Appendix C

The Order of the Court is stated below:
Dated: May 14, 2026 05:30:52 PM
/s/ JILL M. POHLMAN
Justice

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

----ooOoo----

GREGORY LEE LUECK, Respondent, v. AYOOLA ADISA AJAYI, Petitioner.	ORDER Supreme Court No. 20260502-SC Court of Appeals No. 20260013-CA Trial Court No. 210902657

----ooOoo----

This matter is before the Court upon a Petition for Writ of Certiorari, filed on April 2, 2026.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Certiorari is denied.

End of Order - Signature at the Top of the First Page

Appendix B

FILED
UTAH APPELLATE COURTS

FEB 26 2026

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

GREGORY LEE LUECK, Appellee, *v.* AYOOLA ADISA AJAYI, Appellant.	ORDER Case No. 20260013-CA

Judges Christiansen Forster, Mortensen, and Luthy.

Ayoola Adisa Ajayi filed a notice of appeal on December 15, 2025. The notice of appeal states that he seeks to appeal the "final judgment" and "appointment of counsel and vexatious litigant." This matter is before the court on Gregory Lee Lueck's motion for summary disposition based upon lack of jurisdiction due to Ajayi's failure to file a timely notice of appeal.

A notice of appeal must be filed "with the clerk of the trial court within 30 days after the date of entry of the judgment or order appealed from." Utah R. App. P. 4(a). The thirty-day time period for filing a notice of appeal commences on the date that the judgment is entered, regardless of the date that the parties receive notice of the entry of the judgment. *See Workman v. Nagle Constr., Inc.*, 802 P.2d 749, 751 (Utah Ct. App. 1990). If an appeal is not timely filed, this court lacks jurisdiction to hear the appeal and must dismiss it. *See Serrato v. Utah Transit Auth.*, 2000 UT App 299, ¶ 7, 13 P.3d 616.

The district court entered a default judgment against Ajayi on October 26, 2022. Ajayi did not file his notice of appeal until December 15, 2025. Thus, the notice of appeal was not timely filed as to the judgment. Ajayi's notice of appeal also states that he seeks to appeal some unidentified order on "appointment of counsel and vexatious litigant." The record demonstrates that the district court entered orders denying motions to appoint counsel on May 7, 2025, and May 20, 2025. The court also denied motions to set aside the judgment on September 23, 2024, and May 20, 2025. There is no order predating the notice of appeal declaring Ajayi to be a vexatious litigant. Thus, to the extent Ajayi seeks to appeal from these orders, his notice of appeal was also untimely. Finally, two days after Ajayi filed his notice of appeal, the district court issued an order denying Ajayi's third motion for appointment of counsel, third motion to set aside the default

judgment, as well as Gregory Lee Lueck's motion to have Ajayi declared a vexatious litigant. This decision was not previously announced at any prior hearing. Accordingly, Ajayi's notice of appeal was premature to confer jurisdiction upon the court to review the December 17, 2025 order. *See generally* Utah R. App. P. 4(c). Therefore, this court lacks jurisdiction to hear the appeal because it is untimely, and we have no choice but to dismiss it. *See Varian-Eimac, Inc. v. Lamoreaux*, 767 P.2d 569, 570 (Utah Ct. App. 1989).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed.

Dated this 26th day of February, 2026.

FOR THE COURT:

Michele M. Christiansen Forster, Judge

The Order of the Court is stated below:
Dated: May 19, 2026
10:42:56 AM

At the direction of the Court

by
/s/ BARBARA NELSON
Judicial Assistant

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

GREGORY LEE LUECK, Appellee, v. AYOOLA ADISA AJAYI, Appellant.	REMITTITUR Appellate Case No. 20260013-CA THIRD DISTRICT, SALT LAKE Trial Court Case No.: 210902657

The above-entitled case was submitted to the court for decision and the decision has been issued.

Decision Issued: February 26, 2026

Notice of Remittitur Issued: May 19, 2026

Record: None

End of Order - Signature at the Top of the First Page

Appendix A

Utah Homicide Survivors
Brock Best, #15966
Aubrey Davis, #19913
51 W Center Street, #520
Orem, Utah 84057
Email: brock@utahhomicidesurvivors.org
Email: aubrey@utahhomicidesurvivors.org
Phone: 801-500-9077
Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE THIRD DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY
STATE OF UTAH

GREGORY LEE LUECK, Plaintiff, **v.** **AYOOLA ADISA AJAYI** Defendant.	**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S VEXATIOUS LITIGANT MOTION, AND DENYING THE RENEWED MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND DISMISSAL OF JUDGEMENT** **Case No.:** 210902657 **Honorable:** Amber M. Mettler

The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Vexatious Litigant Motion, Defendant's filings, all relevant pleadings, and the applicable law, and having determined that oral argument is unnecessary, now enters the following Order:

I. Findings

1. Plaintiff has demonstrated that Defendant has engaged in a pattern of repetitive, frivolous, duplicative, or harassing filings in this matter and related proceedings.
2. The Court finds that multiple issues raised by Defendant have been previously litigated and resolved by this Court, and Defendant has repeatedly attempted to relitigate matters already adjudicated.
3. The Court further finds that Defendant's renewed Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Dismissal of Judgment raises no new factual basis, legal authority, or change in circumstances that would justify reconsideration or relief under the governing rules.
4. Defendant has failed to meet the burden for appointment of counsel in a civil matter and has not demonstrated entitlement to dismissal of the judgment under Rule 60 or any other applicable legal standard.

II. Conclusions of Law

1. Under Utah Rule of Civil Procedure 83 and the Court's inherent authority to regulate abusive litigation practices, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has met the requirements for designation of Defendant as a vexatious litigant.

2. Defendant's pattern of filings constitutes an undue burden on judicial resources, has caused unnecessary responsive pleadings, and has hindered the efficient administration of justice.
3. Defendant's renewed Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Dismissal of Judgment fails as a matter of law because:
   a. No statutory or constitutional right to appointed counsel applies to the claims presented;
   b. Defendant has not shown mistake, excusable neglect, newly discovered evidence, fraud, void judgment, satisfaction, or any other basis for relief under Rule 60; and
   c. Defendant's arguments are duplicative of prior motions already denied by this Court.

III. Order

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's Vexatious Litigant Motion is GRANTED.
   a. Defendant is hereby declared a vexatious litigant pursuant to Utah R. Civ. P. 83.
   b. Defendant must obtain leave of the Court before filing any further motions, pleadings, or papers in this action, except for a notice of appeal.
   c. Any future filings submitted without prior leave of Court shall be rejected by the clerk.
2. In the alternative, and independently, Defendant's Renewed Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Dismissal of Judgment is DENIED on the grounds that Defendant has not met the legal standard for relief and presents issues previously adjudicated.
3. This Order is final as to the issues determined herein.

The signature of the Judge appears at the top of the first page of this document

CERTIFICATE OF DELVERY

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Document** was sent to the following on the date and in the manner indicated:

EXPRESS MAIL, postage prepaid
Ayoola Adisa Ajayi #249055
Defendant
Utah State Prison
P.O. Box 165300
SLC, UT. 84116

DATED this 24th day of November, 2025,

Additional material from this filing is available in the Clerk's Office.