Supreme Court of the United States

JUAN PABLO CHAVEZ,
petitioner

v.

Sgt. William Finney &
officer Stephen Streicher
respondents.

2d Cir. 26-951
(S.D.N.Y.) 19-cv-4109 (RA)

Motion for Ext. of TIME
to
petition for writ of Certiorari

Petitioner Respectfully moves for Extension of time to petition for writ of Certiorari In forma pauperis.

RECEIVED
AUG 25 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED
OCT 16 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

5:32 pm Wed Aug 12, 2026

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 2026

No. _____

JUAN CHAVEZ, Applicant,

v.

FINNEY, ET AL., Respondent(s).

APPLICATION TO THE HONORABLE JUSTICE SONIA SOTOMAYOR FOR A 60-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Second Circuit:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 13.5, 21, 22, and 30, Applicant Juan Chavez respectfully requests a sixty (60) day extension of time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter, up to and including January 2, 2027.

I. JUDGMENT BELOW

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit entered its final judgment in this matter on August 5, 2026. A copy of that opinion is attached hereto as Appendix A. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). Under Rule 13.1, the standard 90-day period to file a petition expires on November 3, 2026. This application is submitted less than 10 days before that date due to the extraordinary circumstances set forth in Section III.

II. REASONS JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME (GOOD CAUSE)

Good cause exists for the requested extension under Rule 13.5 due to the combined, compounding impact of highly volatile legal frameworks and the immense scope of the underlying record:

1. **Complexity and Volatility of the Governing Legal Framework.** The core issues under review involve intricate facets of federal law—specifically regarding civil rights, statutory structural accountability frameworks, and constitutional protections—which are currently subject to rapidly evolving, highly nuanced, and volatile judicial interpretation across multiple jurisdictions. Ensuring a precise

and comprehensive presentation of these overlapping issues is vital for this Court's review. A standard filing window does not permit the exhaustive research required to deserialize these fluid legal principles and cleanly integrate them into a concise, high-quality petition.

2. **The Scope and Exceptional Size of the Underlying Record.** The record underlying this matter is highly voluminous and structurally complex, spans multiple procedural phases, and incorporates extensive evidentiary and administrative materials. Proper framing of the constitutional and federal statutory questions requires an exhaustive, line-by-line review of this expansive record to ensure that all critical facts are completely preserved, properly cited, and clearly articulated for this Court.
3. **Due Diligence and Necessity.** Applicant has proceeded with the utmost diligence. However, given the compounding nature of a massive record and a highly fluid legal landscape, additional time is absolutely necessary to ensure that the complex federal questions are cleanly isolated, unnecessary issues are winnowed out, and the petition is presented in a manner that maximizes its assistance to the Court.

III. EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING SUBMISSION WITHIN 10 DAYS

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.5, Applicant respectfully submits that extraordinary circumstances excuse the submission of this application within the ten-day period preceding the expiration of the time for filing.

1. **Unforeseen Disruption of Critical Legal Correspondence.** The failure to file this application prior to the October 24, 2026 threshold was the direct result of a severe, external disruption in secure communication channels involving documented mail fraud and mail interception. Critical, time-sensitive case documents, research materials, and correspondence necessary to finalize the Supreme Court filing were deliberately compromised, intercepted, or fraudulently diverted by third parties without Applicant's knowledge or consent.
2. **Immediate Impact and Diligent Rectification.** This fraudulent interference completely severed Applicant's regular access to essential legal information and physical mail services during the critical days leading up to the ten-day deadline. Upon uncovering the fraud, Applicant immediately took steps to mitigate the harm, preserve the integrity of the record, and secure alternate communication methods.
3. **Involuntary Procedural Impediment.** This systemic disruption constitutes an extraordinary circumstance under Rule 13.5. It was an unpreventable, unlawful intervention by outside entities that physically and structurally paralyzed

Applicant's ability to compile and submit this application by the standard October 24 deadline. Because the delay was caused entirely by a third-party offense that sabotaged the mail system itself, and because Applicant acted with absolute diligence upon discovering the fraud, an exception to the ten-day rule is legally justified.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter be extended by sixty (60) days, to and including January 2, 2027.

Dated: November 2, 2026

Respectfully submitted,



Juan Chavez

735 E. 81st St.
Los Angeles, CA. 90001

reasonlogiclaw@gmail.com

Applicant Pro Se

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C
19-cv-4109
Abrams, J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 5th day of August, two thousand twenty-six.

Present:

Michael H. Park,
Maria Araújo Kahn,
Circuit Judges.[*]

Juan Pablo Chavez, Sui Juris c/o Reason, Logic & Law,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

Chief Maestro Chavez, DBA Reason, Logic & Law., Plaintiff under Seal,

Plaintiffs,

v. 26-951

Sergeant William Finney, #3281, 3271, Officer Stephen Streicher, Shield #3281,

Defendants-Appellees,

John/Jane Doe 1–9, et al.,

Defendants.[†]

Appellant, pro se, moves for appointment of counsel. However, this Court has determined sua

[*] Judge Bianco has recused himself from consideration of this motion. Pursuant to Second Circuit Internal Operating Procedure E(b), the matter is being decided by the two remaining members of the panel.

[†] The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to amend the caption as set forth above.

sponte that the notice of appeal was untimely filed. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2107; *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

It is further ORDERED that Appellant's motion is DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court



No. _____

_____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

_____

JUAN CHAVEZ — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

FINNEY — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, CHAVEZ, do swear or declare that on this date, NOV 3, 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Andres Casas / The Port Authority of NY & NJ
150 Greenwich St, 4 World Trade Center
New York, NY 10007

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on NOV. 3, 2026

(Signature)

Additional material from this filing is available in the Clerk's Office.