26-6027 ORIGINAL

No. ____

FILED
OCT 21 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MONTAE D. JACOBS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS for The Seventh Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Montae D. Jacobs
(Your Name)

P. O. BOX 1023
(Address)

~~AA~~ Coleman, FL 33523
(City, State, Zip Code)

Google USP-Coleman 1
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Can a federal court of equity jurisdiction impose a sentence absent proof of jurisdiction?

2. Without jurisdiction, criminal proceedings are a nullity?

3. If a court is without authority, its judgements and orders are regarded as nullities?

4. Can jurisdiction be challenged and raised at any time?

5. Sanctions imposed absent proof of jurisdiction result is convictions being reversed?

6. Why didn't the 7th Circuit Court ~~of Appeals~~ correct the error of the lower district court, in regards to jurisdiction?

7. Title 18 is for crimes occurring in maritime and territorial jurisdiction?

8. Are any federal territories in the Eastern District of Milwaukee, WI?

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is provided below. If a party is a corporation with a stock ticker symbol, that symbol is also included.

Circuit Judge, ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER
Circuit Judge, THOMAS L. KIRSCH
Judge, LYNN ADELMAN
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent - Appellee

RELATED CASES

~~N/A~~

Where the court was without jurisdiction to impose the Petitioner's sentence; see Hill v. United States, 368 U.S. 424 (1962).

The court held that convictions tainted by constitutional errors must be reversed unless the errors are harmless; see. United States v. Donaldson, 978 F.2d 381 (7th Cir. 1992).

Steel Co. v. Citizens for Better Env., 523 US 83, 89-101 (1998)

Adams v. United States, 319 US 312 (1943)

Pennsylvania v. Union Gas Co., 491 US 1, 26 (1989) (citing cases)

Izumi Seimitsu Kogyo v. U.S. Phillips, 510 US 27, 33 (1993)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION .....

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....

STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....

CONCLUSION .....

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES PAGE NUMBER

PLEASE SEE "PETITION FILED" WITH THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT, → I DO NOT HAVE A COPY OF THE PETITION.

ALL INCLUSIVE (AUTHORITIES CITED)

STATUTES AND RULES

PLEASE SEE "PETITION FILED" WITH THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT, → I DO NOT HAVE A COPY OF THE PETITION,

ALL INCLUSIVE (AUTHORITIES CITED)

OTHER

N/A

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[ ] reported at October 7th, 2026; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[ ] reported at August 18th, 2026; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 7th, 2026.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[✓] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: October 7th, 2026, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- BILL OF RIGHTS
- 5th U.S. Constitutional Amendment
- 6th U.S. Constitutional Amendment
- 10th U.S. Constitutional Amendment
- 18 U.S.C. § 3231

(written to occur within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States (Federal Government))

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The lower district court did not have jurisdiction to adjudicate the subject matter jurisdiction of Title 18. The judge (Adelman) did not apply law sua sponte. A sanction has been imposed absent proof of jurisdiction. The Courts never asserted jurisdiction ~~of~~ on the record. The appellate court is aware that the lower district court lacked jurisdiction, however failed to order the lower court to remand and/or vacate the convictions, which the alleged crimes did not occur within maritime and territorial jurisdiction. Unless and until notice and acceptance of jurisdiction has been given, Federal courts are without jurisdiction to punish under criminal laws of the United States an act committed on lands acquired by the United States.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Lower district and appellate courts lack jurisdiction to rule on specific raised grounds, such as "lack of jurisdiction!" Once jurisdiction is challenged, it cannot be assumed, but must be proven to exist.

However once this challenge is made in an inferior or appellate court of the United States, it must be considered and decided, before any court can move one further step in the cause; as any movement is necessarily the exercise of jurisdiction. If any "tribunal" finds absence of proof of jurisdiction over subject matter and person, the case must be dismissed.

Its obvious I'm experiencing the aforementioned in regards to no exercising of jurisdiction from the inferior and appellate court, this is why this petition please, should be granted.

This is a Supreme Court matter!

Supreme Court Jurisdiction!

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: October 21st 2026