

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
No. _____ (Related to 26A50)

HUGUETTE NICOLE YOUNG,
Applicant,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Respondent,

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, CPRA UNIT;
HON. SERGIO C. TAPIA
HON. LAWRENCE P. RIFF;
HON. TERESA A. BEAUDET,
Real Parties in Interest.

**APPLICATION FOR A 60-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULES
13.5, 21, AND 30**

TO THE HONORABLE ELENA KAGAN, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME
COURT OF THE UNITED STATES AND CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE NINTH
CIRCUIT:

Applicant Huguette Nicole Young, J.D., acting pro se, respectfully requests a
60-day extension of time to file her Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter,
pending final disposition of her related Petition for a Writ of Mandamus

Huguette Nicole Young, J.D.
Applicant Pro Se
1015 NW 21st Ave, #201
Gainesville, Florida 32609
415-948-8076 | hn_young@yahoo.com

In support of this Application, Applicant states as follows:

The Supreme Court of California entered an order denying Applicant's timely Petition for Review and Request for an Immediate Administrative Stay on July 22, 2026 (Case No. S297228), a copy of which is attached to this Application. Under Rule 13.1, the current 90-day deadline for Applicant to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this Court is Monday, October 20, 2026. This Application is being submitted more than 10 days before that date in strict compliance with Rule 13.5.

This Court possesses jurisdiction over the underlying constitutional issues under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a). Applicant intends to present structural constitutional questions regarding systemic clerical record manipulation, equal access limitations for self-represented litigants under Rule 29.7, and due process breakdowns within the California court system. Enforcing these unconstitutional barriers and outmoded procedures violates Applicant's Article I due process safeguards, Article I equal protection mandates, the First Amendment right to petition for redress, and the Article IV Section 4 guarantee of a Republican Form of Government.

Good cause exists for the requested 60-day extension. Specifically, Applicant has a pending, active Petition for an Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus and accompanying Threshold Motion currently before this Court under a blank tracking docket [Related to Application No. 26A50] (Corrected Resubmission filed September 24, 2026). The

Mandamus petition seeks to compel Real Party in Interest Presiding Judge Sergio C. Tapia II to resolve a severe, lower-court jurisdictional breakdown involving backend database alterations and a fraudulent order of dismissal entered in the Los Angeles Superior Court on July 24, 2026.

Because the text and outcome of the pending Mandamus request directly impact the scope, evidentiary appendix, and procedural posture of the forthcoming Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, judicial efficiency and administrative regularity require that this Court resolve or advance the Mandamus proceeding before Applicant finalizes her Certiorari briefing.

Furthermore, Applicant is an unrepresented litigant proceeding in forma pauperis on a verified fee waiver. Because Applicant is forced by current rule structures to rely entirely on an expensive, physical paper printing and postal mailing pipeline to deliver thousands of pages of complex forensic records and transcript appendices to this Court, additional time is logistically required to assemble, copy, and dispatch the massive upcoming payload. Forcing an unrepresented citizen to navigate these archaic monopolies to secure judicial review functions as an unconstitutional wealth barrier; this obstacle violates Applicant's Article I due process safeguards, Article I equal protection mandates, the First Amendment right to petition for redress, and the Article IV Section 4 guarantee of a Republican Form of Government.

This request is made in good faith and not for unnecessary delay. No previous extensions have been requested or granted in this case.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests that an order be entered extending her time to file a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari by 60 days, up to and including December 19, 2026.

October 7, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Huguetto Nicole Young". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Huguetto Nicole Young, J.D.
Applicant Pro Se

Denial Order for S297228 Filed July 22, 2026

SUPREME COURT
FILED

Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five - No. B355014 JUL 22 2026

S297228

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy

En Banc

HUGUETTE NICOLE YOUNG, Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Respondent;

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, Real Party in Interest.

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice