

CLD-093

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. 25-2701

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

RAYMOND J. KRAYNAK, Appellant

(M.D. Pa. No. 4:17-cr-00403-001)

Present: BIBAS, PHIPPS, and NYGAARD, *Circuit Judges*

Submitted is Appellant's request for a certificate of appealability under
28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

ORDER

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003). For essentially the reasons given by the District Court, jurists of reason would agree without debate that the claims raised in Appellant's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion were procedurally defaulted or otherwise barred, and/or without merit.

By the Court,

s/ Peter J. Phipps
Circuit Judge

Dated: March 18, 2026
PDB/cc: Raymond J. Kraynak
All Counsel of Record



A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszeit

Patricia S. Dodszeit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 25-2701

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RAYMOND J. KRAYNAK,
Appellant

(M.D. Pa. No. 4:17-cr-00403-001)

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Present: CHAGARES, *Chief Judge*, HARDIMAN, SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO, BIBAS, PORTER, MATEY, PHIPPS, FREEMAN, MONTGOMERY-REEVES, CHUNG, BOVE, MASCOTT, and NYGAARD,* *Circuit Judges*.

The petition for rehearing filed by **appellant** in the above-entitled case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision of this Court and to all the other available circuit judges of the circuit in regular active service, and no judge who concurred in the decision having asked for rehearing, and a majority of the judges of the

* Judge Nygaard's vote is limited to panel rehearing.

circuit in regular service not having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by the panel and the Court en banc, is denied.

BY THE COURT,

s/ Peter J. Phipps
Circuit Judge

Date: June 2, 2026
PDB/cc: Raymond J. Kraynak
All Counsel of Record