Ryan C. Diemond #723441
Oaks Correctional Facility
1500 Caberface Highway
Manistee, MI. 49660
U.S.A.

Supreme Court
of the United States
ATTN: Sixth Circuit Justice
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543
U.S.A.

November 8, 2026

RE: Application to Sixth Circuit Justice pursuant to U.S. Supreme Court Rule 22

Dear Sixth Circuit Justice,

Hello. My name and mailing address is listed above at the letterhead. I'm writing this application pursuant to U.S. Supreme Court Rule 22. I am the Defendant of PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN v. RYAN CHARLES DIEMOND, 9th Judicial Circuit Court Case No.: 2013-101-FH. I'm trying to appeal [redacted] nonfrivolous legal issues challenging my wrongful criminal conviction and sentence to this Honorable Court from a decision of the Michigan Supreme Court, that issued on August 27, 2026, with a Case No.: 169529. The filing deadline is November 21, 2026.

Even though I suffer several adverse effects from damaged tendons in my dominate hand, complex regional pain syndrome throughout my entire dominate hand and arm, and historically recorded major depression and learning disability known known as attention deficit disorder/attention deficit hyperactivity disorder - combined type (abbreviated "ADD/ADHD" hereinafter), see, e.g., Geoghan v. Long Island R.R., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30491 *41-55 (observing an expert witness affidavit concerning individuals with ADD/ADHD), the Michigan Department of Corrections (abbreviated "MDOC" hereinafter) refuses to afford me adequate assistance [redacted] from persons trained in the law through its Legal Writer Program to help me with filing an appeal in this Court on several nonfrivolous legal issues — two of which stands on all fours with Kimmelman v. Morrison, 372 U.S. 365; 106 S. Ct. 2574; 91 L. Ed. 2d 305 (1986). Further, MDOC personnel wouldn't even help me obtain copies of the documents my court-appointed attorney filed with the Michigan Supreme Court so that I could submit them with this application.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, I pray that you will grant me an extension of time in accordance with U.S. Supreme Court Rule 30 so that this appeal is not time barred and, if at all possible, appoint me an attorney under the Criminal Justice Act, see 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(d)(7), or the Equal Access to Justice Act, see 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as a reasonable accommodation for my above listed recorded disabilities in accordance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, see 29 U.S.C. § 794 et seq., to help me with properly presenting several nonfrivolous legal issues in this Honorable Court.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, all of the aforesaid is true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge and belief.

Respectfully Submitted,

11-8-26

Ryan C. Diemond

RECEIVED
NOV 20 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

August 27, 2026

Megan K. Cavanagh,
Chief Justice

Brian K. Zahra
Richard H. Bernstein
Elizabeth M. Welch
Kyra H. Bolden
Kimberly A. Thomas
Noah P. Hood,
Justices

SC: 169529
COA: 375696
Kalamazoo CC: 2013-000101-FH

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

RYAN CHARLES DIEMOND,
Defendant-Appellant.

On order of the Court, the application for leave to appeal the December 1, 2025 order of the Court of Appeals is considered, and it is DENIED, because defendant has failed to meet the burden of establishing entitlement to relief under MCR 6.508(D).

HOOD, J., did not participate because he was on the Court of Appeals panel at an earlier stage of the proceedings.

I, Elizabeth Kingston-Miller, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

August 27, 2026

Clerk

s0824