26-6155

No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED

SEP 15 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Reco Mareese Daniels — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States-Watkins — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Middle District of Alabama: Judge Watkins
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Reco mareese Daniels
(Your Name)
usp victorville
united states penitentiary
P.O. Box 3900 Adelanto, CA 92301
(Address)

Adelanto, california 92301
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

(7)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

(1) I am serving a 900 month sentence now on conspiracy 924(c) offenses, I went to trial and was convicted and sentenced to 1,044 months April 2012. In 2018 in light of Sessions v. Dimaya I was granted a resentencing because my 6th and 8th amendments of the Bill of Rights were violated because the sentence was excessive. 30 years, in 2021. I was taken before the court with partial relief. I was only being sentenced on counts 1, 2, 4 qnd 6 out of 7 counts because Judge Watknis stated nothing was wrong with counts 3, 5 and 7 - the 924(c) 57 year sentencing package. I continuously stated my entire sentence and conviction was unconstitutional and vague in light of Johnson; Sessions v. Dimaya, and Davis because of the conspiracy predicated offenses, my question is in light of Davis - Johnson - Sessionsvv. Dimaya; I've been sentenced 3 times on the offenses and my constitutional rigths - due process is being violated?

(2) I've been sentenced in total 3 times and at each setencing my sentence was changed from concurrent sentences 2012 on counts 1,2, 4 and 6 and in 2022-2023 partially somewhat the same minus the adjustment to the se sentence because of the excessive 30 years on counts 1, 2, 4 and 6. However in 2023 after dismissal of count 3, the attempted carjacking in light of (Taylor) in count 2 of the indictment because of the absence of count 3 I was increased by six points and counts 1, 2, 4 and 6 were turned from previously being concurrent sentences to consecutive sentences, disregarding the fact I was resentenced because the initial excessive 30 years on counts 1, 2, 4 and 6. Then however now at my 2023 sentencing counts 1, 2, 4 and 6 are excessively enhanced to 58 years. Is this not a violation of my 5th amendment Double Jeopardy, 6th amendment and 8th - excess of law and cruel and unusual punishment, a violation of Due Process and equal protection of the law?

(3) The only questions and answers I need an ask: Does the Supreme Court's findings and decisions in Davis applies to me and am I rights that my constitutional rights are being violated? Because at each resentencing the sentence was changed. However at the 2023 sentencing counts 1, 2, 4, and 6 was all turned consecutive to be a total of 56 years, this is a violation of my 5th amenment causing Double Jeopardy because previous sentencing on the same charges and counts go from concurrently served to consecutive... Double Jeopardy??!!!

LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Reco Mareese Daniels

Petitioner

v.

United States of America

Respondent

RELATED CASES

United States v. Davis, 139 S. Ct. 2319, 204 L. Ed. 2d 757 (2019)

Sessions v. Dimaya, 138 S. Ct. 1204, 200 L. Ed. 569 (2018)

Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551, 192, L. Ed. 569 (2013)

United States v. Joseph Robinson, 2022 U.S. Dist Lexis 233927 (D md, Dec. 30, 2022)

Lee versus Antonyo Reece v. United States court of appeals 5th cir 938 f.3d 630; 2019 U.S. App Lexis 27134 No. 17-11078 Sep 9,2019

United States v. Harper, 2024 WL 1053547 (N.D. Ga, Mar. 11, 2024)

United States v. Dupree, 57 F.4th 1269 (11th cir. 2023)

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[XX] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[XX] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[XX] reported at Attached; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

(14)

JURISDICTION

[X] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was August 31, 2026.

[X] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1). Petitioner seeks review of this court on Appellant court 11th circuit's decision in case #26-12907. There's clearly a constitutional error in light of United States v. Davis 588 U.S. 445(2019) The predicated conspiracy 18 U.S.C. §§2 and 924(c) attempted carjacking, carjacking and conspiracy 18 U.S.C.§§2 and 2119(1)-(2); 924(C)(1)(A)(ii)-924(C)(1)(A)(ii)(iii) that violates movant's due process the enhancement predicated conspiracy, that contradicts the holding in Sister circuit 5th circuit the Force clause in Antonyo Reece v. United States of appeals 5th circuit 938 F. 3d

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

U.S. Constitutional amendment V.....

U.S. Constitutional amendment VI .....

U.S. constitutional amendment VIII.....

U.S constitutional amendment XIV....

18 U.S.C § 3553 .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2 and 924(c); .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2 and 2119-(1)-(2); .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2 and 924(c)(1)(A)(ii)..... .

18 U.S.C §§ 2 and 2119 (1)-(2); .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2, 924 (c)(1)(A)(ii)-(iii), and 924 (c)(1)(C)(i); .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2 and 1951(a); and .....

18 U.S.C §§ 2, 924 (c)(1)(A)(ii) .....,

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I was indicted, charged and convicted of a seven count conspiracy in 2011. as a leader of the Bloods; of crimes that took place while I was out in society in 2009 after violation of parole of Alabama Department of Corrections sentence. I got back incarcerated November 2009, indicted September 2011. These are charges for crimes I did not commit but with three people that I know and was alleged to be involved with/ Anthony Tallie and Willie Tallie, my first cousins and Valerie Faye Woodfin, my ex-finace's daughter. Saying anything to get time off even the Sargent Detective Briggs had no evidence and information to arrest or convict me yet she was sanctioned and suspended nearly three years later for failure to charge me. The federal investigation on me started about a murder of a United States postal worker for Camphille Alabama who was killed that investigation turned into whatever they can get me for. Because of the countless errors involving this case at trial, on appeal, etc. I'm fighting tooth and nail for my life and freedom and I know that Davis applies applied to me and I am being violated constitutionally in every way possible in Alabama. My 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, and 14th -due process- amendments have been violated. He states that I am unrepentant as if he's God himslef because I won't confess for something I did not do!! This is a violations of my 1st amendment seperation of religion, etc.

The judge, William Keith Watkins knows that in United States v. Davis I did not have to raise the Davis claim on my direct appel because Davis retroactively applies whenever it is applied and made known and it alone can overcome the procedural default. Judge Watkins continually state that my 924(C) iffenses falls under the force cluase and not hte residual clause, but the truth of the matter the 924(C) predicated offenses is a covered offense and conspiracy is the predicated factor and it can't be squared either clause at the constitutionality phase and structure so says United State v. Davis 139S.ct 2319.204 L.Ed.2d 757(2019) and concerning the elements/force clause: Antonyo Reece v. United States courts of appelas 5th circuit 938 f.3d 630; 2019 u App Lexis 27134 no.17-11078 Sept 9,2019 filed. 6th, 8th, 14th amendment violation.

Closing - conclusion

Justices, my question and situation is quite simple and doesn't take a lot of explaining: Judge Watkins has been violating my constitutional rights consistently since day one from threats to a pregnant AUSA who I don't know nor have I ever been within 2 feet of to threats to my cousin Anthony Tallie, all I ask and need you all to do is explain. Solidify the obvious that Judge Watkins already knows that Davis applies to my case. You all can see and understand he is just off what he says in his judgement (enclosed)

Respectfully

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I have made so many bad choices and decisions in my life, coming from a broken home of crack addicted parents and at age 17 being cellies at a maximum security prison with my father who died in 2017 in prison. I want to live my life being a man of faith, sense and having a purpose. I'm 45 years old and I've been incarcerated nearly 30 years of my life. I'd be lying if I told you all that I am a saint and I have never done nothin wrong in my lifetime because I have done so much wrong. This I didn't do! The reason that I want you to grant this petition is that I want to meet face-to-face and hug my daughter. I want her to see and know how much I love her and how I've changed my life in the mighty name of Jesus. I want to be an example of God's grace and mercy.

Please, please grant this petition and my freedom because I'm not the man or person that these people made me out to be. I'll never, ever in Jesus name break the law again...

I've in this situationopen my eyes to this bitter reality because it's a scary and frightening feeling to have peoplemake up lies on you and to see you're actually being charged and punished for something that you know is a lie and just because someone has the power and authority to do this is a nightmare. You can look at how Judge Watkins talked to me in his opinion and order to get a feel and see what I've been dealing with!! He knows Davis applies to me and Harper 96% of all the lies that has been accredited to me come from Judge Watkins.

Please, please help me...

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Reco M. Daniels

Date: June 5, 2026
9/10/2026