

APPENDIX A

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ORDER

Alex Martinez v. United States of America, et al., No. 25-12980-AA
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Atlanta, Georgia
Order entered April 08th, 2026

APPENDIX A

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Opinion Issued On the Courts own Motion Opinion" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Friday, February 20, 2026 at 05:44 a.m. PST

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 02/20/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Document(s): 23

Docket Text:

Opinion issued by court as to Appellant Alex Martinez. Decision: Dismissed. Opinion type: Non-Published. Opinion method: Per Curiam. The opinion is also available through the Court's Opinions page at this link <http://www.ca11.uscourts.gov/opinions>.

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Clerk - Northern District of Georgia, Clerk of Court
Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Opinion

Original Filename: 202512980.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=02/20/2026] [FileNumber=10672309-0]
[3d58aecb707a0199ea6874f7ab8719ce5a0c8cf9b65d4b07628a31b33b1b0e35bb2fc5bbe9b637ef2164f862d3addc874c
dda0aa61c2cec8f90e59a5e5516827]]

Document Description: OPIN-1 Notice to Counsel/Parties

Original Filename: /opt/ACECF/live/forms/DjuannaClark_2512980_10672309_OPIN-1NtcoflssuanceofOpinion_300.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=02/20/2026] [FileNumber=10672309-1]
[0ae7d619fb7a8c3c74b105f264db55cd558cd9f06bc143d213e241f4a6e5cddb0e1baeb4c31695e960e19a793db16d48e4
ce77fa8e88c2d68227ba91f1d6d050]]

Recipients:

- Clerk - Northern District of Georgia, Clerk of Court
- Alex Martinez

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith
Clerk of Court

For rules and forms visit
www.ca11.uscourts.gov

February 20, 2026

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES

Appeal Number: 25-12980-AA
Case Style: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al
District Court Docket No: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

Opinion Issued

Enclosed is a copy of the Court's decision issued today in this case. Judgment has been entered today pursuant to FRAP 36. The Court's mandate will issue at a later date pursuant to FRAP 41(b).

Petitions for Rehearing

The time for filing a petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc is governed by 11th Cir. R. 40-2. Please see FRAP 40 and the accompanying circuit rules for information concerning petitions for rehearing.

Costs

No costs are taxed.

Bill of Costs

If costs are taxed, please use the most recent version of the Bill of Costs form available on the Court's website at www.ca11.uscourts.gov. For more information regarding costs, see FRAP 39 and 11th Cir. R. 39-1.

Attorney's Fees

The time to file and required documentation for an application for attorney's fees and any objection to the application are governed by 11th Cir. R. 39-2 and 39-3.

Appointed Counsel

Counsel appointed under the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) must submit a voucher claiming compensation via the eVoucher system no later than 45 days after issuance of the mandate or the filing of a petition for writ of certiorari. Please contact the CJA Team at (404) 335-6167 or cja_evoucher@ca11.uscourts.gov for questions regarding CJA vouchers or the eVoucher system.

Clerk's Office Phone Numbers

General Information: 404-335-6100

Case Administration: 404-335-6135

CM/ECF Help Desk: 404-335-6125

Attorney Admissions: 404-335-6122

Capital Cases: 404-335-6200

Cases Set for Oral Argument: 404-335-6141

OPIN-1 Ntc of Issuance of Opinion

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12980
Non-Argument Calendar

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CIVIL PROCESS CLERK,

U.S. Attorney's Office for D.C.,
CIVIL LITIGATION SECTION,
Department of Justice Canada,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

Before NEWSOM, ABUDU, and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Alex Martinez, proceeding pro se, appeals the district court's denial of his motion for reconsideration of that court's order dismissing his pro se complaint. Martinez argues that his motion for reconsideration was timely filed.

We review a district court's denial of a Rule 59 motion for abuse of discretion. *PBT Real Estate, LLC v. Town of Palm Beach*, 988 F.3d 1274, 1287 (11th Cir. 2021). We similarly review the denial of a Rule 60(b) motion to vacate for an abuse of discretion. *Big Top Coolers, Inc. v. Circus Man Snacks, Inc.*, 528 F.3d 839, 842 (11th Cir. 2008).

"A post-judgment motion may be treated as made pursuant to either Fed.R.Civ.P. 59 or 60—regardless of how the motion is styled by the movant—depending on the type of relief sought." *Mays v. U.S. Postal Service*, 122 F.3d 43, 46 (11th Cir. 1997). No later than 28 days after entry of a judgment, a party may move a district court to alter or amend it. Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). However, a court may grant a Rule 59(e) motion only on the basis of newly discovered evidence or manifest errors of law or fact, and a party may not use such a motion to "relitigate old matters, raise arguments or present evidence that could have been raised prior to the entry of judgment." *PBT Real Estate, LLC*, 988 F.3d at 1287 (quoting *Michael Linet, Inc. v. Village of Wellington, Fla.*, 408 F.3d 757, 763 (11th Cir. 2005)) (citation modified).

Under Rule 60(b), the district court may relieve a party from a final judgment or order for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered

25-12980

Opinion of the Court

3

evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial; (3) fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). We have noted that where a district court must consider whether it should vacate a judgment of dismissal, the motion is usually characterized as having been filed under Rule 59(e). *Livernois v. Medical Disposables, Inc.*, 837 F.2d 1018, 1021 (11th Cir. 1988).

We have held that a legal claim or argument that has not been briefed is deemed abandoned and that its merits will not be addressed. *Access Now, Inc. v. S.W. Airlines, Co.*, 385 F.3d 1324, 1330 (11th Cir. 2004). An appellant fails to adequately brief a claim when he does not “plainly and prominently raise it.” *Sapuppo v. Allstate Floridian Ins. Co.*, 739 F.3d 678, 680–81 (11th Cir. 2014) (citation modified). A party forfeits an issue when he fails to devote a discrete section of his argument to that issue. *Id.*

Here, the district court did not err when it denied Martinez’s motion for reconsideration because it properly construed the motion as brought under Rule 59(e) and denied it as untimely. In his motion, Martinez failed to articulate any of the specified grounds upon which a movant may request relief from a judgment or order in Rule 60(b). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). And Martinez filed the motion on June 23, 2025, which was 41 days after the district court issued its order dismissing his complaint on May 13, 2025, and well outside of the 28-day deadline in Rule 59(e). Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e).

4

Opinion of the Court

25-12980

Additionally, Martinez forfeited any argument challenging the order dismissing his complaint by failing to raise it in his initial brief. Accordingly, this appeal is **DISMISSED**.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Petition for Rehearing - Panel Only Filed Panel Rehearing only" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 at 12:30 p.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 03/24/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Document(s): 25

Docket Text:

Petition for panel rehearing only filed by Appellant Alex Martinez. [25-12980] (ECF: Alex Martinez)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Petition for Rehearing - Panel Only Filed

Original Filename: USCoA 11 Cir Georgia - Filed_Petition for Panel Rehearing_Proof_Service - 25-12980-AA - AM v USA et al.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=03/24/2026] [FileNumber=10697153-0]

[594243170ab4b5ed2679564d6dd715177c32a588ec932ff4796fb681754ad79e7bb459c64106ab3d3c3c33dd36675588325668566bd0d9cae6bd93aa16e235d]]

Page 18 of 65.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Rehearing Order Filed rehearing panel only denied Panel Rehearing only" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov (ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 at 10:50 a.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/08/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Document(s): 27

Docket Text:

ORDER: The Petition for Panel Rehearing filed by Appellant Alex Martinez is DENIED. [27]

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Rehearing Order Filed

Original Filename: 25-12980_Draft_Order_RehearingOrder41.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=04/08/2026] [FileNumber=10709181-0]

[532bcfcacdaa325a4a00625d6092b0de81c44a400562f1fa40690cd7d4ebb60b4cd946d6c124972e55cfbc0f56826fc0363
ace3e428f5e9dedd0eec1fde47492]]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12980

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CIVIL PROCESS CLERK,
U.S. Attorney's Office for D.C.,
CIVIL LITIGATION SECTION,
Department of Justice Canada,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

Before NEWSOM, ABUDU, and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

The Petition for Panel Rehearing filed by Appellant Alex
Martinez is DENIED.

Page 20 of 65.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Petition for Rehearing - En Banc Filed Panel Rehearing with En Banc" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Tuesday, April 14, 2026 at 01:17 p.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/14/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al**Case Number:** 25-12980**Document(s):** 28**Docket Text:**

Petition for rehearing en banc (with panel rehearing) filed by Appellant Alex Martinez. [25-12980] (ECF: Alex Martinez)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Petition for Rehearing - En Banc Filed**Original Filename:** USCoA 11 Cir Georgia - Final_Filed_Appeal_Petition for Rehearing En Banc - 25-12980-AA.pdf**Electronic Document Stamp:**

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=04/14/2026] [FileNumber=10713413-0]

[26b1d619746796beb1f9f3778550dcc85327d13b16cb4651565be5c617052b31bd917e293465efa1a72c91d6c70d929cb2f007c509749c7887925ca5519819a5]]

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Notice of no action taken on motion/filing" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov (ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 at 12:23 p.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/15/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Document(s): 29

Docket Text:

Notice that no action will be taken on Petition for rehearing en banc (with panel rehearing) filed by Appellant Alex Martinez.

Reason(s) no action being taken on filing(s): Successive motions for reconsideration are not permitted. See 11th Cir. R. 27-3..

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Notice_No_Action

Original Filename: /opt/ACECF/live/forms/ToviKing_2512980_10714333_NoticeNoActionTaken_439.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=04/15/2026] [FileNumber=10714333-0]

[94a490f5674a7587185cb2f1ba1cb56cb2dd2ccedbe10f079aaade35807ea2ab63daa5f417c2329cb3f908530ba71e142782b16107cc8b09143029b6bb5db19c]]

Recipients:

- Alex Martinez

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith
Clerk of Court

For rules and forms visit
www.ca11.uscourts.gov

April 15, 2026

Alex Martinez
269 DUFFERIN AVE #513
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, R2W 2X8
CANADA

Appeal Number: 25-12980-AA
Case Style: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al
District Court Docket No: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

NO ACTION NOTICE

Notice that no action will be taken on Petition for rehearing en banc (with panel rehearing) filed by Appellant Alex Martinez.

Reason(s) no action being taken on filing(s): Successive motions for reconsideration are not permitted. See 11th Cir. R. 27-3.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

For motions for reconsideration or petitions for rehearing that are not permitted, no corrective action is required or permitted. Your filing will not be considered.

Clerk's Office Phone Numbers

General Information:	404-335-6100	Attorney Admissions:	404-335-6122
Case Administration:	404-335-6135	Capital Cases:	404-335-6200
CM/ECF Help Desk:	404-335-6125	Cases Set for Oral Argument:	404-335-6141

Notice No Action Taken

Page 23 of 65.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Notice to the Court" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov (ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Thursday, April 16, 2026 at 10:56 a.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/16/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Document(s): 30

Docket Text:

Letter to David J. Smith, Clerk of Court, No Action Notice is an Error and must be cancelled! filed by Party Alex Martinez. [25-12980] (ECF: Alex Martinez)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Notice to the Court

Original Filename: USCoA 11 Cir Georgia_25-12980-AA_Filed_Ltr_Cancel No Action Notice_Petition for Rehearing En Banc.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1160056652 [Date=04/16/2026] [FileNumber=10715341-0]

[7aed3c841a062a1e04891868094b6c359e47a6a8fa9495fd08650fe5ae28e7301d61fd4f1f74b36b83f5fdde5540469b1c3ce8c74f9a1e3f1801cbe875411c33]]

Page 24 of 65.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Notice of no action taken on motion/filing" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov (ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Thursday, April 16, 2026 at 12:04 p.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/16/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Docket Text:

Notice that no action will be taken on Letter to the Clerk filed by Appellant Alex Martinez.

Reason(s) no action being taken on filing(s): Successive Petitions for Rehearing are not permitted. A party seeking both forms of rehearing must file the petitions as a single document. FRAP 40(a).

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

Page 25 of 65.

25-12980-AA Alex Martinez v. USA, et al "Notice of no action taken on motion/filing" (1:25-cv-02494-MHC)

From: ecf_help@ca11.uscourts.gov

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Friday, April 17, 2026 at 10:12 a.m. PDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 04/17/2026

Case Name: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al

Case Number: 25-12980

Docket Text:

Notice that no action will be taken on "Another Error Found in Response to the Notice in Response of April 16th, 2026 to the Letter of the Appellant of April 16th, 2026" filed by Appellant Alex Martinez.

Reason(s) no action being taken on filing(s): This case is closed.

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Alex Martinez

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith
Clerk of Court

For rules and forms visit
www.ca11.uscourts.gov

April 17, 2026

Clerk - Northern District of Georgia
Richard B. Russell Bldg & US Courthouse
2211 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
75 TED TURNER DR SW
ATLANTA, GA 30303-3309

Appeal Number: 25-12980-AA
Case Style: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al
District Court Docket No: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

The enclosed copy of the judgment is hereby issued as mandate of the court. The court's opinion was previously provided on the date of issuance.

A copy of this letter, and the judgment form if noted above, but not a copy of the court's decision, is also being forwarded to counsel and pro se parties. A copy of the court's decision was previously forwarded to counsel and pro se parties on the date it was issued.

Clerk's Office Phone Numbers

General Information:	404-335-6100	Attorney Admissions:	404-335-6122
Case Administration:	404-335-6135	Capital Cases:	404-335-6200
CM/ECF Help Desk:	404-335-6125	Cases Set for Oral Argument:	404-335-6141

Enclosure(s)

MDT-1 Letter Issuing Mandate

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12980

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CIVIL PROCESS CLERK,
U.S. Attorney's Office for D.C.,
CIVIL LITIGATION SECTION,
Department of Justice Canada,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

JUDGMENT

It is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the opinion issued
on this date in this appeal is entered as the judgment of this Court.

Entered: February 20, 2026

For the Court: DAVID J. SMITH, Clerk of Court

ISSUED AS MANDATE: April 17, 2026

Case: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC
Page 28 of 65.

Alex Martinez
513-219 Dufferin Avenue
Winnipeg
Manitoba, Canada R2W 2X8

Electronic Filing is mandatory for all attorneys. If you are a bar member in good standing with the Northern District of Georgia or an attorney admitted pro hac vice, you must obtain or upgrade to an individual PACER account. You may obtain a PACER account if you do not have one at <https://PACER.uscourts.gov>. If you have a PACER account, log into PACER and click on *Manage Your Account* to confirm that your account type is **Upgraded PACER account**. If you have a Legacy account, you will need to complete the upgrade process.

Appeal Documents

Page 29 of 65.

1:25-cv-02494-MHC Martinez v.
United States of America et al **CASE**
CLOSED on 05/13/2025

4months,APPEAL,CLOSED,SUBMDJ

U.S. District Court**Northern District of Georgia****Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 4/17/2026 at 9:24 AM EDT and filed on 4/17/2026

Case Name: Martinez v. United States of America et al**Case Number:** 1:25-cv-02494-MHC**Filer:****WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 05/13/2025****Document Number:** 15**Docket Text:****Certified copy of MANDATE of USCA DISMISSING re: [10] Notice of Appeal filed by Alex Martinez. Case Appealed to USCA- 11th Circuit, Case Number 25-12980-AA. (pjm)****1:25-cv-02494-MHC Notice has been electronically mailed to:****1:25-cv-02494-MHC Notice has been delivered by other means to:**

Alex Martinez
513-219 Dufferin Avenue
Winnipeg
Manitoba, Canada R2W 2X8

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document**Original filename:**n/a**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1060868753 [Date=4/17/2026] [FileNumber=15683548-0] [681c8ca462cf8770c9e295a7cb81a6e4606185dea88cc916e3f6cc20c5804098533c72cc225eafba32379426906c0d2e75c55b9e1140affb47c204a34a94d8a2]]

Alex Martinez
513-219 Dufferin Avenue
Winnipeg
Manitoba, Canada R2W 2X8

Electronic Filing is mandatory for all attorneys. If you are a bar member in good standing with the Northern District of Georgia or an attorney admitted pro hac vice, you must obtain or upgrade to an individual PACER account. You may obtain a PACER account if you do not have one at <https://PACER.uscourts.gov>. If you have a PACER account, log into PACER and click on *Manage Your Account* to confirm that your account type is **Upgraded PACER account**. If you have a Legacy account, you will need to complete the upgrade process.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

David J. Smith
Clerk of Court

For rules and forms visit
www.call.uscourts.gov

April 17, 2026

Clerk - Northern District of Georgia

Richard B. Russell Bldg & US Courthouse
2211 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
75 TED TURNER DR SW
ATLANTA, GA 30303-3309

Appeal Number: 25-12980-AA
Case Style: Alex Martinez v. USA, et al
District Court Docket No: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

The enclosed copy of the judgment is hereby issued as mandate of the court. The court's opinion was previously provided on the date of issuance.

A copy of this letter, and the judgment form if noted above, but not a copy of the court's decision, is also being forwarded to counsel and pro se parties. A copy of the court's decision was previously forwarded to counsel and pro se parties on the date it was issued.

Clerk's Office Phone Numbers

General Information:	404-335-6100	Attorney Admissions:	404-335-6122
Case Administration:	404-335-6135	Capital Cases:	404-335-6200
CM/ECF Help Desk:	404-335-6125	Cases Set for Oral Argument:	404-335-6141

Enclosure(s)

MDT-1 Letter Issuing Mandate

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12980

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CIVIL PROCESS CLERK,
U.S. Attorney's Office for D.C.,
CIVIL LITIGATION SECTION,
Department of Justice Canada,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

JUDGMENT

It is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the opinion issued
on this date in this appeal is entered as the judgment of this Court.

Entered: February 20, 2026

For the Court: DAVID J. SMITH, Clerk of Court

ISSUED AS MANDATE: April 17, 2026

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please **DO NOT RESPOND** to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended. Page 33 of 65.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Georgia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/17/2026 at 9:24 AM EDT and filed on 4/17/2026

Case Name: Martinez v. United States of America et al

Case Number: 1:25-cv-02494-MHC

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 05/13/2025

Document Number: 15

Docket Text:

Certified copy of MANDATE of USCA DISMISSING re: [10] Notice of Appeal filed by Alex Martinez. Case Appealed to USCA- 11th Circuit, Case Number 25-12980-AA. (pjm)

1:25-cv-02494-MHC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

1:25-cv-02494-MHC Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Alex Martinez
513-219 Dufferin Avenue
Winnipeg
Manitoba, Canada R2W 2X8

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1060868753 [Date=4/17/2026] [FileNumber=15683548-0] [681c8ca462cf8770c9e295a7cb81a6e4606185dea88cc916e3f6cc20c5804098533c72cc225eafb32379426906c0d2e75c55b9e1140affb47c204a34a94d8a2]]

APPENDIX B

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ORDER

Alex Martinez v. United States of America et al., No. 125-cv-02494-MHC
United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia
Order entered July 30th, 2025

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CIVIL PROCESS CLERK, U.S.
Attorney's Office for D.C., and
CIVIL LITIGATION SECTION,
Department of Justice Canada,**

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION FILE

NO. 1:25-CV-2494-MHC

ORDER

On May 13, 2025, this Court entered an Order dismissing the above-styled lawsuit as a frivolous shotgun pleading that failed to "include any allegations demonstrating federal question subject matter jurisdiction or provide any indication as to the basis of any federal legal claims." May 13, 2025, Order [Doc. 5] at 6-7. Plaintiff filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order and Judgment of May

13th, 2025, by the Honorable Mark H. Cohen (“Motion for Reconsideration”)
[Doc. 7].¹

“The Court does not reconsider its orders as a matter of routine practice.”
Belmont Holdings Corp. v. SunTrust Banks, Inc., 896 F. Supp. 2d 1210, 1223
(N.D. Ga. 2012) (citing LR 7.2E, NDGa.). Under the Local Rules of this Court,
“[m]otions for reconsideration shall not be filed as a matter of routine practice[,]”
but only when “absolutely necessary.” LR 7.2E, NDGa. Such absolute necessity
arises only when there is “(1) newly discovered evidence; (2) an intervening
development or change in controlling law; or (3) a need to correct a clear error of
law or fact.” Bryan v. Murphy, 246 F. Supp. 2d 1256, 1258-59 (N.D. Ga. 2003)
(citation omitted). A motion for reconsideration may not be used “to present the
court with arguments already heard and dismissed or to repackage familiar
arguments to test whether the court will change its mind.” Id. at 1259. Nor may it
be used “to offer new legal theories or evidence that could have been presented in
conjunction with the previously filed motion or response, unless a reason is given
for failing to raise the issue at an earlier stage in the litigation.” Adler v. Wallace


¹ Plaintiff appears to have filed a duplicate Motion for Reconsideration [Doc. 8].
Because the documents are identical, the Court considers them collectively as the
same.

Comput. Servs., Inc., 202 F.R.D. 666, 675 (N.D. Ga. 2001). Finally, “[a] motion for reconsideration is not an opportunity for the moving party . . . to instruct the court on how the court ‘could have done it better’ the first time.” Pres. Endangered Areas of Cobb’s History, Inc. v. U.S. Army Corps of Eng’rs, 916 F. Supp. 1557, 1560 (N.D. Ga. 1995), aff’d, 87 F.3d 1242 (11th Cir. 1996). “If a party presents a motion for reconsideration under any of these circumstances, the motion must be denied.” Bryan, 246 F. Supp. 2d at 1259; see also Brogdon ex rel. Cline v. Nat’l Healthcare Corp., 103 F. Supp. 2d 1322, 1338 (N.D. Ga. 2000). Additionally, Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that motions for reconsideration “must be filed no later than 28 days after the entry of the judgment.” FED. R. CIV. P. 59.

Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration was filed on June 23, 2025, forty-one days after the May 13, 2025, Order and entry of judgment, which is outside the time limit prescribed by Rule 59(e). Moreover, even if it was timely filed, Plaintiff has not presented any valid ground for the reconsideration of this Court’s May 13, 2025, Order.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for
Reconsideration [Docs. 7 and 8] is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30th day of July, 2025.



MARK H. COHEN

United States District Judge

APPENDIX C

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

City of Winnipeg Police Report Number, E210029462-72 (Fraud)

Alex Martinez v. United States of America, et al., No. 25-12980-AA
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Atlanta, Georgia
Order entered April 08th, 2026

WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE SUMMARY SUPPLEMENT REPORT

REPORT NUMBER: E210029462



INCIDENT INFORMATION							
INCIDENT SR	INCIDENT TYPE Supplemental Report	INITIA SUPP	☒	DATE/TIME STARTED 2025-06-18 07:00 AM	DATE/TIME ENDED 2025-06-18 08:00 AM	DATE/TIME 2025-06-18 12:07 PM	
REPORT FILED FROM ***	TRACKING NUMBER T25022317	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 269 Dufferin AVENUE, 513, Winnipeg, MB				APPROVED BY: ***	
LOCATION TYPE	THEFT TYPE	METHOD OF	METHOD OF EXIT	PT OF	PT OF EXIT	ENTRY LOC	

PERSON LISTINGS										
1	TYPE REP	LAST NAME Martinez	FIRST NAME Alex	MIDDLE NAME	DOB ***	RACE	SEX *	DRIVER LIC NO	LIC	
	SSN	ETHNICITY	RESIDENT	EYE COLOR	HAIR COLOR	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	SECONDARY	
	EMAIL case_am_072015@aol.com			RESIDENCE ADDRESS ***				PRIMARY PHONE ***		
	EMPLOYER NAME			BUSINESS ADDRESS ***				WORK PHONE		

NARRATIVE
Printcloud Inc. (https://printcloud.ca/) cancelled & refused to print & ship a Court Document. This is a Fraud; because they are 'Defrauding someone for services' under the Criminal Code of Canada. See the attached correspondence to the Courts in Atlanta, Georgia; where Printcloud.ca requests an extension to print & send the document; which I approved. They respond & cancel the service. They are then told no & to proceed. The Service cannot be refused based on the company's policies & Canadian & United States of America (USA) Laws. To refuse service the document would have to violate the Human Rights Act; or, be a copyright violation & it is not! I would also have to commit a crime. When they realized that they violated the law & the company's policies they responded saying that I did not give my phone number. This is not required for Customs documents in Canada; or, the USA. Only the Recipients information is requested. Printcloud also says that the Credit Card was flagged for Fraud.. This is also a lie; because if there is a problem, then the card will not process & the owner of the card is required to call to Authenticate the card. See link from Mastercard. The card was also used in person at a merchant the next day without issue. Thus; they have made false, misleading, or deceptive representations which is deceit & falsehood. Therefore; they must be arrested for Fraud under the Criminal Code; S. 380. This is the same as the case of R. Gauthier in Niagara Falls where it was better to arrest than to go to Human Rights for an illegal removal from a public place; because a crime & violation occurred. If Police officers, Politicians the Military & others like the Department of Justice, Courts, U.S. Attorney, Central Intelligence Agency, National Security Agency, Homeland Security is responsible; then arrest them too, as they cannot interfere with a Court document and a Service. Once arrested make them send the document & have them inform me.

Your Online Police Report E210029462 Has Been Approved

From: noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca (noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 at 10:40 p.m. PDT

Your online report has been approved and the official police report number is E210029462.

Please note that sensitive information contained within the attached report has been replaced with *** in order to maintain privacy in emails.

Thank you for using our online reporting system.

Your report has been filed for informational purposes and will be transferred into the Winnipeg Police Service's Records Management System. A member of the Winnipeg Police Service will contact you directly if there is a new development in this incident.

Please refer to our FAQ page for additional information including how to prepare surveillance footage for drop off and what to do with items a suspect has left behind which do not belong to you.

<https://www.winnipeg.ca/police/report/faqs.stm>

Thank you
Online Reports
Winnipeg Police Service

Please do not reply to this email as this message was generated from an automated account and is not monitored.
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.



report-E210029462-72.pdf
11.6 MB

APPENDIX D

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

City of Winnipeg Police Report Number, E210029462-88 (Fraud)

Alex Martinez v. United States of America, et al., No. 25-12980-AA
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Atlanta, Georgia
Order entered April 08th, 2026

WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE SUMMARY SUPPLEMENT REPORT

REPORT NUMBER: E210029462



INCIDENT INFORMATION

INCIDENT SR	INCIDENT TYPE Supplemental Report	INITIA SUPP	☒	DATE/TIME STARTED 2025-11-08 07:00 AM	DATE/TIME ENDED 2025-11-08 08:00 AM	DATE/TIME 2025-11-08 12:47 PM
REPORT FILED FROM ***	TRACKING NUMBER T25044508	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 269 Dufferin AVENUE, 513, Winnipeg, MB			APPROVED BY: ***	
LOCATION TYPE	THEFT TYPE	METHOD OF	METHOD OF EXIT	PT OF	PT OF EXIT	ENTRY LOC

PERSON LISTINGS

1	TYPE REP	LAST NAME Martinez	FIRST NAME Alex	MIDDLE NAME	DOB ***	RACE	SEX *	DRIVER LIC NO	LIC
	SSN	ETHNICITY	RESIDENT	EYE COLOR	HAIR COLOR	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	SECONDARY
	EMAIL case_am_072015@aol.com			RESIDENCE ADDRESS ***				PRIMARY PHONE ***	
	EMPLOYER NAME			BUSINESS ADDRESS ***				WORK PHONE	

NARRATIVE

In this case the Clerk of Court; David J. Smith of the United States Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit in Atlanta, Georgia denies receipt of the Paper copy of the Appendix. This is a lie as per the Proof of Delivery; thus you can charge for Fraud as it is Deceit; which is an act of Dishonesty. Your officers are being accused of doing this with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and others, the Province of Manitoba and others with the Government of Canada. The officers could be 'begging' for assistance and support; which caused all of the parties involved to commit Fraud and crime. This is the same as the report E210029462-72 on Printcloud. The sections of Fraud and other crimes that apply are: Part IV Offences Against the Administration of Law and Justice, Offences Resembling Theft, Forgery and Offences Resembling Forgery for Hacking and Computer Crimes, Part X Fraudulent Transactions Relating to Contracts and Trade. Dishonesty has been replaced by Deceit in the Criminal Code R.S.C., 1985, c. C-46 in the October 14th, 2025 Amendment of the Act. However, Deceit is worse as it is a Synonym of Dishonesty! Thus it is easier to charge for Fraud now as Deceit is considered worse than dishonesty because it is a more deliberate, manipulative, and a comprehensive act of deception; while dishonesty is a broader category that includes deceit. - Use Part X; Fraudulent Transactions Relating to Contracts and Trade and other sections to stop and prosecute and Cancel the Orders and demands from the Supreme Court and others using report numbers E210029462-61, E210029462-87 and the others made in this case. Stop the Department of Justice and inform the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) and Revenu Québec that they must cancel the demands immediately, otherwise arrest them!

Your Online Police Report E210029462 Has Been Approved

From: noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca (noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Saturday, November 8, 2025 at 12:26 p.m. PST

Your online report has been approved and the official police report number is E210029462.

Please note that sensitive information contained within the attached report has been replaced with *** in order to maintain privacy in emails.

Thank you for using our online reporting system.

Your report has been filed for informational purposes and will be transferred into the Winnipeg Police Service's Records Management System. A member of the Winnipeg Police Service will contact you directly if there is a new development in this incident.

Please refer to our FAQ page for additional information including how to prepare surveillance footage for drop off and what to do with items a suspect has left behind which do not belong to you.

<https://www.winnipeg.ca/police/report/faqs.stm>

Thank you
Online Reports
Winnipeg Police Service

Please do not reply to this email as this message was generated from an automated account and is not monitored.
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.



report-E210029462-88.pdf
13.8 MB

APPENDIX E

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

City of Winnipeg Police Report Number, E210029462-91 (Fraud)

Alex Martinez v. United States of America, et al., No. 25-12980-AA
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Atlanta, Georgia
Order entered April 08th, 2026

WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE SUMMARY SUPPLEMENT REPORT

REPORT NUMBER: E210029462



INCIDENT INFORMATION

INCIDENT SR	INCIDENT TYPE Supplemental Report	INITIA SUPP	☒	DATE/TIME STARTED 2025-12-01 05:00 AM	DATE/TIME ENDED 2025-12-01 07:00 AM	DATE/TIME 2025-12-01 02:18 PM
REPORT FILED FROM ***	TRACKING NUMBER T25047774	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 269 Dufferin AVENUE, 513, Winnipeg, MB			APPROVED BY: ***	
LOCATION TYPE	THEFT TYPE	METHOD OF	METHOD OF EXIT	PT OF	PT OF EXIT	ENTRY LOC

PERSON LISTINGS

1	TYPE REP	LAST NAME Martinez	FIRST NAME Alex	MIDDLE NAME	DOB ***	RACE	SEX *	DRIVER LIC NO	LIC
	SSN	ETHNICITY	RESIDENT	EYE COLOR	HAIR COLOR	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	SECONDARY
	EMAIL case_am_072015@aol.com		RESIDENCE ADDRESS ***					PRIMARY PHONE ***	
	EMPLOYER NAME		BUSINESS ADDRESS ***					WORK PHONE	

NARRATIVE

-The Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada (OIC) ignored the legislation and law again and did the same violation and refused to investigate the Complaint against Apple Inc. This is where Apple Inc. refused to respond and provide the release documents by not providing access to the online account where they are available for viewing and download. This is in Police Report number: E210029462-77 along with the original complaint against the OIC. With this, it is obvious that the OIC simply does not care and does not respect that they are under investigation by your police force. The attached response and denial by the OIC, is more evidence that you can use to investigate and arrest them.-More evidence of modifying documents has been uncovered. In Report numbers, E210029462-72 & E210029462-85 against Printcould.ca, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and others, the United States Customs and Border Protection Form 5106 and the Report on the Federal Trade Commission were modified and do not display properly in the final Reports. This is more evidence against Cyber Defence and the Technical Services department for your Police. To do this is considered an act of deliberately destroying, concealing, or modifying documents and is known as 'spoliation of evidence.' It is also the Falsification of books and documents (S. 397(1), 366(1)), Forgery and Forgery of Trademarks and Trade Descriptions (S. 366, 368, 397 & 415), Fabricating Evidence (S. 137), Obstructing Justice (S. 139), Compelling or Assisting with a Crime (S. 22) of the Criminal Code of Canada and S. 7 and 11(d) of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The Canada Evidence Act and a provincial/territorial equivalent applies as well.

Your Online Police Report E210029462 Has Been Approved

From: noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca (noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Monday, December 1, 2025 at 01:19 p.m. PST

Your online report has been approved and the official police report number is E210029462.

Please note that sensitive information contained within the attached report has been replaced with *** in order to maintain privacy in emails.

Thank you for using our online reporting system.

Your report has been filed for informational purposes and will be transferred into the Winnipeg Police Service's Records Management System. A member of the Winnipeg Police Service will contact you directly if there is a new development in this incident.

Please refer to our FAQ page for additional information including how to prepare surveillance footage for drop off and what to do with items a suspect has left behind which do not belong to you.


<https://www.winnipeg.ca/police/report/faqs.stm>

Thank you
Online Reports
Winnipeg Police Service

Please do not reply to this email as this message was generated from an automated account and is not monitored.
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.



report-E210029462-91.pdf
9 MB



Importer Create/Update Identity Form (CBP Form 5106) FAQ

Below is a list of frequently asked questions about the Create/Update Importer Identity Form (CBP Form 5106) that were compiled based on the inquiries received during the [Importer Account \(CBP Form 5106\)](#) webinar, which took place on Thursday March 7, 2019.

 [Close all](#)  [Open all](#)

Do entities that are consignees/ultimate consignees, sold to parties, buyers, etc. need to register as an Importer of Record (IOR) via the “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106)? +

Yes. While the term IOR may appear to refer to importers, any individual or organization involved as a party, such as 4811 party, consignee, sold to party, etc., on an Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) based trade transaction will be required to register as an IOR via the CBP Form 5106.

Where should completed and signed CBP Form 5106s be submitted? +

Licensed Customs Brokers with access to the ACE CBP Form 5106 Portal and ABI functionality will be able to create an IOR entity within the ACE Portal.

(Note: Members of the trade community who use the CBP Form 5106 Portal will have certain limitations around CBP- Assigned Numbers that members who use the CBP Form 5106 ABI functionality will not have.)

For manual input by CBP:

Completed and signed CBP Form 5106s should be emailed to the appropriate Center of Excellence and Expertise (Centers). The subject of the message should include "New 5106 Add" or "5106 Update" along with the team assignment of the account so that it can easily be routed to the appropriate division. If the Importer has not been assigned to a Center, the Center should be selected based on the tariff number with the highest value. For the scope of industries covered by each Center, see the [Regulatory Implementation of the Centers of Excellence and Expertise](#), starting on page 92982. Center email addresses are below:

Center	Team Codes	New Team Codes	Email Address
Agriculture & Prepared Products	002, 012, 022, 032, 042, 052, 062, 072, 082, 092	B##	CEE-Agriculture@cbp.dhs.gov
Apparel, Footwear & Textiles	004, 014, 024, 034, 044, 054, 064, 074, 084, 094	D##	CEE-Apparel@cbp.dhs.gov
Automotive & Aerospace	003, 013, 023, 033, 043, 053, 063, 073, 083, 093	C##	CEE-Automotive@cbp.dhs.gov CEE-Aerospace@cbp.dhs.gov

Center	Team Codes	New Team Codes	Email Address
Base Metals	005, 015, 025, 035, 045, 055, 065, 075, 085, 095	E##	<u>CEE-Basemetals@cbp.dhs.gov</u>
Consumer Products & Mass Merchandising	008, 018, 028, 038, 048, 058, 068, 078, 088, 098	H##	<u>CEE-Consumer@cbp.dhs.gov</u>

When submitting via paper, how long does it take CBP to input and activate a new importer or consignee who has correctly submitted a revised "Create/Update Importer Identity Form" (CBP Form 5106)?

+

It typically takes CBP two business days to input and activate a new Importer of Record (IOR).

How long does it typically take CBP to update information for an existing importer or consignee who has correctly submitted a "change of name" or "change of address" request?

+

It typically takes CBP five business days to update an existing Importer of Record (IOR).

Are the data elements in the “Company Information” section of the form optional?

+

Yes, all the data elements in the third section of the form are optional except when an individual who is requesting a CBP-Assigned Number has a Social Security Number (SSN). In these situations, a contact with an SSN must be provided in block 3J of section three.

Are there any new mandatory data elements on the revised “Create/Update Importer Identity Form”?

+

Yes, entities submitting the revised form will be required to provide the type of address for their mailing address and, if applicable, their physical location address. They will also have to provide an email address.

A phone number is also required on the new form but that is not a new requirement inasmuch as filers should have provided a phone number in block 19 of the previous version of the CBP Form 5106.

Do individuals and organizations that have already filed an old “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106) have to update their filing to include new mandatory data elements on the revised version of the form?

+

No, entities with a CBP Form 5106 on file prior to the ACE deployment of the revised form on March 16, 2019, will be “grandfathered-in” and not have to update their information to include the new mandatory data elements. However, all

“grandfathered-in” importers or consignees that update their CBP Form 5106 information after the deployment will have to provide all the new mandatory data elements required by the revised form.

When submitting a revised “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106) to a U.S. Port of Entry or CBP’s Office of Finance, does a hard copy have to be physically mailed in or will an emailed scanned copy be accepted?

+

U.S. Ports of Entry will accept a hard copy of the form received via mail, a faxed copy of the form, or a scanned and emailed copy of the form. CBP’s Office of Finance will only accept scanned and emailed copies of the form in most situations.

Are electronic signatures accepted on the certification section of the “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106)?

+

Yes. As with the previous version of the form, CBP will accept electronic signatures on the form.

Are the revised “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106) requirements the same for an importer as a consignee?

+

Yes, data elements that are mandatory for an importer will also be mandatory for a consignee.

What should Customs Brokers do when they do not know the phone number, email address, or address type(s) for consignees?

In situations where a Customs Broker does not have a phone number and/or email address for a consignee, the broker should select the "Consignee/Ultimate Consignee" option in block 1I of the updated Create/Update Importer Identity Form (CBPF 5106) and provide their own organization's phone number and email address in blocks 2C and 2E.

If a broker is unaware of the type of address that has been provided by a consignee, the broker should make sure that the "Consignee/Ultimate Consignee" option is selected in block 1I, then select the "Other" option under type of address, and provide "Unknown" as the other explanation under type of address. It should be noted that if "Other" is selected for the type of mailing address in block 2A, a physical location address will have to be provided. If the mailing address is the same as the physical address but the type of address is unknown, the mailing address with the "Other" "Unknown" address type provided in block 2A will have to be re-entered as the physical location address in block 2B with the same "Other" "Unknown" address type.

Please note that CBP recommends updating this information to reflect the consignee's actual phone number, email address, and type(s) of address within 30 days of initially submitting the CBP Form 5106.

Is there a way to un-void an Importer of Record Number? +

Yes, Importer of Record Numbers can be un-voided by emailing an un-void request to bondquestions@cbp.dhs.gov and providing the documentation below:

Social Security Number (SSN) Based Numbers:

1. An up-to-date CBP Form 5106
2. An active signed power of attorney (if applicable)

3. IOR Proof (an acceptable IRS document)
4. Social Security Number – A copy of the importer's Social Security card (front & back) or submit a copy of the front page of the importer's most recent tax return.

Internal Revenue Service provided Employer Identification Number (EIN) Based Numbers:

1. An up-to-date CBP Form 5106
2. An active signed power of attorney (if applicable)
3. IOR Proof (an acceptable IRS document)
4. Employee Identification Number (EIN) – Pre-printed documentation received from the U.S. Department of Treasury, i.e., Internal Revenue Service (IRS), within the last 12 months that reflects the proper EIN and company name. Examples of IRS tax documentation include the following: 147C, 1040, 2363, 941/941V, SS-4, 1065, 8109/8109C, 7004, 355-ES, 1096, 1120/1120S, etc. You can call 1-800-829-0115 in order to obtain EIN verification (form 147C) if necessary. THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED FROM THE IRS, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY.
5. Note: W-9 applications, SS-4 applications, State documents, and any documentation submitted to the IRS are unacceptable.

CBP-Assigned Numbers:

1. An up-to-date CBP Form 5106
2. An active signed power of attorney (if applicable).

Is there a way to re-activate an Importer of Record Number?

+

Yes, if an IOR number is in "inactive" status, it can be reactivated by emailing an updated and signed CBP Form 5106 to an Entry Specialist at one of CBP's 10 Centers of Excellence and Expertise (Centers). The subject of the message should

Page 55 of 65.

include "IOR Reactivation Request" along with the team assignment of the account so that it can easily be routed to the appropriate division. If the Importer has not been assigned to a Center, the Center should be selected based on the tariff number with the highest value. For the scope of industries covered by each Center, see the Regulatory Implementation of the Centers of Excellence and Expertise, starting on page 92982. Center email addresses are below:

Center	Team Codes	New Team Codes	Email Address
Agriculture & Prepared Products	002, 012, 022, 032, 042, 052, 062, 072, 082, 092	B##	CEE-Agriculture@cbp.dhs.gov
Apparel, Footwear & Textiles	004, 014, 024, 034, 044, 054, 064, 074, 084, 094	D##	CEE-Apparel@cbp.dhs.gov
Automotive & Aerospace	003, 013, 023, 033, 043, 053, 063, 073, 083, 093	C##	CEE-Automotive@cbp.dhs.gov CEE-Aerospace@cbp.dhs.gov
Base Metals	005, 015, 025, 035, 045, 055, 065, 075, 085, 095	E##	CEE-Basemetals@cbp.dhs.gov

Center	Team Codes	New Team Codes	Email Address
Consumer Products & Mass	008, 018, 028, 038, 048, 058, 068, 078, 088, 098	H##	<u>CEE-Consumer@cbp.dhs.gov</u>

Is there a way to look-up a CBP-Assigned Number?

+

Yes, licensed Customs Brokers and the Importer of Record (IOR)/Consignee's employees may ask CBP to lookup a CBP-Assigned Number for their client/employer.

License Customs Brokers

To lookup a CBP-Assigned Number for a client as a licensed Customs Broker, email an active signed power of attorney with the client's name and address on it to the assigned Center.

IOR/Consignee Employee

Senior Managers:

To lookup a CBP-Assigned Number for your employer if you are a senior manager, simply send an email from your employee email address requesting the CBP-Assigned Number for your employer with your title provided in your signature block to the assigned Center

Non-Managerial Employees:

If you are a not a senior manager at your company, you will need to provide a signed letter from one of your organization's senior managers (Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, President, Vice President, etc.) requesting that CBP looks up the CBP-Assigned Number and provides it to you.

I keep receiving an error message when I try to open the CBP Form 5106 on cbp.gov. Is there something wrong with the form?

+

The form cannot be opened directly. First, download and save the file to your computer. Then, right click on the file and select "Open with." Select the Adobe product to open the document. Alternatively, you can first open the Adobe product and then open the file in the program.

My shipment is for personal use only and was not imported for commercial purposes. Do I still need to fill out the CBP Form 5106?

+

Registering as an Importer of Record (IOR) with CBP is necessary if an entity intends to be involved as an importer, consignee/ultimate consignee, sold to party, 4811 party, etc. on an informal or formal entry. Currently, individuals and organizations do not need to be registered as an IOR with CBP to make a section 321, also referred to as de minimis, entry. De minimis entries are for shipments imported by one person on one day valued at \$800 or less.

Periodic Monthly Statement (PMS) 5106 Activations

How can an importer submit a CBP Form 5106 for Periodic Monthly Statement activation?

+

CBP Form 5106 Periodic Monthly Statement (PMS) activation requests should be emailed to PERIODICSTATEMENT@cbp.dhs.gov. Please note that the self-filer's filer code must be provided in block 3D, even if a

licensed Customs Broker is filing the form on the self-filer's behalf, for the PMS activation request to be accepted. In addition, the individual submitting the form should write "Periodic Monthly Statement" or "PMS" "activation" on the form's first page.

Will type of address, under blocks 2A and 2B, "Phone number" in block 2C, and "Email address" in block 2E be required for PMS 5106 activations? +

While mailing address, 2A, and, in certain situations, physical location address, 2B, are required, address type, phone number, and email address are not required for PMS 5106 activations. It should be noted that these data elements are only waived for PMS 5106 activations. Under all other circumstances, they will need to be submitted.

CBP-Assigned Numbers

Can importers or consignees who have a Social Security Numbers (SSNs) request a CBP-Assigned Number on the new form? +

Yes, individuals with an SSN may request a CBP-Assigned Number. They can do this by selecting the "I have an SSN, but wish to use a CBP-Assigned Number on all my entry documents" option in block 1E. However, if this option is selected a contact with a SSN must be provided in block 3J of the third section of the form.

Can importers or consignees who do not have a Social Security Number (SSN) request a CBP-Assigned Number? +

Yes, individuals or organizations without an SSN or EIN may request a CBP-assigned number by selecting the appropriate options that apply to their business under Block 1E. Unlike individuals with an SSN who request a CBP-Assigned Number, individuals with no SSN or EIN will be able to request a CBP-Assigned Number without providing a contact in Block 3J.

Do importers or consignees with a CBP-Assigned Number have to submit a paper version of the new “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106) to either a U.S. Port of Entry or CBP’s Office of Finance to update their information?

+

No, “Change of name”, “Change of address”, and other updates for importers or consignees with a CBP-Assigned Number may be submitted through the Automated Broker Interface.

Do importers or consignees requesting a CBP-Assigned Number for the first time have to submit a paper version of the new “Create/Update Importer Identity Form” (CBP Form 5106) to either a U.S. Port of Entry or CBP’s Office of Finance?

+

No, importers or consignees may request CBP-Assigned Numbers through the Automated Broker Interface.

Form Input Formatting

When filling out the "Create/Update Importer Identity Form" (CBP Form 5106), is it better to leave the state blank or provide "FN" per the revised form's instructions? +

CBP requests that the code "FN" is provided when there is no two alphanumeric character state code. State information should not be left blank.

Are entities still able to input two-digit division suffixes for Importers of Records (IORs) registered with Employer Identification Numbers (EINs) on the revised form? +

Yes, an entity may provide their nine-digit EIN and add a two-digit suffix of their choosing at the end of it for one or more of their divisions.

It should be noted that a new "Create/ Update Importer Identity Form" (CBP Form 5106) must be submitted for each division suffix being added to an EIN and that the division of (DIV) option should be selected in block 1C and the name of the parent company provided in block 1D.

Entities that register with an EIN that do not provide a suffix should be defaulted to a suffix of "00".

Last Modified: Jun 18, 2024



FTC Report Number
191014411

Consumer Report To The FTC

The FTC cannot resolve individual complaints, but we can provide information about next steps to take. We share your report with local, state, federal, and foreign law enforcement partners. Your report might be used to investigate cases in a legal proceeding. Please read our Privacy Policy to learn how we protect your personal information, and when we share it outside the FTC.

About you

Name: Alex Martinez

Email: case_am_072017@aol.com

Address: 269 Dufferin Ave.

Phone:

City: Winnipeg State: Manitoba, Canada Zip Code: R2W0X8

Country: CAN

What happened

Hello; My name is Alex Martinez and I am a Former Consultant with the oversight of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) and other Ministries in Canada that was framed by Straight and Gay/Homosexual Police Officers and Military Personnel in the United States Secret Service. The details and evidence are in the attached letters and correspondence. Out of the crimes that occurred, investigations were done on the Secret Service in Canada. This includes the Communications Security Establishment (CSE), Canadian Security Intelligence Services (CSIS), National Defence and Canadian Forces Ombudsman, Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), National Security and Intelligence Review Agency (NSIRA) and many other secret services units and departments in several Municipal Police Departments. The Commissioners Office for CSE and the Director's Office for CSIS; which are Canada's Primary Secret Services were informed as well. A Police Report was filed with the Military Police (Military Police Complaints Commission); who failed and were caught for fraud and other crimes. This is all in the City of Winnipeg Police Report File number: E210029462, that is attached and can be downloaded in CopLogic. As a result of our investigation; it was found that false and illegal files and information was circulated and sent to the United States Secret Service; where it was circulated worldwide and internationally. This must be investigated and stopped immediately and it cannot be complained about to their Field Offices because it was misreported to all of them around the globe. This includes their offices outside of the United States of America. Therefore; all of the Secret Service for the United States and all of their foreign properties must be searched and all action must be stopped immediately! As for the investigations in the Secret Service in Canada; the attached document from the Privacy Commissioner of Canada is confirmation that all the files have been purged. Therefore; since the entire fiasco is a disaster and the Secret Service of the United States and all of their partners have become angry and humiliated for being caught; you are asked to close all investigations and to stay away from me and my family and children. If possible; please have them leave Canada and to never bother me again. In the process; please use the Police Reports to recover all property, money and assets that belong to me as they are considered to be proceeds of crime and the case to be a scam, fraud and bad business practice. The Secret Service of the United States of America have also been caught committing computer crimes and hacking; which must stop too. The files obtained, must be returned to me as well. Thank you and best of luck in the case and I look forward to you responding with all of the money, property and assets that have been stolen and with all of the United States Secret Service gone and away from me, my life and my family. As a result of all of the damage that has occurred; please ensure that I am treated medically as well, as they tried to murder me with bad drugs and doing infections and denying it; or, refusing to give me the medicine. The documents and evidence for the case can be downloaded by clicking on the following link: <https://www.filemail.com/d/lotctvdalbzntmt> Should you have any questions; or, concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me by return e-mail at: case_am_072015@aol.com Sincerely, Alex Martinez case_am_072015@aol.com

How it started

Date fraud began:	Amount I was asked for:	Amount I Paid:
Payment Used:	How I was contacted:	
	Other	

Details about the company, business, or individual

Company/Person		
Name:		
Address Line 1:	Address Line 2:	City:
State:	Zip Code:	Country:
Email Address:		
Phone:		
Website:		
Name of Person You Dealt With:		

TO DO:



Your Next Steps

General Advice:

- You can find advice and learn more about bad business practices and scams at consumer.ftc.gov.
- If you're concerned that someone might misuse your information, like your Social Security, credit card, or bank account number, go to [IdentityTheft.gov](https://identitytheft.gov) for specific steps you can take.
- Learn more about impersonation scams at ftc.gov/impersonators. If someone says they are with the FTC, know that the FTC will never demand money, make threats, tell you to transfer money, or promise you a prize.



What Happens Next

- Your report will help us in our efforts to protect all consumers. Thank You!
- We can't resolve your individual report, but we use reports to investigate and bring cases against fraud, scams, and bad business practices.
- We share your report with our law enforcement partners who also use reports to investigate and bring cases against fraud, scams, and bad business practices.
- We use reports to spot trends, educate the public, and provide data about what is happening in your community. You can check out what is going on in your state and metro area by visiting ftc.gov/exploredata.
- Investigations and cases do take time, but when we bring cases, we try to get money back for people. Check out ftc.gov/refunds to see recent FTC cases that resulted in refunds.

APPENDIX F

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

ALEX MARTINEZ — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL. — RESPONDENT(S)
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

City of Winnipeg Police Report Number, E210029462-109 (Fraud)

Alex Martinez v. United States of America, et al., No. 25-12980-AA
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, Atlanta, Georgia
Order entered April 08th, 2026

WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE SUMMARY SUPPLEMENT REPORT

REPORT NUMBER: E210029462



INCIDENT INFORMATION							
INCIDENT SR	INCIDENT TYPE Supplemental Report	INITIA SUPP	☒	DATE/TIME STARTED 2026-04-11 05:00 AM	DATE/TIME ENDED 2026-04-11 06:00 AM	DATE/TIME 2026-04-11 12:14 PM	
REPORT FILED FROM ***	TRACKING NUMBER T26012823	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE 269 Dufferin AVENUE, 513, Winnipeg, MB				APPROVED BY: ***	
LOCATION TYPE	THEFT TYPE	METHOD OF	METHOD OF EXIT	PT OF	PT OF EXIT	ENTRY LOC	

PERSON LISTINGS									
1	TYPE REP	LAST NAME Martinez	FIRST NAME Alex	MIDDLE NAME	DOB ***	RACE	SEX *	DRIVER LIC NO	LIC
	SSN	ETHNICITY	RESIDENT	EYE COLOR	HAIR COLOR	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	SECONDARY
	EMAIL case_am_072015@aol.com		RESIDENCE ADDRESS ***				PRIMARY PHONE ***		
	EMPLOYER NAME		BUSINESS ADDRESS ***				WORK PHONE		

NARRATIVE
Fraud was found in the United States Courts again which were originally identified in Report numbers, E210029462-57, E210029462-76 and E210029462-87! This report is on the United States Court of Appeals for The Eleventh Circuit in Atlanta, Georgia where Fraud was identified in the Service of the Order and Judgment of May 13th, 2025, as Ms. Lisa Enix; Courtroom Deputy Clerk for Judge Mark H. Cohen, does not have a 'signed receipt,' that confirms Service and Delivery of the Order. This is a violation of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (FRCP) Rule 4(f)(2)(C)(ii) and is also considered to be Fraud and Misconduct; as it is a failing in the Rules that was ignored and not corrected. For this a Petition for Panel Rehearing was filed where they were told that Fraud occurred several times and that this example was raised on page 7 in Section 'V. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES' and they ignored it and did nothing by Dismissing it on April 08, 2026; which is a crime! It is the same as the situation and charges on the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the Supreme Court of the United States; where they were successfully charged for Fraud and violations of Section 18 of the United States (U.S.) Code § 1341; Frauds and Swindles. On top of the charges for Fraud you can also charge for Postal Fraud. In addition; for this case and the others you can also use the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act; which is Section 18 of the United States (U.S.) Code §§ 1961-1968. This can be used in addition to the Fraud found to prove that they worked together as an "enterprise" to achieve a common, illegal goal, as they have Deliberate Knowledge of the illegal acts and continued to do it knowingly and willfully. Seeing as the other charges were successful and the investigation was Approved; then this should be easy for you now. Good luck and get the money for the financial loss that this caused; as you can do that.

Page 65 of 65.

Your Online Police Report E210029462 Has Been Approved

From: noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca (noreply@onlinereporting.winnipegpolice.ca)

To: case_am_072015@aol.com

Date: Saturday, April 11, 2026 at 04:25 p.m. PDT

Your online report has been approved and the official police report number is E210029462.

Please note that sensitive information contained within the attached report has been replaced with *** in order to maintain privacy in emails.

Thank you for using our online reporting system.

Your report has been filed for informational purposes and will be transferred into the Winnipeg Police Service's Records Management System. A member of the Winnipeg Police Service will contact you directly if there is a new development in this incident.

Please refer to our FAQ page for additional information including how to prepare surveillance footage for drop off and what to do with items a suspect has left behind which do not belong to you.

<https://www.winnipeg.ca/police/services/report-crime/online-reporting-faq>

Thank you
Online Reports
Winnipeg Police Service

Please do not reply to this email as this message was generated from an automated account and is not monitored.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.



report-E210029462-109.pdf
2.4 MB