

No. 26A_____

In the Supreme Court of the United States

LORA H. REINBOLD, APPLICANT,

v.

STATE OF ALASKA AND ALASKA LEGISLATURE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON LEGISLATIVE ETHICS, RESPONDENTS.

**APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF ALASKA**

ALEXANDER H. HABERBUSH

Counsel of Record

LEX REX INSTITUTE

444 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 1403

Long Beach, CA 90802

(562) 435-9062

AHaberbush@LexRex.org

Counsel for Applicant

To the Honorable Elena Kagan, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit Justice for the Ninth Circuit:

Pursuant to Rules 13.5, 22, 30.2, and 30.3 of the Rules of this Court, Lora H. Reinbold, Appellant below and Applicant herein (“Applicant”), respectfully requests, by this application (the “Application”), a sixty (60) day extension of time, to and including Monday, January 4, 2027, within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Alaska in *Reinbold v. State*, No. S-19143. Applicant is the only party on whose behalf the extension is sought.

1. On August 7, 2026, the Supreme Court of Alaska issued Opinion No. 7822 (the “Opinion”), available at 2026 WL 2277127, affirming the judgment of the Superior Court of the State of Alaska, Third Judicial District at Anchorage. The Opinion is reproduced in the appendix to this Application (“App.”) at 1a-19a. Absent an extension, the time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari will expire on Thursday, November 5, 2026. Sup. Ct. R. 13.1, 13.3. This Application is filed at least ten days before that date. Sup. Ct. R. 13.5, 30.2. The jurisdiction of this Court would be invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

2. While Applicant was a member of the Alaska State Senate, an individual filed a complaint against her with the Alaska Legislature Select Committee on Legislative Ethics (the “Committee”), alleging that she had blocked him on her Facebook page and deleted his comments. App. 3a. The Committee found probable cause to believe that Applicant had violated the Legislative Ethics Act, directed her to “refrain from blocking members of the public from commenting on her legislative social media site(s) solely for expressing opinions in disagreement with her

own,” and later denied her requests for discovery and a public hearing. App. 3a-4a, 11a. Applicant then sued the Committee and the State of Alaska (collectively, “Respondents”) in the superior court, alleging that the denial of discovery and a public hearing violated her right to due process. App. 4a. The superior court dismissed the complaint and twice denied Applicant leave to amend, and the Supreme Court of Alaska affirmed. App. 2a, 4a-5a, 19a.

3. The Opinion decided questions of federal law. The Supreme Court of Alaska held that 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)(2)(A), which provides that no user of an interactive computer service shall be held liable on account of any action voluntarily taken in good faith to restrict access to material that the user considers objectionable, did not bar the Committee’s actions, because the statute “protects individuals from civil liability, not from nonmonetary legislative sanctions.” App. 17a. Further, in holding that legislative immunity barred Applicant’s due process claims against the Committee, the court stated, “We therefore follow the United States Supreme Court’s view of legislative immunity,” and relied upon this Court’s decisions and those of the federal courts of appeals. App. 8a-12a.

4. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Applicant represented herself in the superior court after her counsel withdrew, and throughout her appeal to the Supreme Court of Alaska. App. 1a, 4a. Counsel requires additional time to review the record, which includes three versions of Applicant’s complaint and the superior court’s rulings on each, and to determine how the federal questions decided by the Opinion may best be presented to this Court.

5. Undersigned counsel also has substantial obligations in other matters over the coming weeks, including briefing and hearings in trial courts, a mediation and pretrial deadlines in adversary proceedings in bankruptcy court, and an *amicus curiae* brief in this Court.

6. The requested extension will not prejudice Respondents, who prevailed below. Applicant has not previously sought an extension of this deadline.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in this matter be extended by sixty (60) days, to and including January 4, 2027.

Respectfully submitted,



ALEXANDER H. HABERBUSH
Counsel of Record
LEX REX INSTITUTE
444 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 1403
Long Beach, CA 90802
(562) 435-9062
AHaberbush@LexRex.org

Counsel for Applicant

October 16, 2026