because we adopted an approach that looked at
- 25 overbreadth and said this statute is overbroad and

- 1 specifically didn't decide whether it could be applied
- 2 in that case to -- to crush videos.
- 3 MR. SMITH: Well, that's -- that's correct,
- 4 Your Honor, but I think it's -- there's no argument
- 5 here, I don't think, that if you can find one game out
- 6 there to which this can constitutionally be applied,
- 7 even though it would also be unconstitutionally applied
- 8 under the vast run of other cases --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I
- 10 understood -- the tenor of much of the questioning, I
- 11 think, is that there may be games and may be minors --
- 12 maybe a less violent game sold to a 17-year-old, perhaps
- 13 that violates the First Amendment, but something like
- 14 Postal 2 sold to a 10-year-old might -- might well not
- 15 violate the First Amendment to apply this law to that.
- MR. SMITH: Well, that may be --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And the way we
- 18 approached the issue in Stevens, where we had hunting
- 19 videos and crush videos, would say that it's too broad
- 20 to apply the law to everything, so we strike it down,
- 21 it's overbroad, but leave open the possibility that a
- 22 more narrowly drawn statute might pass muster.
- 23 Why -- why isn't that a good approach here?
- MR. SMITH: You certainly could do that.
- 25 Certainly, the key thing is that you strike down this

- 1 law, because this law is clearly much broader than any
- one game. I would submit to you, though, that there's
- 3 no way, in fact, anybody is going to be able to come
- 4 back and draw a statute that gets to what they claim,
- 5 because the English language is not susceptible of that
- 6 level of precision.
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. So it's not
- 8 susceptible. Throughout you've been arguing your point,
- 9 which is fair. You have some experts who -- who favor
- 10 you, and you make that point very strongly, and your
- 11 point's a pretty good one and a serious one, that it's
- 12 very hard to draw this line under traditional First
- 13 Amendment standards.
- But I'd like you to deal with their point
- 15 for a moment. And I take it their point is: There is
- 16 no new First Amendment thing here. There is a
- 17 category -- call them X -- which really are involving
- 18 things like torturing children, et cetera. Maybe you
- 19 don't like to sell them to anybody. You have them X'd
- 20 or some special thing. But they exist, and they fit
- 21 within a Miller-type definition. They are much worse
- 22 than the simple girlie magazine that was involved there.
- 23 And they will use traditional First Amendment tests;
- 24 that is to say, there is speech at issue, that speech is
- 25 being limited, it is being done for a good reason,

Official

- 1 compelling interest -- namely, this problem with the X
- 2 videos and the torture and living it through -- and
- 3 there is no less restrictive alternative that isn't also
- 4 significantly less effective.
- 5 See, I want you to deal with that directly,
- 6 because what you have been doing for the most part is
- 7 saying we have to be in some new, total new area, et
- 8 cetera. But their argument is you don't have to be in
- 9 some totally new area, et cetera. Apply traditional
- 10 First Amendment standards, and we win. That's their
- 11 argument, and I'd like to hear what you have to say
- 12 about that, specifically.
- MR. SMITH: Your Honor, they do not suggest
- 14 that there's any existing exception to the First
- 15 Amendment that would apply to violence.
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: This is not an exception.
- 17 It is the traditional strict scrutiny First Amendment
- 18 test.
- 19 MR. SMITH: Well, they make a feint at
- 20 trying to argue that --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. Then let's --
- 22 to get you to focus on it, I'll say I've made the
- 23 argument.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- 25 MR. SMITH: There you go. Your Honor, I

- 1 think if you apply strict scrutiny here, they do not
- 2 come close to the kind of showing that would be required
- 3 under -- under the First Amendment.
- 4 First of all, they have not shown any
- 5 problem, let alone a compelling problem, requiring
- 6 regulation here in a world where parents are fully
- 7 empowered already to make these calls, where crime,
- 8 including violent crime, since the introduction of these
- 9 games, has been plummeting in this country, down 50
- 10 percent since the day Doom first went on the market
- 11 15 years ago; in a world where parents are fully aware
- 12 of what's going on in their homes and aware of the
- 13 ratings system and can use all the other tools that we
- 14 have talked about --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But they have plenty of
- 16 evidence that --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why couldn't you make the
- 18 same arguments with respect to the obscenity statutes?
- MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, because
- 20 obscenity doesn't have strict scrutiny applied to it, if
- 21 it did, I expect you could make the same arguments, if
- 22 there were --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why shouldn't violence be
- 24 treated the same as obscenity?
- 25 MR. SMITH: Well, because, first of all, we

- 1 don't have the same history of it. There's no
- 2 historical pedigree of that kind of an exception. And,
- 3 as I was suggesting earlier, there's a fundamental
- 4 difference factually, which is Ginsberg works tolerably
- 5 well because we take everything that's sexually explicit
- 6 and appeals to a prurient interest, and we say over
- 7 here, it's not appropriate for minors.
- 8 Violence would require you to draw a much
- 9 different line between acceptable protected violence and
- 10 unacceptable unprotected violence for minors, and that
- 11 given the lack of historical pedigree but also just
- 12 given the nature of what you're trying to do --
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, the courts --
- 14 MR. SMITH: -- that's a --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: The courts struggled for
- 16 many, many years and are to some extent still struggling
- 17 with obscenity. They came up with basically what we
- 18 might call the Miller standards. And -- and the State
- 19 has said this gives us a category that we can work with,
- 20 with reference to violence.
- 21 MR. SMITH: And if you take the Miller
- 22 standards and you take two things out of it -- you take
- 23 out of it explicit sex and nudity, and you take out an
- 24 appeal to prurient interest -- what do you have left?
- 25 You have left -- what you have is a structure with no

- 1 apparent meaning. There is no way to know how a court
- 2 would apply a standard like deviant violence, morbid
- 3 violence, offensive violence, let alone decide which
- 4 video games have a redeeming social, political, artistic
- 5 value.
- 6 The value of a video game is completely in
- 7 the eye of the beholder. Some would say they're
- 8 beautiful works of artistic creation; others would
- 9 say --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You could make all those
- 11 arguments with reference to obscenity.
- 12 MR. SMITH: Except that you know -- we know,
- 13 we all know, at least with respect to Ginsberg -- adult
- 14 obscenity, I would acknowledge, is a very difficult
- 15 line. Adult -- Ginsberg works reasonably well, because
- 16 if it has sex in it and naked people having sex in it
- 17 and it's designed to be appealing to people's prurient
- 18 interests, you don't give it to minors. And you don't
- 19 have a lot of cases out there about that.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, and you started
- 21 Ginsberg with something that is proscribable even with
- 22 regard to adults.
- MR. SMITH: Correct, Your Honor.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: You know that there is such
- 25 a thing as -- as obscenity, which can be proscribed even

- 1 -- even as to adults; whereas in this case, I don't know
- 2 that there's such a thing as morbid violence which could
- 3 be eliminated from ordinary movies.
- 4 MR. SMITH: Let me -- I think a little
- 5 history is helpful here. This Court has twice dealt
- 6 with laws attempting to regulate violent works in the
- 7 past. One was in Winters v. New York, where law applied
- 8 to magazines and books, and one was in the 1960s. On
- 9 the very day Ginsberg came down, in the Interstate
- 10 Circuit case, the City of Dallas had an ordinance where
- 11 there was going to be a commission that was going to
- 12 review each movie and decide if it was appropriate for
- 13 children.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Let me be clear about
- 15 exactly what your argument is. Your argument is that
- 16 there is nothing that a State can do to limit minors'
- 17 access to the most violent, sadistic, graphic video game
- 18 that can be developed. That's your argument?
- MR. SMITH: My position is --
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it or isn't it?
- 21 MR. SMITH: My position is that strict
- 22 scrutiny applies, and that given the facts in the
- 23 record, given the fact that the -- the problem is
- 24 already well controlled, the parents are already
- 25 empowered, and there are greatly less alternatives out

- 1 there --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, when you --
- 3 MR. SMITH: -- there isn't any basis to say
- 4 scrutiny is satisfied.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, when you say that --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So, just to be
- 7 clear, your answer to Justice Alito is, at this point,
- 8 there is nothing the State can do?
- 9 MR. SMITH: Because there's no problem it
- 10 needs to solve that would justify --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Could I -- could I
- 12 just have a simple answer?
- 13 MR. SMITH: The answer is yes, Your Honor.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: There's nothing the
- 15 State can do.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Smith, how can you
- 17 say that? There's plenty of proof that -- that children
- 18 are going into stores and buying these games despite the
- 19 voluntary rating system, despite the voluntary retailer
- 20 restraint by some. There's still proof out there, and
- 21 an abundance of it, that kids are buying the games.
- MR. SMITH: I disagree.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And there's proof that
- 24 some parents, as well-intentioned as they may or may not
- 25 be, have not been able to supervise that. So I --

- 1 starting from the proposition that there is a problem,
- 2 it's a compelling State need, why are you arguing that
- 3 there is no solution that the State could use to address
- 4 that problem?
- 5 MR. SMITH: The -- the existing solutions
- 6 are perfectly capable of allowing this problem to be
- 7 addressed, assuming it is a problem. And I would --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But it's still about 20
- 9 percent of sales are going to kids.
- 10 MR. SMITH: That's when they send in
- 11 somebody who's 16 to test the system. There isn't any
- 12 evidence at all in this record that actual children, not
- 13 testers, are in fact disobeying their parents and
- 14 secretly buying these games, bringing them into the
- 15 home, and playing them for years with their parents
- 16 unaware of it. There's simply no evidence of that at
- 17 all.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Could you have a law
- 19 that says the State has to put -- the dealers have to
- 20 put the violent video games in a particular area of the
- 21 video store?
- MR. SMITH: There -- there is --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That is not -- and
- 24 then -- you know, and minors are not allowed in that
- 25 area.

- 1 MR. SMITH: Well, if what you're saying is
- 2 you're going to have a limit on the ability of minors to
- 3 buy them because of walled off, and minors are not
- 4 allowed --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes.
- 6 MR. SMITH: -- to go pick them off the
- 7 shelf, then I don't know how that differs from the
- 8 current law, Your Honor, assuming you could figure
- 9 out --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Your answer -- your answer
- 11 to the first question of Justice Alito and the Chief
- 12 Justice was yes, isn't that -- that you are saying
- 13 there's nothing they can do. So now, am I right about
- 14 that or am I not right?
- MR. SMITH: Yes. Strict scrutiny does not
- 16 make sense --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: I am right? Okay. All I
- 18 wanted was an answer to that.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So they can't say,
- 20 example, all the -- all the highest rated videos have to
- 21 be on the top shelf out of the reach of children. Can
- 22 they do that?
- 23 MR. SMITH: I would think that that's
- 24 probably not --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's what they do

- 1 with cigarettes or something, isn't it?
- 2 MR. SMITH: Except that cigarettes are not
- 3 speech, Your Honor. This is fully protected speech.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I know that
- 5 cigarettes are not speech, Mr. Smith.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Cigarettes are
- 8 something that we have determined are harmful to
- 9 children. The question is, you say the record doesn't
- 10 support the idea that these video games are harmful to
- 11 children. Some of us may conclude that it does.
- MR. SMITH: Well, surely the record doesn't
- 13 support it. The record says that if -- even if you take
- 14 the studies at face value, it's not one whit more
- 15 harmful than watching television cartoons. That's what
- 16 the record shows.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But on that -- on that
- 18 score, Mr. Smith, there is a study by the FCC. The
- 19 question is whether violence can be restricted during
- 20 the hours when most children are awake, just the way
- 21 pornography is. I don't remember what -- what are the
- 22 hours, that -- something like from 10:00 in the evening
- 23 until --
- I don't -- but -- but didn't the FCC say,
- 25 yes, we could do the same thing for violence that we're

- doing for sex, except we don't think we ought to do it;
- 2 we think Congress should do it?
- 3 MR. SMITH: What they did was they spent
- 4 several years trying to come up with a definition that
- 5 would allow anybody to figure out which violent TV shows
- 6 have to be put into this adult category and which don't,
- 7 and they eventually punted and said we have no idea how
- 8 to do that; Congress asked us to do it; we cannot do it;
- 9 and they punted it back to Congress to try to come up
- 10 with a definition.
- 11 This is a very difficult task, trying to use
- 12 language to differentiate levels of violence or types of
- violence in a manner that would in some way tell people
- 14 what the rules of the game are. I think, even if you
- 15 think that there's some problem out there that needs to
- 16 be solved, you ought to think very carefully about
- 17 whether or not you're going to authorize the creation of
- 18 some new rule authorizing regulation in this area, when
- 19 no one will have any idea what the scope of it is.
- JUSTICE ALITO: And you say there's no
- 21 problem because 16-year-olds in California never have
- 22 \$50 available to go buy a video game and because they
- 23 never have TVs in their room, and their parents are
- 24 always home watching what they -- they do with their
- 25 video games, and the parents -- and the video games have

- 1 features that allow parents to block access, to block
- 2 the playing of violent video games, which can't be
- 3 overcome by a computer-savvy California 16-year-old;
- 4 that's why there's no problem, right?
- 5 MR. SMITH: I quess, if what we're really
- 6 going to do is judge the constitutionality of this law
- 7 based on what 16- and 17-year-olds are getting and
- 8 whether that would be harmful to them, I think the
- 9 problem there is the line between 16 and 17 and 18 is so
- 10 fine, that you're not going to be able to identify any
- 11 real category of games that fits into that category.
- 12 And it's important, by the way, to -- to
- 13 note that California hasn't told us whether we should
- 14 judge it for 5-year-olds, 10-year-olds, 17-year-olds.
- 15 If it's 5-year-olds, then it's vastly over-restrictive;
- 16 if it's 17-year-olds, I suspect -- I suspect it wouldn't
- 17 restrict anything because nobody is going to be able to
- 18 convince a -- jury, well, this is an 18-year-old game,
- 19 not a 17-year-old game.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We draw that kind of
- 21 line of course in the death penalty area, don't we?
- 22 Between 18-year-olds? You are under 18; you can't be
- 23 sentenced to life without parole; if you were over 18,
- 24 you can.
- 25 MR. SMITH: You do draw that line, Your

- 1 Honor.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And we do it for
- 3 drinking; we do it for driving.
- 4 MR. SMITH: But here you're assessing works
- 5 of expression and trying to decide what age they -- they
- 6 would correspond to, and I don't think you can cut it
- 7 that finely and say, well, this is an 18 game; this is
- 8 only a 17 game. I just don't think that works. So if
- 9 that's the test, the test Justice Breyer suggested it
- 10 ought to be, then the statute essentially would restrict
- 11 nothing. If the test is 5-year-olds --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Stick to the X things,
- 13 maybe. Maybe it would restrict the total gratuitous
- 14 torture. And if that's what it restricted, why is that
- 15 such a terrible thing?
- MR. SMITH: Well, first of all --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: And as you experimented
- 18 with other things, as they did in the obscenity area,
- 19 you could discover you could limit it to that.
- 20 MR. SMITH: I think the "maybe" is telling,
- 21 though, Your Honor. Somebody, as Justice Scalia pointed
- 22 out, in publishing a game has to know what -- what to --
- 23 what the rules of the game are in advance, subject to
- 24 hundreds of millions of dollars of penalties. There's a
- 25 \$1,000 a game penalty if you have the wrong --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, you have your rules,
- 2 so why wouldn't the first step be they'd follow your
- 3 rules? Your rules. The X things would be limited to
- 4 people who are over 18, and we'll see if we ever get
- 5 prosecuted for a different one. And you might never.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Our rules wouldn't help you at
- 7 all. They say that -- that -- they're only restricting
- 8 a smaller number, a small subset of M-rated games,
- 9 which, by the way, we say are appropriate for
- 10 17-year-olds. So you have -- these ratings that the
- 11 State wants us to impose are going to conflict with the
- 12 ratings that are already on the packaging which are
- 13 being used by parents every day to make these judgments.
- 14 So it's actually interfering -- the prospect of it would
- interfere with the information already on the packaging.
- Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
- 18 Smith.
- 19 Mr. Morazzini, you have 4 minutes remaining.
- 20 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF ZACKERY P. MORAZZINI
- 21 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
- MR. MORAZZINI: Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Chief Justice.
- I wanted to address one point that has been
- 25 raised about minors' ability to access these games.

- 1 Yes, new games do cost \$60, but California's law also
- 2 regulates the rental of these video games, which is just
- 3 a few dollars per game. So minors certainly can afford
- 4 them and can access them.
- 5 But I also wanted to draw out the point that
- 6 California's law really is not an ordinance that's
- 7 directed to a plot of a game. It's expressly directed
- 8 to games with essentially no plot, no artistic value.
- 9 This is the helpful nature of the third prong of the
- 10 Miller standard. So it really is only going after the
- 11 nature of the game where the child is acting out --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Excuse me. If it has a
- 13 plot, it has artistic value? Is that going to be the
- 14 test for artistic value? Anything that has a plot?
- 15 MR. MORAZZINI: It will be one factor to be
- 16 considered, Justice Scalia.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well --
- 18 MR. MORAZZINI: The nature of the plot and
- 19 the nature of the --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Yes, one factor to be
- 21 considered, sure. But you're not telling us that, so
- long as it has a plot, it's okay?
- 23 MR. MORAZZINI: No. Your Honor, as this
- 24 Court held in the Jacobellis case, a single quotation
- 25 from Voltaire on the flyleaf of an otherwise obscene

- 1 work was not going to make that work non-obscene.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: You can't have artistic
- 3 videos that involve maiming and cutting off heads and
- 4 eviscerating people, and pouring gas, right? So long as
- 5 its artistic, it's okay.
- 6 MR. MORAZZINI: No, if the -- if the level
- 7 of the violence -- just as in obscenity, if the level of
- 8 the violence causes the game as a whole to lack the
- 9 artistic -- it's -- it's a balance, Your Honor, just as
- 10 it is with sexual material. Each aspect -- that's why
- 11 violence and sex are so similar --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Artistic for whom? For a
- 13 5-year-old? What a 5-year-old would appreciate as great
- 14 art, is that going to be the test?
- MR. MORAZZINI: No. Again, minors as a
- 16 class. So those under 18 years old. Those under --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Do you think Mortal Kombat
- 18 is prohibited by this statute?
- 19 MR. MORAZZINI: I believe it's a candidate,
- Your Honor, but I haven't played the game and been
- 21 exposed to it sufficiently to judge for myself.
- 22 JUSTICE KAGAN: It's a candidate, meaning,
- 23 you know, yes, a reasonable jury could find that Mortal
- 24 Kombat -- which is, you know, an iconic game, which I'm
- 25 sure half of the clerks who work for us spent

- 1 considerable amounts of time in their adolescence
- 2 playing.
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Kagan --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't know what she's
- 6 talking about.
- 7 (Laughter.)
- 8 MR. MORAZZINI: Justice Kagan, by
- 9 "candidate," I meant that the video game industry should
- 10 look at it, should take a long look at it. Now -- but I
- 11 don't know off the top of my head. I'm willing to state
- 12 right here in open court that the video game Postal 2,
- 13 yes, would be covered by this Act. I'm willing to guess
- that games we described in our brief, such as MadWorld,
- 15 would be covered by the Act. I think the video game
- 16 industry --
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Would a video game that
- 18 portrayed a Vulcan, as opposed to a human being, being
- 19 maimed and tortured -- would that be covered by the Act?
- MR. MORAZZINI: No, it wouldn't, Your Honor,
- 21 because the Act is only directed towards the range of
- 22 options that are able to be inflicted on a human being.
- 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So if the -- if the
- video producer says this is not a human being, it's an
- 25 android computer-simulated person, then that doesn't --

- 1 all they have to do is put a little part or feature on
- 2 the creature and they could sell the video game?
- 3 MR. MORAZZINI: Under the Act, yes, because
- 4 California's concern -- I think this is one of the
- 5 reasons that sex and violence are so similar. These are
- 6 base physical acts we're talking about, Justice
- 7 Sotomayor. So limiting, narrowing our law here in
- 8 California -- there in California -- to violence,
- 9 violent depictions against human beings --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what happens when the
- 11 character gets maimed, head chopped off, and immediately
- 12 after it happens they spring back to life and they
- 13 continue their battle? Is that covered by your Act?
- 14 Because they haven't been maimed and killed forever.
- 15 Just temporarily.
- 16 MR. MORAZZINI: I would think so. The
- 17 intent of the law is to limit minors' access to those
- 18 games --
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You think so? Isn't
- 20 that feedback to Justice Scalia's question?
- 21 MR. MORAZZINI: Well, Your Honor, this --
- 22 this is a facial challenge. This -- this statute has
- 23 not been applied, has not been even construed by a State
- 24 or Federal court below, but --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

Official

1	Mr. Smith.	
2		MR. MORAZZINI: Thank you.
3		CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The case is
4	submitted.	
5		(Whereupon, at 11:04 a.m., the case in the
6	above-entit	led matter was submitted.)
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	adopt 4:8	44:10,15,17 45:3	appropriate 10:14	authority 4:1 35:17
ability 4:9 51:2	adopted 17:11 38:6	American 16:11	10:15 30:13 38:9	37:11
56:25	41:24	18:10,11 29:12,13	46:7 48:12 56:9	authorize 53:17
able 43:3 49:25	adult 5:25 47:13,15	amount 14:2	appropriateness	authorizing 53:18
54:10,17 59:22	53:6	amounts 59:1	24:16 36:1	authors 6:10
above-entitled 1:13	adults 8:24 25:21	ample 31:3	area 14:18 15:9	available 7:3 12:17
61:6	28:6 47:22 48:1	analogy 19:4	27:20 44:7,9 50:20	25:16 30:12 53:22
abridging 16:5 37:6	advance 55:23	analysis 37:13	50:25 53:18 54:21	average 10:13 11:4
absolutely 12:1 18:8	advertising 21:1	Anderson 7:7 29:5	55:18	11:6
abundance 49:21	advisory 24:3	29:14 36:19	argue 35:19 37:4	avoiding 34:6
accept 11:24	affect 16:16	android 59:25	44:20	awake 52:20
acceptable 28:12	afford 57:3	answer29:19 30:16	arguing 43:8 50:2	aware 23:17 24:9
46:9	age 9:24 10:1 11:10	34:6 37:22 49:7,12	argument 1:14 2:2,5	27:13 45:11,12
access 3:14,16,21	55:5	49:13 51:10,10,18	2:8 3:3,7 7:25 8:5	a.m 1:15 3:2 61:5
7:3 17:2 22:25	ages 36:3	answering 7:6	19:12 21:15 26:6	
23:8 48:17 54:1	aggression 6:12	anybody 17:10,13	42:4 44:8,11,23	<u> </u>
56:25 57:4 60:17	aggressor 5:25	43:3,19 53:5	48:15,15,18 56:20	babies 30:5
acknowledge 47:14	ago 45:11	apologize 18:1	arguments 45:18,21	back 4:20 12:4,16
acknowledged	agree 9:11,13 21:24	apparent 47:1	47:11	17:25 18:18,23
36:22	Agreed 4:24	apparently 35:2	ARNOLD 1:3	27:21 31:21 43:4
act 20:2,3,7,9 23:20	AL 1:4,8	appeal 46:24	art 58:14	53:9 60:12
23:21 40:15 59:13	Alito 9:23 10:12	appealing 21:21	artificial 38:10	bad 16:14 24:2 30:5
59:15,19,21 60:3	17:3,7 23:7,15,18	47:17	artistic 11:19 28:20	32:2 35:21,22
60:13	34:24 35:7,19 37:2	appeals 9:21 11:15	47:4,8 57:8,13,14	balance 58:9
acted 27:17	37:16 38:2 48:14	46:6	58:2,5,9,12	ban 5:2
acting 6:1 25:5 41:5	48:20 49:7 51:11	appear 39:25 41:4	asked 23:25 53:8	barrier 22:23 23:8
57:11	53:20	APPEARANCES	asking 15:8 16:10	base 9:21 60:6
action 19:1	allow22:25 35:15	1:16	18:24 26:15 37:14	based 26:11 27:21
actively 33:5,12	35:18 53:5 54:1	appears 6:16	asks 4:7	37:12 40:1 54:7
activities 6:11	allowed 17:2 50:24	applicable 3:24	aspect 40:20 58:10	basically 22:17
actor 41:4	51:4	applications 41:15	aspects 29:20 30:9	46:17
acts 19:8,15 40:5	allowing 50:6	applied 10:8 42:1,6	32:8	basis 13:25 27:18
60:6	alternative 25:12	42:7 45:20 48:7	assaulting 12:19	49:3
actual 50:12	44:3	60:23	assessing 55:4	battle 60:13
adding 41:7	alternatives 48:25	applies 12:9 41:23	association 1:8 3:5	beautiful 47:8
additional 29:22,24	altogether 21:15	48:22	18:10,11 29:12,13	beauty 8:7 14:17
address 29:21 50:3	Amendment 8:14	apply 9:2 12:10	35:1	beg 33:6
56:24	16:4,12,20 17:11	26:14 41:19 42:15	assuming 50:7 51:8	behalf 1:18,20 2:4,7
addressed 20:17	18:21,22 26:13,16	42:20 44:9,15 45:1	attached 35:9	2:10 3:8 26:7
50:7	33:16,23 34:7,12	47:2	attempt 21:17	56:21
adds 40:19	34:15,23 35:5,18	appreciate 58:13	attempting 48:6	beholder 47:7
adjust 27:23	37:5,12,18 38:6,10	approach 41:24	Attorney 1:17	beings 60:9
admit 29:16	40:23 41:19 42:13	42:23	at-large 27:15	believe 5:13 6:8
adolescence 59:1	42:15 43:13,16,23	approached 42:18	audience 9:1	7:23 10:10 14:6

15:21 17:15,24	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	causes 58:8	children's 19:18	45:5 50:2
18:19 23:10 24:17	C 2:1 3:1	censor 25:5	child's 27:4 40:15	compete 39:5
25:14,24 37:5	California 1:4,18	censored 38:24	choose 29:17	completely 40:23
58:19	3:11,15 4:7 5:13	censors 24:25	choosing 15:17	47:6
believes 11:4	5:22 6:13,21 8:22	censorship 24:13	chopped 60:11	comply 13:11
belongs 24:4	10:9,19,20,24	35:12	cigarettes 52:1,2,5	computer 30:25
Bible 36:25	15:16 19:23 21:25	century 38:17	52:7	computer-savvy
big 10:20,23	22:7,14,23 23:1,8	certain 3:17 15:4,4	circuit 20:2,12,19	54:3
bill 31:14	23:10,12 24:2,2,12	18:12 20:11 41:5	21:5 35:13 48:10	computer-simulat
black 37:21	25:4 26:10,12 27:6	certainly 8:10 21:17	Circuit's 21:18	59:25
block 54:1,1	34:25 35:8 37:14	28:8 42:24,25 57:3	cite 20:25	concede 21:17
board 24:25	53:21 54:3,13 60:8	cetera 28:21 43:18	City 48:10	conceded 21:20
body 20:20 21:6	60:8	44:8,9	civil 35:2,8	concern 6:13 19:9
book 38:7	California's 8:8 10:7	challenge 33:22	claim 3:25 43:4	60:4
books 5:8 26:19,24	19:21 20:20 23:20	41:11,11,16 60:22	clarification 20:1	concerned 3:14,16
38:18,22 48:8	23:21 25:2 57:1,6	challenged 20:3	class 10:11 58:16	7:2 13:8,9 16:2,3,4
boundaries 27:23	60:4	Chaplinsky 18:25	clear 13:14 41:18	19:24
brand-new26:16	call 24:4,12 43:17	character 60:11	48:14 49:7	concerns 30:13
Breyer 11:13 12:1,2	46:18	characters 39:11	clearly 8:19 12:7	concession 21:9
18:2,9,17,24 28:13	calls 45:7	charged 11:2	36:18 43:1	conclude 52:11
29:2,24 31:20	candidate 58:19,22	Chief 3:3,9 5:18	clerk 22:24	conclusion 29:10
32:14,20 43:7	59:9	6:18 26:2,5,8,23	clerks 58:25	conflict 56:11
44:16,21 51:10,17	capable 50:6	27:9,17 30:15 33:2	clip 7:17	Congress 7:1 16:4
55:9,12,17 56:1	care 40:4	33:21 34:5,10,14	clips 13:6 25:21	37:5 53:2,8,9
brief 20:16 59:14	careful 19:2	41:10,13,21 42:9	close 45:2	consensus 14:21,23
briefing 25:19	carefully 53:16	42:17 49:6,11,14	closer 40:1	15:9,17
briefs 12:6	cartoon 7:13	50:18,23 51:5,11	Code 23:11	consider 10:9 11:5
bring 30:22	cartoons 7:20 36:24	51:19,25 52:4,7	cognizable 35:25	24:11
bringing 50:14	52:15	54:20 55:2 56:17	combination 40:18	considerable 59:1
brings 25:20	case 3:4,24 15:19	56:23 60:25 61:3	come 29:10 30:1	considered 38:8
broad 42:19	19:24 20:25,25	child 10:2 11:21	43:3 45:2 53:4,9	57:16,21
broader43:1	21:19,22 28:8 31:7	26:25 27:1,2 28:15	comic 5:8 38:18,22	Constitution 8:25
Bugs 7:8,10	31:7 37:4 40:1	28:15 30:22 31:24	coming 38:13 40:20	9:4 34:4,23 41:16
build 15:17	41:22 42:2 48:1,10	31:25 40:25 41:2	comment 14:14	constitutional 26:17
Bunny 7:8,10	57:24 61:3,5	57:11	commission 35:12	constitutionality
buy 11:21,22 28:23	cases 31:8 42:8	children 4:2,5 5:6	48:11	54:6
30:6,6 31:24 32:1	47:19	6:25 7:22 16:16	commits 40:5	constitutionally
32:4,4 39:24 51:3	categories 14:18	18:13 22:11 28:1	committing 19:15	42:6
53:22	category 3:17 5:5	28:18 29:15 30:1,2	40:16	construed 10:7
buying 31:16 49:18	8:10,15,16 14:4	30:14 32:3,10,15	common 27:14,18	60:23
49:21 50:14	31:19 38:8 43:17	32:24,25 33:4,11	28:13,14,21 30:7	consumer 21:4
buys 22:8	46:19 53:6 54:11	36:2 38:14 43:18	31:20,21,22	contemplated 38:5
bypass 25:22 30:16	54:11	48:13 49:17 50:12	community 11:3,17	contend 26:13
bypassing 25:20	caused 23:2 38:22	51:21 52:9,11,20	compelling 44:1	content 25:7 26:11
				1

36:3	covers 12:17 14:5	delinquency 38:21	difficult 25
context 10:5 41:20	crafted 3:20	demonstrates 5:23	53:11
continually 6:15	create 16:10 18:12	deny 26:17	direct 4:1
continue 60:13	32:10 33:15 37:11	depart 7:21	directed 9
contrary 18:14,15	created 33:18	departing 4:16	57:7,7 59
contributing 41:6	creating 24:12	depictions 15:20	directly 9:
control 21:13	creation 47:8 53:17	60:9	disagree 4
controllable 24:20	creative 40:20 41:7	depth 29:7	disagreem
controlled 48:24	creature 60:2	Deputy 1:17	29:6
controls 25:15,20	crime 38:16 45:7,8	describe 12:12	discover 5
25:22 30:10,17	criminal 13:13 20:9	described 32:1	discretion
31:5	criminals 38:15	33:25 59:14	discussion
convene 13:18	crush 42:2,19	describes 26:20	14:25
convince 54:18	current 36:10 51:8	description 33:9	dismembe
convinced 13:24	cut 5:7 55:6	37:20	12:18
COPA 31:7	cutting 58:3	descriptions 38:7	disobeying
cordon 19:2		designed 14:15	disposing
correct 19:17 20:13	D	47:17	distinction
21:18 42:3 47:23	D 3:1	despite 49:18,19	35:25
correspond 55:6	Dallas 48:10	determine 41:3	distinction
cost 31:10,11 57:1	dangerous 5:6	determined 4:10	distributio
cost-benefit 37:12	day 10:17 13:25	52:8	distributor
counsel 60:25	16:19,19 26:21	developed 15:20	district 20
country 18:5 32:11	45:10 48:9 56:13	48:18	doing 25:3
33:4,11 38:12,22	deal 30:12 43:14	developing 6:16	30:21 33
45:9	44:5	development 3:19	39:15 44
counts 12:5,22	dealers 50:19	4:2,11 9:18 19:19	dollars 55:
course 13:12 16:16	dealt 48:5	22:10 27:4	Doom 45:1
40:8 54:21	death 54:21	developmental 23:2	Dr 29:4,5
court 1:1,14 3:10,12	decades 6:25	deviant 3:16 4:9,12	draw34:22
3:20 4:7 17:2 18:4	decapitating 33:7	4:13,15 5:10,14	43:4,12
18:24 19:1 20:2,12	decide 8:15,16 9:25	9:16 12:5 15:19	54:25 57
20:13,21 23:3 26:9	16:19 26:22 27:15	24:5,6 26:22 47:2	drawn 42:2
26:15,21 27:11,17	41:23 42:1 47:3	dialogue 40:21	drinking 1
28:10 31:6 33:8,15	48:12 55:5	difference 5:4 32:17	16:14 55
35:12 37:9 41:19	decided 35:6	32:18,19,23 33:3	driving 55
47:1 48:5 57:24	decision 22:16	46:4	D.C 1:10,2
59:12 60:24	deeply 19:23	different 8:13 19:8	D.C 1.10,2
courts 18:5 46:13,15	1 00 100 10	27:3 30:19 32:12	I
cover 14:5	definitely 28:5	36:1,3,3,3,24	E 2:1 3:1,1
cover 14:5 covered 8:10 12:8	definition 17:1 25:8	37:19 41:8 46:9	earlier 46:
12:14 13:2,3,15	28:11 43:21 53:4	56:5	earliest 17
	52.10	differentiate 53:12	early 17:2
14:3,7 59:13,15,19	degree 15:7		effect 7:7
60:13	405100 13.7	differs 3:12 51:7	JIICCE /./

5:11 47:14 19:21 effective 44:4 22:10 **effects** 36:23 9:10 40:14 egregious 9:14 9:21 either 41:15 :18 44:5 **element** 30:18 41:7 49:22 **elements** 30:19 41:6 nent 29:5 eliminated 48:3 empowered45:7 55:19 48:25 n 35:17 enacted 17:16 ns 14:25 **enacting** 6:14 18:21 encourage 32:15 ering encouraged 32:10 enemies 37:23 **g** 50:13 enforced38:18 37:23 enforcement 17:22 n 26:24 enforcing 23:16 **English** 12:13 34:22 43:5 ns 10:24 on 26:11 **enjoy** 17:7 rs 25:6 enormous 38:23 0:12 33:8 **ensure** 22:14 3 27:1,2 ensures 8:9 3:14 38:1,2 ensuring 22:22 4:6 53:1 entered 17:22 :24 57:3 entertainment 1:7 10 3:5 7:14,15,18 36:19 **entirely** 15:8 33:16 2 35:24 38:10 46:8 54:20 entitled 22:10 7:5 envisioned 37:17 22 episode 7:8 15:24,25 equally 3:23 5:3 equivalent 39:4 5:3 **erect** 22:23 20 **especially** 6:2 9:21 **ESQ** 1:17,20 2:3,6,9 \mathbf{E} **ESRB** 35:11 1 essentially 55:10 5:3 57:8 7:18,21,24 established 4:16,17 25 7:21 15:25 **et** 1:4,8 28:20 43:18

	l	l	I	ı
44:7,9	expressive 26:11,18	42:5 58:23	G	46:4 47:13,15,21
evening 52:22	26:20	fine 36:22 54:10	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:1	48:9
events 39:10,12	expressly 57:7	finely 55:7	game 4:13,14 9:25	Ginsberg-like 26:16
40:17 41:3,7	extend 5:10	fire 33:10 34:19	10:1 11:14 12:9,14	Ginsberg-type
eventually 53:7	extent 46:16	40:6,13	13:6,15,24 18:22	23:13
evidence 5:23 18:20	eye 47:7	first 3:4,25 8:1,13	28:15 30:22,24	Ginsburg 5:4 10:19
27:7 29:18 45:16		16:4,12,20 17:10	33:24 34:2 35:16	11:1 18:23 19:6
50:12,16	F	18:21,22 26:13,16	39:7 40:3,4,15,17	20:1 21:25 23:12
eviscerating 58:4	face 11:25 19:1	32:9 33:16,23 34:7	40:19,22 41:1 42:5	23:24 24:10 27:24
exactly 37:9,14	52:14	34:12,15,23 35:5	42:12 43:2 47:6	28:4 52:17
39:11 40:9 48:15	facial 33:22 41:11	35:17 37:5,11,18	48:17 53:14,22	Ginsburg's 5:19
example 5:20 51:20	41:16 60:22	38:6,9 39:2,6	54:18,19 55:7,8,22	girlie 27:25 43:22
exception 16:19,21	fact 6:25 15:18	40:23 41:19 42:13	55:23,25 57:3,7,11	give 47:18
17:12 26:16 27:21	16:24 20:24 23:20	42:15 43:12,16,23	58:8,20,24 59:9,12	given 19:12 21:15
27:23 33:16,17,20	36:22 43:3 48:23	44:10,14,17 45:3,4	59:15,17 60:2	46:11,12 48:22,23
34:12,22 44:14,16	50:13	45:10,25 51:11	games 3:18 4:9 5:7	gives 40:19 46:19
46:2	factor 57:15,20	55:16 56:2	5:9,20,24 6:5,9,10	glorification 15:24
exceptions 37:11	facts 48:22	fit 22:11 43:20	6:22 7:24 9:19	Glucksberg 41:14
excessive 15:23	factually 46:4	fits 54:11	10:23 11:12 12:10	go 12:16 15:8 17:25
excruciating 28:17	fails 41:16	five 30:19	12:11,17,20 13:2,2	18:23 28:23 30:6
Excuse 57:12	fair 11:9 43:9	five-minute 7:17	13:10,25 14:7 17:5	31:24,25 32:4
exemplary 6:9,11	fairy 4:22 5:8 8:2,11	flexible 8:25	18:12 19:14 20:22	35:14 36:8 44:25
6:12	fall 33:8	flyleaf 57:25	24:7 26:19,25 29:7	51:6 53:22
exercise 31:3	falling 38:14	focus 44:22	29:9 31:4,16 32:1	goes 23:22 27:21
exercising 29:22	falls 21:22	follow 56:2	35:1,3,21,22 36:21	going 5:2 18:18
35:5	Families 36:1	forbid 31:23	36:23 37:21 39:2,3	19:14 28:12,16
exist 43:20	family 30:25 36:4	forever 60:14	39:4,9,19,19,20	31:21 33:15,18
existing 16:25 44:14	Fathers 18:20	form 5:11	39:24 42:11 45:9	38:15 39:16,18
50:5	favor 43:9	forth 5:16 40:16	47:4 49:18,21	43:3 45:12 48:11
exists 35:11	FCC 52:18,24	forward 36:8	50:14,20 52:10	48:11 49:18 50:9
expect 45:21	feature 32:9 60:1	found 12:7 20:15,21	53:25,25 54:2,11	51:2 53:17 54:6,10
experience 36:17	features 54:1	21:5 31:6	56:8,25 57:1,2,8	54:17 56:11 57:10
experimented 55:17	Federal 60:24	founding 18:20	59:14 60:18	57:13 58:1,14
expert 13:5 29:17	feedback 60:20	27:22	gas 58:4	good 24:1 35:20
experts 43:9	feint 44:19	free 26:15	gasoline 33:9	42:23 43:11,25
explicit 32:24 46:5	felt 27:22	freedom 16:5,6,8	gather 22:1 37:4	gore 22:3
46:23	Ferguson 29:4	37:6	General 1:18	government 21:2
expose 33:12	field 8:14	freewheeling 37:10	generally 19:19	24:19,24 35:14
exposed 7:23 18:13	fighting 18:6,6,25	front 22:23	generations 14:20	governmental 20:23
58:21	19:4,7	FTC 7:1	Gentile 6:8,8	GOVERNOR 1:3
exposure 23:4 35:21	figure 33:19 51:8	fully 45:6,11 52:3	getting 54:7	grant 26:15
35:22	53:5	fundamental 3:23	Ginsberg 3:13,20	graphic 32:25 33:2
expression 36:16	films 5:7 32:24,25	6:13 22:21 46:3	10:6 16:25 22:9	48:17
55:5	find 18:19 39:15	further 23:22	23:4,5,22,23 27:11	graphically 37:24

_	l		l	<u> </u>
gratuitous 28:17	helps 41:4	I	intended 18:21	15:9
30:4 32:3 55:13	highest 51:20	iconic 58:24	intensity 14:1	juries 10:16 24:16
great 14:15 58:13	highlight 16:24	idea 52:10 53:7,19	intent 60:17	24:20,21,22
greatly 48:25	hinged 20:19	identify 54:10	interactive 5:23	jury 10:8 11:2,10
grim 4:22	historic 17:15	illegal 20:22	interest 4:4 19:7,13	13:5,9,12,12,19
Grimms 4:21 5:8 8:2	historical 8:17 18:19	Illinois 36:20	19:13,16,18,22	54:18 58:23
8:11	46:2,11	ill-defined 26:18	44:1 46:6,24	Justice 3:3,9 4:12
grinder 37:23	historically 7:23 9:3	images 39:24	interesting 21:8	4:17,21 5:1,4,18
ground 37:4,8	history 29:23 32:11	imagine 27:25 28:16	interests 11:16	5:19 6:4,18,19 7:5
group 9:24 11:11	38:12 46:1 48:5	36:15	22:21 47:18	7:6,12,20,25 8:12
groups 7:1 29:10	hitting 33:5	immediate 19:1	interfere 56:15	9:6,12,14,15,23
grow 36:21	holding 20:19	immediately 60:11	interfering 56:14	10:12,19 11:1,6,9
guarantee 18:21	home 29:25 30:1,22	immemorial 33:14	internal 19:22	11:13 12:1,2,3,4
guarantees 9:4	30:24 50:15 53:24	impact 6:24 27:3	Internet 25:20	12:15,21 13:1,8,18
guess 36:15 54:5	homes 45:12	importance 11:18	38:25	13:21,23 14:3,8,13
59:13	Honor 4:15,24 5:3	important 22:13,15	interpret 25:11	15:14,23 16:23
guidance 3:15	5:12,21 6:8,23 8:6	28:9 32:8 35:24	interrupt 30:16	17:3,4,7,8,18,21
gun 37:1	8:22 10:4,16 12:24	54:12	Interstate 35:13	18:2,9,17,18,23
	13:4,16 14:10	impose 28:17 56:11	48:9	18:24 19:6,10,20
<u>H</u>	15:20 17:14,23	inappropriate 34:2	intoned38:21	19:25 20:1,5,6,9
half 38:21 58:25	20:18 21:24 22:9	include 16:7,8 37:22	intrinsic 19:22	20:14,16 21:8,16
halfway 11:7	24:15 25:14 27:5	includes 12:18	introduction 45:8	21:20,25 22:1,6,12
happens 32:25 33:1	28:7,25 30:10,19	including 45:8	involve 22:15,22	22:17 23:7,12,15
40:18 41:3,5 60:10	31:12 37:8 38:12	incorporated 5:13	58:3	23:18,24 24:10,11
60:12	40:8 41:12 42:4	incorporating 8:7	involved 28:5 43:22	24:19,24 25:2,9,17
hard 18:19 43:12	44:13,25 45:19	23:21	involving 43:17	25:23,25 26:2,5,8
harm 19:22 23:2	47:23 49:13 51:8	increase 29:11	issue 3:11,12 7:17	26:23 27:9,17,24
29:19,20 31:1	52:3 55:1,21 57:23	independent 4:3	8:23 10:6 16:25	28:4,13 29:2,24
35:25 36:11	58:9,20 59:20	indicates 15:10	19:9 23:5,22 26:10	30:15 31:9,13,20
harmful 3:14,18	60:21	indication 17:10	42:18 43:24	32:14,20 33:2,21
4:10 6:2,5 9:18	hope 30:2	individual 6:1 14:11		34:5,10,14,24 35:7
23:19 52:8,10,15	horrible 11:23	industry 13:24	<u>J</u>	35:19 36:5,13 37:2
54:8	hour 28:19	38:23 59:9,16	Jacobellis 57:24	37:3,16 38:1,2
head 17:24 33:5	hours 52:20,22	inflicted 31:1 59:22	James 17:4,9	39:1,18 40:2,10,13
59:11 60:11	house 31:5	information 56:15	jobs 30:1	40:25 41:10,13,21
heads 58:3	household 4:1	initially 9:17	judge 13:6 14:12	42:9,17 43:7 44:16
hear 3:3 44:11	huge 18:14 32:18	instance 18:4 39:2	24:13,16 25:6 54:6	44:21 45:15,17,23
heard 9:7 26:12	human 36:18 39:14	instances 4:5	54:14 58:21	46:13,15 47:10,20
hearings 38:19	59:18,22,24 60:9	instinct 9:21	judges 10:17	47:24 48:14,20
held 18:4 57:24	hundreds 55:24	instructed 10:9,11	judging 13:9	49:2,5,6,7,11,14
help 22:18 29:22,25	hunting 42:18	11:10 13:5	judgment 27:14	49:16,23 50:8,18
56:6	hurt 29:15	instructing 25:21	judgments 36:2	50:23 51:5,10,11
helpful 48:5 57:9	hurting 39:13	insuperable 34:21	56:13	51:12,17,19,25
helping 4:4 41:2		intend 21:17	judicial 14:24,25	52:4,7,17 53:20

]	İ	<u> </u>	l
54:20 55:2,9,12,17	59:5,11	let's 11:16 30:4	28:4 48:8	12:24 23:22 46:18
55:21 56:1,17,23	Kombat 58:17,24	44:21	maim 40:11,11	46:21 57:10
57:12,16,17,20		level 3:16 4:25 6:1	maimed 59:19 60:11	Miller-type 43:21
58:2,12,17,22 59:4	L	7:2,22,23 15:18	60:14	millions 55:24
59:5,8,17,23 60:6	label 20:11,23 21:6	17:17 25:7 43:6	maiming 12:18 27:2	mind 10:2 13:12
60:10,19,20,25	labeling 10:22 20:2	58:6,7	40:5,16 58:3	36:18
61:3	20:17 21:3,9,12,22	levels 53:12	main 37:8	minor 5:24 11:4,6
justify 49:10	lack 46:11 58:8	licensing 35:17	majority 14:15	20:10 22:2,24
juvenile 38:21	language 12:16	life 54:23 60:12	36:21	34:10 40:5
	34:22 43:5 53:12	light's 25:10	manner9:22 22:11	minors 3:14,19 4:9
K	larger28:11	limit 48:16 51:2	53:13	6:2,6 7:3 8:23 9:1
Kagan 6:4,19 12:3	latch 19:4	55:19 60:17	manufacturer 9:25	9:4,18,21,23 10:5
12:15,21 13:1 14:3	late 38:16	limitation 38:9	10:2 11:4 13:14,22	10:9,11 11:11
14:8 19:10,20 36:5	Laughter 4:23 11:8	limited 25:25 39:22	manufacturers 25:5	15:19,19,25 17:2
36:13 39:1,18	13:20 15:13 17:6	39:23 43:25 56:3	margins 15:6	17:14 19:14,23
58:17,22 59:4,8	22:5 24:23 28:3	limiting 60:7	market 45:10	21:14,22 22:25
Kagan's 7:6	32:22 44:24 52:6	line 43:12 46:9	marshaled 27:6	23:2,4,8,19,20
Kennedy 14:13	59:3,7	47:15 54:9,21,25	material 3:14,22	24:8,17 25:21
15:14 25:9,17,23	law3:11,12,21 4:8	list 29:14 34:25	8:10 9:20,20 14:10	33:24 34:3,3,8,12
25:25 45:17,23	8:8 10:6,6,7 13:11	literary 11:19 28:20	14:12,22,24 15:15	35:4,21,22 40:3
46:13,15 47:10	13:13 15:19 16:5	literature 6:24	15:22 16:24 17:17	42:11 46:7,10
key 15:21 42:25	19:13,16,18 20:20	little 7:13 48:4 60:1	22:25 23:1,5,5,9	47:18 48:16 50:24
kid 22:2 40:11	20:25 21:6 22:18	live 8:3	23:19 24:16 25:4,6	51:2,3 56:25 57:3
kids 22:4 35:15	22:21 23:11,13,22	living 44:2	27:24 28:10 58:10	58:15 60:17
49:21 50:9	25:12 26:10,14	long 14:22 21:3 22:7	materials 5:6,10	minor's 3:16,21
kill 40:11	31:22 34:16,17	27:19 29:13 39:21	15:4 21:14	minutes 30:17 31:2
killed 60:14	36:1,8 37:6 39:22	57:22 58:4 59:10	matter 1:13 27:14	56:19
killing 9:9 12:18	39:23,23 40:3,14	look 4:19 8:14 12:20	34:15 61:6	misleading 20:24
27:2 40:6	41:18 42:15,20	12:25 13:17 25:6	mature 14:4,6 35:1	21:4,7
kind 11:18 12:11	43:1,1 48:7 50:18	27:7 39:3 59:10,10	mean 4:21 5:5 8:4	misses 5:18
24:2,6 45:2 46:2	51:8 54:6 57:1,6	looked 29:3,6 41:24	12:12 18:3 32:14	modern-day 39:4
54:20	60:7,17	looking 17:15 36:25	32:16	moment 43:15
kinds 12:22 18:12	laws 48:6	lot 23:15 29:7 47:19	meaning 47:1 58:22	Monopoly 39:4
knew 16:13	lawyers 21:1	love 32:14	meant 14:5 59:9	month 6:17
know7:5 8:3 9:19	leave 42:21	low8:16	meat 37:23	moral 19:18 27:4
9:20 13:10,14,19	left 46:24,25	lyrics 9:7 38:25	media 6:25 7:2	Morazzini 1:17 2:3
13:21,24 15:12	leg 33:7		medium 37:17 38:11	2:9 3:6,7,9 4:15,19
16:13 17:4,8,23	legislation 38:17	M	mediums 38:13	4:24 5:3,12,21 6:7
18:1,19 24:1,7,25	legislature 4:10	M 1:20 2:6 26:6	meets 5:16 25:8	6:23 7:11,20 8:6
32:16 33:22 34:5	5:22 6:13 7:9	machines 25:19	Merchants 1:7 3:5	8:22 9:11,13,17
36:9 39:5 47:1,12	29:18 34:17	Madison 17:4,9	merciless 33:6	10:4,16 11:1,9
47:12,13,24 48:1	legislatures 18:5	MadWorld 59:14	mercy 33:6	12:1,3,15,23 13:4
50:24 51:7 52:4	lesser 21:11	magazine 43:22	meta 18:14	13:16,23 14:6,9
55:22 58:23,24	letters 10:20	magazines 27:25	Miller 5:14 8:8	15:14 16:23 17:14

15.00.00.10.0.1=	00115	15.0	,	22.17.22.7.7.1
17:20,23 18:8,17	never 8:3 16:7,11	47:3	panderers 14:11	32:15 33:5,7 34:1
19:6,11,20 20:5,8	16:12 38:18 53:21	office 23:15 24:3,13	parallel 14:19	36:17,21 38:13
20:14,18 21:16,23	53:23 56:5	oh 8:2	Pardon 17:20	39:5 40:6 47:16
22:9,13,20 23:10	new3:12,13 6:19 8:4	okay 5:1 10:21,21	parent 3:15,22	53:13 56:4 58:4
23:14,17 24:9,15	10:6 15:9 16:11	11:25 12:2,15	11:21 22:8,14,15	people's 47:17
25:2,14,18,24 26:3	23:23 25:18 26:15	16:21,22 21:21	28:14,22 30:6,23	percent 30:21 36:16
26:4 56:19,20,22	31:12 33:16,17	22:8 32:3 51:17	31:3,23	45:10 50:9
57:15,18,23 58:6	37:11,16,17 38:11	57:22 58:5	parental 25:15,20	perfectly 22:8 50:6
58:15,19 59:4,8,20	38:12 43:16 44:7,7	old 10:10 11:7 30:7	25:22 30:10,17	period 31:2
60:3,16,21 61:2	44:9 48:7 53:18	58:16	31:3,5,17	permission 31:17
morbid 11:15 12:5	57:1	ones 16:21 24:8	parenting 7:1	35:15
12:13 47:2 48:2	nice 24:14	31:6	parents 3:25 4:4,5	permit 21:13
morning 3:4,24 4:7	Ninth 20:19 21:5,18	open 42:21 59:12	22:2,18,22 29:1,22	permits 3:21,25 4:8
Mortal 58:17,23	non-content 40:1	opinion 21:18 24:3,6	29:25 30:1,11,20	person 10:13 39:13
move 12:23	non-narrative 39:19	opinions 15:10	33:13,17 45:6,11	39:14 40:24 59:25
movie 48:12	non-obscene 58:1	opportunity 31:3	48:24 49:24 50:13	Petitioners 1:5,19
movies 5:20 6:6,20	normal 4:14	opposed 4:13 59:18	50:15 53:23,25	2:4,10 3:8 56:21
6:21 8:1 15:24	norms 4:16,17 7:21	opposite 36:19	54:1 56:13	physical 60:6
16:15 26:19,24	note 6:10 54:13	options 12:17 59:22	parole 54:23	pick 51:6
29:7 35:15 38:19	novels 38:16	oral 1:13 2:2,5 3:7	part 10:22 21:9 44:6	picture 31:24 37:1
48:3	November 1:11	26:6	60:1	place 31:2
murder 40:16	nudity 17:1 46:23	order 13:10	partial 17:1	plain 12:12
murderers 36:17	number 56:8	ordinance 48:10	particular 13:15	play 13:6 35:15
music 9:7,8	numbers 10:23	57:6	16:20 27:20 28:10	39:24 41:5,6
muster 42:22		ordinary 48:3	50:20	Playboy 31:7
M-rated 56:8	0	original 9:8 12:4	parts 20:2	played 29:23 30:24
	O 2:1 3:1	ought 53:1,16 55:10	pass 24:25 26:15	31:4 58:20
N	objection 22:7	outer 27:23	28:9 34:17 42:22	player 12:18 40:19
N 2:1,1 3:1	obscene 6:1 9:15	outlaw7:10	passages 36:25	40:22
naked 31:25 32:4	16:25 23:6 27:25	outside 3:15,22	passed 17:16	playing 11:11 36:21
47:16	28:5 57:25	overbreadth 41:25	passes 34:25	39:7 50:15 54:2
narrative 39:10,19	obscenity 10:5,18	overbroad 41:25	passively 27:1	59:2
39:22	14:18 15:2,3,16	42:21	patently 5:15 15:18	please 3:10 26:9
narrow8:10	16:7,13 17:1 19:5	overcome 54:3	PAUL 1:20 2:6 26:6	plenty 45:15 49:17
narrowing 60:7	27:15,16 28:12	overreacting 38:13	Pediatric 18:11	plot 39:11 40:4,14
narrowly 42:22	45:18,20,24 46:17	over-restrictive	29:13	40:18 41:3 57:7,8
nature 5:23 46:12	47:11,14,25 55:18	54:15	pedigree 46:2,11	57:13,14,18,22
57:9,11,18,19	58:7		Penal 23:11	plummeting 45:9
necessarily 26:19	obvious 14:19	P	penalties 13:13	Plus 31:5
necessary 11:14,24	obviously 39:17	P 1:17 2:3,9 3:1,7	55:24	point 7:19 8:18 11:9
21:3	occur 39:12 41:7	56:20	penalty 35:2,8 54:21	14:14 19:25 21:23
need 21:10 29:22,24	occurring 39:10	packaging 56:12,15	55:25	43:8,10,14,15 49:7
33:17 50:2	offensive 5:15 14:24	PAGE 2:2	people 7:12 9:9	56:24 57:5
needs 49:10 53:15	15:18 26:22 39:16	painful 28:17	16:11 18:13 31:16	pointed 55:21
		-	10.11 10.13 31.10	pointed 33.21
	l	ı	ı	ı

point's 43:11
-
political 11:19 47:4
Pong 39:7
pornography 52:21
portions 20:3
portray 41:4
portrayals 16:8
portrayed 37:25
59:18
portrays 26:20
position 33:21,23
34:1,9,14 48:19,21
possibility 42:21
possible 30:5 34:21
possibly 33:19
37:17
Postal 12:8 42:14
59:12
potential 19:3
pour 33:9
pouring 58:4
precise 15:1,5,6
precision 43:6
presence 3:22
present 4:6 27:10
presented 3:17 5:22
9:22
presents 38:4
President 7:1
pressure 38:23 presumably 6:21
12:8
pretty 43:11
prevent 21:3
preventing 19:8,14
primary 19:22
principle 5:10 18:2
prior 35:16
private 35:11
probably 51:24
probe 14:16
problem 14:20 24:1
34:21 44:1 45:5,5
48:23 49:9 50:1,4

50:6,7 53:15,21 54:4,9 produced 38:17 **producer** 13:9 59:24 prohibit 33:24 prohibited 58:18 **prohibition** 16:11 promoted 21:12 37:21 promotes 4:3 **prong** 57:9 **prongs** 5:13 8:7 12:24 23:21 25:8 **proof** 49:17,20.23 proper 27:22 prophylactic 8:9 proposition 50:1 proscribable 47:21 proscribed 47:25 proscription 23:18 prosecuted 56:5 prospect 56:14 **protect** 4:4 33:11 34:7 protected 15:5 19:13 40:23 46:9 52:3 protecting 19:18 protection 26:17 provided 7:17 **provoke** 18:9 19:1 pro-social 6:11 **prurient** 11:15 46:6 46:24 47:17 Psychiatric 29:12 **Psychological** 18:10 29:12 publishing 55:22 **punted** 53:7,9 purchase 4:9 **purchasing** 30:21,22 purpose 20:23 **put** 50:19,20 53:6 60:1

O **question** 5:19 7:6 9:2 12:4 18:18 29:21 33:14 38:4 51:11 52:9,19 60:20 questioning 42:10 questions 14:15 15:1 23:25 25:11 quite 4:22 18:3 25:3 36:19 quotation 57:24

R

R 3:1 raft 38:17 raised 56:25 range 12:17 31:11 59:21 rap 9:6,8 rate 13:25 14:1 rated 14:7 51:20 **rates** 35:1 ratified 16:12,12 37:18 **rating** 49:19 ratings 14:4 30:20 45:13 56:10,12 reach 51:21 reaction 15:12 read 12:6 37:19 **reading** 33:8 36:25 37:24 38:22 **reads** 37:5 ready 7:3 real 54:11 **reality** 36:19 really 13:21 35:20 35:22 37:3 43:17 54:5 57:6,10 **realm** 25:4 **reason** 29:1 34:20 35:20 41:23 43:25 reasonable 21:12

58:23 reasonably 47:15 reasons 3:23 60:5 **REBUTTAL** 2:8 56:20 recognition 17:17 **recognize** 8:25 28:9 recognized 23:3 **record** 6:7 27:10,12 36:20 48:23 50:12 52:9,12,13,16 red 37:22 39:24 redeeming 11:18 28:19 47:4 reference 15:2.3 46:20 47:11 referenced41:22 referred 40:21 referring 25:15 **regard** 19:7 22:24 23:4 47:22 **regarding** 6:9,17,24 17:12 21:1 regulate 3:21 6:21 6:22 17:2 18:5,6 39:12,16 48:6 regulated 8:17 9:3 regulates 57:2 regulating 8:20 39:22 40:7 regulation 15:15 19:21 45:6 53:18 released 6:17 remainder 26:1 remaining 56:19 remember 52:21 rental 57:2 **require** 21:2 46:8 required 45:2 requirement 20:10 requirements 20:17 requires 10:22 requiring 45:5

reserve 26:1

respect 3:13 18:25 28:6 30:9 45:18 47:13 **Respondents** 1:21 2:7 26:7 restraint 35:16 49:20 restrict 4:8 54:17 55:10.13 restricted 52:19 55:14 restricting 56:7 restriction 20:21 21:10.11.13 restrictive 25:12 44:3 restricts 26:10 **retail** 22:24 retailer 49:19 retailers 18:22 review 30:23 48:12 **rid** 9:6 **right** 9:5 18:16,22 22:7,19 28:22 35:4 35:23 41:17 43:7 44:21 51:13,14,17 54:4 58:4 59:12 rightfully 11:2 **rights** 8:23,24 35:6 rises 21:22 **ROBERTS** 3:3 5:18 6:18 26:2,5,23 27:9,17 30:15 33:2 33:21 34:5,10,14 41:10,13,21 42:9 42:17 49:6,11,14 50:18,23 51:5,19 51:25 52:4,7 54:20 55:2 56:17 60:25 61:3 rock 38:25 role 29:23 room 53:23 **rule** 3:20,25 4:3,8

53:18	27:20 30:8	setting 40:6	30:9,18 31:11,15	speaking 40:25
rules 15:5 53:14	scientific 6:24 11:19	sex 14:22 15:22	32:7,18,23 33:13	special 5:9 43:20
55:23 56:1,3,3,6	scientists 38:20	32:17,24 46:23	33:22 34:1,9,11,20	specifically 38:5
ruling 20:4	scope 33:19 53:19	47:16,16 53:1	35:5,10,24 36:5,12	42:1 44:12
run 42:8	score 52:18	58:11 60:5	36:15 37:8 38:11	speech 8:15,16 9:3
	screen 8:4 41:4	sexual 3:14 9:20	39:1,9,21 40:8,12	16:5,6,8 17:12
S	scrutiny 21:13 44:17	14:10,11,21,24	40:17 41:2,10,12	37:6 38:8 39:2,8
S 2:1 3:1	45:1,20 48:22 49:4	15:15,15,20 23:1,4	41:18 42:3,16,24	40:7,10 43:24,24
Sacramento 1:18	51:15	23:8,19 24:16 25:4	44:13,19,25 45:19	52:3,3,5
sadistic 48:17	se 32:13	58:10	45:25 46:14,21	spend 23:15
sale 20:21 21:10,14	search 25:20	sexually 12:19 46:5	47:12,23 48:4,19	spent 53:3 58:25
21:22 23:18 33:24	secondly 4:3	shameful 11:15	48:21 49:3,9,13,16	spillover 19:3
34:7	secretly 50:14	shelf 51:7,21	49:22 50:5,10,22	spring 60:12
Salerno 41:14	Section 23:11	shooting 33:7	51:1,6,15,23 52:2	standard 5:14 8:8,9
sales 22:24 50:9	sections 20:6	shovel 33:6	52:5,12,18 53:3	8:24 9:1 11:3
satisfied 49:4	see 12:14,25 19:14	show 6:5 16:15	54:5,25 55:4,16,20	12:24 25:3 47:2
satisfy 26:13 36:6	22:11 25:9 44:5	31:18	56:6,18 61:1	57:10
41:16	56:4	showing 36:6,7 45:2	smoking 16:15,15	standards 26:14
saying 19:16,17	Seeing 37:24	shown 16:15 45:4	social 7:13 17:16	43:13 44:10 46:18
28:24 37:21 40:11	sees 23:1	shows 52:16 53:5	27:8 28:19 38:20	46:22
44:7 51:1,12	self-censored 38:24	shred 27:6	47:4	stands 10:1
says 7:7 16:4 30:3	sell 11:20,22 20:22	side 29:14 40:21	societal 14:21,23	start 15:16,17
31:23 34:17 39:12	34:3,18 40:3 43:19	sides 27:13,20	19:7	started 38:16,18
40:3 50:19 52:13	60:2	sign 29:13	sociological 29:17	47:20
59:24	seller 24:1,7	significant 15:7 23:3	sold 24:8 42:12,14	starting 50:1
Scalia 4:12,17,21	selling 20:10 35:3	31:7	solution 50:3	state 8:18 9:12
5:1 7:25 11:6,9	Senate 38:21	significantly 44:4	solutions 50:5	19:12,15,17,23
13:8,18,21,23	send 50:10	similar 10:6 23:20	solve 49:10	22:6,13 27:6,10,12
15:23 16:23 17:4,8	sense 9:16 21:18	25:3 31:6 58:11	solved 53:16	28:21,25 31:17,22
22:1,6,12,17 24:11	27:14,18 28:13,14	60:5	somebody 50:11	31:23 34:17,25
24:19,24 25:2 31:9	28:21 30:8 31:21	similarities 15:21	55:21	36:6 37:14 39:11
31:13 37:3 38:1	31:21,22 32:5,12	simple 25:19 43:22	somewhat 27:15	46:18 48:16 49:8
40:2,10,13,25	37:7 51:16	49:12	28:11	49:15 50:2,3,19
47:20,24 55:21	sentenced 54:23	simply 11:14 28:10	songs 9:8	56:11 59:11 60:23
57:12,16,17,20	separate 13:1 21:10	50:16	sorry 40:12	States 1:1,14 3:21
58:2,12 59:5	39:18	single 57:24	Sotomayor 7:5,12	4:3,8 17:2,15
Scalia's 12:4 18:18	series 30:11	sit 16:18 28:16	7:20 8:12 9:6,12	37:10
60:20	serious 11:18 43:11	sitting 26:25	9:14,15 17:18,21	statute 6:14 10:1,22
school 30:1	seriously 26:12	sky 38:14	19:25 20:6,9,14,16	12:8,9,16,16 14:5
schoolgirls 33:5	served 20:23 22:21	small 28:18 56:8	21:8,16,20 45:15	14:16,17 15:2,11
34:19	services 21:2	smaller 56:8	49:2,5,16,23 50:8	17:19,22,24 41:25
Schwarzenegger 1:3	set 5:16 33:9 34:18	Smith 1:20 2:6 26:5	59:17,23 60:7,10	42:22 43:4 55:10
3:5	39:12 40:13	26:6,8 27:5,12,19	60:19	58:18 60:22
science 6:15 27:8,13	sets 39:4	28:2,7,25 29:20	sought 22:23	statutes 8:18 17:15

17.10	1	l		
45:18	supervise 49:25	tennis 39:7	12:24 23:21	46:22
step 56:2	Supervising 1:17	tenor 42:10	time 8:4 14:23 15:20	type 34:16
Stevens 8:14 37:10	supervision 31:4	terms 5:16	23:16 26:1 30:21	types 12:19 53:12
41:22 42:18	support 52:10,13	terrible 55:15	30:25 33:14 37:18	typical 11:10
Stick 55:12	suppose 6:19,19	test 37:13 41:14,14	38:5,9 59:1	-
store 50:21	16:1,17 27:2	44:18 50:11 55:9,9	today 3:11 14:15	
stores 49:18	supposed 18:16	55:11 57:14 58:14	15:2 19:9 26:12	unacceptable 46:10
street 38:20	29:16 31:1,1	testers 50:13	30:12 37:8	unaware 50:16
strict 21:13 44:17	supposing 5:5	testified 36:19	today's 28:1	unconstitutional
45:1,20 48:21	Supreme 1:1,14	testimony 13:5	told 54:13	20:13
51:15	sure 5:21 9:17 13:13	tests 41:19 43:23	tolerably 46:4	unconstitutionally
strike 42:20,25	19:11 20:8 57:21	Thank 26:2,4 32:20	tools 45:13	42:7
striking 20:20	58:25	56:16,17,22 60:25	top 17:24 51:21	understand 19:5,11
strongly 43:10	surely 52:12	61:2	59:11	19:12 32:9,16,20
struck 8:19 20:2	susceptible 43:5,8	they'd 56:2	torture 30:4 32:3	36:9
21:6 35:12	suspect 54:16,16	thing 12:7 22:8	44:2 55:14	understood 16:6,7
structure 46:25	system 35:11 45:13	24:12,21,21 37:19	tortured 59:19	27:3 42:10
struggled46:15	49:19 50:11	37:24 38:3 42:25	torturer 28:16	uniquely 7:2
struggling 46:16		43:16,20 47:25	torturing 12:19	United 1:1,14 37:10
studies 6:5,16 7:6	T	48:2 52:25 55:15	28:17 43:18	unprotected 46:10
18:15 29:3 36:10	T 2:1,1	things 18:14 28:8	total 44:7 55:13	upbringing 4:2,11
36:13 52:14	take 11:16 12:3 31:2	29:8 30:11 39:5	totally 37:19 44:9	22:11
study 6:8,20 7:7,10	32:2 43:15 46:5,21	43:18 46:22 55:12	tradition 8:17,20	urinate 33:10
52:18	46:22,22,23 52:13	55:18 56:3	33:3,10	use 34:22 39:5
stuff 22:3	59:10	think 4:19 5:18 8:6	traditional 43:12,23	41:14 43:23 45:13
subject 55:23	tales 4:22 5:8 8:3,11	10:13,16 11:2,2,10	44:9,17	50:3 53:11
submit 10:4,8 26:18	talk 9:23 30:20	13:4 17:3 18:13,15	transform 35:10	usual 26:13
40:22 43:2	talked 20:1 45:14	18:18 20:12 23:7	36:16	utilizes 14:17
submits 8:23	talking 9:24 28:13	25:1,10 28:23 29:1	treated 45:24	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
submitted 25:19	29:8,8 39:9 59:6	29:14,19 32:2,8	trial 36:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
61:4,6	60:6	34:2 35:20,24 36:9	tried31:18 32:2,15	v 1:6 3:5 23:23
subset 26:18 56:8	tame 28:1	39:1,6 42:4,5,11	true 27:7 28:8	37:10 48:7
substantial 5:22	task 53:11	45:1 48:4 51:23	trust 14:11	vague 14:16 15:11
sufficient 36:7	teachers 6:9,11,12	53:1,2,14,15,16	truth 4:22	34:16
sufficiently 58:21	technology 8:4	54:8 55:6,8,20	try 13:19 34:3 53:9	vagueness 16:3,3
suggest 27:7 36:10	teenagers 19:5	58:17 59:15 60:4	trying 44:20 46:12	23:25 25:11
44:13	television 25:25	60:16,19	53:4,11 55:5	value 7:13 8:16
suggested 6:20	30:25 36:24 38:24	thinking 38:14	Tuesday 1:11	11:19 28:20 47:5,6
16:21 55:9	52:15	third 38:3 57:9	turned38:15	52:14 57:8,13,14
suggesting 7:16	tell 4:22 53:13	thoroughly 29:4	TV 39:7 53:5	values 36:4
46:3	telling 13:11 25:5	thought 15:11 17:5	TVs 53:23	variable 10:18
suggests 18:20	33:4 55:20 57:21	17:9,10 21:11	twice 48:5	28:11
suitable 11:17	temporarily 60:15	41:21	two 3:23 18:14 20:6	variety 30:11 31:8
sung 9:9	tendency 29:11	three 5:13,16 8:7	22:20 29:20 30:9	various 32:7

vast 36:21 42:8	60:5,8	49:24	50:15 53:4 58:16	21:6 54:9,22,23
vastly 38:13 54:15	violent 4:9,13,14 5:6	went 45:10	York 3:12,13 10:6	55:7 56:4 58:16
vendors 35:3	5:10,24,24 6:9,20	we'll 3:3 56:4	23:23 48:7	18-year-old 11:23
victim 39:14	6:25 7:2,8 9:3,8,19	we're 21:21 39:16	young 5:25 25:21	54:18
video 3:18 4:9,13,14	9:20 13:2,2,3,15	52:25 54:5 60:6		18-year-olds 20:22
5:7,9,19,24 6:5,9	17:17 19:15 22:3,4	we've 8:2,3 26:12	Z	54:22
6:10,22 7:9,16,24	22:25 24:5 25:7	whit 36:23 52:14	ZACKERY 1:17 2:3	19th 38:17
9:19,25 10:23	35:22 36:25 40:5	white 25:9 37:21	2:9 3:7 56:20	1900s 17:25
11:14 12:9,10,11	42:12 45:8 48:6,17	willing 11:24 59:11	Zauderer 20:25 21:1	1950s 38:19,20
12:14,17 13:6,24	50:20 53:5 54:2	59:13	\$	1960s 48:8
13:25 17:5 18:12	60:9	win 44:10		
18:22 20:11 25:21	Voltaire 57:25	Winters 48:7	\$1,000 55:25 \$50 21:11 14 52:22	2
26:19,25 29:7,9	voluntary 35:11	woman 31:25 32:4	\$50 31:11,14 53:22 \$60 31:12 57:1	2 1:11 12:8 42:14
32:1 33:24 34:7,18	49:19,19	women 28:18	\$00 51:12 57:1	59:12
35:1,21,22 37:20	Vulcan 59:18	wonderful 24:21	0	20 50:8
39:2,6,19,19,20	V-chip 25:13,24	41:9	0 11:7	200 37:12
40:3,4,15,17 47:4	V-chips 25:23	word 9:16	08-1448 1:6 3:4	2010 1:11
47:6 48:17 50:20		words 18:6,6,25		26 2:7
50:21 52:10 53:22		19:4,7	1	3
53:25,25 54:2 57:2	walk 31:14	work 25:23 26:20	10-year-old 10:3,15	3 2:4
59:9,12,15,17,24	walled 51:3	46:19 58:1,1,25	34:18 42:14	313.1 23:11
60:2	want 17:8 22:2	works 26:11,18	10-year-olds 54:14	313.1 23.11
videos 24:3,14 31:9	28:22 30:3,4,15	32:10 36:18 46:4	10:00 52:22	4
42:2,19,19 44:2	35:3,3,7 37:3 44:5	47:8,15 48:6 55:4	10:04 1:15 3:2	4 56:19
51:20 58:3	wanted 21:11 51:18	55:8	11:04 61:5	4-year-olds 10:25
view24:3,17	56:24 57:5	world 36:16 45:6,11	12-year-old 10:22	
violate 42:15	wants 17:4 28:14	worse 43:21	11:22	5
violated 38:10	56:11	worst 24:21	13 30:7	5 30:17
violates 42:13	Washington 1:10,20	wouldn't 11:13	13-year-old 28:15	5-year-old 58:13,13
violence 3:17 4:18	wasn't 27:24	13:21 54:16 56:2,6	28:23 30:16 31:24	5-year-olds 54:14
4:25 5:14,15,16	watch 22:2 32:11 33:4	59:20	31:25 32:5	54:15 55:11
6:2 7:7,22,24 8:2		wrong 55:25	13-year-olds 31:13	50 45:9
8:21 9:9 12:5,5,13	watching 27:1 36:24 52:15 53:24	Wynn 8:20	15 45:11	56 2:10
12:20,22 14:1,2,22		X	16 50:11 54:7,9	7
15:18,21 16:9,14	way 9:19 12:6 20:11		16-year-old 31:19	
17:9,12 18:10,12	26:21 31:17 34:21	x 1:2,9 43:17 44:1	54:3	7-year-old 10:21
19:8 24:5 26:21	35:16 36:18 39:15	55:12 56:3	16-year-olds 53:21	75 36:16
28:18 29:11 32:9	39:16 42:17 43:3 47:1 52:20 53:13	X'd 43:19	17 54:9 55:8	8
32:12,17 33:1,2	54:12 56:9	Y	17-year-old 10:3,14	8-year-old 10:15
34:12 38:7 39:13	ways 41:8	year 37:9 41:22	42:12 54:19	80 18:13
44:15 45:23 46:8,9	ways 41:8 welcome 19:20	years 10:10 11:7	17-year-olds 10:25	00 10.13
46:10,20 47:2,3,3	well-being 4:4	18:3 30:7 31:2	54:7,14,16 56:10	9
48:2 52:19,25	well-intentioned	37:12 45:11 46:16	18 10:10,20,23,23	9 11:7
53:12,13 58:7,8,11	wen-mienuoneu	32 .3 100	11:7,16,16,17,20	
			1	

				Page /3
90 30:21				
			`	
]			