

26-5899 ORIGINAL

No. _____

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 16 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Rashari N'zinga Sharp

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

United States Department of Agriculture, et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rashari N'zinga Sharp

(Your Name)

324 MAIN ST # 814

(Address)

Laurel, Maryland 20707-9998

(City, State, Zip Code)

240-554-5754

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Whether a litigant is denied due process and equal access to justice when a court dismisses her claims despite evidence of material factual disputes, alleged misrepresentations to administrative agencies and courts, alleged discovery violations, unequal enforcement of procedural rules, and other alleged misconduct that affected the development, presentation, and adjudication of her claims?
2. Whether the Constitution, laws of the United States, and the federal courts' require judicial intervention when a litigant presents evidence of ongoing retaliation, interference, illegal surveillance, or other misconduct that is causing bodily injury, risking life, or threatening death?
3. Whether a federal employee or litigant who documents evidence of alleged retaliation, interference, intimidation, surveillance, or other misconduct occurring outside of judicial proceedings during the pendency of an administrative complaint, civil action, or appeal possesses a constitutional or statutory right to obtain meaningful judicial review, protection, or other relief from the federal courts?
4. Whether federal courts may dismiss a litigant's claims without addressing evidence that the litigant contends demonstrates misconduct, interference with access to the courts, obstruction of litigation activities, witness interference, evidence tampering, or other actions that allegedly impaired the litigant's ability to fairly investigate, prepare, and present her case?
5. Whether existing constitutional, statutory, and judicial safeguards provide adequate oversight and accountability when a litigant alleges that governmental investigative, surveillance, intelligence-gathering, or law-enforcement activities were used unlawfully, retaliatorily, or in a manner that interfered with the exercise of protected rights, access to the courts, or the fair administration of justice?

LIST OF PARTIES

1. Rashari N'zinga Sharp, Petitioner.
2. United States Department of Agriculture and Brooke Rollins, Secretary of the Department of Agriculture Agency, Respondents.

RELATED CASES

1. United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, No 24-5002, Rashari Sharp v. Brooke Rollins, Secretary for the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Amended Petition for Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc, Denial entered March 18, 2026.
2. United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, No 24-5002, Rashari Sharp v. Brooke Rollins, Secretary for the USDA, Judgment entered December 4, 2025.
3. United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Civil Case No.: 1:19-cv-02393-TNM, Rashari Sharp v. Thomas Vilsack, Former USDA Secretary, Judgment entered November 3, 2023.
4. United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Civil Case No.: 1:19-cv-02393-TNM, Rashari Sharp v. Thomas Vilsack, Former USDA Secretary, Judgment entered February 15, 2022.
5. United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, No 20-5059, Rashari Sharp v. Sonny Perdue, Former USDA Secretary, Remand Order, Judgment entered August 28, 2020.
6. United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Civil Case No.: 1:19-cv-02393-TNM, Rashari Sharp v. Sonny Perdue, Former USDA Secretary, Judgment entered January 15, 2020.
7. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Washington Field Office, EEOC Nos. 570-2018-01131X and 570-2017-01314X, Agency Nos. RD-207-00257 and RD-2018-00172, Rashari Sharp v. Sonny Perdue, Former USDA Secretary, Constructive Discharge, Granted September 6, 2018.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	6
CONCLUSION.....	37

INDEX TO APPENDICES

SECOND APPEAL

APPENDIX A: Panel Decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Judgment entered December 4, 2025.

APPENDIX B: Decision to Issue Final Appealable Order from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered November 3, 2023.

APPENDIX C: Decision to Issue Memorandum Opinion from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered November 3, 2023.

APPENDIX D: Decision to Issue Memorandum Order from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered February 15, 2022.

APPENDIX E: Denial of Amended Petition for Rehearing from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit entered March 18, 2026.

APPENDIX F: Denial of Amended Petition for Rehearing En banc from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit entered March 18, 2026.

FIRST APPEAL

APPENDIX G: Approved Panel Decision for Remand Order from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit entered August 28, 2020.

APPENDIX H: Decision to Issue Judgment from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia entered January 15, 2020.

APPENDIX I: Approved Order Granting Constructive Discharge Claim Amendment from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Washington Field Office entered September 6, 2018.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases

Allen v. USPS, App. No. 01952557, 1996 WL 391224 (E.E.O.C.)	6
Bowden v. United States, 106 F.3d 433 (D.C. Cir. 1997)	6
Doak v. Johnson, 798 F.3d 1096 (D.C. Cir. 2015)	6
Koch v. White, 744 F.3d 162, 164–65 (D.C. Cir. 2014)	6
Koch v. White, 134 F. Supp. 3d 158 (D.D.C. 2015)	6
Klugel v. Small, 519 F. Supp. 2d 66, 71–72 (D.D.C. 2007)	6
Nat’l R.R. Passenger Corp. v. Morgan, 536 U.S. 101, 114–17 (2002)	6
United States v. Wong, 135 S. Ct. 1625, 1632 (2015)	6
Kraus v. Presidio Tr. Facilities Div./Residential Mgmt. Branch, 572 F.3d 1039, 1043 (9th Cir. 2009)	6
Lyons v. England, 307 F.3d 1092, 1105 (9th Cir. 2002)	6
Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Associates, Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1992)	6

Villalobos v. Vilsack, No. C 12-138 MEJ, 2012 WL _____ (N.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2012)	6
---	---

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1915	6
------------------------	---

29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq. (Rehabilitation Act of 1973)	6
--	---

Regulations

29 C.F.R. § 1614.105	6
----------------------------	---

Federal Rules

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)	6
--	---

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)	6
---	---

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 40	6
--	---

Circuit Rules

D.C. Circuit Rule 26.1	6
D.C. Circuit Rule 28(a)(1)(A)	6
D.C. Circuit Rule 40	6

Other Authorities

EEOC Order of Supervisory Administrative Judge Frances Del Toro	6
USDA Report of Investigation (ROI)	6

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A, E, F to the petition and is

☐ reported at NOT APPLICABLE; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B, C, D to the petition and is

☐ reported at NOT APPLICABLE; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at NOT APPLICABLE; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the NOT APPLICABLE court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at NOT APPLICABLE; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was December 4, 2025.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: March 18, 2026, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix E AND F.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NOT APPLICABLE (date) on NOT APPLICABLE (date) in Application No. A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was NOT APPLICABLE. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix N/A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: NOT APPLICABLE, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NOT APPLICABLE (date) on NOT APPLICABLE (date) in Application No. A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

“United States Constitution, Amendment Four - The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

United States Constitution, Amendment Five - No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

United States Constitution, Amendment Six - In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

United States Constitution, Amendment Seven - In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

United States Constitution, Amendment Eight - Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

United States Constitution, Amendment Nine - The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.”

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Petitioner, Rashari N'zinga Sharp's journey has lasted for the last decade into discovering how the EEO Complaint processing system works within the United States of America. Ten years ago, she initially received several different warnings from various people about the process. For example, the USDA will not investigate your complaints in your favor. You will not be taken seriously without an attorney. Next, you will not win your case with or without an attorney because Judges who rule in EEO Cases are corrupt. Unless you have drop dead evidence of discrimination, such as with a supervisor calling you a racial expletive in front of other witnesses. Finally, filing a lawsuit is not worth it because the U.S. government will put you through a lot. They will be invasive in looking at your medical records. The U.S. government will also utilize all of their resources, which includes accessing millions and billions of dollars of budgetary funds. To ruin your life outside of court, in order to get your case dismissed in court.

In spite of all of these different warnings, the Petitioner decided to proceed forward on her own to see for herself how the process worked overall. In 2016 she filed her first EEO Complaint for misperception of a disability. That then led to a second EEO Complaint that was filed for retaliation. Finally, the EEOC Administrative Judge Frances Del Torro granted a constructive discharge claim after the Petitioner was forced to quit the USDA, as well. Now as a Mixed Case the complaint was then remanded back to the USDA for final disposition.

Eventually, the Pro-Se Petitioner filed a lawsuit in federal district court where all of her claims were dismissed by Judge Trevor N. McFadden on February 15, 2022, and November 3, 2023. Then on December 4, 2025, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit assigned a Panel of Judges that issued an order granting the Respondent's motion for

summary affirmance, as well. A timely Petition for Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc was filed on Sunday, January 18, 2026. Then on January 19, 2026, Martin Luther King Jr. Holiday the petitioner worked to resolve a technical difficulty with the filing. On Tuesday, January 20, 2026, an Amended Petition for Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc was timely filed but on March 18, 2026, both petitions were denied. The Petitioner previously argued that the above decisions involve one or more questions of exceptional importance and conflict with decisions of the United States Supreme Court, United States Court of Appeals, and with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

This Petition will focus on how the Petitioner has also documented evidence that reflects that over the course of the last ten years the Petitioner and her contacts (family, friends, loved ones, doctors, employers, etc.) have actually been subjected to illegal activity outside of the court, as well. That appears to have been purported by parties who have a concise interest in the Petitioner losing this court case. The evidence also suggests that they can only be working on behalf of the U.S. government that appears to actually have agencies with a vast list of resources that are being utilized to interfere with my ability to contribute and work on the fair disposition of this federal lawsuit. In violation of a multiplicity of different court rules, laws, constitutional rights, civil rights, and human rights. Further supported by the questions, authorities, administrative findings, evidentiary materials, and legal arguments previously presented in the Petitioner's filings before the District Court and Court of Appeals, including those authorities identified in the Table of Authorities incorporated herein by reference now.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner Rashari N. Sharp, in her official capacity as a Pro Se-Litigant, represented herself in a second Appeal for the case: Civil Action No. 19-cv-02393 (TNM), after being granted a first Appeal (20-5059), and now files this Petition.

SUPPORTIVE LEGAL ARGUMENT

DECLARATION OF RASHARI N'ZINGA SHARP

I, Rashari Sharp, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. These statements that are listed below denote exceptional circumstances and extraordinarily compelling facts that show good cause in the filing for Writ of Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court seeking review of one or more questions that have exceptional importance with the interpretation of various court rules, procedures, and so on.

1. At its inception, the Appellant intended to fully prosecute her second Appeal. Just as she did with her first Appeal that she previously won. Neither Appeal was malicious or frivolous. Each had genuine merit and presented substantial legal arguments that warrant full consideration.
2. However, in order to remain in adherence with Court Rules the Petitioner had to ask for several Motions to Extend Time. After the initial schedule for this Appeal was altered by the Respondent's who were granted approval for 5 Motions for a total of 220 days of Extended Time. Ending right before the Petitioner had planned to have surgery that required several months of recovery. The Appellant fell victim to a legal strategy of delaying the case until right before her surgery.
3. The Department of Justice has interfered in her medical care, causing denied care, delayed care, misdiagnoses, etc. Just one month ago the Petitioner was unjustly rolled out of a local emergency

room by security at a hospital for no reason due to law enforcement officers interfering with her medical care. As such, the Petitioner had time to recover enough to submit this Petition.

4. The Appellant submitted evidence that she was a disabled employee who was hired under the Schedule A Authority. This directly contradicts the Petitioner's statements that no one at the USDA was made aware of her disability. This is not true, amounts to repeated perjury throughout this case, is fraud upon multiple courts, and interfering with her medical care will not correct it.

5. Judge McFadden also ordered that her medical records be omitted from the Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment and the docket. To prevent her from expounding on facts in her medical records. In spite of the Petitioner not breaking any Discovery rules or deadlines. The Respondent failed to adhere to all Discovery rules and deadlines but was not issued one sanction.

6. This negatively impacted the form of the Petitioner's first Motion For Summary Judgment. Contributing to the underlying causation for her case being dismissed and disregarded "Rule 47 (a) (2) A local rule imposing a requirement of form must not be enforced in a manner that causes a party to lose rights because of a nonwillful failure to comply with the requirement."

7. The Petitioner has also been subjected to interference with her ability to work on her court case. For example, she stated in the "Appellant's Response to the Order to Show Cause":

"Moreover, the Plaintiff has noted that since submitting her list of claims on March 1, 2021 her laptop that contains all of her evidence for this case has been hacked, which is among a multitude of different forms of harassment that the Plaintiff has been subjected to that could actually amount to criminal charges being pressed. As such, on November 18, 2022, the Plaintiff's fairly new laptop failed to turn on that morning and this fact can be seen in two messages that were sent that day. See Exhibit 3. Unfortunately, the Plaintiff's laptop still has not turned on...This undue hinderance prevented the Plaintiff from seeing the email that was sent that morning, which contained details for how to attend the "Post-Discovery Scheduling Conference." Nevertheless,...this complaint should not be dismissed with prejudice. See *id.* At 484-85 (Under Local Rule 7(b)...Dismissals, however, "are viewed as the harshest of remedies in a civil case and should not be imposed lightly." *Page v. Mandel*, 154 N.C. App. 94, 101, 571 S.E.2d 635, 639 (2002)..." ECF 60. See Exhibit H.

8. Next, the Petitioner also detailed various symptoms that took place as she worked on her case, which can be seen in the "APPELLANT'S AMENDED PETITION FOR REHEARING AND/OR PETITION FOR REHEARNG EN BANC." This pleading document states:

"The Appellant and her family were subjected to other forms of harassment and assault. Like a noise campaign that amounted to a breach of peace and quiet enjoyment....The Appellant gathered audio/video recordings and eyewitness accounts: from an Ear Nose and Throat doctor at Johns Hopkins Hospital, her counselor Leslie Marler, and her family....That the Appellant recorded with several scientific sensors at her residence. See Exhibits A-G."

9. The Appellant has begun to organize this new evidence in a list of claims that can either be submitted in support of this case, be filed in a new case with the assistance of an experienced law firm, or just given to the media for the general public. This would benefit every individual who is under the purview of the U.S. government surveillance programs: every U.S. Citizen, U.S. resident, anyone who has or will sue the U.S. government, their attorney's, Judges, federal employees, military personnel, veterans, political opponents, whistleblowers, etc.

1. DOCUMENTED NEW EVIDENCE THAT IMPACTED DUE PROCESS THROUGH:

- a. Audio Recording
- b. Video Recording
- c. Ring Security Camera
- d. Scientific Sensor(s):
 - Tri-Field Sensor
 - EMF Sensor
 - Radiation Dosimeter
 - Magnetometer
 - Infrasound Detector

- Ultrasound Detector

e. Witness Accounts:

- Eyewitness Account
- Counselor Leslie Marler
- JHU Ear, Nose, and Throat (ENT Clinician)
- Family Members
- Friends

f. Medical Records

g. Written Documentation

h. Electronic Documentation:

i. Pictures

2. DOCUMENTED ILLEGAL PHONE CALL ACTIVITY:

- Repeatedly subjected to phone call spoofing when seeking services from trusted companies that I normally do business with where it sounds like military or law enforcement personnel re-route the calls to try to stop me from scheduling appointments at doctor's offices, etc.
- Spam Phone Calls from "Scam Likely" Numbers, the Breast Cancer Relief Committee and Police Officer's Association after repeatedly asking to be placed on their do not call list.
- Unidentified individuals call from falsified non-traceable numbers throughout the day. Then if I pick up the phone they hang up after 1-2 seconds without saying anything. Appearing to only be verifying that I am holding the phone or located in the vicinity of it.
- Unidentified individual on my cellphone line, repeatedly turned on a police dispatch radio on my cellphone line. To cut me off every time I tried to speak. I was trying to tell my old friend Wendy Jackson who was on the other line that some people in my apartment building

were saying that they took naked pictures of me in the privacy of my apartment. They said they also sent it to their colleagues who worked with the military and law enforcement. For example, supporting this fact Edward Snowden complained that NSA personnel shared naked pictures of individuals they were assigned to spy on for the U.S. government.

- A U.S. District Court Clerk sarcastically said she could hear beeping on my phone line when I called in for a telephone conference with Judge Trevor McFadden.
- Tested out that only my phone numbers are blocked or possibly blacklisted from being able to call the Maryland Court Help Center to get legal advice with prior rental cases.

3. DOCUMENTED ILLEGAL CELLPHONE ACTIVITY:

- ECF activity not populating on phone.
- Gmail is not allowing emails to be sent.
- Hacked MapQuest to alter driving instructions to take me to the gate of the NSA building in Laurel, MD. Instead of to the doctor's office address that was input.
- Pacer.gov email for federal lawsuit will not open.
- Recorded cellphone location and camera being accessed without touching phone.
- Screen freezes.
- Screen is black.
- Screen opened without touching it or turning it on.
- Stays on at zero percent.
- Texting on its own.
- Tracking location using Wi-Fi.
- Turned back on after turning it off.

4. COMPUTER APPLICATIONS USED FOR COURT MALFUNCTIONING:

- After giving Department of Justice access to Google Drive Folder it showed signs of being hacked to allow public access instead of just Assistant U.S. Attorney Anna Walker.
- Saved files went missing or were deleted from EEO Case Folder.
- Threat Secured Warnings sent from Internet Security Program showing repeated attempts to hack laptop around time when a court case pleading was due.
- Threat Blocked Warnings sent from Internet Security Program showing repeated attempts to hack laptop around time when a court case pleading was due.

5. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED FOR COURT MALFUNCTIONING - ADOBE PDF:

- Adobe error message window states "Not Responding."
- Adobe PDF files are not populating.
- Adobe PDF has words that are unreadable or look garbled on the screen.
- PDF screen becomes frozen.
- Sentences missing whole sections of words, instead showing a white background.

6. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED FOR COURT MALFUNCTIONING - MS WORD:

- MS Word changes are not up-loading to the server.
- MS Word has part of the screen missing.
- MS Word screen is freezing and smaller window is pulsating.
- MS Word shows other errors due to malfunctioning or not working.

7. DOCUMENTED SUSPICIOUS BANK ACCOUNT ACTIVITY:

- Bank ATM card(s) stop working at the parking payment kiosk every time I visit the Prince George's County courthouse in Hyattsville, Maryland.

- Bank ATM card(s) stop working at parking kiosk every time I visit the U.S. Courthouse.
- Bank Randomly Denies Legitimate Debit Card Payments.
- On November 2, 2019, as a volunteer and/or unpaid intern at Walter Reed I received a Credit Monitoring notification that my name was added to the Dark Web.

8. DOCUMENTED SUSPICIOUS INTERNET ACTIVITY:

- Emails with certain subject matter delayed, not sent, or appear to be blocked.
- Internet disconnects randomly without warning automatically closing out search results.
- MD File And Serve Express For Prince George's County stopped working during submission of documents for rental cases that were filed in spite of the FBI and military being stationed in the apartment above me, harassing me on the premises, and this was to prevent me from working on my U.S. District and Appeals Court Cases.

9. RECEIVED EMAILS WITH SUSPICIOUS LINKS:

- After I had initial interview at Walter Reed I was approached by John Thompson, while sitting at Advanced Radiology waiting for a medical appointment. He stated that he was a lead investigator with the Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency. He stated that he wanted to offer me a job with the Department of Defense. In addition, he sent me website links about his church and business that seemed suspicious, as well.
- Toni Francis Lutu a friend of the family sent me a barrage of suspicious links that appeared to possibly be malware, which were sent from May 2017 - June 2020.
- I also received a Google Calendar job interview invite that was fake from an individual who appeared to be with Department of Defense during the COVID-19 pandemic.

10. SMART RENT HUB VIEWS AT LAUREL LAKES INSTALLED LED TO:

- Thermostat shows the AC would turn on repeatedly throughout the day in the Winter requiring for me to have to repeatedly get up and switch it back to the heat.
- Thermostat shows that the AC stopped working in the summer on the same day that a FOIA Request was submitted to Walter Reed for the results of my background check.
- Thermostat shows that HVAC Unit did not work because the power was turned off to it from outside of the building.
- Although power was turned off it was emitting sounds that caused symptoms.
- Electronic lock repeatedly jamming or not locking front door.

11. DOCUMENTED SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY ON NEW LAPTOP:

- After day two new laptop excessively restarting for no reason.
- Laptop fan can be heard really loudly overworking.
- Laptop has black screen.
- Laptop will not turn off.
- Laptop update malfunctions and does not allow restart.

12. DOCUMENTED SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY ON FORMER LAPTOP:

- Laptop will not turn on when there is a court deadline.
- Laptop only turns on after court deadline passes.
- Laptop only turned on after case dismissed on 01-13-24.
- Laptop turned back on and stayed on a year later on 05-16-25.
- Laptop screen flashing on and off.
- Laptop screen malfunctioning and being disrupted due to interference.

13. RECORDED EMISSIONS FROM ELECTRONIC DEVICES:

- Tri-Field scientific sensor recorded high Magnetic Fields, Electric Fields, or Radiofrequency Microwave readings increasing when interference with electronic devices was present, as well.
- Other equipment recorded video and audio of different sounds, noises, tones, or speech.
- Evidence matches with the manual for an LRAD that is utilized by law enforcement to disperse of crowds at a protest but is not recommended for use on individuals in their home. Appears to indicate use of an LRAD, EMP, Acoustic, or Directed Weapons.
- Cases where that has taken place have been labeled under the Havana Syndrome.
- Electronic devices appeared hacked to emit sounds and energy that cause symptoms.
- Utilized headphones, speakers, outlets already installed on cellphones, laptops, etc.

14. RECORDED VARIATIONS OF THE BELOW EMISSIONS:

A. Sound Wave Types:

- Tone
- Noise
- Speech

B. Directed Energy Emission Types:

- Tri-Field Sensor detected high Electromagnetic Field (EMF) pollution: AC magnetic field, AC electric field, and Radiofrequency /microwaves that cause symptoms in humans.
- AC Magnetic Mode covers 40 Hz – 100 kHz with range of 0.1 – 100.0 milligauss (mG)
- AC Electric Mode covers 40 Hz – 100 kHz with range of 1 – 1000 volts per meter (V/m)

- Radiofrequency Microwaves RF Mode covers 20 MHz – 6 GHz with range of 0.001 – 19.999 milliwatts per square meter (mW/m2)

- Radiation Waves

15. RECORDED DIFFERENT EMISSIONS FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES:

A. Emitted From A Specific Source:

- Electronic Device(s) in Immediate Environment

B. Electronic device(s) hacked to emit sound or energy.

- Cellphone
- Laptop
- Smart Rent Hub
- Smart Rent Thermostat
- Smart Rent Lock
- Circuit Breaker
- Refrigerator
- HVAC Unit
- Certain Car(s) (not all) parked in front of Apartment (Stationary decoy and mobile)

16. RECORDED DIFFERENT EMISSIONS FROM UNKNOWN SOURCE:

A. Source:

- Description: Ranges from Unknown to Specific.

B. Emitted From An Unknown Source:

- Unknown Electronic Device(s) that is Hidden or Source of the Noise is Unclear.

C. Unknown Source Sounds or Feels Like - Traditional Loud Speaker:

- Speaker
- Stereo
- Microphone
- Smart Device Communication System - Alexa
- Law Enforcement Communication System - LRAD, Ultrasonic Weapon, Infrasonic Weapon, Bug Listening Device, Etc.

D. Unknown Source Sounds or Feels Like - Directional Ultrasonic Speaker:

- Sound Laser
- Focused Audio Beam
- Audio Spotlight
- Audio Projector
- Parametric array speaker
- Subwoofer
- Transducer

E. Unknown Source Sounds or Feels Like - Infrasonic Speaker:

17. RECORDED DIFFERENT NOISE(S) CHANGING AT RESIDENCE:

A. Timing:

- Entire day (24/7) to Momentary (1 second).

B. Volume:

- Description: Range from High to Low.

C. Amplitude:

- Description: Range from Loud to Quiet.

D. Vibration Intensity:

- Description: Range from Strong to Subtle.

E. Pressure Sensation:

- Description: Range from Intense to Given Relief.

F. Frequency:

- Description: Range from High to Low.

G. Hearing:

- Audible - Can be heard by humans.
- Inaudible - Can not be heard by humans.

H. Inaudible (Below Human Hearing):

- Example: Infrasound below 20 Hz.

I. Audible (Within Human Hearing):

- Range: Between 20 Hz to 20 kHz.

J. Inaudible (Above Human Hearing):

- Example: Ultrasound above 20kHz.

K. Focus (Broad Beam):

- Stereo Sound Emitted Through Entire Room.
- Example – Similar to concept of light emitted with a light bulb throughout entire room.

L. Focus (Targeted Beam):

- Hypersonic Sound Laser Pointed At Specific Person or
- Directed to Specific Location in Room.
- Example – Similar to concept of light emitted with a flashlight at a specific spot in room.

M. Hypersonic Sound Can Be Directed At or Heard In:

- One Ear
- Both Ears
- Alternates from One Ear to the Other Ear

N. Directional:

- Coming from a Direction.

O. Direction - Above:

- Example - Primarily Apartment Above Me.

P. Direction - Beneath:

- Example – Bouncing Off Floor Beneath Me.

Q. Direction - Front/Back:

- Example – Bouncing Off Apartment Walls.

R. Direction - Left/Right:

- Example - Bouncing Off Windows

18. RECORDED TONE(S)

A. Tone(s) - Waveform Types Played or Produced:

- Sawtooth
- Sine
- Square
- Triangle

19. RECORDED NOISE(S):

A. Noise(s) - Played Sound Similar To:

- Beeping
- Buzzing
- Clicking
- Drilling
- Humming
- Ringing
- Rumbling
- Throbbing
- Thumping
- Whistling

B. Noise (s) Played Mimic Environmental Sounds in and Around Home:

- Beeping - Lyft Machine Used by Renovation Team at Views at Laurel Lakes

- Chirping - Birds
- Chirping - Crickets
- Running - Car Engine
- Running - Dishwasher
- Running - Generator Motor
- Running - HVAC Air Inside Vent
- Running - HVAC Unit Outside Residence
- Running - Lawn Mower
- Running - Refrigerator
- Running - Water from Apartment Above Me.

C. Noise (s) Played Mimic Environmental Sounds in and Around Home:

• Example: On Friday, April 16, 2021, I sent a text that said I was experiencing in my apartment noise that “sounds like the heat is on, but the heat has been off the entire time.”

20. RECORDED NOISE(S) MASK SPEECH/MESSAGES:

A. Noise Masks or is Followed by Messages That Are Either:

- Generated Live.
- Pre-recorded.

B. Messages Sent Appear to be Delivered From:

- Live Operator - Human Being Following Own Mind.
- Live Operator - Autonomous Artificial Intelligence Following Learned Algorithms Without Human Direction.

- Recording(s) - Computer Following Programmed Algorithms With Set or Fixed Human Direction.

- Recording(s) - Electronic Recording Device Saves Scripts and Plays back on Command.

C. Sound of Speech/Talking:

- Generated From Human Being.
- Generated From Digital Computer.

D. Number of People Heard Talking:

- One Person
- Multiple People
- Crowd

E. Fluctuation in Volume of Speech/Talking:

- Ranges from a Whisper to Yelling.

F. Length of Messages Delivered Ranges From:

- Short - 1 to 5 Words or Brief Phrases.
- Long - 1 or More Coherent Sentences.

G. Examples of Length of Messages Delivered:

- Short - Bullying or Name Calling.
- Long - Giving Instructions or Commands to Follow.

21. RECORDED SPEECH/MESSAGES:

A. Speech/Messages:

- Alerts
- Announcements
- Bullying/Trolling
- Commands/Instructions
- Conversations
- Harassment
- Interrogation
- Messages
- Phrases
- Shares Surveillance
- Screaming
- Warnings

22. DOCUMENTED COMMANDS – ALGORITHMS:

A. Commands Repeated:

- At a minimum Non-Stop Every 20-30 seconds Per Minute.

B. Commands Heard:

- At most 2-3 Messages are sent per minute for 24 hours a day 7 days a week.
- Totaling 4,320 messages per day or 1,576,800 messages per year.

C. Commands Purpose:

- Sent Until Specific Compliant Response From You takes Place.

23. CAUSES SEVERE SIDE EFFECTS:

A. General Symptoms are:

- Unpredictable
- Episodic or
- Continuous
- Timed

B. Impact Sensory Organs Perception:

- Ears
- Eyes
- Nose
- Skin
- Tongue

C. Disruptions or intrusions into the Five Sense(s) causing Sensory Overload:

- Hearing - Auditory Intrusion(s)
- Sight - Visual Intrusion(s)
- Smell - Olfactory Intrusion(s)
- Taste - Gustatory Intrusion(s)
- Touch - Tactile Intrusion(s)

D. Sensory Overload Signals sent to Brain Causing Symptoms Throughout Entire Body:

- Occipital Lobe → Vision.
- Temporal Lobe → Hearing Language.
- Parietal Lobe → Touch/spatial Awareness.

- Olfactory System → Smell.
- Gustatory Cortex → Taste.

E. Causes intrusions that negatively impact the Health and Functions of the Entire Body.

- Physical Health
- Neurological Health
- Mental/ Emotional Health
- Behavioral Health

24. NOISE(S) PHYSICALLY HITS AND THEN RESONATES WITHIN BODY:

A. Whole Body Resonance - Hits and Resonates Within Entire Human Body:

- Felt From Head to Toe.

B. Localized Body Resonance - Hits and Resonates Within Parts of Human Body:

- Felt Separately In Head, Chest, Abdomen, Body's Cavities, Skin, Etc.

C. Felt Hitting - Head/Skulls Internal Organs and Structures:

- Brain
- Temples
- Ear Canal
- Ear Drums

D. Felt Hitting - Chest Cavity Internal Organs and Structures:

- Breasts
- Heart
- Ribs

E. Felt Hitting - Abdominal Cavity Internal Organs and Structures:

- Bladder
- Kidneys
- Stomach

F. Felt Hitting - Internal Tissue/ System:

- Muscles
- Nerves

G. Felt Hitting/Heating - External Organ:

- Skin

H. Compromised or Weakened:

- Immune System

25. NOISE(S) INDUCE NEGATIVE PHYSIOLOGICAL FEELINGS / SENSATIONS

A. Felt Hitting, Resonating, and Emitting Within the Entire Body the Noise(s) Below:

- Beating
- Beeping
- Burning
- Buzzing
- Clicking
- Compressing - Pressure Increase
- Decompressing - Pressure Decrease
- Drilling

- Electric Energy Current Flowing
- Electric Shocking
- Electrocuting
- Heating - Elevated Skin or Body Temperature
- Hitting
- Humming
- Itching
- Motor Running
- Prickling
- Pulsing
- Punching
- Ringing
- Rumbling
- Shock Waves
- Slapping
- Static
- Stinging
- Striking
- Stunning
- Sustained Pressure
- Tingling
- Throbbing
- Thumping

- Tingling – Like getting shocked from fabric softener
- Whispering
- Whistling
- Vibrating

B. Noises felt reverberating throughout entire body cause injury or incapacitation:

- Discomfort
- Fatigue
- Lack of Energy
- Lethargy
- Limbs Swelling (Ankles, Legs, etc.)
- Muscle Aches
- Muscle Numbness
- Muscle Paralysis
- Muscle Tension
- Muscle Weakness
- Pain (neck, abdomen, leg etc.)
- Pins and Needles Sensation
- Skin Burning Sensation
- Skin Damage
- Skin Histamine Like Reaction
- Skin Inflammation
- Skin Irritation
- Skin Itchiness

- Skin Numbness
- Skin Redness
- Skin Sensitivity
- Skin Sweating
- Skin Tingling
- Skin Trauma
- Skin Vasodilation
- Skin Warmth or Hotness Elevated Skin Temperature, Flushing
- Tension
- Tiredness
- Weakness

26. CAUSE CARDIAC SYMPTOMS:

A. Felt Strongly within and Felt Leaving Middle of Chest:

- Pressure
- Vibration
- Waves

B. Felt Hitting - Chest's Internal Organs and Structures:

- Breasts.
- Chest.
- Chest Cavity.
- Heart.
- Ribs.

C. Lung and Airway Symptoms Consist of the Below:

- So bad it leaves you gasping for air.
- Difficulty breathing.
- Shortness of Breath.
- Unable to breathe.
- Sporadic coughs or coughing.

D. Heart and Chest Symptoms Consist of the Below:

- Discomfort.
- Elevated Blood Pressure.
- Elevated heart rate over 100 BPM.
- Heart Palpitations.
- Pain when try to talk or sing.
- Tightness.
- Told could cause a heart attack.

E. All Symptoms Felt like I was:

- Going to die.
- Having a heart attack.
- Not going to make it through this with a healthy heart.

27. NOISE(S) INDUCE NEUROLOGICAL SYMPTOMS:

A. Amnesia:

- Forgetfulness
- Difficulty remembering instructions

- Difficulty remembering new information
- Memory Loss

B. Blackouts:

- Sudden Temporary Loss of consciousness
- Wake Up Minutes or Hours Later With Memory Loss

C. Brain Fog:

- Confusion
- Dazed
- Disorientation
- Dizziness
- Drowsiness
- Fatigue
- Imbalance
- Inability to concentrate
- Inability to formulate own thoughts
- Inability to make decisions
- Inability to Organize Thoughts
- Inability to think properly
- Inability to think before I speak
- Inability to work efficiently and quickly as I normally do as a 4.0 student.
- Nausea
- Slowed down t work

- Slowed thinking or thought processing
- Stunned

D. Gait/ Walk:

- Clumsiness
- Imbalance
- Unsteadiness

E. Headache:

- Cluster Headache - One Side of Head
- Migraine Headache
- Pressure Headache
- Tension Headache

F. Sleep:

- Difficulty falling asleep
- Difficulty staying asleep
- Forced Insomnia
- Inability to Daydream
- Inability to Dream
- Inability to Wake or Stay Awake
- Sleeping less than usual
- Sleeping more than usual

G. Speech:

- Delayed Answering Questions
- Delayed Reaction Times
- Delayed Response
- Difficulty Finding words
- Difficulty Speaking
- Difficulty Verbalizing Words
- Excessive talking when normally quiet.
- Involuntary Verbalization of Words
- Loss of Control over Speech
- Loss of Normal Verbal Restraint over Speech
- Loss of Internal filtering over Speech
- Loss of Speech Inhibition
- Loud Outbursts
- Pressure to Respond
- Pressure to Speak
- Slurred Speech
- Unwanted Speech

H. Visual disturbances:

- Blurred vision
- Double Vision
- Kaleidoscope Vision
- Light Sensitivity

- Ptosis - Droopy Eye
- Vertigo - Vision Shows Room Spinning
- Vision Loss

28. GENERATE EMOTIONAL SYMPTOMS

A. Personality disruption - Noise causes a sudden involuntary emotional mood change

without any pre-meditated thought to either:

- Negative Emotion(s) or
- Positive Emotion(s)

B. Noise can involuntarily evoke profound negative emotions that feel distressing:

- Always on edge
- Anger
- Anxiety
- Depression
- Despair
- Distress
- Emotional Sensitivity
- Fear
- Frustration
- Helplessness
- Hopelessness
- Hypervigilance
- Irritability

- Loud Outbursts
- More emotional or sadder than usual
- Nervousness
- No peace
- Out of Control
- Out of Character
- Overwhelmed
- Panic
- Powerlessness
- Reduced frustration tolerance
- Sadness
- Stress
- Worry

C. Noise can involuntarily evoke positive emotions that are used to involuntarily generate or manipulate responses and behavior that can be used to harm you:

- Belonging
- Calmness
- Care or Concern
- Familiarity
- Happiness
- Lowered Inhibitions - it takes away your brains ability to perceive danger, such as to fight or flee or fight or flight
- Not Cautious - Guard is Let Down

- Openness
- Peace
- Protected
- Release
- Relief
- Transparency
- Trust
- Unconditional Love

29. OVERALL CAUSES EMOTIONAL PAIN:

A. Causes Emotional pain that can be manifested:

- On a mental and physical level within the human body.
- Mental - The mind experiences immense distress, overwhelming despair, and so on,
- Physical - The body becomes incapacitated and goes into actual shock.

30. CAUSE MENTAL SYMPTOMS:

A. Involves Using below Tactics Against the Mind:

- Analyzation
- Communication
- Deception
- Destruction
- Disruption
- Manipulation
- Misinformation

B. Negatively Influencing the Following:

- Thoughts
- Emotions
- Behavior

C. Impacting Overall Mental Health Through Causing:

- Inability to Correctly Interpret Sensory Information From the External Environment
- Confusion with Perception of Information in External Environment
- Misinterprets Information Gathered through the Senses From the Environment
- Personality Disruption
- Severe Psychological Distress
- Development of Different Medical Conditions

31. BEHAVIOR:

A. Results In Illegal Outcome:

- Court Case Dismissal based on Fraud Upon the Court.
- Constructive Discharge from Employers after USDA Lawsuit Filed.
- Constructive Eviction from Views at Laurel Lakes.
- Attempt to file False Criminal charges under cover of a federal investigation.
- Falsified Evidence obtained under Duress (Texts, Emails, Conversations).
- Employment Fraud from Employers after USDA Lawsuit Filed.
- Entrapment schemes.
- Health Insurance Fraud from Doctors.
- Wire Fraud from Businesses

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Rashari Sharp

Date: June 13, 2026