

Supreme Court of
United States of
America

Doc #: Cum-25-316
Decision No. Mem 26-76



State of ME vs. Akrami Writ of Certiorari

- 1.) Extraordinary Circumstances

This case. appeal is a multiple-layered and complex,

- 2.) I'm planning on refiling a post-conviction review.

- 3.) I just need more time to complete the Writ of Certiorari.

- 4.) The complete list.



★ Cum-24-60

- CR-22-4358

★ Cum-25-317

- CR-22-4358

This one → { ★ Cum-25-316
- CR-22-4358 }



- 5.) Demanding a Judicial Justice to review, motion to extend 90 days deadline.

- 6.) Exhibit A and Exhibit B attached

15/ Akrami A Abdullahi
35 Beechwood ave,
Westbrook, ME 04092

MAINE SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT
Sitting as the Law Court

Docket No. Cum-25-316
Decision No. Mem 26-76

State of Maine

v.

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO RECONSIDER**

Akram A. Abdullahi

Akram Abdullahi has filed a motion to reconsider the Court's decision dated May 5, 2026. The motion has been reviewed by the panel that decided the original appeal.

The motion to reconsider is DENIED.

Dated: June 4, 2026

For the Court,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Matthew Pollack', is written over a horizontal line.

Matthew Pollack
Clerk of the Law Court
Pursuant to M.R. App. P. 12A(b)(5)(A)

RECEIVED
JUL 04 2026
CLERK OF THE LAW COURT
MAINE SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

STATE OF MAINE

v.

AKRAM A. ABDULLAHI

Submitted on Briefs April 22, 2026

Decided May 5, 2026

Panel: STANFILL, C.J., and MEAD, CONNORS, and TAUB, JJ., and HORTON, A.R.J.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Akram A. Abdullahi appeals from a judgment of the trial court (Cumberland County, *Nofsinger, J.*) dismissing his motion brought pursuant to M.R.U. Crim. P. 33. The trial court correctly determined that Abdullahi's motion was untimely because he presented no newly discovered evidence and it was filed more than fourteen days after the verdict. *See* M.R.U. Crim. P. 33; *State v. Daly*, 2021 ME 37, ¶ 50, 254 A.3d 426 ("Newly discovered evidence is that which could have been presented *at trial* if it had been discovered in time."). Abdullahi has not presented any other issue cognizable on appeal.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.
