

NO:

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term 2026

Ealise Crumb, Applicant

v.


APC Technology, Inc./dba
Litigation Graphic Technology, Inc;
Roger Sinker (Deceased) and
State Compensation Insurance Fund, Respondents

APPLICATION TO THE HONORABLE ELENA KAGAN, AS CIRCUIT JUSTICE
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT, FOR A SIXTY-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN
WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO THE HONORABLE ELENA KAGAN, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES AND CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE
NINTH CIRCUIT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a) and Supreme Court Rules 13.5 and 30, the
Applicant, Ealise Crumb, respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time to file her
Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter, up to and including January 16, 2027.

The current deadline for the Petition is November 17, 2026. This Application is
submitted on September 15, 2026, which is more than ten (10) days prior to the
expiration of the current period, satisfying the timeliness requirements of Rule 30.2. No



separate declaration is attached, as all operative facts are set forth within the body of this Application.

I. JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

The underlying judgment for which review will be sought is the final order (Appendix A) of the Supreme Court of California in Case No. S297526, issued on August 19, 2026, which denied review of a threshold constitutional challenge concerning a state vexatious litigant pre-filing designation. This Court has jurisdiction over the underlying constitutional, First Amendment access, and Due Process controversies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

II. BACKGROUND AND SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE

Good cause exists for the requested extension of time under Rule 13.5 due to severe logistical and administrative constraints arising from the interplay between ongoing emergency state administrative mandates and the complexities of the constitutional issues presented by an unrepresented litigant:

A. Active Emergency State Litigation and Competing Demands

Concurrently with this Application, on September 15, 2026, Applicant was compelled to compile and submit an Urgent Request for Permission and Substantive Motion for Stay via Certified Mail to the California Workers' Compensation Appeals Board (WCAB Case No. ADJ 4500251).

This emergency state action was necessitated by administrative actions taken by Respondent State Compensation Insurance Fund on September 14, 2026, executing travel logistics to compel the Applicant's physical appearance at an out-of-state psychiatric evaluation scheduled for November 20, 2026. Managing this immediate emergency state posture while operating pro se severely fractures the time and resources available to finalize a petition before this Court.

B. Institutional Complexity and Record Preservation Challenges

The underlying 25-year-old administrative framework involves a series of critical discovery enforcement conflicts—specifically, discovery orders issued by WC Judge Douglas Watkins on September 12, 2019, December 1, 2020, March 9, 2021, and June

23, 2021, regarding long-suppressed investigative and personnel records dating back to December 15, 2006.

Because the state's pre-filing vexatious litigant order creates an administrative barrier that prevents standard discovery enforcement, the Applicant must parse an exceptionally fragmented and voluminous record to cleanly isolate the federal questions for this Court. As a pro se litigant, managing these overlapping components creates structural constraints that make compliance with the current November deadline functionally impossible.

C. Extreme Volume of the Record and Breadth of Constitutional Issues

The forthcoming Petition raises high-stakes, systemic questions under the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause and the First Amendment, exploring whether state-level administrative pre-filing orders can be structurally deployed to deny an unrepresented citizen basic court access, freedom of speech, and the right to be heard.

The underlying record is uniquely massive and severely complicated by seven (7) separate vexatious petitions filed between September 9, 2020, and June 18, 2024—six of which were initiated by Respondent State Compensation Insurance Fund and one by the Workers' Compensation Judge Dobrin.

Notably, on May 12, 2023, Judge Dobrin granted the unrepresented Applicant's April 20, 2023 petition when she appealed his ruling to remove his March 14, 2023 Order forcing her physical appearance at a Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) examination. In his subsequent May 12, 2023 Report and Recommendation Judge Dobrin advised Respondent State Fund to file a vexatious petition against the unrepresented Applicant May 12, 2023 and Respondent State Fund filed their sixth vexatious petition on May 15, 2023. Additionally, in a severe violation of the unrepresented Applicant's due process rights, Judge Dobrin used the same successful April 20, 2023 appealed petition filed by the unrepresented Applicant that he granted to remove his March 14, 2023 Order, as the primary basis to declare the unrepresented Applicant vexatious in his vexatious petition one year later on June 18, 2024.

Untangling a 25-year administrative record fractured by these conflicting procedural and constitutional shifts creates extraordinary structural hurdles. An extension of sixty (60) days is vital for an unrepresented litigant to cleanly isolate and brief these complex federal questions from such a convoluted record.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the time for filing her Petition for a Writ of Certiorari be extended by sixty (60) days, up to and including January 16, 2027.

Dated: September 18, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ealise Crumb", is written over a horizontal line.

Ealise Crumb

Applicant Pro Se

Post Office Box 54630

Washington, D.C. 20032

(213) 453-7396

workerscomp2001la@yahoo.com

NO:

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term 2026

Ealise Crumb, Applicant

v.

APC Technology, Inc./dba
Litigation Graphic Technology, Inc;
Roger Sinker (Deceased) and
State Compensation Insurance Fund, Respondents

APPENDIX A: ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA DENYING
REVIEW - (AUGUST 19, 2026)

A true and correct copy of the final order of the Supreme Court of California in
Case No. S297526, entered on August 19, 2026, denying the Applicant's Petition for
Review, follows this cover page.

Ealise Crumb
Applicant Pro Se
Post Office Box 54630
Washington, D.C. 20032
(213) 453-7396
workerscomp2001la@yahoo.com

Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven - No. B345935, B346518

S297526

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

**SUPREME COURT
FILED**

EALISE CRUMB, Petitioner,

AUG 19 2026

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

v.

Deputy

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD and APC TECHNOLOGY et al.,
Respondents.

AND CONSOLIDATED CASE

The petition for review is denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, Ealise Crumb, do hereby certify that on this 18th day of September, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Application to the Honorable Elena Kagan for a Sixty-Day Extension of Time to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, including Appendix A - Order of The Supreme Court of California Denying Review Dated August 19, 2026, was served via Certified Mail Return Receipt and by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel and the for the following addresses:

Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543
ATTN: Application for Extension of Time to Justice Elena Kagan
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT: 9589 0710 5270 2129 4661 77

DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION
Presiding Judge Robert Rassp
320 West 4th Street, 9th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1954

Darren Wong
State Compensation Insurance Fund
2275 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95833

Jennie Morales
State Compensation Insurance Fund
P.O. Box 65005
Fresno, CA 93650

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 18, 2026, at Washington, D.C.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ealise Crumb", written over a horizontal line.

Ealise Crumb
Applicant Pro Se
Post Office Box 54630
Washington, D.C. 20032
(213) 453-7396