No. 26-6034

FILED
OCT 21 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

District of Colorado

Lisa S. Montoya — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Amy Coloney-SAAG/Jeffrey Bezos - Amazon CEO — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Tenth Circuit Appeals Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Lisa S. Montoya
(Your Name)

330 S. Kendall
(Address)

Lakewood, Co 80226
(City, State, Zip Code)

983 218 0805
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Amendment, statutes, codes, rules
Stricken and Closed all writs act"
IFP policy and CRS Title 18.

Why is the courts, why is it that the Courts all want to act on a code of misconduct.

Constitutionally the 7th amendment states that I Can pursue any violations, breach of contract, by the government and corporation (affiliated) to gov. entities, for redress without retaliation from the government or its agencies, to be compensated, reconciled for authorative misconduct. According to civil law under color of law, deprivation of rights (42. U.S.C. 1983.) (18 U.S.C. 242), equal opportunity Commission (EEOC). Key basis of Retaliation by both defendants, or respondants. Retaliation is contempt of Court for the federal District Court, adamant minute orders Stricken and Closed both describe absent parties (defendants) who forfeit with non appearing relief.

Fed district court is not using proper etiquette established to code, governing acceptable conduct in a professional environment when they are neutral party and mediator. Why is fed. dist. Court dismissing this court cause by attempting to ignore the language that enforces. Recommendations are not enforceable, and involuntary dismissal should not due by the fed. dist Court themselves? Fed. R. 41 would not even be considered for this case by petitioner. This case is forfeited by defendants summary Judgment is default.

LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[M] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Army Colony - SAPG
Jeffrey Bezos - Amazon CEO
Defendants do NOT appear to be included with a default motion.

RELATED CASES

EEOC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION .....

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....

STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....

CONCLUSION .....

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Appeal Fed.

APPENDIX B Fed. District

APPENDIX C ~~State Court~~

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

Jurisdiction is federal Court United States Supreme Court attached is the letter dated June 14, 2024

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Rajas v. Morris No 2025 - C-0675

Wells v. Shealy Unpublished Opinion No 2026 Cp-008

Supreme Court of Colo No 191988 380 P.2d 34
151 Colo 235 Dec 17, 1962 decided Disposition Reverse

Campos v McCabe

Nichamin v. Horchen

STATUTES AND RULES

C.A.R 21

Colo Constitution Article II Section 6 Equality of Justice

Precedential Value - Publication (10th Cir. R. 36.2)

— 18 USC 242-EEOC

defendants ↑

Army Colony State of Colorado

Color of Law

OTHER

Horwath PC v. Kazazian 2024 Co 8, 2024

Colo Lexis 208 March 11 2024

~~Consum~~ Contumacious Defendants DOJ manual

Retroactive IFR policy

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[N] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[N] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[N] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[N] is unpublished.

[N] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[N] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Appeals State of Colorado court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[N] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[X] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 2025.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[X] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including ~~June 14, 2026~~ (date) on June 14, 2026 (date) in Application No. A _____. March 27, 2026

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Application to proceed In forma Pauperis

uphold policy of retroaction formulated for the Seventh Amendment to the United State Constitution,

Provisions for redress without retaliation from defendants.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Constumacious defendants adhere to DOJ manual.

Redress is the defendants remedy to relief the Petitioner Lisa S. Montoya without retaliation without Constumacious actions.

Restitution is in order, since this is not a criminal case, to ask the Supreme Court of the United States to mandate a all writs act forcing Federal District Court to recognize Charges for Amazon Jeffrey Bezos CEO and Amy Colony-SAAG mandamus, guilty of Professional Misconduct are the Courts and defendants. Regress is to have open this case for settlement very forgettany non attending defendant

Clear the names of Lisa S Montoya's dynasty, Her three adult Children and six grandkids, three boys and three girls.

Monetary discussions will be confidential,

18 U.S.C. § 242 and statutory code 18 (1983) Color of laws Keeping any Civilian from their liberties and withholding rights. According to Amendment 7 of the Constitution.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

18 USC 242 EEOC

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa S. Montoya

Date: June 27, 2026