UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

NORMAN TIMBERLAKE, MICHAEL LAMBERT,) DAVID LEON WOODS, CAUSE NO. 1:06-cv-1859 Indianapolis, Indiana April 26, 2007 Plaintiffs, 9:30 a.m. ED BUSS, ET AL., Defendants.

> Before the Honorable RICHARD L. YOUNG, JUDGE

OFFICIAL REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING

For David Leon Woods Intervenor Plaintiff:

Linda M. Wagoner, Esq. 155 South Public Square Angola, IN 46703 and William Van Der Pol, Jr. Martinsville, IN 46151

For DEFENDANTS:

Thomas D Quigley, Esq. Betsy M. Isenberg, Esq. INDIANA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

302 West Washington Street

IGCS-5th Floor

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For Michael Lambert:

Alan M. Freedman, Esq Carol Rose Heise, Esq. MIDWEST CENTER FOR JUSTICE

831 Main Street Evanston, IL 60202

For Norman Timberlake:

Brent Westerfeld 6202 N. College Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46230

Court Reporter:

Patricia A. Cline, CM, FCRR Official Court Reporter

340 U.S. Courthouse 46 E. Ohio Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Transcript 4-26-07pi PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY MACHINE SHORTHAND TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY ECLIPSE NT COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES PAGE SARAH NAGY Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner 6 MICHELLE KRAUS Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner Cross-examination by Ms. Isenberg TERESA HARPER Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner 27 MARK EARNEST Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner 33 MCARTHUR FORTNEY Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner Cross-examination by Ms. Isenberg Redirect examination by Ms. Wagoner 47 51 EDWIN BUSS Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner 54 Cross-examination by Mr. Quigley 162 REBUTTAL DR. MARK HEATH Direct examination by Ms. Wagoner 235 **DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES PAGE** EDWIN G. BUSS Direct examination by Mr. Quigley 168 Cross-examination by Ms. Wagoner Redirect examination by Mr. Quigley 210 223 BARRY NOTHSTINE Direct examination by Mr. Quigley 225 Cross-examination by Ms. Wagoner 231 Redirect examination by Mr. Quigley 233 PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS **IDEN** Α 62 В 68 70 71 D Ε F G 85 112 125 Page 2

Transcript 4-26-07pi N 140 Q 216 P 218 DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS IDEN EVID 1-3 4, 5 179 6 195

4

```
1
        (Call to the Court.)
 2
             THE COURT: Good morning.
 3
        (Everyone said Good morning, Your Honor.)
 4
             THE COURT: We're here today in the matter of Norman
    Timberlake, plaintiff, Michael Allen Lambert, David Leon Woods,
 5
    intervener plaintiffs v. Ed Buss, Superintendent of the Indiana
    State Prison, cause number 1:06-cv-1859. And the matter before
    the Court today is the application for preliminary injunction
   filed by Intervenor David Woods on, I believe April 18, 2007,
    and a response was filed I believe yesterday by the defendant.
10
11
        And appearing today on behalf of Mr. Woods is Attorney
12
   Linda Wagner and Attorney William Van der Pol, Jr.
```

- Transcript 4-26-07pi Ms. Wagner, would you introduce the rest of your table, 13
- 14 please.
- 15 MS. WAGONER: Yes, Your Honor. Across the table from
- me is Mr. Alan Freedman, Ms. Carol Heise, they are co-counsel 16
- 17 for Michael Lambert.
- And to my immediate right is Brent Westerfeld, who is one 18
- 19 of the attorneys for Normal Timberlake.
- 20 THE COURT: Very good, thank you.
- MS. WAGONER: And Bill Van der Pol is my co-counsel at 21
- 22 the end of the table.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. And representing the defendant is
- Deputy Attorney General Tom Quigley. 24
- 25 Mr. Quigley, would you introduce your table, please.

5

- 1 MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning, thank
- 2 you. At the end of the table is Betsy Isenberg, my co-counsel,
- 3 and next to me is the defendant Ed Buss.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And it's my
- understanding, Ms. Wagner, that Mr. David Woods is present by 5
- audio at the Department of Corrections at Michigan City; is
- that your understanding?
- 8 MS. WAGONER: Your Honor, we just had a connection
- with Mr. Woods and the audio was going in and out and he had a
- visitor there to see him. And he respectfully decided, I 10
- think, to go and receive that visitor and waive participating 11
- by listening this morning. He just -- he stated explicitly 12
- that he trusts Mr. Van der Pol and I to represent his interest. 13
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Quigley, are you aware of
- 15 Mr. Woods' position also this morning?
- 16 MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, Your Honor. And the defendant
- 17 certainly has no objection.

- 18 THE COURT: Okay, fine.
- 19 I understand also we have some witnesses who are going to
- 20 be testifying by telephone.
- 21 MS. WAGONER: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. Any other matters preliminarily
- 23 before we begin your presentation, Ms. Wagner?
- MS. WAGONER: Not on behalf of the plaintiff, Your
- 25 Honor.

6

- 1 THE COURT: Mr. Quigley.
- MR. QUIGLEY: Your Honor, the only thing is that the
- 3 defendant would renew the objections we expressed yesterday
- 4 during the telephonic conference to any of this until the
- 5 motion for summary judgment on the basis of the failure to
- 6 exhaust has been decided.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. We'll show that objection.
- 8 MR. QUIGLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Ms. Wagner, are
- 9 you ready to begin?
- 10 MS. WAGONER: Yes, Your Honor. I would call Sarah
- 11 Nagy.
- 12 Q Sarah, are you there, can you hear me?
- 13 A Yes, I can.
- 14 Q Okay. Please identify yourself to the Court and spell your
- 15 name.
- 16 THE COURT: She's going to have to be sworn. Also
- 17 she's going to have to speak up a little bit or we need a
- 18 little more volume, but Sarah Nagy is testifying by remotely by
- 19 telephone.
- 20 SARAH NAGY
- 21 PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN

- 4 move around more, to get closer to the IV site, wherever she
- 5 needs to be, as long as she doesn't obstruct the vision of the
- 6 doctor.
- 7 Q Let's go back now to the time when the sodium thiopental is
- 8 put into the tube. What happens then? The sodium thiopental
- 9 goes into the tube?
- 10 A Goes into the tubing.
- 11 Q What are you paying attention to?
- 12 A Him mostly.
- 13 Q Him being?
- 14 A The offender.
- 15 Q What is the execution director paying attention to?
- 16 A The IV site.
- 17 Q Watching the actual IV site itself?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What are you looking for?
- 20 A Well, she has training in IV therapy and sustaining,
- 21 maintaining IV's. She's looking for an infiltration. Somebody
- 22 in that training could tell you better than me. But swelling,
- 23 leakage, any type of pain or movement that would associate with
- 24 not having the catheter in right.
- 25 Q If she sees that, what happens?

199

BUSS - DIRECT / QUIGLEY

- 1 A She advises me and we stop the execution. We close the
- 2 blinds. We have the doctor come out to re-evaluate the
- 3 situation.
- 4 Q Has that ever happened to your knowledge?
- 5 A No, sir.
- 6 Q That's the plan that you would go through if something like
- 7 that did happen?
- 8 A Yes.

- 9 Q At some point does somebody indicate to you that all the
- 10 sodium pentathal has been put into the IV?
- 11 A Yes, sir, over the radio.
- 12 Q And what happens then?
- 13 A The execution is stopped for the moment. The physician, we
- 14 give the physician full time to look around at the offender,
- 15 the IV site. The execution director, again because she's had
- 16 this training, she goes up to the IV site and looks at it very
- 17 closely.
- 18 I walk around the offender. I look for any signs of
- 19 consciousness. I say his name. I touch him. The physician
- 20 has advised us that there's other things that we may do if
- 21 there's anything in question, like a sternum rub, touching the
- 22 body as well. Maybe a gentle shake to see if we can detect any
- 23 consciousness or the doctor can see anything like that on the
- 24 offender.
- 25 Q Have you ever done that before?

200

BUSS - DIRECT / QUIGLEY

- 1 A Not yet, no.
- 2 Q Do you plan to do it this time?
- 3 A Yes, we do.
- 4 Q What are noxious stimuli?
- 5 A Again I'm not a medical person. I think I have heard my
- 6 testimony turn around because I have said some things. I'll
- 7 try to make this as general as possible. We have ammonia
- 8 tablets that are used to test the nasal. I believe this is
- 9 used by anesthesiologists as a way to test the noxious stimuli,
- 10 nose.
- 11 Q Are you going to be using those with Mr. Woods?
- 12 A Absolutely.

- If you see anything -- what have you seen in the past at 13
- this stage of the proceedings?
- 15 I have seen absolutely no movement. The chest not even
- rising. The offender goes to sleep immediately. I say three 16
- to five seconds, sometimes it's not even that long. That is 17
- with the beginning of maybe one -- ten percent of the drug, the
- sodium pentathal put in, they're already asleep. And then 19
- sometime later they tell me process complete. By this time we 20
- 21 have never seen the chest even rise.
- 22 You touch them?

, * 1 · 4 *

- No, we haven't touched them, but we're right there on top 23
- 24 of them. We're talking. As we talk to the radios, they can
- 25 hear us as we were talking.

201

BUSS - DIRECT / QUIGLEY

- Do you let the offender know that you're checking to make
- sure that he's not conscience?
- Yes. In the future that's going to be much clearer of us
- checking. But in the past, I think your question was what we
- did in the past, in the past we've never seen any sign of
- consciousness whatsoever.
- 7 And so you do not proceed unless you have an unconscious
- offender?
- Yes. Absolutely. And I will confirm that with the
- 10 doctor --
- 11 Okay.
- 12 -- before I allow the process to proceed.
- Is there any reason why you want Mr. Woods or any other 13
- 14 offender to suffer pain?
- Absolutely not. I know most of the offenders on death row 15
- who have been there a long time, their entire time of 16
- 17 incarceration 20 years. I have known David Woods for 20 years. Page 172