No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED
NOV 09 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

RAHUL CHATURVEDI,

Petitioner,

v.

SIDDHARTH SIDDHARTH,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE APPEALS COURT OF MASSACHUSETTS

APPENDIX, VOLUME I

Rahul Chaturvedi

867 Boylston St.
5th Floor
Boston, MA 02116
(857) 574-9009
rahul@dhruv.legal

Pro Se Petitioner

NOVEMBER MMXXVI

United States Commercial Printing Company • www.uscpc.us • (202) 866-8558

Appendix Table of Contents

APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

Appendix A
Memorandum and Order of Dismissal, Appeals Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–P–1166 (Mar. 25, 2026) ..... App. 1
Appendix B
Notice Denying Further Appellate Review, Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. FAR–30856 (Jun. 11, 2026) ..... App. 4
Appendix C
Single-Justice Orders, Appeals Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–J–0624 (Oct. 8 and 10, 2024) ..... App. 5
Appendix D
Selected Judicial Orders and Docketed Dispositions, Appeals Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–P–1166
(Jan. 7, 2025–Jul. 20, 2026) ..... App. 6
Appendix E
Denial of Direct Appellate Review, Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. DAR–30084 (Mar. 17, 2025) ..... App. 13

Appendix Table of Contents

Appendix F
Revised Single-Justice Order and Record-Assembly Direction, Appeals Court of Massachusetts, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–J–0624 (Oct. 9–10, 2024) ..... App. 14
Appendix G
Judgment, Corrected Judgment, and Execution-Related Orders, Superior Court of Massachusetts, Barnstable County, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 1772CV00144
(Jun. 2, 2021–Sep. 23, 2024) ..... App. 15
Appendix H
Selected Orders and Findings, Falmouth District Court, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2489SP000012
(Dec. 19, 2024–Apr. 9, 2026) ..... App. 20
Appendix I
Impoundment, Protective, and Nonparty-Filing Rulings, Superior Court of Massachusetts, Norfolk County, *Bridge Over Corporation, et al.* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2182CV00699 (Sep. 9–10, 2024)..... App. 30
Appendix J
Related Single-Justice Judgment, Full-Court Opinion, Rescript, and Stay Disposition, Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, *Chaturvedi* v. *Siddharth*,
Nos. SJ–2025–0484 and SJC–13867
(Dec. 15, 2025–Oct. 15, 2026)..... App. 31

Appendix Table of Contents

Appendix K
Constitutional, Statutory, and Rule Provisions Involved,
..... App. 36
Appendix L
Principal Appellate Brief, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–P–1166 (Jul. 14, 2025) ..... App. 65
Appendix M
Reply Brief, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. 2024–P–1166 (Oct. 17, 2025) ..... App. 128
Appendix N
Application for Further Appellate Review, *Siddharth* v. *Chaturvedi*,
No. FAR–30856 (Apr. 6, 2026) ..... App. 145
Appendix O
December 5, 2024 Falmouth transcript, RA-II pp. 209–215,
No. 2489SP000012 (Dec. 5, 2024) ..... App. 148
Appendix P
January 23, 2025 Falmouth deposition; Earlier Supplied Deposition Exhibit List and Related Record Excerpts,
No. 2489SP000012 (Jan. 23, 2025) ..... App. 155
Appendix Q
April 3, 2025 hearing transcript,
No. 2489SP000012 (Apr. 3, 2025) ..... App. 273

Appendix A

SECTION 1

JUDICIAL ORDERS FINDINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

[Filed: Mar. 25, 2026]

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

APPEALS COURT

24–P–1166

SIDDHARTH SIDDHARTH

vs.

RAHUL CHATURVEDI.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

As best we can understand the filings of the defendant, Rahul Chaturvedi, these consolidated appeals arise from (1) the orders of a single justice denying the defendant's request for relief brought pursuant to G. L. c. 231, §118, first par., relating to the issuance of an alias execution; and (2) the order of a single justice denying the defendant an emergency stay and additional time to file a brief. In the absence of compliant briefing, and because we are unable to discern from the defendant's filings why it is he believes he should have prevailed, we dismiss the appeals.

The defendant seeks review of a single justice order stating, "Although filed as a petition for interlocutory review pursuant to G. L. c. 231, §118, first par., the within consists of a civil appeal entry form and a notice of filing of a civil appeal entry form regarding

the defendant's appeal from the 9/23/24 order allowing the plaintiff's motion to dismiss" and "[a] review of the trial court docket does not reflect that the defendant has filed a notice of appeal from the 9/23/24 order." The single justice went on to rule, "Even if the defendant does file a notice of appeal in the trial court from the 9/23/24 order, and considering the within to be a request to enter his appeal prior to the trial court completing the assembly of record, the request is denied."

The appellate docket reflects patient efforts by multiple court personnel to afford the defendant an opportunity to present a coherent appellate argument, beginning with multiple extensions of time to file and direction to the applicable rules, and continuing through a provisional reinstatement of the appeals, with the defendant's being allowed to file a nonconforming brief. Although a single justice ultimately allowed the defendant to reinstate the appeals and accepted a nonconforming brief, that ruling was explicit that these acts of grace were "subject to review by a panel of Justices pursuant to the Massachusetts Rules of Appellate Procedure," and that the order "should not be construed as a waiver of the requirement to provide appropriate appellate argument and a sufficient record appendix to enable appellate review."

The panel has reviewed the defendant's filings and concludes that they are manifestly noncompliant with Mass. R. A. P. 16 (a), as appearing in 481 Mass. 1628 (2019). Under this rule, the argument section of an appellate brief must contain the appellant's

contentions, the reasons therefor, citations to the authorities and parts of the record on which the appellant relies, and a concise statement of the applicable standard of review for each issue. See Mass. R. A. P. 16 (a) (9), as appearing in 481 Mass. 1628 (2019). "Although some leniency is appropriate in determining whether pro se litigants have complied with rules of procedure, the rules nevertheless bind pro se litigants as all other litigants." Brown v. Chicopee Fire Fighters Ass'n, Local 1710, IAFF, 408 Mass. 1003, 1004 n.4 (1990). We are unable to discern the defendant's contentions with respect to the issues on appeal from his noncompliant briefing.[1]

Appeals from single justice orders dismissed.

By the Court (Singh, Hershfang & Wood, JJ.[2]),

/s/Carlotta M. Patten
Assistant Clerk

Entered: March 25, 2026.

---

[1] Pursuant to Fabre v. Walton, 441 Mass. 9, 10–11 (2004), and the parties' contract, the plaintiff may, within fourteen days of the issuance of this decision, submit an application for appellate attorney's fees and costs, with supporting materials. The defendant shall have fourteen days thereafter to respond.

[2] The panelists are listed in order of seniority.

Appendix B

FAR–30856 - Notice: FAR denied

From: SJCCommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us <SJC-CommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us>
To: contact@dhruv.legal, Dr. Rahul Chaturvedi <rahul@moolex.com>
Date: Thursday, June 11th, 2026 at 4:32 PM
Supreme Judicial Court for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Telephone
RE: Docket No. FAR–30856
SIDDHARTH SIDDHARTH
vs.
RAHUL CHATURVEDI
Appeals Court No. 2024–J–0624; 1772CV00144
A.C. No. 2024–P–1166

NOTICE OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR FURTHER APPELLATE REVIEW

Please take note that on June 11, 2026, the application for further appellate review was denied.
Very truly yours,
The Clerk's Office
Dated: June 11, 2026
To: Anthony Riley, Esquire
Emily Meehan, Esquire
Rahul Chaturvedi

Appendix C

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
APPEALS COURT

SIDDHARTH SIDDHARTH
v.
RAHUL CHATURVEDI

No. 2024–J–0624
[Filed: Oct. 8, 2024]

10/08/2024 ORDER (RE#1): Although filed as a petition for interlocutory review pursuant to G. L. c. 231, §118, first par., the within consists of a civil appeal entry form and a notice of filing of a civil appeal entry form regarding the defendant's 9/10/24 notice of appeal from the 9/10/24 order allowing plaintiff's motion for alias execution. The defendant states that he filed this matter as a petition pursuant to G. L. c. 231, §118, first par., because the Barnstable Superior Court (1772CV00144) would not assemble the record. A review of the trial court docket shows that on 9/23/24, the plaintiff's motion to dismiss the appeal was allowed. Accordingly, there is no appeal to enter and all requests for relief are denied. (Desmond, J.) *Notice/Attest/Buckley, J.

10/10/2024 RE#4: Please assemble the record on the single justice matter and issue notice to the parties. Petitioner will need to enter the panel case in the normal course. *Notice.

Additional material from this filing is available in the Clerk's Office.