

Towaki Komatsu
33-17 Greenpoint Avenue
Long Island City, NY 11101

Tel: 929-344-6552
E-Mail: Towaki_Komatsu@yahoo.com

VIA REGULAR MAIL


October 2, 2026

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Komatsu v. City of New York, No. 26-210 (2d Cir. Jul. 14, 2026)

Dear Mr. Harris,

This is a letter motion in which I'm requesting a 60-day extension of time from 10/12/26 to 12/11/26 to file my pending petition for a writ of certiorari about the order that was issued on 7/14/26 by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in Komatsu v. City of New York, No. 26-210 (2d Cir. Jul. 14, 2026) that is hereinafter referred to as "Kx". That 7/14/26 order was about the 1/23/26 order that was issued in Komatsu v. City of New York, No. 21-cv-11115 (LTS)(S.D.N.Y. Jan. 23, 2026) that is hereinafter referred to as "K3". The 1/23/26 order that was issued in K3 by U.S. Chief District Judge Laura Taylor Swain was a final order that denied a request for reconsideration that I filed in K3 on 1/13/26 to reinstate K3 while she continued to not perform her legal duty to adjudicate the timely motion for reconsideration that I filed in K3 pursuant to FRCP Rule 60 on 3/12/22 about her 3/2/22 order in K3. The 7/14/26 order in Kx denied me leave to file in Kx to pursue an appeal about Chief Judge Swain's 1/23/26 order in K3. That 7/14/26 order in Kx set my 90-day deadline to submit my petition for a writ of certiorari to this Court in response to it as 10/12/26. That 7/14/26 order in Kx appears as the first exhibit in the annexed Exhibit A. Chief Judge Swain's 5/2/22 order is the second exhibit within the annexed Exhibit A. Also, Chief Judge Swain falsely claimed in her 9/16/22 order (ECF 46)



that dismissed K3 that a notice of interlocutory appeal that I filed in K3 on 2/25/22 was about her later-3/2/22 order in K3. On 9/28/26, a request that I filed in K3 pursuant to FRAP Rule 10(e) was docketed in K3 in which I requested that the record in K3 to be corrected to reflect the fact that a) my 2/25/22 interlocutory appeal to the Second Circuit was about Judge Swain having constructively denied an order to show cause application that I filed in K3 on 2/18/22 and b) that Judge Swain falsely claimed otherwise in her 9/16/22 order. That record in K3 has yet to be corrected. Also, on 9/8/26, I submitted a Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") demand via e-mail to the U.S. Marshals Service ("USMS") to be provided video recordings in unedited form that were recorded on that date inside of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan ("DPM") and Thurgood Marshall ("TM") federal courthouses in Manhattan by video security cameras that the controls. That FOIA demand is the third exhibit within the annexed Exhibit A. I submitted that demand largely in response to the fact that the USMS and federal court security officers were continuing to harass me inside of DPM and TM on 9/8/26 by causing images of my face and my name to be shown as often as every 6 minutes to passerby on tablet computer screens partly in security screening areas while such passerby could at some point include serve as jurors and witnesses in litigation of mine while possibly prejudiced against me by observations that they may have made of me on such tablet computer screens that also cause me to be associate with people who have no ties to me. The USMS has a consistent track record of violating my FOIA rights and I'm waiting for it to comply with my 9/8/26 FOIA demand. The preceding information is relevant because Judge Swain falsely claimed in her 5/2/22 order in K3 that she would issue an order later in K3 to address letters that I filed in K3 partly on 3/29/22 and 4/27/22 that were about requests that I made to her on those dates for injunctive relief against the USMS in regards to illegal and otherwise abusive behavior towards me whenever I visit TM and DPM. I intend to make the fact

that she violated her 5/2/22 order in K3 a focal point of my discussion in my petition for a writ of certiorari.

Due to undue, substantial, ongoing, and longstanding financial hardships that I'm experiencing largely due to ongoing and longstanding wage-theft and employment blacklisting against me that includes such wage-theft and blacklisting against me that was the subject of Komatsu v. NTT Data, Inc., 139 S. Ct. 2027 (U.S. 2019) that is hereinafter referred to as "NTT3", I simply lack sufficient financial resources to be able to both a) print and mail my pending petition for a writ of certiorari both to this Court and to the attorneys for the defendants that this petition concerns and b) take care of other necessary expenses. That circumstance and hardship necessitates and warrants the deadline extension that I seek for this petition for a writ of certiorari. The remainder of my reasons for seeking that deadline extension are mostly identical to those that I provided in the request for a deadline extension that corresponds to Komatsu v. New York, No. 22-5681 (U.S. Nov. 21, 2022). This Court granted that earlier request for a deadline extension for that other appeal of mine.

There will be no prejudice to the opposing parties nor their attorneys if this request for an extension of time is granted.

Respectfully,

/s/ Towaki Komatsu

Towaki Komatsu

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Towaki Komatsu', written over a light blue horizontal line.