

No. _____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

KYSHOWN D. CAMPBELL,
Applicant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

**Application to the Hon. John G. Roberts, Jr.,
for Extension of Time to File a
Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces**

JOHN M. FREDERICKS

Air Force Appellate Defense Div.
1500 West Perimeter Road
Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
john.fredericks.2@us.af.mil

DWIGHT H. SULLIVAN

Counsel of Record
Air Force Appellate Defense Div.
1500 West Perimeter Road
Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil

Counsel for Applicant

No. _____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

KYSHOWN D. CAMPBELL,
Applicant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

**Application to the Hon. John G. Roberts, Jr.,
for Extension of Time to File a
Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2101(c) and Supreme Court Rules 13.5, 22, and 30.3, Applicant, Kyshown D. Campbell, requests a 60-day extension of time, to and including November 22, 2026, to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF) in this case. Unless an extension is granted, the deadline for filing the petition for certiorari is September 23, 2026. This Application is being filed more than 10 days before that date.

In support of this application, Applicant states the following:

1. The CAAF rendered its decision denying review of Applicant's case on June 25, 2026. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1259(3). A copy of the CAAF's order denying review appears in this application's appendix.

2. Applicant, a member of the United States Air Force, was tried by a general court-martial composed of a military judge alone at Luke Air Force Base, Arizona, on August 9 and October 30 through November 3, 2023. Contrary to Applicant's pleas, the military judge found him guilty (by exceptions and substitutions) of one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 928b. Trial Tr. 14, 781. Consistent with Applicant's pleas, the military judge found him not guilty of three specifications of sexual abuse of a child in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 920b, and one specification of indecent recording in violation of Article 120c, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 920c. *Id.* The military judge sentenced Applicant to a reprimand, forfeiture of \$836.00 pay per month for six months, and confinement for 60 days. *Id.* at 892.

3. At the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals (AFCCA), Applicant challenged his conviction on several grounds, including that the military judge erred by admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct under Military Rule of Evidence 404(b). The AFCCA nevertheless affirmed the findings and sentence on March 11, 2026.

4. Applicant sought discretionary review by the CAAF on the ground that the military judge erred by admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct under Military Rule of Evidence 404(b). On June 25, 2026, the CAAF denied Applicant's petition to review his case.

5. Good cause exists to grant the requested extension. The printing required for Applicant's petition must be processed through a federal government department (the Air Force), which then proceeds through another government agency (the

Defense Logistics Agency), which then generally retains a government contractor to complete the printing. The timing of the procurement process for a printing job cannot be forecasted with certainty and often suffers from delays. Such delays sometimes arise because funding during the last month of the fiscal year (September) is not immediately available. While counsel will endeavor to submit Applicant's certiorari petition—which will be combined with a request to issue a writ of certiorari to review several other Air Force petitioners' cases—by its current due date, Applicant requests this precautionary extension in case delays prolong the printing process.

6. Applicant thus requests a 60-day extension for the filing of his certiorari petition.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that an order be entered extending the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari up to, and including, November 22, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dwight H. Sullivan", written in a cursive style.

DWIGHT H. SULLIVAN

Counsel of Record

Air Force Appellate Defense Div.
1500 West Perimeter Road
Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil

September 10, 2026

APPENDIX

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Armed Forces
Washington, D.C.**

United States,
Appellee

USCA Dkt. No. 26-0190/AF
Crim.App. No. 40652

v.

ORDER DENYING PETITION

Kyshown D.
Campbell,
Appellant

On consideration of the petition for grant of review of the decision of the United States Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals, it is by the Court, this 25th day of June, 2026,

ORDERED:

That the petition is hereby denied.

For the Court,

/s/ Malcolm H. Squires, Jr.
Clerk of the Court

cc: The Judge Advocate General of the Air Force
Appellate Defense Counsel (Sullivan)
Appellate Government Counsel (Payne)