

20-107 CEDAR POINT NURSERY V. HASSID

DECISION BELOW: 923 F.3d 524

LOWER COURT CASE NUMBER: 16-16321

QUESTION PRESENTED:

California law forces agricultural businesses to allow labor organizers onto their property three times a day for 120 days each year. The regulation provides no mechanism for compensation. A divided panel below held that, although the regulation takes an uncompensated easement, it does not effect a *per se* physical taking of private property because it does not allow "24 hours a day, 365 days a year" occupation. As an eight-judge dissent from denial of rehearing en bane noted, the panel "decision not only contradicts Supreme Court precedent but also causes a conflict split."

The question presented is whether the uncompensated appropriation of an easement that is limited in time effects a *per se* physical taking under the Fifth Amendment.

CERT. GRANTED 11/13/2020