

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

No: _____

Kimarlo Ragland

Petitioner

v

NC Division of Emp. Sec.

Respondent

“Motion to file out of time”

or in the alternative,

“Motion directing the clerk
to file out of time”

Pursuant to attached letter dated from this court 1st of June 2026, Rule 13 subsection 5 of this court, and WITHOUT WAIVING my (any) right that my initial review of this order sent to this court 1/6/26 was untimely nor in any way otherwise consenting to the antithesis (via submission of this motion) I/ petitioner Kimarlo Ragland hereby submit/file this “Motion to file out of time,” or alternatively “Motion to Direct the clerk to file out of time!” Reasons more fully supporting this motion are set forth in the “Motion to Seal,” as well as “Statement of Declaration” filed contemporaneous and simultaneously with this motion. This court hereby possess jurisdiction pursuant to 28 usc § 1257.

Rule 13 subsection 5 allow petitioners to make application to this court to extend the filing time up to 60 days, and application required 10 days before the petition is due, except in extraordinary circumstances. As set forth in the “Motion to Seal” filed with this motion I/petitioner had no criminal, arrest, nor incarceration history prior to 5/15/25. As set forth in the Motion to Seal I was unlawfully detained 5/15/25 concluding 9/11/25 and did not reach home until late 9/13/25! Upon the request/initiation of the court the incarcerating facility will submit directly unto this court my/petitioner’s 4-month dates of being detained (albeit unlawfully).

Due to extremely unforeseeable + unpredictable circumstances narrated hitherto, I had no access to my email nor US mail on the 29th of May 2025 (167p24-2) extending for a period of 4 consecutive months. NC Appellate Courts hand down orders via EMAIL ONLY!! As previously set forth I/petitioner/ Kimarlo Ragland has hereby provided this court CLEAR, CONVINCING + COMPELLING reasons and NO FAULT OF MY OWN i.e. “good cause” as to my inability to present my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to this court no sooner than 1/6/26.

WHEREFORE, In the interest justice, the petitioner herein pray unto this court to allow/grant this motion in addition to the "Petition for Writ of Certiorari" filed contemporaneous and simultaneously with it as hereby requested. Otherwise, the petitioner herein would be highly prejudiced in this regard due to ABSOLUTELY UNFORSEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES regarding my inability to file and submit this petition no sooner than the above mentioned date.

This 22nd day of July 2026.


/s/ Kimarlo Ragland
Kimarlo Ragland B.S.,M.S.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner / Kimarlo Ragland hereby certify that the foregoing "Motion to file out of time" was served unto the respondent/agency through their counsel David Adinolfi via United States Postal Service (USPS) first class mail with metered postage affixed by a USPS associate/ worker (then returning a receipt to the petitioner) thereby under the exclusive care and custody of the USPS destined to the address that follows:

David Adinolfi
Legal Services Section
Division of Employment Security
PO Box 25903
Raleigh, NC 27611-5903

This 22nd day of July 2026

and thereafter electronically sent email to:
david.adinolfi@nccommerce.com;


/s/ Kimarlo Ragland
Kimarlo A. Ragland

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

COPY

February 5, 2026

Kimarlo Antonio Ragland
813 Water Street
Henderson, NC 27536

RE: Kimarlo Antonio Ragland v. N. C. Division of Employment Security
NCSC No. 167P24-3

Dear Mr. Ragland:

The above-entitled petition for writ of certiorari was postmarked January 6, 2026 and received January 12, 2026. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

The jurisdiction section should correctly list the date the judgment or order sought to be reviewed was entered, and the date of any order respecting rehearing. Rule 14.1(e). To the extent you are seeking for this Court to review the appended decision from the Supreme Court of North Carolina in case no. 167P24-3 dated on October 15, 2025, your jurisdiction section should reflect only that date.

The petition must contain the following, in the following order: the questions presented for review must be followed by the list of parties (if all do not appear on the cover), corporate disclosure statement (if applicable), table of contents, table of authorities, citations of the official and unofficial reports of opinions and orders entered in the case, statement of the basis for jurisdiction, constitutional provisions, treaties, etc., statement of the case, reasons for granting the writ, and the appendix. Rule 14.1.

In the event you are also seeking for this Court to review the appended decision from the Supreme Court of North Carolina in case no. 167P24-2, the petition would be out-of-time. The date of the lower court judgment or order denying a timely petition for rehearing was May 21, 2025. Therefore, the petition would have been due on or before August 19, 2025. Rules 13.1, 29.2 and 30.1. When the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in a civil case (habeas action included) has expired, the Court no longer has the power to review the petition.

Additionally, if you are seeking for this Court to review the appended decision from the Supreme Court of North Carolina in case no. 167P24, the petition would be out-of-time. The date of the lower court judgment or order denying a timely petition for rehearing was August 21, 2024. Therefore, the petition would have been due on or before November 19, 2024. Rules 13.1, 29.2 and 30.1. When the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in a civil case (habeas action included) has expired, the Court no longer has the power to review the petition.

The Rules of this Court make no provision for filing a motion to dispense appendix. Your document is herewith returned.

You are informed that this Court does not appoint counsel for the purpose of preparing a petition for writ of certiorari.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 14.5.

A copy of the corrected petition must be served on opposing counsel.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By: **COPY**
Pipa Fisher
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

June 1, 2026

Kimarlo Antonio Ragland
813 Water Street
Henderson, NC 27536

RE: Kimarlo Antonio Ragland v. N. C. Division of Employment Security/NCSC No.
167P24-2

"Motion to File Out of Time and Motion to Seal"


Dear Mr. Ragland:

Your above-entitled document was postmarked on April 13, 2026 and received on April 20, 2026, and is herewith returned.

To the extent you are intending to file a motion to direct the Clerk to file an out of time petition for a writ of certiorari regarding a decision from the Supreme Court of North Carolina in case no. 167P24-2, the petition for that case must be attached to the motion.

If you are also seeking to file a motion to seal, you must submit a separate motion that complies with Rule 34.7.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:


Pipa Fisher
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

No: _____

Kimarlo Ragland
Petitioner

v

NC Division of Emp. Sec.
Respondent

Statement of Declaration

Pursuant to 28 USC § 1746, Rule 29 of this court and any further applicable rule, law, statute, provision, code or the like; Petitioner Kimarlo Ragland hereby certify + declare that I initially sought review of order 167 P 24 -2 evidenced by the attached file-stamp which was subsequently returned to me twice by this court. Upon 3rd receipt/receiving of the court, I was told (evidenced) by the attached (Letter from Pipa Fisher) that I must file a separate petition for review of this order in addition to a "Motion to file out of time" i.e. "Motion to direct the clerk to file out of time." For reasons BEYOND MY ABSOLUTE CONTROL + more fully set forth in the "Motion to Seal" filed contemporaneously with this statement I/petitioner Kimarlo Ragland was wholly unable and totally restricted from seeking this court's review of this order no sooner than the date originally sought 1/6/26. Thereby, the review of this order was initially sought/sent to this court 1/6/26 file-stamped 1/12/26. Further I declare that self-represented litigants (non-attorneys) are prevented from "efiling" in this court based on information reviewed and provided to me.

I/ petitioner Kimarlo Ragland declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 22nd day of July 2026



/s/Kimarlo Ragland
Kimarlo Ragland


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner / Kimarlo Ragland hereby certify that the foregoing "Statement of Declaration" was served unto the respondent/agency through their counsel David Adinolfi via United States Postal Service (USPS) first class mail with metered postage affixed by a USPS associate/ worker (then returning a receipt to the petitioner) thereby under the exclusive care and custody of the USPS destined to the address that follows:

David Adinolfi
Legal Services Section
Division of Employment Security
PO Box 25903
Raleigh, NC 27611-5903

This 22nd day of July 2026

and thereafter electronically sent email to:
david.adinolfi@nccommerce.com;


/s/ Kimarlo Ragland
Kimarlo A. Ragland