No: 26-6060

FILED
MAY 22 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Michael L Boyd — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Tiffany M. Williams Captain et — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For The Eighth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Michael L Boyd ADC# 165890
(Your Name)

East Ark Regional Unit P.O. Box 970
(Address)

Marianna, Ar 72360
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why no investigation Pertainings To the False allegiations.

Why Prison Officials violation of Policies By not Sending Drug Testing To Laboratory for Confirmation.

Why Prison officials stop Petitioner access to the Courts Because of a serious injury.

Why come Clinical Laboratory Improvement act is Law Passed By Congress in 1988 To force Law To ensure that tests samples are reliable.

Why come Captain T. Williams was the only officials stop Inmate M. Bond Legal mail often other Captain allowed M. Bond have Legal mail.

Petitioner M. Bond Request Legal mail To Be Test that other Captain's allowed To have Because of a open claim But was Denied.

Petitioner still have evidence To the Date Pertainings open claim at that time #23-1484 Supreme Court of Washington D.C. Petitioner Requesting Legal mail To Be Test By Laboratory. Why come on Oct 10, 2023 Petitioner was Denied a Request for federal investigation and a Polygraph Test. See Exhibit-000

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

Director Dexter Payne
Captain Tiffany Williams
Ms. L. Southern Mail Room
Supervisor
Warden Jackson

[redacted]

RELATED CASES

Trudeau v. Lovick 713 F 2d 1360 8th Cir 1983.

Goff 7 F 3d at 738.

Orebaugh v. Caspari 910 F 2d 526, 528 8th Cir 1990.

Franco v. Kelly 854 F 2d 584, 589 2d Cir 1988.

Sprouse v. Babcock 870 F 2d 450, 452 8th Cir 1989.

Wolff v. McDonnell 418 U.S. 539, 94 Sct 2963, 41 L Ed 2d 935.

Bell v. Wolfish 441 U.S. 520, 99 S.ct 1861, 60 LEd 2d 447 1979.

Ingraham v. Wright 430 U.S. 651, 97 Sct 1401, 51 L Ed 2d 711 1977.

Sosier-Kiewicz v. Sorema N.A. 534 U.S. 506, 122 S.ct 2002

Penrod v. Zavaras 94 F 3d 1399, 1404 10th Cir 1996

Crawford-El v. Britton 523 U.S. 574, 588 10, 118 Sct 1584 1998.

Holley v. Sears 803 A 2d 482, 370 Md 358 Md 2002))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 5

CONCLUSION .....

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES PAGE NUMBER

Wholey V. Roebuck 803 A.2d 482
370 Md 38 Md App 2002

Wholey V. Sears 803 A 2d 482
370 Md 38 Md 2002

Lewis V. Jacks 486 F.3d 1025 8th Cir 2007

STATUTES AND RULES

Ark Code 11-10-106, Ark Code 11-10-314

Ark Code Ann 16-123-105

OTHER

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[✓] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[✓] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[✓] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-28, 2026.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 1-28-2026, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including APR. 26, 2026 (date) on May 28, 2026 (date) in Application No. 25 A1160.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Ark. Code 17-103-401 an Ark. Code 4-75-1308
20 CAR 140-105, Ark Code 11-10-106, Ark Code 11-10-314
Ark Code 14-52-307

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner Michael Boyd is incarcerated at the East Arkansas Regional Unit of the Arkansas Division of Correction (ADC).

Comes now Petitioner was exposed to contaminated water and food that destroyed 80% of Petitioner's large intestine and 1/2 of his rectum. Petitioner filed a complaint prison officials retaliated. Petitioner had an open claim Case #23-1484 Supreme Court of the United States.

On 9-16-2023 M .Boyd was called to legal mail pertaining to this case Cpl. Tiffany Williams indicated to the Petitioner M. Boyd you legal mail is stapled up and discolored. Cpl. Williams field tested it and indicated legal mail tested positive for methamphetamine. Petitioner Michael Boyd indicated to Cpl. T. Williams I have an open claim a Petitioner for Writ of Certiorari pertaining to the injury that happened here at East Ark Regional Unit.

Come now on 7-10-24 Petitioner was called to legal mail, upon arriving Cpl. T. Williams indicated that this legal mail has to be tested because it looks discolored. She indicated it tested positive for methamphetamine this is the second time.

Come now on 8-17-24 Petitioner was called to legal mail call pertaining to an open claim from Supreme Court in Washington D.C. Legal mail was from Washington Case #23-1484. Cpl. T. Williams indicated that legal mail tested positive for methamphetamine.

Come now 8-30-24 Petitioner M. Boyd was called to legal mail, upon arriving to get my mail it was from Supreme Court of the United States Washington D.C. pertaining to an open claim #23-1484. Cpl. Williams indicated this mail has to be tested. She indicated legal mail is discolored and tested positive for methamphetamine.

Come now 8-2-24 Petitioner M.Boyd ADC # 115890 was called to legal mail pertaining to an open claim #23-1484 Cpl. T. Williams indicated legal mail tested positive for methamphetamine and cocaine.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Because Prison officials may not Retaliate against an inmate for filing legal actions in the exercise of his constitutional right of access to the court By false allegations to stop a serious injury claim.

Because of denial of equal Protection and inadequate Medical Treatment and denial of due Process.

Violation of Policies of the Prison By not sending Unit Drug Testing To Laboratory For confirmation.

Because Petitioner should have a serious medical need of Recommended Medicine Treating By Specialist Dr. Tommis Papahimis M.D. But was Denied Because of the cost of it.


Because Petitioner should have an still suffering form Mental suffering and emotional anguish.

Because defendant's conduct was Motivated By an evil Motive based upon false allegations disciplinary's charges all 5 Petitioner Suffered an emotional Psychological injury From the fear of Physical injury. Because that's the reason Retaliation and administrative Policy Directive 17-23 See Lamar V. Payne 111 F4th 902 8th Cir 2024.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: May 21, 2026