

Exhibit A

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
21-cv-11115
Swain, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 14th day of July, two thousand twenty-six.

Towaki Komatsu,

Petitioner,

v.

26-210

The City of New York, et al.,

Respondents.

In 2021, this Court entered a leave-to-file sanction against Petitioner. *See Komatsu v. The City of New York*, 2d Cir. 21-511, doc. 92. Petitioner now moves multiple times for leave to appeal. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions are all DENIED because the appeal does not depart from Petitioner's "prior pattern of vexatious filings." *See In re Martin-Trigona*, 9 F.3d 226, 229 (2d Cir. 1993) (per curiam).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TOWAKI KOMATSU,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Defendant.

21-CV-11115 (LTS)

ORDER

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

On February 25, 2022, Plaintiff submitted a notice of interlocutory appeal, seeking to appeal an unspecified order. Because the Court was unable to identify the order that Plaintiff sought to appeal, the Clerk of Court did not process the appeal when it was received. Subsequently, by order dated March 2, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff 60 days' leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF 9.) After this order was issued, the Clerk of Court processed the interlocutory appeal as to the March 2, 2022, order. (ECF 10.) On March 7, 2022, the Clerk of Court transmitted the appeal record to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. According to the public record for the Court of Appeals, Plaintiff's interlocutory appeal is pending. *See Komatsu v. City of New York*, No. 22-501 (2d Cir.).

Following the transmission of the appeal record to the Court of Appeals, Plaintiff submitted five letters in this action seeking various forms of relief: (1) reconsideration of the March 2, 2022 order to amend (ECF 11); (2) removal of a pending Bronx Housing Court matter to this court (ECF 12); (3) a "follow-up" letter regarding the first two requests (ECF 13); (4) an order regarding the treatment of Plaintiff by the U.S. Marshals Service and federal court security officers when Plaintiff enters this courthouse (ECF 14); and (5) a request for intervention by this Court regarding the treatment he receives when he enters the courthouse (ECF 15).

In light of Plaintiff's pending interlocutory appeal, the Court declines to rule on the relief Plaintiff seeks in his five letters. After the Court of Appeals has acted on Plaintiff's interlocutory appeal and the action is transmitted back to the district court, this Court will issue an order addressing Plaintiff's requests for relief.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2022
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain
LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

New Freedom of Information Act demand

From: Towaki Komatsu (towaki_komatsu@yahoo.com)
To: usms.foia@usdoj.gov; internal.affairs@usdoj.gov
Cc: dojoig.newyorkcomplaints@usdoj.gov
Date: Tuesday, September 8, 2026 at 06:42 PM EDT

This is a new Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") demand. Immediately provide me copies of what is discussed below via e-mail or a link on the Internet.

Immediately provide me all of the photographs in unedited and unredacted form that personnel of the U.S. Marshals Service ("USMS") and federal court security officers ("CSOs") caused to be shown on tablet computer screens inside of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan federal courthouse ("DPM") and the Thurgood Marshall federal courthouse ("TM") in New York City on 9/8/26 in the following locations:

- a. The security screening areas in those courthouses.
- b. Near the exits by Pearl Street and Worth Street with respect to in DPM.

Immediately also provide me all video recordings in unedited form and without redactions and blurring that were recorded on 9/8/26 by video security cameras that the USMS controls and are installed inside of DPM and the TM and on the exterior of DPM and TM of the following:

- a. The security screening areas in those courthouses.
- b. The areas inside of DPM near the its exits by Pearl Street and Worth Street.
- c. Me throughout the entire time that I was inside of DPM and TM.
- d. All court security officers who were within 15 feet from me DPM and TM

I was inside of DPM and TM on 9/8/26 between 11:30 am and 12:35 pm. Also, areas within TM that I visited on 9/8/26 include the first floor. One of the areas that I visited in TM on 9/8/26 was Room 150. Areas that I visited in DPM on 9/8/26 included its Records Management office on the third floor.

From,

Towaki Komatsu