

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

ECO-068

No. 25-3379

In re: DETLEF F. HARTMANN,
Petitioner

(D. Del. No. 1:25-cv-01052)

Present: SHWARTZ, FREEMAN and CHUNG, *Circuit Judges*

1. Petition for Panel Rehearing of the June 9, 2026 Order Denying Reopening,
treated as Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order.

Respectfully,
Clerk/pdb

ORDER

The foregoing is DENIED.

By the Court,

s/ Cindy K. Chung
Circuit Judge

Dated: July 7, 2026
PDB/cc: Detlef F. Hartmann

ALD-144

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

C.A. No. 25-3379

IN RE: DETLEF F. HARTMANN, Petitioner

(Related to D. Del. Civ. No. 1:25-cv-01052)

Present: SHWARTZ, FREEMAN, and CHUNG, *Circuit Judges*

Submitted are:

- (1) Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, under Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.;
- (2) Petitioner's Motion to Reopen;
- (3) Petitioner's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis; and
- (4) Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel

in the above-captioned case.

Respectfully,

Clerk

ORDER

The foregoing motion to reopen is denied, as Petitioner has not established good cause to reopen the appeal. *See* 3d Cir. L.A.R. Misc. 107.2(a) (providing that a motion to set aside an order dismissing an appeal for failure to prosecute "must be justified by the showing of good cause"). Because we deny the motion to reopen, we need not address Appellant's motions to proceed in forma pauperis and for the appointment of counsel.

By the Court,

s/ Cindy K. Chung
Circuit Judge

Dated: June 9, 2026
PDB/cc: Detlef F. Hartmann



A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszeuweit

Patricia S. Dodszeuweit, Clerk