

No. 26-5891

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 22 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON DC

In Re Michael Edward SAMPSON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Michael Edward SAMPSON v. United States
Case No: 1:25-cv-01974 (SAMPSON v. U.S.) 26-1941
US Ct. F. Cl US C.O.A. F. Cl.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Michael Edward SAMPSON
(Your Name) TDCJ # 02572787
WYNNE UNIT TC-2-59
810 FM 2821
(Address)

Huntsville, TX. 77349
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

OCT -6 2026

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Pages 1-11

9/21/2026

1

Michael Edward Sampson
v.
United States

United States District Court E. TX.
US COURT Federal Claims
US COURT OF APPEALS Federal Circuit
US SUPREME COURT
US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1.) Can the United States Federal Government criminally indict and impeach Judge Campbell Barker and Magistrate Judge John D Love of the USDC Eastern Texas for the Criminal Conspiracy factually proven by Case No: 6-22-CV-489
- 2.) Can the United States Supreme Court file Felony Aggravated Perjury charges against the Plaintiff for making any false sworn criminal allegation against the following state and federal judges for making factually false, misleading claims, statements, allegations against the Plaintiff as participants in the criminal U.S. Government Conspiracy meant to falsely imprison/kidnap the Plaintiff whilst covering up the theft of \$20 million dollars from the Plaintiff's personal checking account, suppress, conceal and criminally infringe upon the Free Energy USPTO Patent pending intellectual property of I. E. S. and then retaliate with attempted murder by the weaponize of a police vehicle attempting to run over the Plaintiff whilst on a motorcycle on private property the very next morning after fact patent evidence documents submitted in Case No 6-22-CV-489 USDC E. TX after two court orders denying "motions to submit evidence".

Note: The very existence of USPTO Patent No's 62/921,471 ; 62/921,555 ; 62/921,556 ; 62/921,599 prove with indisputable fact to any reasonable juror that Free Energy U.S. Government Conspiracy has and is taking place! WTF is wrong with you people are you serious?

1. Judge Alfonso Charles 124th District Court 55085/55087
2. Judge Scott E. Stevens 6th COA Texas 06-25-0109CR
3. Judge Campbell Barker USDC East Texas, Tyler 6-22-CV-489
4. Judge John D. Love USDC East Texas, Tyler 6-22-CV-489
5. Judge Molly R. Silfen U.S. Court Federal Claim 1:25-cv-01974
6. U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit 2026-1991
7. U.S. Supreme Court 25-7448

LIST OF PARTIES

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA)
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ)
DEPARTMENT HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY (NREL)
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)
UNITED STATES NAVY (USN)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI)
UNITED STATES MARSHAL SERVICE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (USA) GOVERNMENT
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR MANY LISTED
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION (FTC)

RELATED CASES

MICHAEL SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES USDC EASTERN TEXAS CASE NO: 6-22CV-989
MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES US CT. F.D. CASE NO: 1:25-CV-01974
SAMPSON V. LIS US CT. APPEALS F.LIR CASE NO: 26-1941
COMPLAINT OF MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON 5th COA NO: 05-26-90074
NO: 05-26-90074
U.S. COA Fed. LIR NO: 25-90437

see: JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

SUBJECT JUDGE ALFONSO CHARLES 124th DC

SUBJECT JUDGE SCOTT E. STEVENS 6th COA TX

SUBJECT JUDGE CAMPBELL BARKER USDC E. TX TX

SUBJECT JUDGE JOHN D. LOVE USDC E. TX TX

SUBJECT JUDGE MILLY R. SILFEN USCT F. LL

MICHAEL SAMPSON V. DAVIDSON CASE NO: 19-430 W D PA

MICHAEL SAMPSON V. FNU GRAY CASE NO: CV 19-090 S. D. GA.

MICHAEL SAMPSON V. MARK SOKOL CASE NO: CV 22-381 W D PA

SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES CASE NO: 23-40506 5th COA

SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES CASE NO: 23-5705 S. Ct.

SAMPSON V. UNITED STATES CASE NO: 25-40543 5th COA

STATE OF TEXAS V. MICHAEL SAMPSON CASE NO: 55085
124th DISTRICT COURT

GREGG COUNTY TX. CASE NO: 55087

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

This case is UNPRECEDENTED!
there ARE NO U.S. GOVERNMENT CONSPIRACIES OF THIS
NATURE, SCOPE, GRAVITY OR MAGNITUDE TO REFERENCE.

STATUTES AND RULES

See: J.C. & D. Act

18 U.S.C. CRIMES

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE "BREVES"

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of mandamus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at Not Reported *; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at Not Reported *; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was FAKE CASE 1:25-cl-01974
REPORT 26-1941 Not Reporting

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

PS. JUSTICES: IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A BULLSHIT
REASON TO DENY THIS PETITION LET THIS
TRUTH BE IT!

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States Constitution
Due Process Clause
Right to Fair Trial
Effective Assistance of Counsel
Equal Protection Under the Law
False Imprisonment
Cruel and Unusual Punishment
Judicial Conduct and Disabilities Act
USCS Title 18 Crimes

I AM DISGUSTED BY THE LACK OF TRUTH,
HONOR OR JUSTICE, MAKES ME FUCKING SICK!

LEXIS NEXUS

"SUPREME COURT CASES"

"PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS"

CASES (3,485)

"PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS GRANTED"
CASES (12)

THE PUBLIC WOULD BE DISGUSTED ALSO AT THIS
STRAIGHT STAMMING BULLSHIT JUSTICE!!
WTF HAPPENED TO THE
HONORABLE UNITED STATES?

I STAND ON TRUTH AND TRUST IN GOD,
AND APPARENTLY I STAND ALONE!

PSS. See: The Billion Dollar Roundtable AS Defendants
CONTACT VIA the VETERANS PORTAL!

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a \$1 Trillion dollar claim Against the United States and the Federal Government Agencies thereof that have committed FACT USPTO Patent Protected INFRINGEMENT; PROVEN FACT by the D.O.E., NREL A.R.I.E.S. Project And the PLAINTIFFS Intellectual Property I.E.S USPTO No: 62/921,471; 62/921,556 62/921,556 And 62/921,599 OF WHICH IS A NEW TYPE OF MECHANICAL Renewable ENERGY. The FACT IS that the U.S. Government has And is CURRENTLY going through GREAT LENGTHS to keep the LAWSUITS AND the FREE ENERGY technology from becoming PUBLIC AWARENESS, because the TRUTH AND the FACTS OF CASE NO: 26-1941 AND the CASE NO: 1:25-CV-01974 AND the COMPLAINTS OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT CASE NO: 05-26-96074 AND 05-26-96075 the FEDERAL FRAUD OF the NREL A.R.I.E.S. Project, the FACT \$20 million dollar theft, the FACT FALSE STATEMENTS made by more than a few State and Federal Judges plus the COMPLETE FAILURE OF the JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN the EVIDENCES OF the MANY, MANY CASES LISTED HEREIN IF MADE AVAILABLE TO A JURY, AS IS DEMANDED BY RIGHT OF LAW IN THIS CASE DOES SHOW THE U.S. GOVERNMENT HAS NOT ONLY BETRAYED THE TRUST OF ITS PUBLIC CITIZENS BUT THAT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT HAS AND IS CONTINUING TO COMMIT CRIMES AGAINST THE WORLD AND HUMANITY by the INTENTIONAL AND WILLFUL, KNOWINGLY SUPPRESSION, CONCEALMENT, IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF PATENT LAWS AND the U.S. CONSTITUTION, PREVENTING the AWARENESS AND development OF the MECHANICAL, FUELLESS, OIL AND GAS FREE, ZERO EMISSION NEW Renewable ENERGY Technology AND Intellectual Property that belongs TO the PLAINTIFF AS the FIRST TO FILE, SOLE INVENTOR. FURTHER, the KANGAROO TRAIL MEANT TO FALSELY IMPRISON the PLAINTIFF AND PREVENT INTERNATIONAL PATENT RIGHTS AND FOREIGN INTEREST, I.E. MICHAEL MCGOWAN OF AARON ENERGY OF AUSTRALIA, CLAUDIA DUNKEL OF SIEMENS ELECTRIC MUNICH GERMANY BDF-BANK LIMITED KINGDOM, THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES, ETC. ALL OF these FOREIGN ENTITIES have stated threats FROM U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ABOUT the PLAINTIFFS FREE ENERGY TECH. (I.E. CIA!!!) FUCKING CRIMINALS

PSS. TO MANY FEDERAL RECORDS AND CONVICTING EVIDENCES RECORDED IN MY EMAIL ACCOUNTS AND BACK UP WITNESS ACCOUNTS EXIST FOR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TO HIDE FROM. NOT EVERYONE WILL LIE FOR YOU

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- 1.) GRANT the Petition because it is a VIOLATION OF THE JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITIES ACT (J.C.D.) FOR THE CLERK OF COURTS AND/OR THE JUDGES THEREOF TO BECOME AWARE OF, BY WAY OF SWORN STATEMENTS, THE CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT AGAINST JUDGES AND/OR OFFICIALS OF THE COURTS AND FAIL TO REPORT AND ACT UPON THE ALLEGATIONS, WHEN SAME ARE SUPPORTED BY FACTS AND COURT RECORD DOCUMENTS.
- 2.) GRANT the Petition because PERJURY CHARGES ARE DEMANDED SHOULD ANY STATEMENT OR FACT BE FOUND FALSE AND/OR MISLEADING.
- 3.) GRANT the Petition because it is LAWFUL, JUSTIFIABLE AS A MATTER OF GLOBAL CONCERNS THAT INCLUDES SEVERAL FOREIGN COUNTRIES AND THE PUBLIC'S OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES.
- 4.) GRANT the Petition because FAILURE TO DO SO WOULD BE AGAINST THE PEACE AND DIGNITY OF THE UNITED STATES, VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND WITH TOTAL DISREGARD OF TRUTH, HONOR AND JUSTICE.
- 5.) GRANT the Petition because the LOWER COURTS ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES AND ARE COMMITTING CRIMINAL ACTS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER.
- 6.) GRANT the Petition because NO MATTER WHAT YOU RULE IT WILL HAVE AN AFFECT ON AND EFFECT ON EVERY SINGLE MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD ON PLANET EARTH, BECAUSE THIS CASE IS THAT IMPORTANT AND HAS NO PRESEDENCE IN ANY COURT IN THE UNITED STATES.
- 7.) GRANT the Petition because I, MR. MICHAEL EDWARD SAMPSON, HAVE MADE HISTORY AND HAVE PAID THE ULTIMATE PRICE TO HELP SAVE THE WORLD FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. I HAVE SACRIFICED EVERYTHING, KNOWING BEFOREHAND THE RISK AND COSTS OF A FREE ENERGY PATENT, KEEPING IN MIND I AM IN PRISON!! ARRESTED IN MY OWN FRONT YARD, WTF?
- 8.) GRANT the Petition because CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE IS REQUESTED FROM THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE AND
JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED

PRAYER

Wherefore, Premises Considered, the Plaintiff/Petitioner would PRAY the Honorable Supreme Court Justices Find Facts exist that Prove the Plaintiff has been denied Fair Trial by the intentional UNCONSTITUTIONAL SUPPRESSION AND CONCEALMENT OF the Subject Matter of the Free Energy Patents by CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS OPERATING UNDER the Agencies OF the U.S. GOVERNMENT AS described herein AND that this \$1 TRILLION DOLLARS Free Energy CONSPIRACY Lawsuit must have Oversight AS it is harmful to the Public Opinion OF the UNITED STATES OF Whom Position is NOT Substantial AS the Crimes AND Acts of Judicim MISCONDUCT ARE Facts with evidences of USPTO Patents AS PROOF AS well AS the INTERNATIONAL PERSONS, ENTITIES AND COMPANIES ALREADY involved AND observing the Results herein. The Plaintiff/Petitioner Request Order OF Court Appointed Counsel in the best interest of Justice AND ORDER FOR the CIA, DHS to STOP All Acts AGAINST the Cases.

CONCLUSION

Further Order the U.S. Court of Appeals to Docket the Case FOR TRIAL by JURY FINDING PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE exist showing the Plaintiff Likely to be Successful in the Lawsuit AND Report the Case docket properly. The petition for a writ of mandamus should be granted. The Petition FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS to the U.S. COA Fed. CIR should be GRANTED AS well AS Report to CONGRESS Respectfully submitted in the best interest of Justice.

Michael Edward Sampson

Michael Edward Sampson

Date: 09/21/2026

Rule 20.1 Appellate Jurisdiction Statement

This case is UNPRECEDENTED in ANY COURT. This case has exceptional circumstances that WARRANT the exercise of the Supreme Courts discretionary powers because the case is a \$1 TRILLION dollars Lawsuit that concerns the ENTIRE PLANET, including every man, woman and child, AND will undoubtedly cause the impeachment of several State AND Federal Judges because SWORN COMPLAINTS OF CRIMES AND ACTS OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT have been Filed to the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND the JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE SPECIFICALLY REQUESTING SPECIAL COMMITTEE AND INDICTMENT AND IMPEACHMENT PROCEEDINGS. The U.S. Supreme Court Justices have here AND NOW been Formally informed of their obligation to act UPON knowledge of the CRIMINAL ACTS being committed by AGENCIES AND JUDICIAL OFFICIALS OF the U.S. Government in the PATENT INFRINGEMENT, PATENT LAW VIOLATIONS, CRIMINAL BANKING CRIMES/theft of \$20 million dollars AND the involvement of many U.S. Government agencies acting above AND beyond of ANY qualified immunity AS CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY including NOT ONLY CRIMES AGAINST the PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER but CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY FOR SEVERAL YEARS!! Further, the INTERNATIONAL CONCERNS with the Global Economy such as the CONTRACT with MR. MICHAEL MCGOWAN, OWNER OF AARON ENERGY OF AUSTRALIA AND the New Renewable ENERGY CAPTURING, STORAGE AND POWER GENERATION systems being developed FROM the intellectual PROPERTY of the PLAINTIFFS USPTO Free Electricity Patents No. S. 62/921,411, 62/921,555 ; 62/921,556 AND 62/921,519, The Petition FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS is to the U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, FEDERAL CIRCUIT FOR the ORDER to the CLERK OF the COURT OF APPEALS to PROPERLY DOCKET the many MOTIONS Filed, FOR the INTERCEPTION AND SCREENING OF the OFFICIAL FORMAL PROSE LEGAL MAIL by ANY NUMBER of GOVERNMENT AGENCIES to be FOUND FEDERAL MAIL CRIMES AND ORDERED to STOP, I.E. CIA, DHS, US MARSHALS ETC. The MANDAMUS ORDER is FOR the ORDER to the APPEALS COURT to PROPERLY REPORT the CASES AS it is of SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC INTEREST. REBUTALLY

FURTHER ORDERING the CLERK OF the SUPREME COURT, make COPIES AVAILABLE to the PARTIES LISTED AS it is NOT possible in TDCIT by the PLAINTIFF. Submitted,
Michael EDWARD
SAMPSON
MUSA

Michael Edward Sampson (Prose)

United States 26-1941/1:25-cv-01974

IN Re: Michael Edward Sampson U.S. Supreme Court

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

AFFIDAVIT / DECLARATION OF INDIGENCY

I, Michael Edward Sampson, being currently illegally incarcerated and CRIMINALLY KIDNAPPED by the State of Texas/United States do hereby declare that I am too poor and unable to pay costs and request to proceed in forma pauperis.

UNSOWN DECLARATION

I, Michael Edward Sampson, being illegally incarcerated do hereby declare under demand for criminal charges of Aggravated Perjury that the entire Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and the criminal allegations against the State and Federal Judges is knowingly, intentionally made and is true and correct based in fact and to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Executed on this the 21st day of September 2026.

By the Plaintiff/Petitioner

Michael Edward Sampson
Michael Edward Sampson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael Edward Sampson, do hereby certify that a carbon copy of the writings have been truck mailed to TDCJ Executive Hon. Bobby Lumpkin, via U.S. Mail Postage Prepaid to the Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court, the Department of Justice Scott Bolden and the Clerk of the U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit on this the 21st day of September 2026.

Receipt Response is Requested!

By the Plaintiff,

Michael Edward Sampson
Michael Edward Sampson
TDCJ # 02572787
TC-2-59
WYNNE UNIT
810 FM 2821
Huntsville, TX.
77349