26-6039 ORIGINAL

NO. _____

FILED
APR 10 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Paul Bruce — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Michael Karpf — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of Georgia
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Paul Bruce
(Your Name)

1153 N Liberty ST
(Address)

Nicholls GA 31554
(City, State, Zip Code)

n/a
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1 The Burden is on an applicant to make out his case, that is to prove the satisfaction of his habeas Corpus Judge that an alleged violation of his Constitutional rights did in fact occur, defendant never had the opportunity to Present any evidence, Could this be failure to allow the defendant to present any defense or speak

2 I thought that hearing of evidence, depostions affidavits and determination of compliance with Procedural Rules are to be applied in habeas corpes

3 Is it not error for any Judge to express an opinion in any proceeding in matters not proven of the guilt of an defendant without the Accused allowed to present any evidence

4 Are not mandamuses a matter of Right, A right to move the Court to proceed in a habeas case that has become moot for over two years without any movement

5 Clerical errors are made by clerks Correct so Clerks should fix there mistakes, Like Regarding the filing year, Could simply be a clerical error

List OF Parties

All Parties Appear in the Caption on the cover page

All Parties do not Appear in the Caption of the CASE on the cover Page. A list of All Parties to the Proceeding in the Court whose Judgement is the Subject OF this Petition is as Follows.

Michael KARPF - Judge
Therese Barnes - clerk supreme Court
Christopher Carr - Attorney General
Kristean Lee Settlemire - not sure of there title
Kathrine Powers stoff - "
Bryan Keith Webb - "
ELLiza Gilbis - Clerk superior Court Douglas

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 5

CONCLUSION 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Supreme Courts dismissal, and LAWSUIT Petition

APPENDIX B Judicial Complaint

APPENDIX C transcript evidence stating taking counsels testimony And At the next Calender Petition to Present his evidence

APPENDIX D mandamus Petition (2) pages

APPENDIX E Supreme court dismissal, due to not filing certificate of Probable Cause,

APPENDIX F letter stating Petition for probable Cause filed and doketed with A Case number (wrong year number ##)

Appendix G. motions to correct clerical errors and A letter to dismiss motion to correct clerical error

Appendix-H Final order for denial of habeas petition
the court states Petitioner misunderstood also Petitioners testimony as not shown, or failed to carry out his burden to prove his habeas (Petitioner did ~~not~~ was not allowed to)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES — PAGE NUMBER

Plocar v Foster 211 GA 153 84 SE2d 360 1954 Lexis 520 (1954) Pg 3

Jones v Leverett 230 GA 310 196 SE2d 885 1973 Lexis 819 (1973)

Perry v Holland 228 GA 660 187 SE2d 286 1972 GA Lexis 881 (1972) Pg 3

Sims v Smith 228 GA 136 137 (184 SE2d 347

Sanders v the state 66 GA App 128 17 SE2d 251 1941 GA App Lexis 147 29187 Pg 3

Johnson v Rogers 917 F2d 1283 1990 US App Lexis 18827 (1990) Pg 3, Pg 5

Wyatt v state 113 GA App 852 149 SE2d 837 1966 GA App 1034 (1966) Pg 3

Jessup v Bennett (1970) 226 GA 606 176 SE2d 81 1970 Lexis 609 (1970)

STATUTES AND RULES

9-14-14 Burden on the Petitioner to make out Applicants case Pg 3

9-14-48 Hearing of Evidence "

17-8-57 Expression or intimation of opion by Judge "

9-10-7 Expression by a Judge "

9-14-23 Failure to respond, disobedience of writ "

9-6-26 Mandamus not less than (10) no more than (30) days to be heard APPS "

17-1-3 Clerical error " APP G,

9-10-133 error, Clerical " APP F

5th Amendment Right to due process "

OTHER

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[ ] For cases from **federal courts:**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at n/a ; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at n/a ; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts:**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at n/a ; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

The opinion of the n/a court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____ ; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix ____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1254(1).

[x] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Feb. 3, 2026. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

9-14-14, Burden on the Petitioner In Habeas Corpus proceedings, the burden is upon Petitioner to establish by "Proof" contention that the Petitioner was denied of Counsel Plocar v Foster 211 GA 153 84 SE2d-360 1954 Lexis 520 (1954) Also Burden is on Applicant for habeas to make out the applicants case 9-14-14 Jones v Leverett 230 GA 310 196 SE 2d 885 1973 GA Lexis-819 (1973) 5th Amendment right due process
9-14-48 Hearing evidence (A) the court may receive proof by deposition, oral testimony, sworn affidavits or other evidence Perry v Holland 228 GA 660 187 SE2d 286 1972 GA Lexis 881 (1972) Also Sims v Smith 228 GA 136 137 (184 SE2d 347)

17-8-57 - Expression or intimation of opinion by judge as to matters proved or guilt of accused, Sanders v the State 66 GA App 128 17 SE2d 251 1941 GA App Lexis 147 28 187
the conviction should be Reversed because the trial Judge intimated his opion as to his guilt in violation of O.C.G 17-8-57 - 9-10-7 Expression by a Judge

mandamus 9-14-23 failure to respond, disobedience of writ 1979, Labuy v Howes leather Co., Busy Court dockets do not excuse Compliance with Rules and Statutes Johnson v Rogers (1990) 10th Cir OCGA. 9-6-26 mandamus

17-1-3 - 9-10-133 - When due to clerical error on the part of the clerk Wyatt v state 113 GA app 857 149 SE2d 837 1966 GA app 1234 (1966, motion to correct clerical error Jessup v Bennett (1920)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner filed for Habeas corpus Relief in nov. 2019 After Lengthy delay (3) three court hearing none of which the Petitioner was allowed to present any evidence on his behalF, After the last court appearance in Aug 2022 Petitioner was to Return the following month to Proceed And Present his evidence, (16) sixteen months later, no answer A memoradum of concern went unanswered Several Requests also went unanswered, so Petitioner filed for mandamus to move the court to Resume his habeas corpus Petition that Petition also was unanswered, on July 3. 2024 Petitoners Habeas corpus was dismissed on All Grounds, the final order states the Judge has no reason not to believe the Plea counsel over the Petitioner, Also the Judge says that All the grounds lack meritt, IF this Habeas corpus lacked meritt why would Petitioner have (3) Hearings, Habeas Courts are able to determine from the face of the Petition if it lacks meritt, Petition filed for his appeal and certificate of Probable Cause and the clerk has made a clerical error by putting the wrong year on the CASE number Also the clerk states that I did not file a Certificate of Probable Cause Petition see Petition. (APP F) However the clerk said she no longer has Authority over this petition SO Petitioner filed a lawsuit in the Supreme court of Gorgia which has been denied because Petitioner does not state why there court has authority over the Petition it was in the lower court first then it goes to the Supreme court thats the law, that I understand.

Statement of The case

The Lowstates lower court the Supreme court SO the Bases for this claim here Is that the Petitioner was not Allowed to present any evidence or Speak on his behalf, The Hearing of evidence is Key to the determination of the facts and failure to allow that not to happen is a constitutional violation correct, Petitioner Just wants to be heard and present his evidence. Busy court dockets can not excuse All these lengthy delays and then dismissed the Petition because the Judge is unhappy About the Petition filing for writ mandamus then you dismiss the habeas All together thats not Justice, Also the Plea Counsel and the Judge both were able, Commit Perjury in the court proceedings thats grounds for impeachment and the Judge says he doesn't believe me, I never had a chance to speak on my behalf this is not Justice And unfortunately the high volume of Prisoners Cases is insufficent to Justify Six years of filing paper work only to have them All dismissed or unanswered Petition and clerks not wanting to fix simple Clerical Errors, Just dismiss And wait, I Pray you understand that Justice is not a simple dismiss its laws, duties, processing Petitions, hearing evidence Just being Able to ~~speak~~ and be heard.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

In disposing of matters fairly and promptly and efficiently, Judges must demonstrate due Regard for the parties rights to be heard and to have issues Resolved without unnessary Cost or delay and Disobedience of writ is not Justice Insurrance of writ return hearing decisions manifests Policy that Habeas Petitions are to be heard promptly and Plainly the writ of habeas corpus challenging detention is reduced to a sham if the court do not Act within reasonable time not six years later and still no Relief in sight. I have plenty of evidence to present in my Habeas corpus but I have no court date only delays, dismissals, Judges Clearly Committing Perjury in Court by not Allowing Petition to Present his evidence see transcript Page (APPC), Please help Petitioner by granting this Petition and help the Petitioner find Justice in the court of law, because the Habeas has merrit because if it didnit we would have not had three court Appearances, the Petition Could have been dismissed by the face of it. My time has Clearly been wasted in the lower courts in seeking Justice because I can not find any Justice and I hope and Pray theres Justice in the united States Supreme court because the state of Georgia does not have Justice only delays and dismissals. God Bless the united states of America Amen!

CONCLUSION

This Judge lied in court and dismissed this Habeas Petition without the Proper due Process OF LAW.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3.26.24

Rayven Teyo
03/26/26

RAYVEN TEYO
NOTARY
EXPIRES
GEORGIA
JAN. 11, 2030
PUBLIC
JEFF DAVIS COUNTY