ORIGINAL

No 26-610

FILED
APR 23 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

In The

SUPREME COURT of the UNITED STATES

Daniel L Pohle

Petitioner

V.

John G Roberts, in his official capacity as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court; Ketanji Brown Jackson, in her official capacity as an associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States; Donald J Trump, in his official capacity as the President of the United States of America; United States of America; State of Indiana.

Respondents

On Petition for Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The District of Columbia

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DANIEL L POHLE
1280N CR500E
BUTLERVILLE, INDIANA 47223
(812)-767-3545

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the United States Supreme Court ruling "TRUMP v UNITED STATES" gave Trump civil immunity for his 2016 - 2020 pre-election cover up of his former VP / Indiana Governor Pence and Indiana's violation of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG TREATY), which has defamed and financially cost Daniel L Pohle and his corporation, Otter Creek Trading Co Inc billions of dollars in lost revenue. Ref: SCOTUS Case "POHLE v PENCE 22-876"

2. Whether there is any wonder that the Indiana Supreme Court is completely ignorant when it comes to the CISG TREATY with President Trump and the United States Supreme Court refusing executive or judicial preemption to create case law for guidance to the 30,000 state and 1,500 federal judges.

3. Whether the knowing and willful non-compliance with a self-executing United States Treaty (CISG) by a President Trump and The Supreme Court is the blocking Otter Creek Trading Co Inc and Daniel L. Pohle's Constitutional Right to Due Process in a court with proper jurisdiction and knowledge represents a Criminal Conspiracy to Violate the Constitutional Rights of another person under 18 U. S. Code 241.

4. Whether Supreme Court Rule 28.8 barring argument by non-lawyers is constitutional when it is subordinate to The Judiciary Act of 1789 and 28 USC 1654 and Article VI-2- -SUPREMACY CLAUSE

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

The Petitioner is: DANIEL L. POHLE,

The Respondents to this petition are:

John G Roberts, in his official capacity as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court.

Ketanji Brown Jackson, in her official capacity as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Donald J Trump, in his official capacity as the President of the United States of America.

The United States of America.

State of Indiana.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS FOR THE COURT.....i
PARTIES TO THIS PROCEEDING.....ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....iv
OPINIONS BELOW.....vi
STATEMENT OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS.....vi
JURISDICTION.....vii
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI...vii
INTRODUCTION.....-1-
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....-2-
FACTUAL BACKGROUND-(POHLE v PENCE).-3-
ARGUMENT.....-6-
REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....-7-
KNOWING WILFULL -- TRUMP.....-8-
CONCLUSION .....-8-
TABLE OF APPENDICES.....-11-

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

The 1st AMENDMENT of the Constitution of the United States grants Daniel Pohle the Right to Petition the government for redress of grievances.

THE 1789 JUDICIARY ACT and 28 US Code 1654 grants Daniel the Right to Petition the government for redress of grievances without hiring a lawyer.

28 US Code 1254 grants the United States Supreme Court the right to review:

-Order dated March 10, 2026 by the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit case Pohle v Roberts et al 25-5377-- Appendix - (A)

Order dated October 10, 2025 by the DC Federal District- Pohle v Roberts et al -1:25-cv-809 -- Appendix – (B)

28 US Code 1257 grants the United States Supreme Court the right to review:

--Indiana Supreme Court Case No.62 N.E.3d 1202 (Ind. 2016) Otter ---Creek Trading Co. v, PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD

SCOTUS RULE 28.8 – UNCONSTITUTIONAL Requires the recusal of Chief Justice John G Roberts

TITLE IIV of the 1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT makes the appointment of Ketanji Brown Jackson invalid.

28 US CODE 445 – A CONFLICT of INTEREST
Requires Judge Christopher Cooper to recuse.

28 US CODE 445 – A CONFLICT of INTEREST
requires the recusal of Solicitor General D John Saur and acting Attorney General Todd Blanch as former and current personal attorneys of Donald J Trump.

ARTICLE VI-2 --THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE--

MAKES -THE UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON CONTRACTS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL SALE OF GOODS. Law in all of the United States

MISSOURI v HOLLAND is
Treaty v State precedence

BIVENS v SIX UNKNOWN NAMED AGENTS
is precedent for Federal remedy for financial redress for Violation of Rights.

42 U S CODE 1983
.is precedent for a State remedy for financial redress for Violation of Rights

POHLE V. ROBERTS

Proposed precedent for a State / Federal / International remedy for financial redress for Violation of Rights- CISG Treaty Violation

Trump v United States & Nixon v Fitzgerald are unconstitutional because they seek to violate Daniel L Pohle' s- 1st Amendment Right to petition for redress of grievances.

OPINIONS BELOW

Order dated March 10, 2026 by the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit case Pohle v Roberts et al 25-5377

Appendix - (A)

Order dated October 10, 2025 by the DC Federal District- Pohle v Roberts et al -1:25-cv-809
Appendix – (B)

STATEMENT OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

FEDERAL

SCOTUS --Case "POHLE v PENCE" 22-876

Seventh Circuit Case No. 21-3351 –POHLE v PENCE –July 25, 2022

POHLE v PENCE -Southern District of Indiana Case No. 4:20-cv-00139-SEB-DML -

STATE OF INDIANA

Indiana Supreme Court Case No.62 N.E.3d 1202 (Ind. 2016) Otter

Creek Trading Co. v, PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD

Indiana Court of Appeals Case No. 60 N.E.3d 217-(2016)

Otter Creek Trading Co. v, PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD

VIP

STATE JUDGEMENT

Jennings County Indiana Superior Court Case No. 40D01-1410-00049

PCM Enviro (Australia) v Otter Creek Trading Co Inc and Daniel L Pohle (United States of America) -- Judgement Date June 17, 2015

JURISDICTION - 28 U S CODE 1254

To review: Order dated March 10, 2026 by the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit in case No. 25-5377

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Pro Se Petitioner Daniel L Pohle, respectfully petitions the court for a Writ of Certiorari to review Order dated March 10, 2026 by the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit case Pohle v Roberts et al 25-5377 and find that District Court Judge Christopher R Cooper was ask to recuse under 28 U S Code 445 because in 2012 when Daniel L Pohle was caught spying on President Obama's effort to enrichen his Chicago donors by securing for them a partnership with Zimbabwe Dictator Robert Mugabe, Christopher Cooper and his wife Amy Jeffress were the damage control people for President Obama and his Attorney General Eric H Holder, Jr and facilitated the cover up.
One week after Daniel L Pohle filed Pohle v Roberts former V P and later President Biden hired Judge

Cooper's wife Amy Jeffress as his private attorney again adding to the conflict of interest.

A "Motion for Recusal" Document # 33 in Pohle v Roberts in DC District Court case No. 1:25-cv-00809-CRC was filed October 8, 2025. - DENIED October 10, 2025 Minute Order

Judge Cooper refused to recuse and used Malicious Compliance as a weapon to throw out Pohle v Roberts under Rule 8 (a) 2 failure to state a claim.

The Petitioner hereby places this petition before the court for the above reason and to address state court ignorance of the CISG Treaty and International Trade Law.

INTRODUCTION

* Pohle v Roberts may be the most important Civil Rights and Constitutional Question case of the 21st Century.

* The Supreme Court has failed in its Article III mission. There are 30,000 state judges in the United States with near zero knowledge of the CISG treaty.

* State judges are CISG ignorant because The United States Supreme Court has not heard a CISG case in the 38 years. Never, Providing No Case Law

Both the United States Attorney General Pam Bondi and the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia Jeanine Pirro are perpetrating a Fraud upon the DC Circuit Court by asking the

court to ignore the Rule of Law and a self-executing International Treaty. As counsel for the federal defendants U. S. Attorney Pirro appears to not understand that there is no statute of limitations on Jurisdiction or Civil Rights so getting Pohle v Roberts dismissed will just result in another case involving the same Subject Matter being filed.

The D C Circuit in its decision clearly has fallen for the oldest trick in the book when the government beats back civil rights complaints brought by non-lawyers.

The Trick: Malicious Compliance (demand following the rules to the letter to cause a bad result)

Example: Claiming failure to State a Claim when:

The D C Circuit Court sees the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods but does not recognize it as a binding self-executing International Treaty and binding law.

The D C Circuit Court clearly does not realize the collateral damage to the Petitioner or to the United States of America rule of law.

The D C Circuit Court sees that SCOTUS Rule 28.8 is unconstitutional but does nothing

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Daniel L. Pohle submits this petition for serious consideration De Novo because the SCOTUS has never heard a CISG treaty case in 38 years.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

("POHLE v PENCE 22-876")

" In 1986 Senator Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. was one of the senators who voted to ratify The Vienna Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods. On January 1, 1988 the Vienna Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG) became federal law in the United States of America under the Supremacy Clause, Article VI-2 of the United States Constitution.

Ninety seven countries are now parties to the CISG Treaty including Australia. International corporate contracts for the sale of goods are governed by the CISG articles if the corporations are based in countries that are parties to the CISG Treaty. CISG Article 1-1(a).

In 2014 Mike Pence was the governor of Indiana and Barak Obama was president of the United States. Petitioner, Daniel L Pohle was debriefing the United States Central Intelligence Agency as to the United States national risk surrounding the Obama / Biden Administration's pursuit of Blood Diamonds with Robert Mugabe the Dictator of Zimbabwe who was selling Uranium to North Korea.

In the summer of 2014 applicant's corporation Otter Creek Trading Co Inc made an agreement to sell a lead smelter containing intellectual property to a family trust doing business as PCM ENVIRO based in Melbourne, Australia. Australia is a party to the CISG Treaty. The Australian's tried to ship

the smelter to a third party to be cloned which is a federal crime in the United States. The United States Department of Homeland Security was informed. The third party hired an attorney to file a breach of contract complaint on behalf of the Australians. The international breach of contract lawsuit was filed in Vernon, Indiana (population 220) in the Jennings County Superior Court with the Plaintiff being PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD without an Australian corporation number (ACN) and the defendant listed as Otter Creek Trading Co Inc and Daniel L Pohle.

Petitioner, Daniel L Pohle as President of Otter Creek Trading Co Inc has customers in more than half of the countries that are parties to the CISG Treaty and understands the CISG Treaty Articles but the United States and Indiana courts have little experience with International law.

The Australian Embassy confirmed that PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD as written was not a legal corporate identification without an ACN number and could not receive payment without one. The embassy also confirmed that PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD was a shell and had never registered a business name under which to do business.

OTTER CREEK filed motions with the Jennings County Court for articles of incorporation of the Australian corporation to confirm the Australian plaintiff's identity but the small town judge got offended and found Otter Creek Trading Company Inc and Daniel L Pohle in default without a hearing.

There is not even an order finding Otter Creek Trading Company Inc and Daniel L Pohle in default but instead an order for a hearing to determine damages. OTTER CREEK hired the only attorney that would even get close to the mess. The attorney filed a motion to correct errors that was denied without comment. A hearing was held for damages and a foreign national with a British accent and no identification appeared for PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD and claimed a loss of over one hundred and forty six thousand dollars for not receiving the fifteen thousand dollar machine and a related spare part. The Judgement Order is (APPENDIX –B)

The county court judgement for the Australian shell company was appealed to the Indiana Court of Appeals that failed and then the Indiana Supreme Court refused transfer and proved that an International Ghost can win an international lawsuit in Indiana if Mike Pence is Governor.

There is no county court order finding Otter Creek Trading Company Inc and Daniel L Pohle in default but there is a judgement (APPENDIX –B) on file in the room where Pohle v Pence was filed that now totals with interest well over three hundred thousand dollars.

The attorneys have all given up with money in their pocket. The Pro Se Petitioner has not. In 2017 the petitioner bought a one way ticket to Australia and hired the prestigious KCL law firm in Melbourne. A fifty three page sworn affidavit

provided enough evidence to clear the judgement in state court but it proved to be a waste of time.

2018 and 2019 were both wasted in Indiana State Court and Mike Pence was the Vice President of the United States with his thumb on the scales and the political world was impeaching Donald Trump.

United States Customs and Border Patrol, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Homeland Security, The Indiana State Police and 3 Jennings County Sheriffs were brought in and viewed the equipment in storage but can do nothing without the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Indiana."

ARGUEMENT

In 1918 a situation arose in the state of Missouri that reached the Supreme Court of the United States in 1920 and established case law confirming that International Treaties Ratified and Signed by a President are binding even when the treaty conflicts with state law.

Missouri v Holland was filed by the Attorney General of Missouri and answered by the Attorney General of the United States. The subject was migrating birds protected by four migrating bird treaties signed with Canada Mexico and other nations. The birds were not represented by counsel but now enjoy more protection under the United States Constitution while flying through United States air than Daniel L Pohle's two United States

Chapter "C" Corporations registered in Indiana do under the United Nations Conventions.

With the case law of Missouri v Holland respondent Attorney General Pam Bondi, could file a complaint in the Southern District of Indiana against the State of Indiana under the Supremacy Clause and Executive Preemption Doctrine

The United States must site The Anti-Injunctions Act of 1948- Exceptions 1. (Expressly Authorized by Congress) and 2. (To Preserve the Jurisdiction of the United States) to clean up PCM ENVIRO PTY LTD v OTTER CREEK TRADING INC judgement and establish case law for the 30,000 state court judges that do not have a clue as to how to react to matters governed by the United Nations Conventions on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods which is federal law. Article VI-2

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

A. To confirm that public officials are only persons under the law and lose all immunity when violating federal statutes as they violate the Civil Rights of another person.

B. To correct the deprivations of rights to Due Process and Equal Protection of Daniel L Pohle and Otter Creek Trading Inc.

C. To confirm; 42 U.S. Code 1983, BIVENS and POHLE v PENCE as remedies for the knowing willful violation of rights of persons by public

officials while violating the United States Constitution.

KNOWING AND WILFULL -TRUMP

President Donald J Trump knows that the Petitioner has been in business for five decades and for the last several decades has provided Diamond Mining Equipment to criminals seeking Blood Diamonds in failing nations while in cooperation with the United States Intelligence Services.

CONCLUSION

The Supreme Court is bound by Article III of the United States Constitution to clarify the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods jurisdiction as it conflicts with state commercial codes and the Petitioner's Right to be heard by a court with proper jurisdiction over said The United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods

President Donald J Trump is bound by Article II of the United States Constitution to enforce the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods under Article VI-2 and the Execution Preemption Doctrine.

Trump must order his attorney general to sue Indiana on behalf of the United States to inforce and preserve the CISG Treaty. Article VI-2

President Donald J Trump does not have immunity under "Trump v The United States of America" because violation of a treaty IS NOT a "proper official act". In fact it is unlawful.

Violating the self-executing United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is "manifestly beyond Trump's discretion.

President Donald J Trump and the U.S Department of justice knew that when Pohle filed POHLE v PENCE) that all of the preceding legal wrangling was created by two brothers in Australia named Craig and Paul Mitchell. The Mitchell brothers form shopped a CISG case into a court in a town of 210 people using an alias company name and without an Australian corporation number (which is a crime in Australia under the 2001 Australian Corporations Act). See: Affidavit A

The Constitution explicitly gives the federal government jurisdiction over International Trade with Article 1, Section 8. -The Foreign Commerce Clause.

State Judges do not have the authority to substitute state law for federal law or treaty articles and create case law precedent.

Remove Judge Christopher Cooper so that the case can proceed with an unbiased judge

It is in the best interest of The United States of America to permanently vacate SCOTUS Rule 28.8 and grant this Petition for Writ of Certiorari and find that financial redress is fair and equitable.

Respectfully,

Daniel L Pohle

4 July 2026

1280 North County Road 500 East
Butlerville, Indiana 47223
(812) 767 3545
Pro Se Petitioner

TABLE OF APPENDICES

Page

AFFIDAVIT –A -12-

AFFIDAVIT TO THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

APPENDIX. A -14-

The Opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit, case No. 25-5377 dated March 10, 2026

APPENDIX B -17-

Order dated October 10, 2025 in case No. 1:25-cv-00809-CRC at the U.S. District Court for the DC Circuit.

APPENDIX C -20-

Memorandum of Understanding- President Trump
Date delivered by Registered Mail -

"All ReFormatted"
Rule 33.1

AFFIDAVIT -12-
July 16, 2025

TO: Solicitor General of the United States,
D. John Sauer
Cc U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia,
Jeanine F. Pirro
Cc U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of
Indiana, Thomas E , Wheeler II

In The United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
Cases: Pohle v Roberts et al, 1:25-cv-00809
Pohle v SCOTUS BAR et al 1:25-ev-00808

In the Supreme Court of the United States
Case POHLE v PENCE 22-876

This document is to inform. you that FBI Special Agent Russell G Warlick, (Rusty) (Indianapolis Division, Bloomington Resident Agency) has for a decade been informed regarding the above cases.

Agent Warlick attended a meeting in 2018 with the Chief Deputy Indiana AG Aaron Negangard where Indiana was presented an affidavit from my Australian lawyer Cynthia Bors confirming all that a shell company from Australia was maintaining a fraudulent judgment against Otter Creek Trading Co Inc and Daniel LPohle in Indiana.

With this document I inform all that I am very mature and capable in extremely complex situations and President Trump would be wise to push my petition for rehearing of Pohle v Pence through the SCOTUS.

Special Agent Warlick's cell # is 812-322-7663. He is aware that I will meet with anyone who wishes to help resolve the above matters.

Daniel L. Pohle 16 July, 2025

Daniel L. Pohle
1280N CR500E, Butlerville, Indiana 47223
Telephone – 812-767-3545

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 25-5377 September Term, 2025

1 :25-cv-00809-CRC

Filed On: March 10, 2026

Daniel L. Pohle,

Appellant

v.

Johh G. Roberts, in his official capacity as Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court, et al..

Appellees

BEFORE: Henderson, Pillard, and Pan, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of appellant's brief, the motions for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the replies; and the cross-motion for summary reversal and the oppositions thereto, it is

ORDERED that the motions for summary affirmance be granted and the cross-motion for summary reversal be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers-Watchdog, Inc v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). Appellant identifies no error in the district court's decisions and, in any event, those decisions were correct. The district court did not err by concluding that appellant failed to plausibly plead facts

demonstrating his standing to challenge Supreme Court Rule 28.8, because he was not injured by the rule and the district court could not redress any injury in any event because it lacks authority to order the Supreme Court to act. See FDA v. All. for Hippocratic Medicine, 602 U.S. 367, 379-82 (2024); In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992). Nor did the district court err by concluding that appellant's claims for damages were barred by sovereign immunity. See Bd. of Trustees of Univ. of Ala. v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 363 (2001); Clark v. Library of Congress, 750 F.2d 89, 103 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

As to any remaining allegations, the district court did not err by dismissing appellant's cases without prejudice for failure to comply with the pleading standards of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). Appellant's complaints did not set forth "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," which is required to "give the defendant fair notice of what the ... claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (alteration in original); see also Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 670-71 & n.9 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (explaining that Rule 8 authorizes dismissal where complaint is unclear, frivolous on its face, or "confused, ambiguous, vague, or otherwise unintelligible"). Additionally, the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying appellant's motion for an amended judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). See Messina v. Krakower, 439 F:3d-755; 758-59 (D.C. Cir. 2006).

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 25-5377 September Term, 2025

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Clifton 8. Cislak, Clerk
BY: /s/
Selena R. Gancasz
Deputy Clerk

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 10/10/2025 at 10:04 AM and filed on 10/10/2025
Case Name: POHLE v. ROBERTS et al
Case Number: 1:25-cv-00809-CRC
Filer:
WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 08/28/2025
Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

MINUTE ORDER: Plaintiff's [33] Motion for Recusal is hereby DENIED. The motion cites no credible facts supporting the Court's recusal. So Ordered by Judge Christopher R. Cooper on 10/10/2025. (lccrc2)

1:25-cv-00809-CRC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Jeremy S. Simon jeremy.simon@usdoj.gov, Elena.Haramalis2@usdoj.gov, Joseph.Finnigan@usdoj.gov, Shadae.Beaver@usdoj.gov

Zachary Boron zach@charnoffsimpson.com

1:25-cv-00809-CRC Notice will be delivered by other means to:
DANIEL. POHLE
1280N CR500E
Butlerville, IN 47223

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 10/10/2025 at 10:15 AM and filed on 10/10/2025
Case Name: POHLE v. ROBERTS et al
Case Number: 1 :25-cv-00809-CRC
Filer:
WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 08/28/2025
Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:
MINUTE ORDER: Plaintiff's [31] Motion for an Amended Judgment is hereby DENIED. The motion and supporting memorandum hardly mention the Court's [29] Memorandum Opinion and [28] Order dismissing Plaintiff's claims, let alone identify grounds for amending the judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). See Ciralsky. v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 671 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (altering a judgement is warranted where there is a change in "controlling law," "new evidence," or "the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice" (quoting Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996))). So Ordered by Judge Christopher R. Cooper on 10/10/2025. (lccrc2)

1 :25-cv-00809-CRC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Jeremy S. Simon jeremy.simon@usdoj.gov, Elena.Haramalis2@usdoj.gov, Joseph.Finnigan@usdoj.gov, Shadae.Beaver@usdoj.gov

Zachary Boron zach@charnoffsimpson.com

1:25-cv-00809-CRC Notice will be delivered by other means to:
DANIEL. POHLE
1280N CR500E
Butlerville, IN 47223

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Between

DANIEL L POHLE, President of OTTER CREEK TRADING CO INC

&

DONALD J TRUMP, President of THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The purpose of this MOU is to establish a frame work and time line for the "LAWFARE REDRESS" of One Billion+ one U.S. Dollars as sought in DC Circuit Case 25-5377 "POHLE v ROBERTS now filed in the U.S. Supreme Court as of April 23,2026 Exhibit A

RESPONSIBILITY

A. PRESIDENT DONALD J TRUMP -WILL-- schedule the "LAWFARE REDRESS" of One Billion+ 1 U.S. Dollars and or a meeting with the "CONGRESSIONAL GANG OF EIGHT" before July 4,2026.

B. DANIEL L POHLE -WILL- meet the President and Gang of Eight to help them thoroughly understand the need for redress in this matter, OR---

C. DANIEL L POHLE is prepared to file POHLE v TRUMP in the DC Federal District Court on 5 July, 2026 and let Chief Judge James E Boasberg sort it out. Exhibit B

Daniel L Pohle May 27, 2026

Daniel L Pohle, 1280N CR500E Butlerville, IN 47223
Cell 812-767-3545

_____ _____, 2026

President Donald J Trump The Whitehouse