

1 in instruction 17.

2 MR. YANG: I think that's --

3 JUSTICE BREYER: It says you have to execute
4 a scheme or defraud a financial -- to defraud a
5 financial institution as to a material fact. So that
6 assumes it was separate.

7 MR. YANG: No, no. But the material --

8 JUSTICE BREYER: The deceive was separate.
9 You would have to deceive the financial institution as
10 to a material fact, which is defined in instruction 19
11 as one that has a natural tendency to influence a
12 financial institution to part with money or property.

13 So what you took away in instruction 21, you
14 gave back in instruction 19. So it's a --

15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And so in 18, sales
16 deceive, cheat or deprive a financial institution of
17 something of value. "Something of value" goes right
18 along with "deprive," but it doesn't go along with
19 "deceive."

20 MR. YANG: I don't think that's correct. I
21 understand Your Honor's challenge here. It's that
22 the -- you would say, like, out of something of value
23 normally if you were saying it by itself. But when you
24 have a series of verbs, it's not uncommon in -- in
25 common parlance simply to use the appropriate, you know,

1 function word "hereof" with -- that connects to the last
2 word. So when you say deceive, cheat or deprive a
3 financial institution of something of value, the normal
4 juror is going to understand that that means you're
5 deceiving the financial institution, cheating the
6 financial institution.

7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Not if they -- not
8 if they -- not if they read instruction 21, which
9 talks -- has nothing to do with -- it just says intent
10 to deceive or cheat, nothing of something of value. And
11 when you quote the instruction on page 46 to make it
12 read, to make it make sense, you have to add in, as you
13 do, after deceive, deceive the bank out of something of
14 value --

15 MR. YANG: But I think --

16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- Because it makes
17 no sense to say deceive of something of value.

18 MR. YANG: It doesn't even parse under
19 Petitioner's reading because I think Petitioner at least
20 thinks that you have to deceive, cheat or deprive the
21 financial institution -- like, deceive the financial
22 institution.

23 JUSTICE KAGAN: They're kind of right. It
24 doesn't -- it doesn't parse neatly under either reading.
25 But I have to say that if I'm a reader, the way I

1 understand this is you have to -- you have to show --
2 the scheme has to be one to deceive a bank, to cheat a
3 bank or to deprive a bank of something of value. And in
4 that case, the "deceive a bank" is operating as a
5 disjunctive clause without the "of something of value."

6 MR. YANG: No, I think actually you could
7 read --

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: It's to deceive a bank
9 alone, cheat a bank alone, or deprive a bank of
10 something of value. And so I'm a juror. I say, well,
11 it's enough if the bank was deceived.

12 MR. YANG: I don't see how you can read --
13 you might say just "deceive" in the abstract.

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: No, it's got to be that
15 you're deceiving somebody.

16 MR. YANG: Well, but if you're deceiving the
17 bank, if a financial institution, which follows,
18 connects to deceive, then so does "of something of
19 value."

20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I don't think so
21 because that would make no sense. It would be the
22 scheme must be one to deceive a bank of something of
23 value. Whoever would use words like that?

24 MR. YANG: I understand that it's a
25 slightly -- there is a slight grammatical issue with

1 this language.

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I think it's more than
3 grammatical. It's just -- like, it doesn't make sense.

4 MR. YANG: I think when you read it in
5 context, right, you have to take this -- this is the
6 definition of scheme to defraud. When you read this in
7 context with what you are -- the jury is required to do,
8 knowingly executed a scheme to defraud a financial
9 institution as to a material matter. Right? So that
10 scheme has to be with regard to a material matter. That
11 material matter has to lead the bank -- has a tendency
12 to lead the bank to part with money or property.

13 So when you take that in context, what does
14 that mean? What's the material matter mean? The only
15 way you can make sense is if you're actually depriving
16 the bank of money or property. That is something of
17 value.

18 Now, if the Court has concerns about how
19 this parses, the Court could decide the legal question,
20 which is the important issue here, and simply --

21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why has -- why has the
22 government forgotten when it's at trial that simplifying
23 things for juries is always the best thing? Do you
24 really think that any juror reading this would parse it
25 with the care that you are? Why can't you just say

1 something like a scheme to defraud a bank is a scheme
2 to -- what was the three words? -- to deceive or cheat
3 the money and to -- to cheat the bank of some interest
4 in money or property?

5 MR. YANG: I completely agree that
6 simplicity is the -- is preferable. I don't think
7 there's a problem with what we have here, but I will
8 even one up you on the simplicity. I think the proper
9 instruction is scheme to defraud is one to intended to
10 deceive the bank for the purpose of depriving of it of
11 something of value. Just get rid of the disjunctives,
12 right? That would be the better -- the instruction for
13 a single-member bank fraud scheme.

14 Now, things get a little complicated when
15 you have schemes with multiple members. We don't have
16 to discuss it. It is discussed in footnote 10 of our
17 brief.

18 But for the purpose of this case, that would
19 be simple. We think that the instructions, they're not
20 perfect, but they're -- they -- they convey and the jury
21 would have found the requisite requirements of bank
22 fraud. And if the court has any doubts --

23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, what is the -- what
24 do we cite for that? Is there something in our cases
25 that say that the instructions had to be read in the

1 context of what the jury heard or something like that?

2 MR. YANG: I think the --

3 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, we'd say close
4 enough for government work.

5 MR. YANG: I don't think we've quite said
6 that, but I -- it's in pages 44 or 45 of our brief,
7 which is the general proposition that you just have
8 to -- that bank fraud -- excuse me -- that -- jury --
9 this is 46 -- jury instructions must be viewed in the
10 context of the overall charge. And when you look at
11 this in light of the materiality charge, any kind of
12 ambiguity that might have been interjected in the
13 definition of scheme to defraud I think is sufficiently
14 displaced.

15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's just not the
16 instruction. It's what the court of appeals held. The
17 court of appeals held, in short -- this is a quote --
18 "The defendant was guilty of bank fraud because he
19 intended to deceive the bank." Full stop. So it's not
20 just a problem with the instructions. It's a problem
21 with what the court of appeals held.

22 MR. YANG: Again, I think this may be a
23 problem with some brevity. I don't think the court of
24 appeals meant to say if you walk into the bank and tell
25 the bank, you know, the nationals lost their game and

1 don't have home field advantage that that's bank fraud.
2 Of course, the court of appeals knows it's an order to
3 obtain something from the bank. That's what bank fraud
4 is. And I don't think that, you know, just as the
5 heading for our brief in Section C might not have been
6 fully complete, the same thing for the court of appeals
7 opinion.

8 But I'd like to get back to I think what's a
9 broader issue here, which is what is the intent
10 required. And particularly --

11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Just tell us: Why
12 didn't -- why didn't the government charge under (2),
13 which your colleague has agreed fits this case, that if
14 he had been charged under (2) and convicted, that would
15 be fine?

16 MR. YANG: Because under (2), you need to
17 actually prove a misrepresentation.

18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Because under (2) you
19 have to prove --

20 MR. YANG: You have to prove a false
21 statement or false misrepresentation. Now, in the
22 context of crimes that occur over the Internet, there's
23 some complications that are involved with that. The
24 charging decision was to take (1) -- clause (1) remember
25 is scheme to defraud. This is the -- the mothership of

1 language from the fraud statutes. It comes from the
2 mail fraud and the wire fraud. Congress knew that this
3 was broad, and Congress intended to adopt it
4 specifically because it was broad.

5 And, in fact, Congress considered drafting
6 language that would have required scheme to harm, cause
7 economic loss to a financial institution, and
8 specifically rejected it after the Department of Justice
9 said, hey, that's too narrow. Scheme to defraud is
10 broader, and this would unduly narrow the statute.

11 So the government chose clause (1) because
12 it was the broader clause, and we didn't need clause (2)
13 which -- it could have complicated proof a little bit.

14 Now, on the two questions that Petitioners
15 presented, two kind of legal questions are, does the
16 defendant have to have some knowledge of the legal
17 property status of the thing that's being taken? I
18 think the answer is clearly no.

19 Willie Sutton in the 20th Century, to move
20 off from Jessie James, he robbed banks because that's
21 where the money is. Now, if Willie Sutton believed all
22 the money he took was customer deposit accounts, didn't
23 belong to the bank, he didn't ever intend to harm the
24 bank, he'd still be -- you'd still call it bank robbery.
25 And if he did the same thing through deceit, you'd call

1 it bank fraud.

2 Now, there's no reason to add this
3 additional intent knowledge about the property status of
4 the thing that's being taken. It doesn't advance any
5 interest that Congress is trying to advance is to
6 protect the integrity of banks. The cost of the bank
7 does not depend on what's subjectively in the
8 defendant's mind, about what they think, is it owned by,
9 is it ownership interest, is it a possessory interest,
10 is there insurance, is there not insurance. It depends
11 on the nature of the actual scheme and what's being
12 taken.

13 Congress would not have wanted to
14 distinguish between defendants who execute the same --
15 the same scheme based on the idea that once defendant is
16 sophisticated --

17 JUSTICE BREYER: So what's the right words?

18 MR. YANG: The right words?

19 JUSTICE BREYER: For the -- I mean, there --
20 there are many situations. Do you -- you require an
21 intent that there be property? Well, how do you want to
22 say it, an intent to injure the bank in its property?
23 How do you say it? Look, some people, bank robbers go
24 into banks. They take the customers -- they line the
25 customers up against the wall, and they take out their

1 billfolds. Is that defrauding the bank?

2 MR. YANG: No, no. Because you're obtaining
3 it from the customer.

4 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah, yeah. So how do you
5 want to do this one?

6 MR. YANG: So in bank fraud, the intent
7 required -- and remember, there's more than just intent.
8 As a fact we do have to prove there was a property
9 interest to the bank. So, for instance, in your
10 example --

11 JUSTICE BREYER: There was, but it was a
12 property interest in the bank. What do you have to
13 prove in respect to that property interest is in the
14 defendant's mind?

15 MR. YANG: So the only intent required is
16 the intent to deceive the financial institution in order
17 to obtain something of value. Now, that something of
18 value --

19 JUSTICE BREYER: In order to obtain
20 something of value, so that it could be of value and
21 belong only to the customer?

22 MR. YANG: That -- that complicates things I
23 think in the --

24 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. That's why I want to
25 know -- that's why I need to know the words.

1 MR. YANG: There's no more required for
2 intent. Now, in order to --

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: I quoted you it was, that
4 it's an -- it's an intent to deprive the bank of a
5 property, including a possessory interest.

6 MR. YANG: No. And the reason it's
7 something of value that in fact is a possessory
8 interest. Whether or not -- or a property interest.
9 Whether or not the defendant knows it's a property --

10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Yes. But the bank -- the
11 bank has to have a possessory interest in -- a property
12 interest in this thing.

13 MR. YANG: Right. And property interest is
14 broad. But the defendant doesn't have to know about
15 that property interest. It just has to know I'm trying
16 to get money, that I want the money, and that's enough.
17 Now, the money happens to, in fact, be a property
18 interest to the bank, but the defendant doesn't have to
19 know that. We don't require our defendants to have
20 taken property law or banking law or studied the risk of
21 loss rules when frauds occur to banks. None of these
22 things have to be in the knowledge or of -- or beliefs.

23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: What does he have to know
24 about? Does he have to know that the bank is involved?
25 I mean, what does he have to know about the bank?

1 MR. YANG: He has to know that he --

2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That the bank is likely
3 involved? I mean, this is pretty easy because he sent a
4 check, but --

5 MR. YANG: Well, no, I think what's required
6 is -- and let me take a step back. When we're
7 talking -- we're talking about financial institutions,
8 and it might help to refer to page 1A of the
9 government's appendix. Financial institutions are not
10 just banks. Financial institutions include certain
11 banks when they are FDIC insured, certain credit unions,
12 and then a whole slew with ten -- a list of ten other
13 things. It includes a small business investment
14 company --

15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Okay. But here we have a
16 bank. What did this defendant have to know about this
17 bank, if anything?

18 MR. YANG: It didn't have to know its status
19 as a bank under the statute. It just had to know it's
20 taking something from this entity, which in fact, again,
21 is a bank under the statute. It could be a holding
22 company. It could be -- it could be any of these
23 things. If taking something from this entity by deceit,
24 and that's the intent, right? Something of value from
25 this entity by deceit. It doesn't have to know does

1 this entity -- is it a small business investment company
2 defined under Section 103 of the Small Business
3 Investment Act? It doesn't have to know these things.

4 And the reason is once you get into the
5 realm of fraudulently taking something from an entity,
6 it -- that's criminal conduct. And the additional
7 requirements that it is a bank, that's the
8 jurisdictional hook. It's similar to --

9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: It has to know that a
10 financial institution is involved?

11 MR. YANG: It doesn't have to --

12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, that's Section 1.

13 MR. YANG: It doesn't have -- it has to --
14 it doesn't have to have any knowledge about whether the
15 entity that it's depriving of property qualifies as a
16 financial institution. It could be, for instance, a
17 small kiosk in a grocery store that's conducting
18 transactions and happens actually to be a bank outpost,
19 but you don't know it's a bank. But you rob a bank or
20 you -- you defraud the bank, it's still -- you don't
21 have to have knowledge of its status as a financial
22 institution.

23 There are several examples. Bank robbery or
24 larceny, under Section 2113(a) and (b), the courts held
25 you don't need to know that the entity robbed is a bank.

1 That's Trevino in the Fifth Circuit, Schaar in the
2 Seventh Circuit. Theft of U.S. property -- if you steal
3 U.S. property, you don't have to know it's owned by the
4 U.S. There's a recent case called Rehak in the Eighth
5 Circuit that surveys the unanimous views that you don't
6 have to have knowledge of the status as property of the
7 United States.

8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And can you clarify for
9 us, what is in the overlap area? What is covered only
10 by (1) and what is covered only by (2)?

11 MR. YANG: They are, of course, cover
12 almost -- they are almost coterminous, but there are
13 things that are outside of (1), and there are things
14 that are outside of (2). What's outside of (1) are
15 schemes that -- schemes where you do not -- fraud is not
16 targeted at the bank or -- yes, so (2) -- let me -- let
17 me start over. It's a little confusing.

18 (2) is broader than (1) in the following
19 respect: It covers --

20 JUSTICE GINSBURG: (2) covers what?

21 MR. YANG: (2) -- clause (2) is broader than
22 (1) because it covers schemes to deceive the custodian
23 of bank property. (1) does not because the deception
24 has to be directed at the bank. But (1) covers things
25 that (2) does not, because (2) is limited only to false

1 statements. This is what Loughrin explained in a
2 footnote. (1) covers frauds that are based on
3 nonstatement-type deception; for instance, check hiding.
4 Checks are not statements about the balance in the
5 account. Check training is covered.

6 Other behavioral type of frauds. If, for
7 instance -- it's a little farfetched, but you could have
8 someone that impose -- that, you know, dresses in a
9 disguise and just walks into the bank. The bank lets
10 them into the -- it's a well-known customer, walks in
11 the safety deposit box, takes out the money. No
12 statement's made. (1) would cover that kind of thing.

13 Now, these areas are, you know, on the
14 fringe. The two terms, the two provisions largely
15 overlap, and that's not surprising because the language
16 that was the impetus for two was language that the Court
17 adopted in Durland in construing the term "scheme to
18 defraud." So there's a lot of overlap between these two
19 things, and that's what Justice Kagan recognized in
20 Loughrin.

21 But they both have independent meaning, not
22 a lot, and so, you know, they often can be used at the
23 same time, but they are independent.

24 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Yang, you referred to
25 us, money in a security deposit. Your answer would be

1 the same, right --

2 MR. YANG: Yes.

3 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- if -- if somebody went in
4 and said, I'd like to see the security deposit boxes,
5 and -- and made a false statement to the bank about his
6 entitlement to see the security deposit boxes and took
7 the money out?

8 MR. YANG: Yes.

9 JUSTICE KAGAN: Doesn't -- you know, the
10 bank still has a possessory interest in that, even
11 though it doesn't use that money in the same way; is
12 that correct?

13 MR. YANG: Correct.

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Let me give you another
15 example. Suppose that I'm sitting in a coffee shop and
16 somebody comes up to me and says, I have to make a phone
17 call; would you just hang on to my computer for a
18 minute? And then disappears, and then somebody else
19 comes up, the fraudster comes up, and says, oh, she just
20 told me to pick up her computer from her --

21 MR. YANG: Uh-huh.

22 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- and that's a
23 misrepresentation.

24 MR. YANG: Uh-huh.

25 JUSTICE KAGAN: But I give him the computer.

1 MR. YANG: Uh-huh.

2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Do I -- have I had a
3 possessory interest in that computer just because
4 somebody said, why don't you take a look, you know --
5 you know -- keep -- keep your eye on this?

6 MR. YANG: Gratuitous bailee is what they
7 would be. And I think the answer is -- I think we would
8 say yes for purposes of the -- the fraud statute.

9 Now this wouldn't, of course, be bank fraud.
10 And --

11 JUSTICE KAGAN: Yeah, yeah, yeah. But I
12 mean --

13 MR. YANG: But -- but --

14 JUSTICE KAGAN: What you're essentially
15 saying is that anything I can think of, any hypothetical
16 I can think of which involves my hanging on to something
17 for somebody else, that I have a possessory interest in
18 that sufficient to satisfy this scheme.

19 MR. YANG: Yeah. And I don't -- it's
20 sufficient for this purposes. And I don't think this is
21 unusual. Oftentimes, rights and interest in the law are
22 relative to other people. So your possessory interest
23 would not be superior to the person who owned the
24 laptop. But it would be superior as to third parties
25 having no claim of right -- no valid claim of right to

1 the laptop.

2 So yes, that, I think, could fall within the
3 general understanding of a scheme to defraud.
4 Obviously, not a scheme to defraud a financial
5 institution.

6 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, that suggests to me
7 that as long as the person knows that the money is
8 being -- is in the bank somehow, it just shouldn't
9 matter, because anything counts as a possessory
10 interest, right?

11 MR. YANG: It shouldn't -- it shouldn't
12 matter at all. And I think that emphasizes why Congress
13 would not have wanted to add some additional knowledge
14 requirement about the property status of the money. Why
15 does it matter? All it does is complicate things.

16 Congress would not have wanted to carve out
17 this type of conduct as noncriminal. And in fact, it's
18 important to recognize, even Petitioner says she's
19 not -- he's not carving out anything that's not
20 noncriminal.

21 In page 25 of the reply brief, Petitioner
22 says it's a -- essentially, a pleading game. Well, why
23 would Congress have wanted to make the broad language of
24 scheme to defraud, which has a history going back more
25 than a century, to be a narrow appendage on something

1 else in order to force the government into a pleading
2 game, when no -- at the end of the day, if it's pled
3 properly, it's still an offense punished by the same
4 time. It just doesn't make any sense.

5 This is not what Congress intended. It has
6 no -- no basis in the text or history of the bank fraud.
7 When you look at what Congress did in the legislative
8 history, it rejected language that would have adopted
9 the same thing. As far as I can tell, everything points
10 against Petitioner here.

11 If the court has no further questions. We'd
12 ask that you affirm.

13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

14 Ms. Bell, you have three minutes remaining.

15 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF KOREN L. BELL

16 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

17 MS. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.

18 To get back to Justice Breyer's question
19 about where in the record was this disputed, that --
20 that is at pages 646 to 647. And there, counsel --
21 myself -- specifically made the argument that the
22 problem with this -- this instruction, exactly as
23 Justice Sotomayor, and I believe Justice Kagan, pointed
24 out, is the disjunctive wording of intent, the intent to
25 defraud.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counselor, assume I
2 agree with you --

3 MS. BELL: Yes, Your Honor.

4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- that there were parts
5 of your argument, both below and here in your brief,
6 that suggested the instructional error. But what I find
7 is that the way you presented the argument was
8 confusing.

9 MS. BELL: Yes.

10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It took a lot of teasing
11 out.

12 Does -- how does that affect what we do.

13 MS. BELL: Yes, Your Honor.

14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That -- that you weren't
15 clear in the instruction, don't you forfeit that
16 argument?

17 MS. BELL: No, Your Honor. The -- the claim
18 is that the intent to -- we challenge --

19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I know what your
20 two claims are.

21 MS. BELL: Okay.

22 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The question is if I
23 disagree --

24 MS. BELL: Yes.

25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- with your basic

1 premise that you need to prove pecuniary loss to the
2 bank -- I know you want to win that, but please accept
3 my hypothetical. I disagree with you that the only --
4 that the only issue is that you have to cheat and
5 deprive the bank of a possessory -- of something in a
6 possessory -- in their possessory interest. Okay?
7 Simple as that. It doesn't have to cause -- you don't
8 have to intend to cause pecuniary loss. All right?

9 Where does that leave your instructional
10 error?

11 MS. BELL: It's -- it's still an error, Your
12 Honor, because it permitted a conviction on the basis of
13 the mere intent to deceive the bank. And there's no
14 curing it, looking to, for example, the materiality
15 component of the instruction, how --

16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How about if I find that
17 what you -- that you didn't articulate your argument
18 clearly enough to the court below, or to us? Where does
19 that put you? Have you forfeited? Do you waive? Have
20 you done something?

21 MS. BELL: No, Your Honor. The -- the
22 challenge was always to the disjunctively-worded jury
23 instruction. And that was consistently made from the
24 district court to the court of appeals and to this
25 Court. The only question has been how to formulate; if

1 more than intent to deceive is required, then what more?
2 How do we explain that second component?

3 Hammerschmidt itself, which is the
4 undisputed applicable definition here, uses a number of
5 different formulations, and that's part of where the
6 confusion comes from.

7 Hammerschmidt says the intent to defraud is
8 the intent to deceive, and it also uses the -- and to
9 wrong a bank in its property rights. It equates to
10 wrong a bank in its property rights with to deprive a --
11 I'm sorry -- a victim.

12 It equates to wrong a victim in its property
13 rights with depriving a victim of something of value,
14 and it also equates that with cheating a victim out of
15 something of property, and also. To make matters even
16 more confusing in terms of the number of formulations,
17 it says that the mail fraud statute is limited to the
18 infliction of pecuniary or property loss. And so our
19 formulations come out of the Hammerschmidt case.

20 And what we have always asked the Court to
21 do here is to construe intent to defraud to require, not
22 just the intent to deceive, as the lower court
23 instructions required, but also the intent to do that
24 second thing, which is --

25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MS. BELL: Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Case is submitted.

(Whereupon, at 11:51 a.m., the case in the
above-entitled matter was submitted.)

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>a.m 1:14 3:2 5:5 5:23 28:24 58:3</p> <p>above-entitled 1:12 58:4</p> <p>Absolutely 9:7</p> <p>abstract 7:5 38:13</p> <p>accept 56:2</p> <p>account 11:11 11:13 15:1 21:3 50:5</p> <p>accounts 43:22</p> <p>acquisition 18:21</p> <p>Act 48:3</p> <p>actual 44:11</p> <p>add 37:12 44:2 53:13</p> <p>addition 23:4</p> <p>additional 44:3 48:6 53:13</p> <p>adopt 43:3</p> <p>adopted 50:17 54:8</p> <p>advance 44:4,5</p> <p>advantage 42:1</p> <p>affect 6:16 55:12</p> <p>affirm 54:12</p> <p>affirmative 23:6</p> <p>affirmed 25:2</p> <p>afraid 20:20 21:12</p> <p>agree 11:3,6,24 24:16 40:5 55:2</p> <p>agreed 28:25 42:13</p> <p>agrees 17:21</p> <p>Alito 14:16 18:9 18:12 25:17</p> <p>allow 28:3</p> <p>allows 27:2,8</p> <p>altered-check 22:14</p>	<p>ambiguity 22:6 22:11 41:12</p> <p>analysis 29:8</p> <p>Angeles 1:17</p> <p>anomalous 8:20</p> <p>answer 8:21 24:4 26:23 43:18 50:25 52:7</p> <p>ANTHONY 1:18 2:6 28:9</p> <p>apologies 30:10</p> <p>apology 30:12</p> <p>appeals 41:16 41:17,21,24 42:2,6 56:24</p> <p>APPEARAN... 1:15</p> <p>appendage 53:25</p> <p>appendix 33:22 47:9</p> <p>applicable 57:4</p> <p>applies 20:15,15</p> <p>apply 20:11</p> <p>applying 27:24</p> <p>approach 21:10 21:11,17</p> <p>approaches 18:24</p> <p>appropriate 9:8 36:25</p> <p>approved 11:19</p> <p>area 49:9</p> <p>areas 50:13</p> <p>argue 26:5</p> <p>arguing 13:6</p> <p>argument 1:13 2:2,5,8 3:3,6 6:21 7:15 14:1 14:3,6 24:12 28:9,18 31:16 31:17 33:3 54:15,21 55:5 55:7,16 56:17</p> <p>arguments 32:23</p>	<p>articulate 56:17</p> <p>articulated 25:10</p> <p>asked 17:2 28:23 57:20</p> <p>asking 5:21,22</p> <p>assets 11:11</p> <p>Assistant 1:18</p> <p>assume 32:4,4 55:1</p> <p>assumes 36:6</p> <p>avoids 8:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>b 29:9 48:24</p> <p>back 4:10 7:17 7:23 22:6 32:10 35:1 36:14 42:8 47:6 53:24 54:18</p> <p>backed 17:13</p> <p>bailee 8:13 52:6</p> <p>bailor 8:14</p> <p>balance 50:4</p> <p>bank 3:10,15,16 3:17,20 4:18 6:16,20 7:16 7:17,19 8:4,11 8:11,13,14,23 9:9,21,21 10:12,22,25 11:10,14 12:13 13:10 15:2,2,7 16:21,22 17:9 17:22 18:7,16 18:25 19:5,24 19:25 20:4,16 20:16,23 21:2 21:4 22:1,9,18 23:2,5,10,14 23:17,18 24:17 24:23,24 25:5 25:12,16 27:3 27:12 28:2,4 28:13,14,21 29:14,19,22</p>	<p>30:2,5 31:11 31:13,19 32:1 32:2,5,12 37:13 38:2,3,3 38:4,8,9,9,11 38:17,22 39:11 39:12,16 40:1 40:3,10,13,21 41:8,18,19,24 41:25 42:1,3,3 43:23,24,24 44:1,6,22,23 45:1,6,9,12 46:4,10,11,18 46:24,25 47:2 47:16,17,19,21 48:7,18,19,19 48:20,23,25 49:16,23,24 50:9,9 51:5,10 52:9 53:8 54:6 56:2,5,13 57:9 57:10</p> <p>bank's 3:18 7:9 19:5 22:1</p> <p>bank-held 3:19</p> <p>banking 46:20</p> <p>banks 10:6 43:20 44:6,24 46:21 47:10,11</p> <p>based 3:13 6:22 9:10 22:24 44:15 50:2</p> <p>basic 55:25</p> <p>basis 11:20 12:23 24:6 27:2,8 28:3 54:6 56:12</p> <p>bear 3:20 4:4,19 8:6 16:2 18:8 23:16 25:16</p> <p>bearing 20:10</p> <p>bears 23:19</p> <p>behalf 1:17,20 2:4,7,10 3:7 28:10 54:16</p> <p>behavioral 50:6</p>	<p>beliefs 46:22</p> <p>believe 54:23</p> <p>believed 6:1 43:21</p> <p>believes 4:15,17 4:21</p> <p>Bell 1:16 2:3,9 3:5,6,8 4:6,17 4:23 5:11,15 6:5,11 7:21 8:19 9:7,19,25 10:4,11,14,23 11:4,8,16 12:4 12:8,18,21 13:1,4,7,15 14:2,7,18,21 14:24 15:5,10 15:14,19,22 16:1,5,13,24 17:3,10,16,25 18:4,17 19:14 19:16,24 20:5 20:8,14 21:15 22:5 23:12,21 23:25 24:3,6 24:13,25 25:3 25:7 26:3,15 26:23 31:25 54:14,15,17 55:3,9,13,17 55:21,24 56:11 56:21 58:1</p> <p>belong 43:23 45:21</p> <p>best 22:7 39:23</p> <p>better 40:12</p> <p>big 33:10</p> <p>bigger 33:7</p> <p>billfolds 45:1</p> <p>bit 33:14 43:13</p> <p>blowing 25:23</p> <p>blue 13:18</p> <p>body 17:21</p> <p>box 50:11</p> <p>boxes 51:4,6</p> <p>brevity 41:23</p> <p>Breyer 4:14,20</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>4:25 5:13,21 6:6 12:15,20 12:24 13:2,5 13:13,16,21,25 14:4 17:1 20:19 21:20 25:18 26:4,16 35:22,25 36:3 36:8 44:17,19 45:4,11,19,24 Breyer's 54:18 brief 17:8 28:18 29:5 40:17 41:6 42:5 53:21 55:5 briefs 30:12 broad 43:3,4 46:14 53:23 broader 33:18 42:9 43:10,12 49:18,21 brother 21:6,21 21:22 built 21:9 business 47:13 48:1,2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 2:1 3:1 28:19 29:8,8 42:5 Cal 1:17 call 43:24,25 51:17 called 21:4 49:4 capable 34:14 care 19:8 39:25 carriage 25:23 carve 53:16 carving 53:19 case 3:4,12 5:12 5:15 6:13 7:13 7:23 9:8,14 10:5 12:8 16:10 17:7 18:23 20:20 22:5,7 23:20 27:16 28:14</p>	<p>30:19 38:4 40:18 42:13 49:4 57:19 58:2,3 cases 22:22 23:5 25:18 33:16 40:24 cause 3:20 16:1 17:17 18:7,14 20:15 24:22 25:5 27:20 28:21 43:6 56:7,8 causes 31:13 causing 18:16 century 3:14 43:19 53:25 certain 47:10,11 certainly 7:2 10:7 14:24 17:18 18:4 24:6 certainty 9:25 challenge 36:21 55:18 56:22 chance 14:5 charge 9:8 41:10 41:11 42:12 charged 9:2,4 22:7 42:14 charges 3:24 charging 42:24 cheat 12:16,25 13:2,12,14 14:14 15:2,3,7 15:17,19 26:9 26:10,17,19 30:20 31:2 34:4,8,24 35:7 35:14 36:16 37:2,10,20 38:2,9 40:2,3 56:4 cheating 15:12 37:5 57:14 check 20:13 22:22,25 47:4</p>	<p>50:3,5 Checks 50:4 cherry-picking 34:21 Chief 3:3,8 7:4 8:9 28:7,11,16 29:6,21 30:4,8 30:14,18 31:1 32:10 33:13 35:11 36:15 37:7,16 41:15 54:13,17 57:25 58:2 chose 43:11 Circuit 11:19 25:2 49:1,2,5 circuits 9:12 18:24 cite 40:24 claim 17:13 52:25,25 55:17 claims 55:20 clarified 30:23 clarify 49:8 classic 11:3 clause 3:10,13 6:18,19 8:23 9:12,12,20 21:18 22:8,13 22:21 23:1,3 28:1 38:5 42:24 43:11,12 43:12 49:21 cleaner 5:6,24 clear 3:15 9:20 14:9 25:8 27:15 30:5 55:15 clearly 43:18 56:18 close 41:3 code 25:19 coffee 51:15 coffers 10:13 colleague 14:15 42:13 come 5:19 20:1</p>	<p>57:19 comes 4:9 5:22 13:18 16:9 17:19 21:6,18 23:21 30:19 43:1 51:16,19 51:19 57:6 committed 21:21 common 36:25 commonly 7:15 company 47:14 47:22 48:1 complains 8:3 complete 42:6 completely 40:5 complicate 53:15 complicated 40:14 43:13 complicates 45:22 complications 42:23 component 25:13 56:15 57:2 components 5:19 16:8 compounded 27:5 computer 51:17 51:20,25 52:3 concealment 20:17 concede 9:1 concept 15:20 concepts 7:5 concerns 3:12 39:18 conduct 22:20 48:6 53:17 conducting 48:17 Cone 27:14 confused 10:16 17:5</p>	<p>confusing 25:8 49:17 55:8 57:16 confusion 17:18 21:13 30:17 57:6 Congress 9:10 22:23 43:2,3,5 44:5,13 53:12 53:16,23 54:5 54:7 conjunction 31:9 connects 37:1 38:18 consequence 33:6,14 considered 43:5 consistently 56:23 constitute 7:2 construction 21:17 33:16 construe 57:21 construes 24:15 construing 50:17 context 29:5 34:18 35:12,13 39:5,7,13 41:1 41:10 42:22 contradict 29:9 contrary 26:5 control 9:3 convey 40:20 convicted 9:2 16:20 42:14 conviction 11:20 12:23 27:2,8 28:3 56:12 correct 7:8 10:23 11:4,8 12:1,18 13:1,4 13:15 15:14,18 15:23 16:23 17:15 24:13 36:20 51:12,13</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>Correctly 18:4 cost 44:6 coterminous 49:12 counsel 28:7 54:13,20 57:25 Counselor 55:1 counts 53:9 course 7:17 10:5 30:7,8 31:7 42:2 49:11 52:9 court 1:1,13 3:9 4:11 7:23 14:1 18:18 24:15,19 24:20,21 27:11 27:15,22 28:12 33:15 39:18,19 40:22 41:16,17 41:21,23 42:2 42:6 50:16 54:11 56:18,24 56:24,25 57:20 57:22 Court's 3:14 4:10 6:22 13:9 14:8 17:20 21:18 22:24 27:10 33:7 courts 48:24 cover 20:12 22:12,13,15,16 49:11 50:12 covered 22:18 22:25 49:9,10 50:5 covers 22:8 25:21 49:19,20 49:22,24 50:2 created 34:7 credit 47:11 crime 21:21 crimes 42:22 criminal 3:24 6:8 48:6 culpability 3:11 curing 56:14</p>	<p>custodian 49:22 custody 9:3 27:19 customer 3:20 6:20 18:8 19:3 19:6 20:7,10 22:9,13 23:16 43:22 45:3,21 50:10 customer's 19:4 customers 20:25 44:24,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 3:1 D.C 1:9,19 day 54:2 dear 5:23 death 25:24 deceit 43:25 47:23,25 deceive 3:16 10:21 11:18 12:10,12,16,25 13:3,12,14 14:14,23 15:17 19:24 22:17 26:9,10,17,19 27:3,9 28:4,14 28:20 29:1,13 30:5,16,20 31:2,11 34:4,8 34:24 35:7,14 35:18 36:8,9 36:16,19 37:2 37:10,13,13,17 37:20,21 38:2 38:4,8,13,18 38:22 40:2,10 41:19 45:16 49:22 56:13 57:1,8,22 deceived 15:1 16:22 38:11 deceiving 15:12 16:21 37:5 38:15,16</p>	<p>deception 15:25 16:1,15,16,18 19:13,21 23:18 24:24 49:23 50:3 deceptive 29:18 decide 39:19 decision 22:24 24:19 27:15,21 33:7 42:24 deeper 31:5,16 defendant 4:15 4:17 7:14,18 8:7 18:22 33:24 41:18 43:16 44:15 46:9,14,18 47:16 defendant's 4:7 44:8 45:14 defendants 19:2 44:14 46:19 Defender 1:16 defense 8:10,18 define 6:23 defined 33:25 35:10 36:10 48:2 definition 39:6 41:13 57:4 defraud 3:11,15 4:12,25 5:12 5:14,15,19 6:12,14,16,23 11:19 12:11 13:9 14:10,11 14:13 15:24 16:7,8,14 24:7 25:14 26:7 27:6,25 28:1 31:11 33:25 34:3,11,16,23 35:3,5,6 36:4,4 39:6,8 40:1,9 41:13 42:25 43:9 48:20 50:18 53:3,4</p>	<p>53:24 54:25 57:7,21 defrauded 23:17 defrauding 9:5 15:21,22 45:1 defrauds 5:4,4 Department 1:19 43:8 depend 4:23 5:11 44:7 depending 9:13 depends 6:21 44:10 deposit 22:15 43:22 50:11,25 51:4,6 depositor's 11:11,13 depositors 21:25 deposits 19:3 deprivation 16:16 17:8 18:19 35:15 deprive 4:8 10:25 11:14 12:16,25 13:3 13:10,14,17 15:11,17 18:14 18:25 19:12,20 19:25 24:23 26:9,10,18,19 29:14,19,22 30:2,20,23 31:25 32:12 34:4,8 36:16 36:18 37:2,20 38:3,9 46:4 56:5 57:10 deprived 10:12 10:13 16:22 23:18 depriving 18:15 23:10 28:15 39:15 40:10 48:15 57:13 Deputy 1:16 design 9:13,14</p>	<p>9:16 designated 27:17 designed 20:15 29:19 designing 29:24 desk 21:24 devising 8:8 difference 10:17 22:2 different 16:4,6 17:17 19:19 21:10,11,16 25:9 32:3 33:14 57:5 direct 6:24 directed 49:24 disagree 11:25 13:8 23:8 55:23 56:3 disagreeing 23:23 disagreement 31:6,24 disagrees 24:21 27:4 disappears 51:18 disavow 18:5 discuss 32:15 33:5,8 40:16 discussed 31:10 34:11 35:4 40:16 discussions 17:5 disguise 50:9 disjunctive 12:22 16:20 38:5 54:24 disjunctively--- 56:22 disjunctives 40:11 displaced 41:14 dispute 10:7 16:9 disputed 54:19</p>
---	---	--	--	--

disputing 31:21	57:14	false 7:3 15:1	footnote 29:17	game 41:25
distinct 32:18	error 33:16 55:6	22:10 23:6	40:16 50:2	53:22 54:2
distinguish	56:10,11	42:20,21 49:25	force 54:1	general 1:19
30:13 44:14	ESQ 1:16,18 2:3	51:5	forfeit 55:15	41:7 53:3
district 56:24	2:6,9	famous 25:23	forfeited 56:19	generally 33:16
door 5:1,23	essentially 52:14	26:1	forget 8:14	getting 7:6
doubts 40:22	53:22	far 5:2 16:11	34:20	15:16 18:21
drafting 43:5	EUGENE 1:3	54:9	forgotten 39:22	Ginsburg 8:25
drawer 20:24,25	evening 6:2	farfetched 50:7	form 10:25 32:6	20:3,7,9 22:7
dresses 50:8	everybody 17:21	FDIC 47:11	forms 23:1	24:20 25:1,4
Durland 7:23	everyone's	federal 1:16	formulate 56:25	42:11,18 49:8
50:17	21:24	3:10 9:11	formulation	49:20
	exactly 9:9	18:24	17:17	give 5:24 7:17
E	16:24,25 20:5	field 42:1	formulations	21:7 51:14,25
E 2:1 3:1,1	20:5,8 54:22	Fifth 49:1	25:9 57:5,16	given 20:25
early 28:17	example 6:15,25	fighting 11:7	57:19	31:22
easy 47:3	9:15 10:6	financial 3:11	found 9:6 40:21	giving 32:20
economic 24:17	25:23 26:2	9:3,5 12:17	founder 22:2	go 5:2 7:7 32:10
27:22 43:7	45:10 51:15	13:22 20:17	fraud 3:10,14,21	32:14 34:12,25
effect 8:16 22:19	56:14	24:23 25:6	4:10 5:7,16,17	36:18 44:23
effect-based 8:2	examples 48:23	26:10,18,19	5:25 6:9,13,22	goes 12:24 20:22
Eighth 49:4	excuse 41:8	30:20,24 34:1	7:1 8:22,24 9:9	33:17 36:17
either 8:13 19:3	execute 35:3	34:4,8,15 35:5	17:20,20 20:17	going 4:4,10
19:4 22:9	36:3 44:14	35:7,18 36:4,5	23:2,5 24:18	7:23 8:5 9:15
37:24	executed 33:24	36:9,12,16	27:10,23 28:13	11:5 19:6,6
element 3:12	39:8	37:3,5,6,21,21	35:9 40:13,22	20:20,21 21:3
14:12 16:6,14	explain 6:10	38:17 39:8	41:8,18 42:1,3	22:25 23:4,14
28:1	12:9 17:17	43:7 45:16	43:1,2,2 44:1	23:15,16,24
elements 16:4,6	18:17 26:14	47:7,9,10	45:6 49:15	27:10 28:23
else's 7:9	57:2	48:10,16,21	52:8,9 54:6	37:4 53:24
embed 35:10	explained 50:1	53:4	57:17	good 5:10
embezzlement	explanation	find 16:21 35:2	frauds 46:21	government 4:7
23:5	26:22	55:6 56:16	50:2,6	5:18 8:3 9:4
emphasizes	extensively	fine 42:15	fraudster 51:19	11:24 16:9
53:12	14:16	first 6:8,23 7:21	fraudulently	39:22 41:4
enactment 22:23	eye 52:5	11:17 13:7	48:5	42:12 43:11
enduring 33:6		17:7 19:16	friend 5:10 6:2	54:1
33:13	F	33:3,11,23	fringe 50:14	government's
entails 3:13	face 27:9	34:10	Full 41:19	10:18 33:17
entitlement 51:6	fact 5:8 8:4,6,12	fits 35:1 42:13	fully 42:6	47:9
entity 10:3	10:4,13 21:2,5	five 20:21,25	function 37:1	grammatical
47:20,23,25	21:9 36:5,10	flies 27:9	funds 10:12	35:19 38:25
48:1,5,15,25	43:5 45:8 46:7	focuses 22:19	further 34:12	39:3
equate 31:25	46:17 47:20	following 49:18	54:11	Gratuitous 52:6
equated 7:24	53:17	follows 38:17		great 35:20
27:11	fair 12:2	footman 25:24	G	grocery 48:17
equates 57:9,12	fall 53:2	25:25 26:1	G 3:1	guess 10:14,15

28:24 guilty 9:6 25:25 41:18	11:16 12:18 13:4,7,15 14:2 14:7,18 15:19 15:23 16:24 17:16 19:14,16 20:6,14 21:15 21:16 23:21 24:4 25:7,10 26:3,15,23 27:4 28:2 55:3 55:13,17 56:12 56:21	influencing 34:14 injure 44:22 injury 24:18 27:22 insofar 10:11 19:5,16 instance 29:16 45:9 48:16 50:3,7 institution 3:12 9:4,5 12:17 13:22 26:10,18 26:20 30:20,24 34:1,5,8,15 35:5,8,18 36:5 36:9,12,16 37:3,5,6,21,22 38:17 39:9 43:7 45:16 48:10,16,22 53:5 institutions 47:7 47:9,10 instruction 13:10 14:13 26:6,21 27:1,6 30:19 32:25 33:23,23 34:10 34:20 35:1,12 35:13 36:1,10 36:13,14 37:8 37:11 40:9,12 41:16 54:22 55:15 56:15,23 instructional 55:6 56:9 instructions 11:20,25 12:3 12:16 24:4,11 24:12 28:3 30:3 31:7,7,14 31:15 32:11,14 33:8,11,12,20 33:21 34:18 35:17 40:19,25 41:9,20 57:23	insufficient 27:18 insurance 7:7 23:15 44:10,10 insured 4:14,15 4:18,21,22 5:7 5:8 6:3 7:6 47:11 insurer 6:12 8:5 integrity 44:6 intend 12:12 18:19,22 19:20 22:8 24:22,23 25:5 26:18 27:18 31:18 34:7 35:7 43:23 56:8 intended 6:19 9:10 18:21 40:9 41:19 43:3 54:5 intends 7:23 8:7 13:21 26:8,16 31:25 34:4 35:17 intent 3:11,15 3:16,17,19 4:7 4:8 5:12 9:15 9:17,19 10:21 10:25 11:14,18 11:18 12:10,11 12:16 14:10,13 14:14,16,20 16:7 17:22 18:7,25 19:17 19:18,24 20:4 23:22 24:7,16 25:12,20 26:4 27:6,9,15,19 27:25 28:4,13 28:14,20 29:1 29:12,22 30:15 30:16,23 32:1 32:6,12 34:23 34:24 35:4,6 37:9 42:9 44:3 44:21,22 45:6	45:7,15,16 46:2,4 47:24 54:24,24 55:18 56:13 57:1,7,8 57:21,22,23 intent's 22:17 intent-based 8:21 9:10 interest 8:5,12 8:13,15 9:18 9:24 10:2,2,10 11:1,10,15 13:5 16:17,23 17:12,15 18:14 18:15 19:1,12 19:21,25 21:5 23:11,19 29:14 31:19,21 32:1 32:13 33:17 40:3 44:5,9,9 45:9,12,13 46:5,8,8,11,12 46:13,15,18 51:10 52:3,17 52:21,22 53:10 56:6 interests 11:2,2 11:3 18:3 interjected 41:12 Internet 42:22 interpretation 13:9 interpreted 4:11 investment 47:13 48:1,3 involved 42:23 46:24 47:3 48:10 involves 27:23 52:16 irrelevant 32:6 issue 3:24 14:16 18:5 38:25 39:20 42:9 56:4 issues 11:17
<hr/> H <hr/> Hammerschm... 16:10 27:11 57:3,7,19 hang 51:17 hanging 52:16 happen 6:16 happens 21:25 46:17 48:18 happy 31:6 32:15 33:5 hard 24:10 harm 9:17,21 10:2,3,10 24:16,23 30:15 43:6,23 harmed 10:2,5 harmony 12:7 heading 28:19 29:3,7 42:5 hear 3:3 heard 41:1 heightened 6:15 held 41:16,17,21 48:24 help 47:8 hereof 37:1 hey 43:9 hide 15:4 hiding 20:13 50:3 highlights 35:14 history 53:24 54:6,8 holding 3:23 15:3 47:21 holistically 34:18 home 42:1 Honor 4:6 6:11 6:25 7:21 8:7 8:19 9:7,19 10:8,11 11:4,8	Honor's 22:6,16 36:21 hook 7:20 48:8 hundred 4:11 hurt 20:4 hypothetical 22:16 52:15 56:3	<hr/> I <hr/> idea 18:22 27:11 44:15 ignorance 8:10 8:17,20 image 18:20 impetus 22:23 50:16 important 39:20 53:18 impose 50:8 include 18:3,3 27:7 47:10 includes 3:18 11:2 26:4 47:13 including 11:15 46:5 incomplete 29:4 independent 50:21,23 independently 35:2 infliction 57:18 influence 34:14 36:11		

<p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>James 20:22 21:6,21,23 43:20</p> <p>James' 21:6</p> <p>Jessie 20:22 21:5,6,21,22 43:20</p> <p>jewelry 4:21 5:5 5:5,6,9,24,24 6:1</p> <p>Joe 21:3</p> <p>joint 33:22</p> <p>juries 39:23</p> <p>jurisdictional 48:8</p> <p>juror 37:4 38:10 39:24</p> <p>jury 11:19 16:20 25:15 30:2 31:6,7 39:7 40:20 41:1,8,9 56:22</p> <p>Justice 1:19 3:3 3:8,22 4:14,20 4:25 5:13,21 6:6 7:4 8:9,25 9:16,23 10:1,9 10:14,15,24 11:5,9,22 12:5 12:15,20,24 13:2,5,13,16 13:20,21,24,25 14:4,15,15,19 14:22,25 15:6 15:11,15,16,21 15:24 16:3,11 16:14 17:1,1,4 17:11,12,24 18:2,9,11,12 19:8,9,15,20 20:3,7,9,19 21:20 22:7 23:7,13,23 24:1,3,9,20 25:1,4,17,18</p>	<p>26:4,16 28:7 28:11,16,22 29:6,7,12,21 30:4,8,14,18 31:1,23 32:10 32:20,24 33:2 33:9,13,19 34:20,23 35:11 35:22,25 36:3 36:8,15 37:7 37:16,23 38:8 38:14,20 39:2 39:21 40:23 41:3,15 42:11 42:18 43:8 44:17,19 45:4 45:11,19,24 46:3,10,23 47:2,15 48:9 48:12 49:8,20 50:19,24 51:3 51:9,14,22,25 52:2,11,14 53:6 54:13,17 54:18,23,23 55:1,4,10,14 55:19,22,25 56:16 57:25 58:2</p> <p>Justice's 32:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>Kagan 10:14,24 11:5,9,22 12:5 13:20,24 15:16 17:12 18:2,11 19:8 24:3,9 28:22 29:7,12 31:23 32:10,20 33:19 37:23 38:8,14,20 39:2 46:3,10 50:19,24 51:3 51:9,14,22,25 52:2,11,14 53:6 54:23</p> <p>Kardashian</p>	<p>5:23</p> <p>Kardashian's 4:20</p> <p>keep 7:6 52:5,5</p> <p>Kennedy 9:16 9:23 10:1,9,15 17:24 19:9,15 19:20 32:24 33:2,9 40:23 41:3 46:23 47:2,15 48:9 48:12</p> <p>kill 25:25</p> <p>kind 20:18 32:15 35:12,13 37:23 41:11 43:15 50:12</p> <p>kiosk 48:17</p> <p>kiting 22:22,25</p> <p>knew 22:23 43:2</p> <p>knocking 5:1</p> <p>know 5:1 11:12 11:23 20:24 21:10 22:3 31:19 32:25 33:9,10 36:25 41:25 42:4 45:25,25 46:14 46:15,19,23,24 46:25 47:1,16 47:18,19,25 48:3,9,19,25 49:3 50:8,13 50:22 51:9 52:4,5 55:19 56:2</p> <p>knowingly 19:12 33:24 39:8</p> <p>knowledge 14:17 19:19 21:24 25:22 26:8 31:20 43:16 44:3 46:22 48:14,21 49:6 53:13</p> <p>knows 7:14,22</p>	<p>42:2 46:9 53:7</p> <p>KOREN 1:16 2:3,9 3:6 54:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>L 1:16 2:3,9 3:6 54:15</p> <p>lack 16:15</p> <p>language 4:24 39:1 43:1,6 50:15,16 53:23 54:8</p> <p>laptop 52:24 53:1</p> <p>larceny 3:24 22:18 48:24</p> <p>largely 50:14</p> <p>law 6:8,8 8:10 8:11,17,20 12:1,6 17:22 21:11 24:5 46:20,20 52:21</p> <p>lawful 9:17 19:11</p> <p>LAWRENCE 1:3</p> <p>lead 25:24 30:17 39:11,12</p> <p>leave 56:9</p> <p>legal 8:16 33:6 39:19 43:15,16</p> <p>legislative 54:7</p> <p>let's 22:18 32:4 32:4,8</p> <p>liability 8:2,21 9:10</p> <p>lied 5:1 21:22</p> <p>life 20:23</p> <p>light 41:11</p> <p>limited 49:25 57:17</p> <p>line 44:24</p> <p>linguistically 35:16</p> <p>list 47:12</p> <p>little 29:4 33:14 40:14 43:13</p>	<p>49:17 50:7</p> <p>loan 23:4</p> <p>loaned 5:9 6:2 7:17</p> <p>loans 7:10,11</p> <p>local 5:5,22,24</p> <p>long 23:17 53:7</p> <p>long-standing 6:22</p> <p>look 10:21 11:10 29:15 30:11 34:2 41:10 44:23 52:4 54:7</p> <p>looked 26:12</p> <p>looking 28:19 56:14</p> <p>Los 1:16</p> <p>lose 4:3 6:3 23:14,20 24:14</p> <p>loss 3:21 4:4,4,5 4:19 8:6 10:6 16:2 18:8,14 18:16 19:6,7 20:10 23:17,19 25:6,16 27:13 27:20,23 28:21 32:2,5 43:7 46:21 56:1,8 57:18</p> <p>lost 4:12 41:25</p> <p>lot 25:18 50:18 50:22 55:10</p> <p>Loughrin 22:13 22:14 50:1,20</p> <p>love 25:25</p> <p>lower 57:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>mail 5:16 6:13 17:20 43:2 57:17</p> <p>major 22:22,22</p> <p>making 7:10 8:10 32:22</p> <p>manifesting 11:14</p>
--	---	---	---	---

material 31:10 31:12,12 34:1 34:12,13 35:4 35:23 36:5,7 36:10 39:9,10 39:11,14	minutes 54:14 mired 7:5 mirror 18:20 misrepresenta... 42:17,21 51:23 missing 29:6 model 25:19 modified 31:3 modify 13:11 momentary 10:12 monetary 28:21 32:5 money 5:4 6:3 7:3,11,16,16 8:16 15:4 16:17 19:4,5 20:23 21:1,1,3 21:7,23,25 31:13 34:15 36:12 39:12,16 40:3,4 43:21 43:22 46:16,16 46:17 50:11,25 51:7,11 53:7 53:14	necklace 5:10 need 19:22 26:22 29:13 42:16 43:12 45:25 48:25 56:1 needs 10:21,24 32:12 never 10:6 18:5 18:23 Ninth 11:19 25:1 nobody's 20:21 noncriminal 53:17,20 nonsensical 25:15 nonstatement-... 50:3 normal 37:3 normally 25:20 25:21 36:23 notice 10:19 number 57:4,16	omission 20:18 once 35:22 44:15 48:4 ones 33:7 open 15:1 operating 38:4 operative 17:2 17:21 opinion 19:10 19:10 42:7 opposed 17:11 oral 1:12 2:2,5 3:6 28:9 order 16:1 42:2 45:16,19 46:2 54:1 outpost 48:18 outside 49:13,14 49:14 overall 41:10 overlap 49:9 50:15,18 owned 9:3 17:9 17:14 44:8 49:3 52:23 ownership 11:3 18:14 44:9	particular 6:18 8:2,22 14:5 particularly 31:9 42:10 parties 52:24 parts 30:11 55:4 party 4:18 Pasquantino 27:21 pecuniary 27:12 27:20 32:2 56:1,8 57:18 penal 25:19 penalize 6:14 penalties 6:15 people 7:15 44:23 52:22 perfect 12:6 40:20 perfectly 16:11 19:11 period 10:12 permits 12:22 permitted 11:20 56:12 person 5:22 26:7 52:23 53:7 personal 18:23 pervasive 23:1 Petitioner 1:4,17 2:4,10 3:7 28:25 29:1 31:17 32:17 37:19 53:18,21 54:10,16 Petitioner's 37:19 Petitioners 43:14 phone 51:16 pick 51:20 picture 33:10 piece 12:12 plain 22:18 plainly 27:6,8 plan 7:25 plausibly 13:11
matters 8:6 57:15 mean 4:12 5:3 7:14 17:14 18:6,17,18 25:14 29:8,12 39:14,14 41:3 44:19 46:25 47:3 48:12 52:12 53:6 meaning 3:13 27:24,25 50:21 means 3:19,23 4:3 7:3 12:13 13:14,23 18:7 18:10,12 20:17 22:10 23:9,24 24:8 25:15,17 26:7,8 37:4 meant 18:5 35:17 41:24 members 40:15 mentioned 8:25 mere 28:4 56:13 merely 4:5 16:21 17:14 merge 32:18 mind 33:4 44:8 45:14 minor 23:3 minute 51:18	mothership 42:25 move 43:19 movies 20:19 21:9 moving 15:8 multiple 40:15 murder 25:22 26:1	<hr/> O <hr/> O 2:1 3:1 object 6:24 7:1 obtain 7:3 42:3 45:17,19 obtaining 9:2 45:2 Obviously 53:4 occasion 5:10 occur 42:22 46:21 October 1:10 offense 54:3 Oftentimes 52:21 oh 51:19 okay 6:4 7:8 13:25 15:5,9 26:1 30:4,14 31:1 32:9 33:4 35:22 47:15 55:21 56:6	<hr/> P <hr/> P 3:1 page 2:2 14:4,5 28:19 29:16,16 29:17,18 34:2 34:13 37:11 47:8 53:21 pages 20:21 33:22 41:6 54:20 parlance 36:25 parse 37:18,24 39:24 parses 39:19 part 11:11 29:8 29:8,9 31:13 33:3 34:15 35:2 36:12 39:12 57:5	
N <hr/> N 2:1,1 3:1 name 15:1 narrow 43:9,10 53:25 nationals 41:25 natural 36:11 nature 44:11 neatly 9:12 37:24 necessary 34:13				

44:17,18 46:13 47:24 51:1 52:25,25 53:10 56:8 rightly 17:12 rights 3:17,18 3:19 7:5 12:14 17:23,25 18:1 18:1 24:17 25:13 27:12 52:21 57:9,10 57:13 risk 46:20 rob 15:13 48:19 robbed 43:20 48:25 robbers 44:23 robbery 43:24 48:23 ROBERTS 3:3 7:4 8:9 28:7,16 29:6,21 30:4,8 30:14,18 31:1 33:13 35:11 36:15 37:7,16 41:15 54:13 57:25 58:2 role 23:3 rule 23:20 rules 46:21	21:22 27:23 31:18 32:2 35:6 36:3 37:9 51:16,19 53:18 53:22 57:7,17 Schaar 49:1 scheme 3:21 5:17 6:12,12 6:14 7:1,2,25 8:2,8,21,22 9:11,13 13:9 14:10 18:8 20:15 22:13,14 22:15 25:16 26:7 27:2 28:14 30:1,1,1 33:25 34:3,3,7 34:11,16 35:3 35:9,10 36:4 38:2,22 39:6,8 39:10 40:1,1,9 40:13 41:13 42:25 43:6,9 44:11,15 50:17 52:18 53:3,4 53:24 schemer 29:25 34:6,7 schemes 6:15 9:11 19:3 22:8 29:18 40:15 49:15,15,22 school 6:8 second 6:24 12:9 12:12 24:15 25:13 35:2 57:2,24 section 19:11 28:20 33:18 42:5 48:2,12 48:24 security 50:25 51:4,6 see 4:13 5:2 17:16 24:19 27:10,14,20 35:1 38:12	51:4,6 seeks 13:17 seen 18:23 sees 20:23 sense 3:21 7:24 18:18 37:12,17 38:21 39:3,15 54:4 sent 47:3 separate 26:17 35:14 36:6,8 series 36:24 serve 23:4 serves 23:4 settled 3:13 4:10 Seventh 49:2 Shaw 1:3 3:4 9:1 9:6 33:14 shop 51:15 short 41:17 show 26:7 38:1 showing 27:19 similar 48:8 simple 20:22 40:19 56:7 simple-minded 21:8 simplicity 40:6,8 simplifying 39:22 simply 14:13 27:18 35:6 36:25 39:20 single 24:18 single-member 40:13 single-person 35:9 sitting 51:15 situations 44:20 Skilling 27:22 slew 47:12 slight 38:25 slightly 38:25 small 47:13 48:1 48:2,17 Smith's 21:3	Solicitor 1:18 somebody 7:9 26:17 35:17 38:15 51:3,16 51:18 52:4,17 somewhat 35:8 sophisticated 44:16 sorry 3:22 12:11 16:5 22:14 28:17 29:3 57:11 sort 9:12 Sotomayor 3:22 14:15,19,22,25 15:6,11,15,21 15:24 16:3,11 16:14 17:1,4 17:11 23:7,13 23:23 24:1 34:20,23 39:21 54:23 55:1,4 55:10,14,19,22 55:25 56:16 specifically 43:4 43:8 54:21 specified 6:18 specifies 9:21 28:1 specify 5:17 stand 31:3 standard 7:22 17:19 19:17 start 49:17 started 25:19 starting 24:19 statement 22:10 23:6 42:21 51:5 statement's 50:12 statements 50:1 50:4 States 1:1,6,13 1:20 2:7 3:4 27:16,17,19 28:10 49:7	status 43:17 44:3 47:18 48:21 49:6 53:14 statute 3:10 4:6 4:24 5:12,13 6:7,17,18 8:23 9:9 19:11 22:2 22:19 25:20 26:6 27:17 32:7 43:10 47:19,21 52:8 57:17 statute's 22:23 statutes 5:16 6:14 43:1 steal 49:2 step 4:2 47:6 steps 6:22 stolen 7:7 stop 28:17 41:19 store 48:17 strongly 29:1 studied 46:20 sub 8:25 subjectively 44:7 submitted 58:2 58:4 subsection 23:9 suffer 19:6,7 32:2 suffered 10:6 suffers 32:5 suffice 20:1 sufficient 4:9 11:18 52:18,20 sufficiently 41:13 suggested 28:23 55:6 suggesting 10:15 suggests 53:6 superfluous 35:8 superior 52:23 52:24
S				
S 2:1 3:1 safety 50:11 sales 36:15 satisfy 6:17 52:18 saying 10:21 16:19 17:14 19:23 24:9 26:16 29:1 36:23 52:15 says 4:25 5:1,5 5:13,23 6:19 8:7 12:15 13:19 17:12 20:23 21:6,16				

Suppose 51:15	57:16	thought 6:7	uncommon	47:24 57:13
Supreme 1:1,13	text 3:13 54:6	15:16 17:7	36:24	verbs 36:24
sure 14:7 28:22	Thank 3:8 16:13	26:12 31:20	unconfuse 21:14	versus 14:17
surprising 50:15	28:7 54:13,17	32:20	understand	victim 4:12 5:17
surveys 49:5	57:25 58:1	three 40:2 54:14	11:16 16:12	6:18,19 18:19
Sutton 43:19,21	theft 4:16,22	time 14:8 22:24	17:18 20:22	20:17 57:11,12
synonymous	49:2	26:25 28:6	23:25 25:8	57:13,14
15:20	theories 25:9	50:23 54:4	28:17,22 29:2	victimize 22:9
<hr/>	theory 25:5	today 31:20	30:22 36:21	view 10:17,18
T	thief 4:20	told 51:20	37:4 38:1,24	20:12 25:11
<hr/>	thing 8:6 10:16	traditional	understanding	viewed 41:9
T 2:1,1	20:22 26:17	27:23	12:1,6 24:5	views 49:5
take 7:19 14:8	39:23 42:6	training 50:5	53:3	<hr/>
15:8 26:8	43:17,25 44:4	transactions	undisputed 5:19	W
27:16,18 29:5	46:12 50:12	48:18	14:11 27:25,25	waive 56:19
30:11 31:18	54:9 57:24	Trevino 49:1	57:4	walk 41:24
33:16 34:17	things 13:7 32:3	trial 39:22	Undoubtedly	walks 50:9,10
39:5,13 42:24	32:3 39:23	tried 6:1	33:15	wall 44:25
44:24,25 47:6	40:14 45:22	triple 5:8 6:3	unduly 43:10	want 3:25 5:2
52:4	46:22 47:13,23	true 10:8	unions 47:11	7:19 11:23
taken 4:1 7:12	48:3 49:13,13	try 25:22	United 1:1,6,13	14:8 19:9,10
15:7 43:17	49:24 50:19	trying 29:11	1:20 2:7 3:4	19:15 22:3,3
44:4,12 46:20	53:15	30:12,12 32:18	27:16,17,19	26:13 30:4
takes 50:11	think 5:6 7:15	44:5 46:15	28:10 49:7	33:1,3,10,17
talk 12:2 31:6	11:5,17 12:9	Tuesday 1:10	unusual 52:21	44:21 45:5,24
32:24	13:10,13,20,22	turn 7:13 34:2	use 7:10 36:25	46:16 56:2
talking 7:25	15:19 19:2,14	turns 4:6	38:23 51:11	wanted 5:25
19:18 47:7,7	24:2,3,10,14	two 5:18 6:22	uses 8:15 57:4,8	44:13 53:13,16
talks 34:11 37:9	25:19 28:24,25	7:20 11:17	utterly 32:6	53:23
target 11:12,13	28:25 29:4,15	13:7 16:4,5,6,8	<hr/>	wants 15:3 21:1
targeted 49:16	30:10 31:5,14	16:15 25:9	V	31:25
targeting 23:9	32:15,16 34:17	27:14 32:17,22	v 1:5 3:4	Washington 1:9
targets 20:16	35:24 36:2,20	43:14,15 50:14	valid 52:25	1:19
teasing 55:10	37:15,19 38:6	50:14,16,18	value 4:3 12:17	wasn't 7:8,8
technicalities	38:20 39:2,4	55:20	13:3,6,11,22	watching 20:19
8:3	39:24 40:6,8	type 50:6 53:17	15:6 23:15	way 15:7 21:7
tell 11:6 41:24	40:19 41:2,5	types 23:5	24:24 26:11,18	21:23 27:21
42:11 54:9	41:13,22,23	<hr/>	26:20 27:7	37:25 39:15
teller 20:24	42:4,8 43:18	U	28:15 30:21,24	51:11 55:7
ten 47:12,12	44:8 45:23	U.S 49:2,3,4	31:4 34:5,9	we'll 3:3 34:25
tendency 31:13	47:5 52:7,7,15	Uh-huh 51:21	35:15,19 36:17	we're 7:25 14:9
34:14 36:11	52:16,20 53:2	51:24 52:1	36:17,22 37:3	18:21 19:18
39:11	53:12	ultimately 4:4	37:10,14,17	20:20 23:23,24
term 4:11 5:19	thinks 5:7,8	23:16	38:3,5,10,19	47:6,7
33:25 50:17	7:18 8:11	unacceptable	38:23 39:17	we've 41:5
terms 12:10	24:21 37:20	35:21	40:11 45:17,18	well-known
21:17 22:11	third 52:24	unanimous 49:5	45:20,20 46:7	50:10
33:6 50:14				

<p>went 51:3 weren't 55:14 whichever 29:9 wife 15:3 Williams 22:25 Willie 43:19,21 win 24:6 56:2 wire 5:16 6:13 17:20 43:2 won 32:7 word 25:20 35:23 37:1,2 worded 12:21 wording 54:24 words 12:22 13:13 21:13 25:12 30:16 38:23 40:2 44:17,18 45:25 work 41:4 works 9:9 world 33:10 worth 6:4 wouldn't 5:6 8:19 52:9 write 19:9,10,15 20:21 written 14:16 wrong 3:16,17 6:9 7:20 8:16 12:13 17:22 25:12 26:21 28:2 57:9,10 57:12 wronging 27:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p>x 1:2,8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <hr/> <p>Yang 1:18 2:6 10:19 11:6,6,9 28:8,9,11 29:3 29:11,15,24 30:7,10,15,25 31:5,24 32:9 32:14,22 33:1</p>	<p>33:5,12,15,19 33:21 34:22,25 35:20,24 36:2 36:7,20 37:15 37:18 38:6,12 38:16,24 39:4 40:5 41:2,5,22 42:16,20 44:18 45:2,6,15,22 46:1,6,13 47:1 47:5,18 48:11 48:13 49:11,21 50:24 51:2,8 51:13,21,24 52:1,6,13,19 53:11 yeah 33:1,1 45:4 45:4 52:11,11 52:11,19 year 6:8 years 4:11 22:1 27:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/> <p>1 3:10 6:18,19 9:12,20 19:11 21:18 22:21 23:3,9 28:1 29:17 42:24,24 43:11 48:12 49:10,13,14,18 49:22,23,24 50:2,12 1)'s 3:13 10 40:16 10:57 1:14 3:2 103 48:2 11:51 58:3 1344(1) 28:20 29:18 33:18 14 29:16,18 15-5991 1:4 15991 3:4</p>	<p>17 29:16 33:22 35:1 36:1 18 34:2,13 35:13 36:15 1896 7:24 19 33:22 36:10 36:14 1982 22:24 1A 47:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/> <p>2 8:23,25 9:12 22:8,13 23:1 42:12,14,16,18 43:12 49:10,14 49:16,18,20,21 49:21,25,25 2005 27:21 2016 1:10 20th 43:19 21 34:21 35:13 36:13 37:8 2113(a) 48:24 25 53:21 28 2:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/> <p>3 2:4 31 29:17 36 28:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <hr/> <p>4 1:10 44 41:6 45 41:6 46 37:11 41:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <hr/> <p>50 22:1 54 2:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p>646 54:20 647 54:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p>	<p>9</p>
--	---	--	-----------------