


No. **26-5826** **ORIGINAL**



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re **NOEL, JACKSON** PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

NOEL, JACKSON #202422803
(Your Name)

CBDJ JAIL 30755-B AULD ROAD
(Address)

MURRIETA, CA 92563
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I) MAY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT BAN-PETITIONER FROM FILING ANY FUTURE DOCUMENT IN COURT-AFTER GRANTING PETITIONER A §2254?

II) AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE-AFTER GRANTED A §2254 RETRIAL ORDER-MAY PETITIONER FILE A §2241-TO CHALLENGE ANY VIOLATION OF FEDERAL CONSTITUTION?

III) DID RESPONDANT-PERFORM CONDITIONS OF PETITIONER §2254 GRANTED-BY INITIATING PRETRIAL COURT PROCEEDING-ET FAILING TO RETRY PETITIONER CASE AFTER 2 YEARS OR RELEASE HIM?

IV) DID U.S. DISTRICT COURT-JURISDICTION OVER PETITIONER §2254 GRANTED-END WHEN RESPONDANT STARTED PETITIONER PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS?

V) IF SO-DID U.S. DISTRICT COURT EXCEED ITS JURISDICTION POWER BY GRANTING RESPONDANT MOTIONS FOR FURTHER RELIEF-ET DENYING ALL PETITIONER MOTIONS FOR FURTHER RELIEF?

VI) DOES YOUNGER ABSTENTION APPLY TO THE RESPONDANT (BAD FAITH) ACTIONS AVERED IN THIS PETITION?

VII) SHALL A STATE BE PERMITTED TO REVOKE THE FARETTA STATUS OF A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT-FOR RAISING CLAIMS OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT?

LIST OF PARTIES

1) PETITIONER: NOEL, JACKSON

2) RESPONDANT: C.D.C.R. / CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
ET REHABILITATION IS ONLY
RESPONDANT—WHICH COUNSEL OF RECORD
IS (CA A.G.)—PETITIONER IS IN
CURRENT IMMEDIATE CUSTODY OF—
(SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO) ^{RELATED CASES} RIVERSIDE COUNTY JAIL—WHO
IS NOT RESPONDANT.

SEE ATTACHED RELATED
CASE LIST—PAGES (1 TO 3).

1/4 *RELATED CASES*

FEDERAL CASES

I) JACKSON V. BROOMFIELD, NO. 97-CV-03531, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. JUDGMENT ENTERED FEBRUARY 9, 2022.

II) JACKSON V. BROOMFIELD, NO. 22-55937, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT. JUDGMENT ENTERED APRIL 1, 2024.

III) JACKSON V. SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO, NO. 26-4804, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT. JUDGMENT NOT ENTERED YET - PENDING. - THIS IS A §2241 PETITION FILED ON <7/28/2026>.

IV) IN RE JACKSON, NO. 26-4947, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT. JUDGMENT NOT ENTERED YET - PENDING - THIS IS A

2/4 PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDAMUS FILED ON (8/3/2026).

V) JACKSON V. CALIFORNIA, NO.
96-8167, SUPREME COURT FOR
THE UNITED STATES. JUDGMENT
ENTERED MAY 12, 1997.

VI) EX PARTE JACKSON, NO. 25-7357,
SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED
STATES. JUDGMENT ENTERED.

VII) JACKSON V. CALIFORNIA, NO. 25-7356,
SUPREME COURT FOR THE
UNITED STATES. PENDING.

* STATE CASES *

I) PEOPLE V. JACKSON, NO. CR-23480, CA
SUPERIOR COURT FOR COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE.
ORIGINAL CRIMINAL CASE, ARRESTED
ON 12/15/1994 ET CONVICTED ON
2/10/1989, SENTENCED TO DEATH
ON 5/5/1989.

II) PEOPLE V. NILES, NO. E007145,
CA COURT OF APPEALS, FOURTH DISTRICT
DIVISION TWO. JUDGMENT ENTERED
AUGUST 12, 1991.

3/4

III

PEOPLE V. JACKSON, NO.
S010723, CALIFORNIA

SUPREME COURT. JUDGMENT ENTERED
MAY 29, 1996.

IV

PEOPLE V. JACKSON, NO. S01723,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT. JUDGMENT
ENTERED AUGUST 26, 1996.

V

PEOPLE V. JACKSON, NO. S01723,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT.
JUDGMENT ENTERED NOVEMBER 13, 1996.

VI

JACKSON V. RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT, NO. S010723, CALIFORNIA
SUPREME COURT. JUDGMENT ENTERED
JANUARY 24, 1997.

VII

IN RE JACKSON, NO. S053136,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT.
JUDGMENT ENTERED APRIL 30, 1997.

VIII

IN RE JACKSON, NO. S070227,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT.
JUNE 3, 1999.

IX

IN RE JACKSON, NO. S129989,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT.
JUDGMENT ENTERED JULY 29, 2016.

4/4

X) IN RE JACKSON, NO.
CVIN2400100, CA SUPERIOR
COURT COUNTY OF RIVER SIDE.
JUDGMENT ENTERED APPROXIMATE
8/30/2024.

XI) IN RE JACKSON, NO. S286717,
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT.
JUDGMENT ENTERED
NOVEMBER 25, 2025.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	24
CONCLUSION.....	40

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	COPY OF U.S. DISTRICT COURT ORDER BANNING NOET FROM ANY FUTURE FILING.
APPENDIX B	COPY OF NOET-INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL EXAMS-FORCED UPON HIM BY THE COURT
APPENDIX C	COPY OF U.S. DISTRICT- RESPONDANT. COURT CASE No. 2:97CV03531/DOCKET SHEET.
APPENDIX D	COPY OF RESPONDANT MOTION TO DENY NOET \$2254 RELEASE ORDER
APPENDIX E	COPY OF LOS ANGELES TIMES 1996 ARTICLE OF NOET PASSING A LIE DETECTOR TEST ET
APPENDIX F	COPY OF LETTER FROM \$2254 ATTORNEY-WHO ATTEMPTED TO SEND PETITIONER CASE DISCOVERY/FILE TO STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY.

1/1 *TABLE OF AUTHORITY*

CITED

*CASES:

*PAGE NO.

1) EX PARTE TOM TONG 108 US 556 (1883)	3, 5 to 38
2) EX PARTE ROYAL 117 US 241 (1886)	3, 5 to 38
3) IN RE LONEY 134 US 372 (1890)	3, 5 to 38
4) JOHNSON V. ZERBST 304 US 458 (1938)	15, 16, 17, 38
5) PRICE V. JOHNSTON 334 US 266 (1948)	19, 20, 21,
6) VON MOLTKE V. GILGIES 332 US 708 (1948)	3, 38
7) CARBO V. UNITED STATES 364 US 611 (1961)	3, 38
8) JONES V. CUNNINGHAM 371 US 236 (1963)	3, 38
9) GIDEON V. WAINWRIGHT 372 US 335 (1963)	15, 16, 38
10) BRADY V. MARYLAND 372 US 83 (1963)	19, 20,
11) HENRY V. MISSISSIPPI 379 US 443 (1965)	18, 38
12) WEST BROOK V. ARIZONA 384 US 150 (1966)	14, 36
13) SMITH V. HOOEY 89 SCT 575 (1969)	9, 10
14) DICKEY V. FLORIDA 90 SCT 1564 (1970)	9, 10
15) YOUNGER V. HARRIS 91 SCT 746 (1971)	3, 18, 24,
16) GIGLIO V. UNITED STATES 405 US 150 (1972)	19, 20, 21,
17) BRADEN V. JUDICIAL ^{CIRCUIT} COURT OF KENTUCKY 410 US 484 (1973)	9, 10, 24
18) FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 806 (1975)	14, 36, 38
19) DILLINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 US 64 (1975)	39, 10
20) MCKASKLE V. WIGGINS 465 US 168 (1984)	14, 36
21) UNITED STATES V. TAYLOR 487 US 326 (1988)	39, 10,
22) NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE V. NEW ORLEANS 491 US 350 (1989)	3,
23) DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 US 647 (1992)	9, 10,
24) MAGWOOD V. PATTERSON 561 US 320 (2010)	3, 18, 38

*STATUTES ET RULES:

1) U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 1654	36 to 38
2) U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 2241	3 to 38
3) U.S.C. TITLE 28 § 2251	3 to 38

1/40

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of habeas corpus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☒ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at 2022 US DIST LEXIS 179514; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

* CA SUPREME COURT -
REFUSED TO PROVIDE
NOET COPY
OF DENIAL
ORDER.

* HAVE NO MONEY OR PHYSICAL
ABILITY TO OBTAIN COPY OF
DENIAL ORDER.

2/40

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 4/1/77.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 11/25/2025
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix N/A

* HAVE NO ABILITY TO OBTAIN COPIES OF ORDER.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

3/40

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

*THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CONCERNS THE FOLLOWING FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS:

- I) EX PARTE TOMTONG 108 US 556 (1883)
- II) EX PARTE ROYAL 117 US 241 (1886)
- III) IN RE LONEY 134 US 372 (1890)
- IV) VON MOLTKE V. GIGGLES 332 US 708 (1948)
- V) CARBO V. UNITED STATES 364 US 611 (1961)
- VI) JONES V. CUNNINGHAM 371 US 236 (1963)
- VII) YOUNGER V. HARRIS 91 SCT 746 (1971)
- VIII) UNITED STATES V. TAYLOR 487 US 326 (1988)
- IX) NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC SERVICE V. NEW ORLEANS 491 US 350 (1989)
- X) MAGWOOD V. PATTERSON 561 US 320 (2010)

*TO WIT- THIS PETITION- CONCERNS YOUNGER ABSTENTION- ET IF PETITIONER MAY FILE A \$2241 PETITION AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE- AFTER GRANTED A \$2254 PETITION FOR SAME CASE VACATED.

4/40

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

* PURSUANT TO UNITED STATES
CODE TITLE 28 § 1746, I
(NOEL, JACKSON) SUBMIT THE
FOLLOWING FACTS SET FORTH
INFRA IN PAGES (5 to 18)
AS MY STATEMENT OF CASE
ET RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT,
TO WIT, I AVER:

- 1) I AM OVER THE AGE OF
70 YEARS OLD — A UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA CITIZEN—
OF AFRICAN NATIONAL ORIGIN—
A MAN ET DISCIPLE OF YHWH.
- 2) I HAVE BEEN UNDER ILLEGAL
CONFINEMENT FOR 42 YEARS OF
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ET COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE —
JURISDICTION PRISONS ET JAILS.
- 3) I AM OF SOUND COMPETENT MIND—

5/40 ET SHALL TESTIFY TO
ALL FACTS OF THIS—
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS AS SUCH ET TESTIFY
TRUTHFULLY—TO BEST OF MY
ABILITIES.

4) I VERIFY ET AFFIRM ALL
FACTS OF THIS PETITION IS
TRUE ET CORRECT— I AM AWARE
OF ALL FACTS OF THIS PETITION
ET I HAD A JAILHOUSE
LAWYER ASSIST ME IN DRAFTING
THIS PETITION—DUE TO MY OLD
AGE—ET PHYSICAL ET MENTAL
DISABILITIES—AS SHOWN
IN ATTACHED (APPENDIX A TO D)

5) I AM UNDER DURESS—AS I AM
UNDER "CELL LOCKDOWN"—SOLITARY
CONFINEMENT—EVERYDAY FOR PAST
2 YEARS—LOCKED IN A CELL WITH
NO WINDOWS—VIEW OF SKY—NO
ACCESS TO A CLOCK—22 TO 24 HOURS
A DAY—DENIED DAILY SUNLIGHT
ET ABILITY TO EXERCISE ET
RECEIVE FRESH AIR.

6) MY ILLEGAL CONFINEMENT
WITHIN COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE—
JURISDICTION ET JAIL—ARISES
FROM CRIMINAL CHARGES OF MURDER
FILED UPON ME—BACK FROM—

6/40 1984 OF DECEMBER 18TH -
CASE NO. CR-23480 - IN
RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE.

7) RIVERSIDE COUNTY D.A. CHARGED
ET CONVICTED ME WITH THE USE-
OF FALSE MATERIAL CONFESSIONS
PLANTED FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE-
WHICH I SHALL DETAIL INFRA
IN THIS DECLARATION.

8) RESPONDANT USED SAID FALSE
MATERIAL EVIDENCE TO CAUSE
ME TO BE SENTENCED TO DEATH
IN <1989>.

9) TO WIT- AS I AM HOUSED AT
THE <CBDC JAIL 30755-B MULD ROAD>
IN <MURRIETA, CA 92563> UNDER THE
DIRECT CUSTODY OF SHERIFF CHAD
BIANCO- THE ACTING WARDEN- AS
OF <8/19/2026> THIS IS DUE TO
MY DEATH SENTENCE ET CONVICTION
VACATED IN FULL IN <2024> BY
A 4 PANEL OF FEDERAL JUDGES.

10) TO WIT- PURSUANT TO A BATSON-
WHEELER VIOLATION- I HAD
PROVED IN A FEDERAL §2254 SUIT-
THAT WAS UPHELD ET AFFIRMED-
BY THE- US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL
DISTRICT COURT OF CA ET US
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE-
NINTH CIRCUIT- AS IT IS-

7/40 SHOWN ET VERIFIED - IN
ATTACHED (APPENDIX A TO F)

IN THE US DISTRICT COURT
CASE NO. 2:47CV03531-MWF ET
US COURT OF APPEAL, 9TH CIRCUIT
CASE NO. 22-55937.

11) TO WIT - I WAS CONVICTED ON (5/5/1989)
ET SENTENCED TO DEATH ON (5/5/1989)
IN (9/29/2022) US DISTRICT COURT -
GRANTED ME \$2254 RELIEF - ET
TERMINATED CONVICTION IN FULL -
ET ON (4/23/2024) THE U.S. COURT
OF APPEAL, NINTH CIRCUIT ISSUED
A (MANDAMUS) ORDER UPON THE
RESPONDANT - TO RETRY MY CASE
(CR-23480) IN 120 DAYS UNDER CA
ET FEDERAL SPEEDY ET
FAIR TRIAL CONSTITUTIONAL
LAWS ET IF FAILURE TO -
COMMENCE RETRIAL IN 120 DAYS -
RELEASE ME FROM FULL CUSTODY
ET ULTIMATELY DISMISS (CASE NO. CR-23480).

12) TO WIT - RESPONDANT HAS DELIBERATE
ET WITH (BAD FAITH) INTENTIONS -
FAILED TO RETRY MY CAPITAL CASE
ET REFUSES TO RELEASE ME -
AS OF (8/19/2026) - MORE THAN 2 YEARS
AFTER 4 FEDERAL JUDGES ISSUED
OUT WRITS SUPRA ET SUBJECT
OF THIS INSTANT MATTER - AS
VERIFIED IN (APPENDIX A TO F).

8/40 13) I DILIGENTLY TO THE
BEST OF MY ABILITIES-
WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF
A JAILHOUSE LAWYER-ATTEMPTED
TO ENFORCE THE §2254 I-
WAS AWARDED CONDITIONS OF RELIEF
BY FILING A NEW §2241 PETITION
ON (8/13/2024)-IN US DISTRICT COURT
ET A PETITION FOR WRIT OF-
HABEAS CORPUS IN SUPERIOR COURT
ET SUPREME COURT OF CA-
IN (2024 TO 2025)-WHICH WAS
EACH DENIED.

14) TO WIT-I ATTEMPTED TO FILE
A NEW PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDAMUS IN US COURT OF APPEAL
FOR 9TH CIRCUIT IN 2025-TO ENFORCE
§2254 RELIEF CONDITIONS-THIS WAS
DENIED DUE TO USING COLOR
PENCILS-WHICH IS ~~NOT~~ I HAD
ACCESS TO AT THE TIME UNDER
JAIL POLICY.

15) TO WIT-IN APPROXIMATE-
(DECEMBER 2025)-I FILED A
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS ET CERTIORARI-
IN THIS HIGH COURT-THE WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS PETITION WAS DENIED-CASE
NO. (25-7357) ET THE PETITION
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI-
IS PENDING-CASE NO. (25-7356)

9/4 IN ORDER TO ENFORCE—
MY §2254 RELIEF CONDITIONS
UPON RESPONDANT—BOTH PETITIONS
CONCERN.

16) TO WIT—ON <11/18/2025> ET <7/2/2026>
I FILED MOTIONS IN US DISTRICT
COURT—CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF
CA TO ENFORCE A TRIAL—
ET MY RELEASE—PURSUANT
TO §2254 GRANTED—BOTH MOTIONS
WERE DENIED BY US DISTRICT
COURT ET RESPONDANT WAS—
GIVEN 3 EXTENSIONS BY US—
DISTRICT COURT TO RETRY MY—
CASE OR RELEASE ME IN
<2024 TO 2025>—AS VERIFIED
IN ATTACHED <APPENDIX A/C/D/E>.

17) TO WIT—THE US DISTRICT
COURT—CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CA BANNED ME FROM FILING—
ANY FURTHER DOCUMENT IN
IT'S COURT/JURISDICTION
TO CHALLENGE MY ILLEGAL
CONVICTION—CUSTODY STATUS ET
OR TO ENFORCE §2254 RELEASE
CONDITIONS—ON <7/8/2026> AS SHOWN
IN <APPENDIX A>.

18) TO WIT—I FILED A NOTICE OF
APPEAL—PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS—IN US COURT OF APPEAL—

FOR NINTH CIRCUIT- AGAIN
10% TO ENFORCE \$2254 GRANTED-

A RELEASE CONDITIONS ET
SEEK IMMEDIATE RELIEF-
FROM US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CA- BARRING ME-
FROM ANY FUTURE FILING- THIS
TIME THE 9TH CIRCUIT HAS
ACCEPTED MY FILING- AS OF
<8/19/2026>- <CASE NO. 26-4947>-
ET <CASE NO. 26-4804> PETITIONS
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED ON
U.S. COURT OF APPEAL 9TH CIRCUIT DOCKET PENDING.
19>10, WIT- SINCE RETURNING BACK
INTO CUSTODY ET JURISDICTION-
OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY ON <5/30/2024>-
FROM <CDCR> CONFINEMENT- UPON THE
PERFORMANCE- OF MY \$2254 ISSUED-
SINCE MY VERY 1ST COURT HEARING-
APPEARANCE- AT RIVERSIDE HALL
OF JUSTICE ON <5/30/2024>-
UNTIL CURRENT DAY <8/19/2026>-
ET EVERY COURT HEARING APPEARANCE
SINCE <5/30/2024>- I'VE DEMANDED.
A) SPEEDY TRIAL 20 TIMES NOW.
B) NO WAIVER OF TIME TO CONTINUE
CASE OUT FOR TRIAL, & NOT
WAIVE SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHT 20 TIMES NOW.
C) MY RELEASE PURSUANT TO \$2254 GRANTED.
OVER 20 TIMES ET;

DEMANDED FULL DISCLOSURE
1/40 OF MY CRIMINAL CASE -
FILES ET DISCOVERY 10-
TIMES NOW.

20) TO WIT SUPRA DEMANDS HAVE-
EACH BEEN ☒ NEQUIVOCALLY DENIED
BY SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE-
COUNTY JUDGES - D.A. OF RIVERSIDE
COUNTY - ET MULTIPLE COUNTY OF
RIVERSIDE COURT APPOINTED-
ATTORNEYS - WHO HAVE REFUSED
TO ENTERTAIN MY DEMANDS - WHICH
I'VE MADE EITHER ☒ ORALLY OR
BY ☒ WRITTEN MOTION - ET OR -
REFUSAL TO ☒ SIGN ANY DOCUMENT
TO WAIVE SPEEDY TRIAL GUARANTEE.

21) ATTORNEYS - THAT I'VE BEEN
APPOINTED BY RESPONDANT - HAVE
IN TOTALITY - REFUSED TO FILE
MOTIONS - TO ENFORCE §2254 RELEASE
CONDITIONS - OR A TRIAL ☒ OR
FOR D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY
TO SURRENDER MY FULL CASE
FILES ET MANDATED DISCOVERY
OVER ☒ 200,000 PAGES IN TOTAL -
OVER 20 DEMANDS MADE TO ATTORNEYS
IN ☒ 2024 TO 2026 - ET OVERALL EACH -
DEMAND DENIED - ET ATTORNEYS -
HAVE REFUSED - TO NOT CONTINUE
CASE OUT FOR TRIAL - OVER MY OBJECTION
WHICH I'VE BEEN - THROWN OUT OF -

12/40 COURTROOM BY FORCE—FOR
NOT AGREEING TO WAIVE
SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS ET
ATTEMPTS TO ENFORCE §2254—
RELIEF CONDITIONS.

22> MY COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY—
<BOSKY, KATHURIA> REFUSED TO—
ACCEPT MY COMPLETE CASE FILES
BY MY §2254 ATTORNEY (JAMES S. THOMSON)
IN 2024—ET COURT APPOINTED—
ATTORNEYS. I WAS ASSIGNED—
AFTER THAT IN <2024 TO 2026>—
AFTER <JAMES S. THOMSON> ATTEMPTED
TO MAIL OUT MY COMPLETE—
CASE FILES TO EACH ATTORNEY
ET CONTACT BY EMAIL ET
BY PHONE CALLS—AS SHOWN IN APPENDIX F/

23> TO WITHIN <5/7/2025> I HAD
FILED A <SPEEDY TRIAL> VIOLATION
DISMISSAL MOTION—THROUGH COUNSEL
WHICH WAS DENIED ET ON GROUNDS
OF D.A. FAILING TO HONOR §2254—
RELEASE CONDITIONS—ET ON <9/23/2024>
I FILED IN <PRO/PER—FARETTA>
STATUS—WITH AID OF A JAILHOUSE
LAWYER—A <SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION—
MOTION TO DISMISS> CASE—BASED ON—
<BAD FAITH> MALICIOUS ET RACIST—
PROSECUTION—FAILURE TO HONOR—
FEDERAL SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS
ET OR §2254 RELIEF CONDITIONS

13/40 WHICH THIS MOTION WAS
NEVER HEARD-ET THE SUPERIOR
COURT OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY JUDGE
<JOSHLYN, PULLIAM> ET ASSIGNED CASE
PROSECUTOR MADE A CALCULATED-
DECISION TO TERMINATE MY FARETTA
STATUS ET FORCE ME INTO STATE
INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL HEARINGS
IN <9/23/2024>.

24) TO WIT-AS SOON AS I FILED-
MY §2254 SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION-
MOTION IN <FARETTA STATUS> BASED
ON <BAD FAITH> PROSECUTION ET SAID
PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT ON <9/23/2024>
THE RESPONDANT TERMINATED MY-
<FARETTA STATUS> ET HAS AVOIDED
MAKING A RULING ON THE MOTION
I FILED IN <FARETTA STATUS>-
FOR MY MOST 2 YEARS NOW-
AS SHOWN IN <APPENDIX B TO D>.

25) TO WIT-AS I WAS FORCED-
ET COERCED AGAINST-MY WIT-
INTO CA INCOMPETENT TO STAND-
TRIAL PROCEEDINGS-FOR ATTEMPTS
TO ENFORCE THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION
ET MY §2254 GRANTED-I WAS FORCED
ET COERCED TO SUBMIT TO 2 MENTAL
HEALTH EXPERT EXAMS-I DID NOT
KNOWINGLY-WILLINGLY ET INTELLIGENT-
LY SUBMIT TO-ET WAS DEEMED-
BY BOTH MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS-

14/40 INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
IN DEGREE OF ACTING IN-
(FARRETTA STATUS) ILLEGALLY
BASED ON INFORMATION DETAILS ET
FACTS I NEVER (STATED) TO BOTH
MENTAL HEALTH EXPERTS WHO HAD
FABRICATED ET FALSIFIED THEIR
MENTAL HEALTH EXAM REPORTS OF-
YOUR HONOR PETITIONER WHICH ARE
CONTRADICTORY FAVOR RESPONDANT ET
MADE IN (BAD FAITH) TO PREVENT-
MY RIGHTEOUS RELEASE ET ENFORCE-
MENT OF §2254 GRANTED AS YOUR
HONORS SHALL VERIFY IN ATTACHED
(APPENDIX B) - BOTH EXPERTS FALSE
ET DECEIVING REPORTS.
26) TO WIT - BOTH MENTAL HEALTH
EXPERTS REPORTS THAT ARE FALSE
IN (APPENDIX B) DEEMS ME INCOMPETENT
TO STAND TRIAL OVERALL - DUE
TO MY ATTEMPTS ET STATEMENTS-
TO ENFORCE MY SPEEDY TRIAL
RIGHTS ET §2254 RELEASE CONDITION
AS SHOWN UPON THE FACE OF REPORTS.
27) TO WIT - RESPONDANT HAS BANNED
ME FROM ACTING IN (FARRETTA)
STATUS - DUE TO CORRUPTED MENTAL
HEALTH EXPERT INCOMPETENCY -
REPORTS IN - (APPENDIX B) - ET DENIED
MY MULTIPLE (FARRETTA DEMANDS) I'VE
MADE IN APPROX. <2025 TO 2026> -

15/40 THAT ARE UNEQUIVOCAL—
ET DENIED MY MULTIPLE—
(MARSDEN) HEARINGS TO TERMINATE
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, AS SHOWN
IN (CASE NO. CR-23480) COURT MINUTES—
ET SWORN DECLARATION OF MY—
\$2254 ATTORNEY (JAMES S. THOMSON)—
IN (APPENDIX D) ATTACHED
28) TO WIT—I'VE ATTEMPTED TO—
RETURN BACK TO (FARETTA STATUS)
IN (GOOD FAITH) TO RAISE CLAIMS—
OF \$2254 CONDITIONS NOT GRANTED
ET PERFORMED BY RESPONDANT—ET
SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATIONS—ET BAD
FAITH PROSECUTION—TO NO AVAIL
RIVER SIDE HALL OF JUSTICE GAVE
ANY RELIEF ET REFUSE TO HEAR MY
CLAIMS—MY COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS
REFUSE TO RAISE ON MY BEHALF, AS
OF (8/19/2026).

29) TO WIT—AS I AM BEING DENIED
KID OF COUNSEL BY RESPONDANT—
ET EVERY COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY
I'VE HAD ASSIGNED TO ME—TOO
ADVERSE ACTIONS TOWARDS ME—
ET DOES NOT HAVE MY BEST—
INTEREST AT HEART—AS AVERED
EARLIER I FILED THE ONLY—
STATE COURT JURISDICTION REMEDY ET
INSTRUMENT I AM PERMITTED TO—
DO IN MY SITUATION—THAT OF—

16/40 A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS-IN CA SUPERIOR COURT-
OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY-CASE NO.
<CVIN2400100> ET CA SUPREME COURT-
CASE NO. <S286717> DENIED IN
2024 TO 11/25/2025-WHICH IS CAUSE
OF MY PENDING CERTIORARI PETITION
CASE NO. <25-7356> IN THIS HIGH
COURT.

30) TO WIT-AS SHOWN-IN APPENDIX
<A> U.S. DISTRICT COURT-HAS BAN-
ME FROM FILING ANYTYPE OF-
MOTION OR PETITION-TO SEEK-
RELIEF FROM MY DURESS ET GROSS
ILLEGAL CUSTODY-I IN FULL-
DETAILS INFORMED CA SUPREME
COURT-US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CA-I AM BEING
DENIED COMPLETE ASSISTANCE OF
COUNSEL ET RESPONDANT IS-
REFUSING TO EXECUTE A SPEEDY-
TRIAL-PERFORM §2254 CONDITIONS-
ET CONVICT ME & TRIAL MY CASE-
WITH FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE-
WHICH I'VE BEEN DENIED COMPLETE
ACCESS TO ANY RELIEF BY-
EACH COURT SUPRA.

31) TO WIT-EVERY ATTORNEY RESPONDANT
HAS FORCED ME TO BE REPRESENTED
BY-ATTEMPTED TO TAKE ADVANTAGE
OF MY UNINTELLECTUAL STATUS-

17/40 TRICK ME INTO PLEADING
GUILTY - ET FORCING ME TO
GIVE UP SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS
OR ANY RIGHTS - IN ORDER TO ASSIST
THE D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY -
IN AVOIDING DISMISSING CASE ET
RELEASING ME - PURSUANT TO §2254
CONDITIONS - EACH ATTORNEY I WAS
ASSIGNED WHO ATTEMPTED TO IN (BAD FAITH)
FORCE ET COERCE ME TO PLEA
GUILTY TO MORE PRISON TIME ET OR
TIME SERVED - IN (2024 TO 2026) I HAVE
DENIED ET REFUSED TO PLEA
GUILTY TO SAID MURDER CHARGES -
I HAD NO PART IN.

32) AS THE US DISTRICT COURT - CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CA - REFUSED TO GRANT
OR ENTERTAIN (4) MOTIONS I FILED
IN (2024 TO 2026) IN COURT - TO SEEK
RELEASE ET ENFORCEMENT OF
§2254 CONDITIONS - ET BARRED ME
FROM ANY FUTURE FILING - THIS WAS
DUE TO US DISTRICT COURT - DECLARING
IT HAS NO MORE JURISDICTION POWER
OR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE ANY FURTHER
ORDER - RELIEF OR MOTION ET
ENFORCE §2254 - AS RESPONDANT -
COMPILED WITH A TRIAL - BC
STARTING PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS
EVEN THOUGH NO TRIAL HAS COMMENCED
OR MY RELEASE ISSUED - THE

18/40 §2254 CONDITIONS-RESPONDANT
HAS PERFORMED ET ITS IN-
STATE JURISDICTION CONTROL NOW-
NO LONGER CAN FEDERAL COURTS
ISSUE ANY FURTHER REMEDY-AS
SHOWN IN APPENDIX A/D.

33) IVE ATTEMPTED TO-SEEK BAIL
RELEASE BY MOTION/APPLICATION-
IN CA SUPERIOR-CA SUPREME-
COURTS-ET US DISTRICT COURT-
IN (2024 TO 2026)-4 APPLICATIONS
EACH DENIED-AS MY CASE-
IS NO LONGER A CAPITAL CASE-
ET RIVERSIDE COUNTY D.A. ET COURT
DECLARED MY MAXIMUM SENTENCE-
TO BE (LWOP)-ET I HAVE NO BAIL.

34) TO WIT-THE US DISTRICT COURT-
AS SHOWN IN APPENDIX A) DECLARES
TO HAVE NO FURTHER JURISDICTION
POWER TO ISSUE ME ANY RELIEF
TO ABATE MY DURESS-US DISTRICT
COURT CONTRADICTED ITS OWN-
ACTIONS ET STATEMENTS IN
APPENDIX A) BY GRANTING THE-
RESPONDANT FURTHER RELIEF
ET RESPONDANT 3 MOTIONS FOR
EXTENSIONS OF DEADLINE TO
RETRY MY (42 YEAR OLD) CASE
ET NOT RELEASE ME-AS
SHOWN IN APPENDIX D)-WHICH
CLEARLY SHOWS UPON-THE FACE

19/40 OF US DISTRICT COURT —
DOCKET ET ACTIONS — THE US
DISTRICT COURT — HAD JURISDICTION
TO ENFORCE MY \$2254 CONDITIONS —
YET ELECTED NOT TO PERFORM MY —
MOTIONS FOR RELIEF.

35) TO WIT — AS I'VE BEEN DENIED —
RELIEF FROM DURESS — BY STATE ET
FEDERAL COURTS AS A PRETRIAL
DETAINEE — IN EVERY PHYSICAL
WAY — I KNOW OF NO OTHER
WAY TO ENFORCE MY \$2254 RELEASE
CONDITIONS — SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS —
ET TERMINATION OF PROSECUTOR —
USE OF FALSE MATERIAL —
EVIDENCE TO ATTEMPT TO CONVICT
ME — OTHER THAN PETITIONING MY
COURT OF LAST RESORT — THE
SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES.

36) TO WIT — THE FALSE MATERIAL —
EVIDENCE THAT — RESPONDANT IS —
UTILIZING UPON ME TO GAIN A
CONVICTION — IS BEST STATED —
AS SUCH, INFRA:

AS IN (1984) OF DECEMBER 18TH I
WAS ARRESTED DUE TO THE MULTIPLE
(FALSE MATERIAL CONFESSIONS) —
MADE TO POLICE — BY CODEFENDANT
(MICHAEL, NILES) WHO TOLD POLICE
HE HIRED ME TO KILL HIS
WIFE (SONYA, NILES) A (DOCTOR) —

20/40 OFFICER - TO COLLECT SAID
INSURANCE MONEY. ET;

B) SUCH CAUSE - POLICE AGENTS TO
ILLEGALLY RAID MY SISTER
<VINKY BARNES> APARTMENT ET
6 POLICE AGENTS OF THE STATE -
TO PLANT FALSE MATERIAL -
EVIDENCE AT SISTER RESIDENCE -
OF A: I) SHOTGUN GUN ET BULLETS
II) BLOODY PANTS III) GLOVES

37) C) THE SUPRA EXTREMELY CORRUPTED
<FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE WAS
COMBINED WITH A <DRUNK WITNESS>
<NORMA CLARK> AT <CS> WHO SAID -
SHE BELIEVED TO SEE ME IN -
SAME PANTS PLANTED AT MY -
SISTER APARTMENT - RUN FROM MURDER
SEEN - HOWEVER NOT FULLY SURE
DUE TO INTOXICATION.

D) ALL SUPRA <FALSE MATERIAL>
EVIDENCE - WAS COMBINED WITH
EVIDENCE OF A <FALSE> RAPE
CONVICTION OF A WHITE COLLEGE
GIRL NAMED <SHELLY P. > I -
WAS COERCED INTO PLEADING
GUILTY FOR IN STATE OF <OR> -
ET LATER CLEARED OF -
RAPE - DUE TO ANOTHER PERSON
ADMITTING TO RAPING <SHELLY P. >
ET PLEADING GUILTY TO - HOWEVER
RESPONDANT UTILIZED SUCH -

CORRUPTED <ILLY FATED> -

21/40 EVIDENCE - TO BOTH

CONVICT ME TO UPHOLD MY
DEATH SENTENCE - IN MULTIPLE
STATE APPEALS ET FEDERAL
\$2254 PROCEEDINGS.

38) E) MY CODEFENDANT (MICHAEL NILES)
ADMITTED TO MURDER - ET PULLING
TRIGGER OF GUN THAT KILLED
HIS WIFE - ET IS SERVING A
LWOP SENTENCE IN (CDCR) -
PRISONS - HE ADMITTED TO DOING SUCH
AT MY 1ST TRIAL IN (1989) ET TRIAL
JUDGE IGNORED HIS CONFESSION
AT TRIAL.

F) RESPONDENT - IS USING ALL -
SUPRA SAME (BAD FAITH) METHODS -
ET (FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE)
CURRENTLY - TO SECURE A 2ND ILL WILL
UNJUST FALSE CONVICTION - COMBINED
WITH FACT THAT'S UNDISPUTABLE -
WHICH IS "MAJORITY OF EVIDENCE -
PEOPLE ET STATE ACTORS" INVOLVED
IN 1ST TRIAL BACK 40 YEARS AGO -
IS (VOID) - SPOILED - ET NO LONGER
IN EXISTENCE - DUE TO OLD
AGE OF CASE.

39) TO WIT - AS I TOOK A LIE
DETECTOR TEST (3 TIMES) BACK IN
(1984) - THAT SHOWED I HAD NO -
PART OF THIS GROSS CRIME -

22/40 UPON THE (PUBLIC) - AS SHOWN
IN (APPENDIX E) - I SHALL
TAKE ANY (DNA) ET (LIE DETECTOR)
TEST AGAIN - ANYTIME ET WHAT
EVER THE AMOUNT - COURT ORDERS
ET SUCH RESULT SHALL BE THE
SAME AS IN (1984) - CLEARING
MY NAME. ET PROVING COMPLETE INNOCENCE
OF MURDER - RESPONDANT IS AGAIN TRYING
TO CONVICT ME FOR IN (BAD FAITH) -
TO DENY ME LIBERTY.

40) TO WIT - AS THE RESPONDANT IS USING
(BAD FAITH) MALICIOUS ACTIONS TO
OBTAIN A CONVICTION - ET I AM BARR-
ED FROM STATE ET FEDERAL COURTS -
TO OBTAIN REMEDY OF DURESS - I HAVE
WITH AID OF JAILHOUSE LAWYER -
CONTACTED (NAACP) - (ACLU) - (FEDERAL
PUBLIC DEFENDER) - (NATIONAL INNOCENCE
PROJECT ET LOYOLA LAWSCHOOL / ATTORNEY JOSEPH TRIGLIO)
TO SEEK AID IN THIS EXTRAORDINARY
CASE OF INJUSTICE - ET AS OF (8/19/2026)
NO (ATTORNEY) KNOWS WHAT TO DO OR FILE -
TO ENFORCE §2254 CONDITIONS ET OBTAIN
RELIEF - I RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THIS
PETITION - TO RESOLVE THIS QUESTION - PREVENT
ANY STATE FROM ANY SIMILAR ACT OF ABUSE
OF POWER UPON THE PUBLIC ET
TERMINATE MY ILLEGAL -
CUSTODY ONCE ET FOR ALL.

23/40

* 28 USC § 1746 *

VERIFICATION *

* UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNDER LAWS OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, I DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR, ET AFFIRM, ALL FACTS IN THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, IS MADE IN GOOD FAITH, ET TRUE ET CORRECT, AS YHWH IS MY WITNESS.

* EXECUTED ON: AUGUST NINETEENTH, TWO THOUSAND ET TWENTY-SIX, YER OF LIBERTY ET WEALTH.

* EXECUTED BY: n.j. [signature] # 262422803

///

///

///

///

24/40*

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION*

* IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTITIA PETITIONER HUMBLY SUBMITS THIS GREAT HOLY PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO THE COURT OF LAST RESORT, TO WIT HE PRAYS FOR IMMEDIATE RELIEF, WHICH SHALL BE BENEFICENT TO THE WORLD ET ABATE HIS ILLEGAL CONFINEMENT, PETITIONER SUBMITS THE FOREGOING MEMORANDUM, AS HIS COMPELLING REASONS TO GRANT RELIEF, WHICH ALL FACTS INFRA ARE SWORN ET VERIFY UNDER 28 UNITED STATE CODE § 1746 TO BE TRUE ET CORRECT:

A) YOUNGER ABSTENTION DOES NOT APPLY TO THIS CASE OF (BAD FAITH PROSECUTION):

* COMES NOW—THIS HIGH COURT HAS LONG AGO SET FORTH THE GROUNDS—FOR WHEN A STATE COURT PROCEEDING MUST NOT BE ENJOINED BY ANY FEDERAL COURT—WITHIN THE CASES OF: YOUNGER V. HARRIS 91 SCT 746 (1971)—NEW ORLEANS PUBLIC

25/40

SERVICE V. NEW ORLEANS 491
US 350 (1989) ET BRADEN
V. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT OF

KENTUCKY 410 US 484 (1973).

*PETITIONER INSTANT MATTER—
AS FACTS AVERED IN SWORN
DECLARATION ET STATEMENT OF
CASE SHALTY SUFFER THEE—
ULTIMATE IRREPARABLE HARM
BY RESPONDANT—IF DENIED THE—
ABILITY TO SEEK REDRESS FOR
HIS DURESS—THAT OF BEING—
CONVICTED—BY THE RESPONDANT VIA
D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY—USE OF
(BAD FAITH) ACTIONS SET FORTH
INFRA—TO DENY PETITIONER HIS
LIFE ET LIBERTY.

*TO WIT—RESPONDANT IN THE
PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE—
(CR—23480) AT THE RIVERSIDE
HALL OF JUSTICE—SINCE BEING
COMMANDED BY THE FEDERAL
DISTRICT ET CIRCUIT COURTS—
TO RETRY PETITIONER CASE IN
120 DAYS OR RELEASE HIM—HAS
ATTEMPTED TO CONVICT PETITIONER—
ET TRY HIS CRIMINAL CASE—WITH
THE SAME (FALSE MATERIAL)
EVIDENCE—USED IN 1984/1987—
TO SECURE A DEATH SENTENCE
UPON THE PETITIONER.

21/40 *TO WIT-AS AVERED IN
THE STATEMENT OF CASE-
PAGES (19 to 21)-RESPONDANT

IS ATTEMPTING TO SUBMIT AS
EVIDENCE IN A TRIAL AGAINST
PETITIONER: A) VOID CONVICTION OF A
RAPE OF A WHITE COLLEGE GIRL;
B) OVER 4 FALSE CONFESSIONS FROM
CODEFENDANT MICHAEL NILES WHO
ADMITTED TO BEING GUNMAN WHO
PULLED TRIGGER TO KILL HIS WIFE
ET DECLARED NOEL JACKSON HAD
NO INVOLVEMENT IN MURDER-AT
PETITIONER 1987 TRIAL;

C) PLANTED EVIDENCE THAT WAS-
MANUFACTURED BY 6 POLICE AGENTS
AT PETITIONER SISTER APARTMENT
BACK IN DECEMBER 18, 1984.

*TO WIT-AS A (STATE ACTOR) ET
OFFICER OF THE COURT-ACTING
ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE-THE
D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY-USE
OF KNOWN (FALSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE)
VIOLATES GILLO V. UNITED STATES
405 US 150 (1972)-BRADY V. MARYLAND 372 US 83
ET PRICE V. JOHNSTON 334 US 266 (1948)-ET IS THE
HIGHEST FORM OF STATE ABUSE
OF POWER.

*TO WIT-RESPONDANT DOES NOT-
CEASE (BAD FAITH) ACTIONS SUPRA-
BY USE OF (FALSE MATERIAL)

27/40 EVIDENCE TO ATTEMPT TO —
CONVICT PETITIONER — RESPONDANT
HAS CONTINUED TO FOR MORE THAN
21 YEARS — DENY PETITIONER COMPLETE
ACCESS TO ANY OF HIS CRIMINAL
CASE DISCOVERY ET FILES — UPON THE
PETITIONER PLETHORA OF DEMANDS
FOR DISCLOSURE — MADE IN COURT.
* TO WIT — AS SHOWN IN (APPENDIX E) —
RESPONDANT HAS DENIED PETITIONER
DISCOVERY ET FILES — THROUGH AID OF
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES — PETITIONER COURT
APPOINTED ATTORNEYS — ET POWER AS
A (AGENT OF THE STATE) — THROUGH
COLD CALCULATED CONCISE ACTS —
TO DELAY PETITIONER CRIMINAL
CASE PROCEEDINGS — STALL IN THE
PERFORMANCE OF A (SOUND) TRIAL —
KNOWING MAJORITY OF EVIDENCE IS
PURGED — LOST ET VOID — DUE TO ALMOST
50 YEAR AGE OF CASE — AS SHOWN
IN (APPENDIX A TO F).

* TO WIT — RESPONDANT FAILURE TO
RETRY PETITIONER CASE — 2 1/2 YEARS
LATER AFTER \$2254 ISSUED — ET
GIVEN 120 DAYS TO COMMENCE A
TRIAL ET 3 EXTENSIONS — BY THE
FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS ISSUED —
TO RETRY CASE OR RELEASE
PETITIONER — SHOWS RESPONDANT HAS NO
(GOOD FAITH) INTENTIONS / INTEREST
TO RETRY PETITIONER — HONOR HIS

28/40 FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL
GUARANTEES OR THE FEDERAL
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ISSUED
AS NO (VALID) CONVICTION SHALL BE
OBTAINED IN THIS CASE.

*TO WIT RESPONDANT - CA D.G. ET
CA SUPREME COURT REFUSING TO ORDER
PETITIONER RELEASE OR IMMEDIATE
RELEASE - UPON HIS PLETHORA OF SPEEDY
TRIAL DEMANDS - STATE PETITIONS FOR WRIT
OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED - ET REQUESTS
MADE TO COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS - SHOWS
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS ONLY
HOLDING PETITIONER IN CAPTIVITY TO
(HARASS) HIM ET PREVENT DISMISSAL OF
HIS CASE - WHICH HE WAS FALSELY
ARRESTED ET CONVICTED FOR.

*TO WIT RESPONDANT KNOWING 99% OF ALL
WITNESSES - LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENTS ET
JUDGES - ATTORNEYS - INVOLVED IN 1ST -
TRIAL OF PETITIONER IN (1984/1987) ARE
NOW 43 YEARS LATER AS OF 2027 - ALL
DECEASED ET MAJORITY OF EVIDENCE IN
THIS CASE IS VOID - ATTEMPTING TO REPROSECUTE
NOEL JACKSON CASE ET WITH AID OF COURT APPOINTED
ATTORNEYS - ATTEMPTING TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF HIS
MENTALLY DISABLE STATE BY FORCING ET COERING -
HIM PLEA GUILTY TO AVOID A (FEDERAL) -
MANDATED TRIAL ET (BYPASS) §2254 CONDITIONS
SEALS THE FATE OF THIS (UNUSUAL) CASE OF INJUSTICE
NOT BARRED BY YOUNGER.

29/40

B) SPEEDY TRIAL OF NO AVAIL:

* CUMS NOW-PURSUANT TO:

- A) SMITH V. HOOEY 89 SCT 575 (1969)
- B) DICKY V. FLORIDA 90 SCT 1564 (1970)
- C) BRADEN V. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT OF KENTUCKY 410 US 484 (1973)
- D) DILLINGHAM V. UNITED STATES 423 US 64 (1975)
- E) UNITED STATES V. TAYLOR 487 US 326 (1988)
- F) DOGGETT V. UNITED STATES 505 US 647 (1992)

RESPONDANT-SHALL NEVER BE
ABLE TO PROVIDE PETITIONER A
FAIR ET SPEEDY TRIAL-IF SUCH
WAS TO EVER BE AVAIL UPON-
FEDERAL JUDGES VACATING
PETITIONER DEATH SENTENCE IN
FUTUR-BACK IN 2024-AS SHOWN
IN <APPENDIX A/C/D/E>

* TO WIT-AS ALERED IN STATEMENT
OF CASE PAGES (5 TO 19) PETITIONER
HAS <EXHAUSTED ALL STATE>-
COURT REMEDIES-TO ENFORCE A-
SPEEDY TRIAL-OR HIS \$2254-
RETRIAL ET RELEASE CONDITION-
ET DISMISSAL OF CASE-THIS IS
SUPPORTED UPON THE FACE OF
<APPENDIX A/D>.

* TO WIT-PURSUANT TO U.S.C. TITLE 28
§2241/§2251-ET CASES OF EX PARTE
TOM TONG 108 US 556 (1883)-EX PARTE ROYAL
117 US 241 (1886)-

30/40 IN RE GONEY 134 US 372 (1890) —
VON MOLTKE V. GILLIES, SUPRA — MA & WOOD V.
PATTERSON 561 US 320 (2010) —

PETITIONER — AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE
BY LAW — WHICH RESPONDANT CONCEDES
TO PETITIONER BEING A PRETRIAL DETAINEE — AS SHOWN IN (APPENDIX D ET D) —
ONLY GOD GIVEN POWER — TO PETITION
FOR REDRESS OF DURESS — IS TO FILE
ET PROSECUTE A §2241 SUIT — IN THE
SAME US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CA — THAT GRANTED HIS
ORIGINAL §2254 — TO ATTACK HIS
SAID FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
THAT RESPONDANT CONTINUES TO DESTROY —
AS VERIFIED IN THIS PETITION.

*TO WIT — US DISTRICT COURT — CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CA — BARRING PETITIONER
FROM FILING A NEW §2241 — ET
ANY DOCUMENT IN COURT — AS A
BORN AGAIN PRETRIAL DETAINEE
42 YEARS LATER AFTER DEATH
SENTENCE — ET ACCEPTING HIS §2241
HE ATTEMPTED TO FILE IN (8/13/2024)
AS VERIFIED IN (APPENDIX C) —
COMMITTED A PLAIN ET GREAT —
ERROR — OF BANNING PETITIONER
FROM ANYTYPE OF RELIEF.

*TO WIT — AS PETITIONER IS BEING
HELD UNDER A STATE COURT ORDER —
VIA JUDGMENT ET IN THIS UNUSUAL
CIRCUMSTANTIAL CASE — A JUDGMENT

31/40 FROM 4 FEDERAL JUDGES
THE US DISTRICT COURT HAS
THE JURISDICTION ABILITY TO
ENFORCE PETITIONER SPEEDY TRIAL
RIGHTS- §2254 GRANTED ET HIS
RELEASE- AS THE US DISTRICT
COURT GAVE RESPONDANT BELIEF-
ET PROVIDED RESPONDANT- MORE THAN
EFFICIENT TIME- TO COMPLY-
WITH §2254 CONDITIONS- BY GRANTING
3 MOTIONS FOR EXTENSIONS TO-
COMPLY WITH §2254 CONDITIONS OF
RETRIAL OR RELEASE AS SHOWN
IN (APPENDIX C TO D).

*TO WIT- AS PETITIONER §2254-
GRANTED PURSUANT TO (BATSON/WHEELER)
VIOLATION- HAS PROVEN THE CURRENT
PROSECUTOR D.A. OF RIVERSIDE
COUNTY- SECURED A CONVICTION- WITH
ACTIONS OF (BAD FAITH/HARASSMENT)
ET (MALICIOUS) INTENT- VIA
(INTENTIONAL RACIAL DISCRIMINATION)
ET RESPONDANT IS USING FALSE/
MATERIAL EVIDENCE TO ATTEMPT
TO CONVICT PETITIONER- YOUNGER
ABSTENTION INDEED DOES NOT-
APPLY TO PETITIONER UNUSUAL CASE-
ET UNDER THE 4 FACTOR BARKER
TEST OF FACTS SET FORTH-

32/40 INFRA-A (SPEEDY ET FAIR)
SHALL NEVER BE OF-

AVAIL FOR PETITIONER OR
ANY AMERICAN IN A SIMILAR
SITUATION AS PETITIONER-WHICH
GIVES THE FEDERAL JURISDICTION
ALL THE MORE DISCRETION TO
ENFORCE PETITIONER §2254 RELEASE
CONDITION-BY WAY OF §2241 SUIT.

*FACTOR (I) IS SECURED-
ET AS RIPPED AS A-2 SIDED
DOUBLE EDGE SWORD-ON ONE
SIDE-PETITIONER CASE IS 42
YEARS OLD-IF CONVICTED-NEW TRIAL
APPEALED-MISTRIAL-OR ANY
COMMON TRIAL ISSUES IN A
MURDER CASE-SUCH COULD TAKE
ANOTHER 5 TO 10 YEARS OR
MORE-BEFORE BE SOLVE-ET AS
SHOWN IN (APPENDIX C/D) OVER
2 YEARS ENTAPSED-ET RESPONDANT
= REFUSES TO RETRYING THE
PETITIONER CASE ET HONOR §2254
MANDATE TO RELEASE HIM-A S
NO TRIAL MAY BE PERFORMED-WHICH
UPON VIEW OF THE 2ND SIDE OF
THIS SWORD-OF 2 YEARS PASSING
BY-THE ABSOLUTE CAUSE OF SUCH-
IS RESPONDANT (BAD FAITH) ACTIONS.

33/40 *FACTOR (II) IS AS

SHOWN IN ATTACHED APPENDIX
<A TO F> ET STATEMENT OF CASE-

DVE FULLY TO RESPONDANT
VIA D.A. OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY-
JUDGES OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY-
SUPERIOR COURT- RIVERSIDE COUNTY
COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS
OF NORTH JACKSON ET CA A.G.
DELIBERATE-CALCULATED ACTIONS
TO DELAY PETITIONER TRIAL-
OR HONOR HIS SPEEDY TRIAL-
DEMANDS ET PERFORM \$2254 CONDITION
RELEASE 4 FEDERAL JUDGES ISSUED
ET <FACTOR II> WAY HEAVIEST

ON THE SIDE OF PROSECUTION
MISCONDUCT ET (BAD FAITH)
THAT COMPETES DISMISSAL
OF CRIMINAL CASE WITH-

<PREJUDICE> ET <BAR> FROM
ANY REPROSECUTION.

*FACTOR (III) IS AS RIPPED

34/40 AS THE BIRTH OF AMERICA—
WHEREFORE PETITIONER ASSERTED
A SPEEDY TRIAL DEMAND—

NORTH TO SOUTH—EAST TO WEST—IN BOTH
STATE ET FEDERAL COURTS—ET HAS
BEEN DENIED COMPLETE RELIEF
FOR—~~AS~~ SHOWN IN (APPENDIX A TO F).

*FACTOR (IV) IS UNDISPUTABLY
UNABLE TO BE AVOIDED—TO WIT
PETITIONER IS SUBJECTED TO—
MODERN DAY TORTUROUS JAIL
CONDITIONS—OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY JAIL
ET SHERIFF CHAD BIANCO—FOR PAST
2 YEARS—PETITIONER IS:

A) DAILY FED (800 TO 1000) CALORIES
OF FOOD IN TOTAL A DAY—SPOILED
FRUIT ET FOOD IS SERVED IN
CONTAMINATED BLACK MOLDED TRAYS
ET CONSIST OF 3 COLD MEALS A
DAY AT UNUSUAL TIMES—BREAKFAST
AT 2AM ET DINNER AT 2PM—ET
MUST EAT IN CELL—ON TOP OR RIGHT
NEXT TO TOILET ET SEWAGE.

B) DAILY KEPT ON CELL LOCKDOWN—
WITH ANOTHER MATE—IN A CELL WITH
NO WINDOWS—NO ACCESS TO A CLOCK—NO
VIEW OF SKY—CELL SIZE OF A HONDA
ACCORD—FOR (20 TO 24) HOURS IN TOTAL
A DAY—DENIED COMPLETE ABILITY TO
EXERCISE OUTSIDE ET OUT OF CELL.

35/40

C) DENIED DAILY - GLOVES - TOWELS -
RAGS - SANITIZER - WIPES - BLEACH -
AJAX - NONDIRTY CLEANING CHEMICALS -
CLEAN MOP HEADS - SCRUB PADS - SHOES TO
CLEAN IN - ET MUST SHOWER - SLEEP IN -
ET EAT IN - MOLDED - MELDEN ET FECAL
MATTER ET OTHER HUMAN WASTE MATTER -
DAILY - IN TRASH ET INSECT INFESTED JAIL
UNITS - DAY ROOMS - ET CELLS/TANKS.

D) DENIED DAILY CLEAN CLOTHING ET SLEEPING
EQUIPMENT - ONLY PROVIDED ONE OF USED -
CLOTHING - SHEETS A WEEK - THAT IS TORN -
HAS HUMAN WASTE ON IT ET INADEQUATELY
WASHED - PROVIDED NO SHOES OR ADEQUATE
FOOT PROTECTION UNTIL PHYSICALLY INJURED
ONLY FLIP FLOPS - UNDER JAIL POLICY.

* IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA -
CASE NO. 5:25CV266-VBF-BAO - A \$1983 SUIT -
AGAINST SHERIFF OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY - THE
PLAINTIFF HAS PROVEN MAJORITY OF SUPRA
INHUMANE JAIL CONDITIONS - AS (CUSTOM) OF
EACH JAIL OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY - FOR PAST
11 YEARS IN DISCOVERY PHASE.

* TO WIT - AS FOR THE ANXIETY ET CONCERN -
OF PETITIONER ET POSSIBILITY OF PREJUDICE ON
NOEL JACKSON DEFENSE - PETITIONER IS 70 YEAR
OLD - SUFFERS SEVERE PHYSICAL ET PSYCHOLOGICAL
DISABILITIES - ET HAS MEMORY LOST CONSISTENTLY
DAILY - TROUBLE SPEAKING - WRITING - ET THINKING
DUE TO DAMAGE OF BRAIN ET 2ND GRADE THINK-
ING ABILITY - AS SHOWN IN (APPENDIX B) -
ET AS DETAILED AS POSSIBLE IN STATEMENT OF
CASE NVERED - RESPONDANT IS USING VOID -
EVIDENCE ET MAJORITY OF CASE EVIDENCE IS LOST.

30/40

(C) FARETTA GUARANTEE
VIOLATED TO AVOID
THE INEVITABLE:

* CUMS NOW—THIS GRAND COURT
HAS SET THE NATIONAL POLICY
OF WHEN A CRIMINAL CASE—
DEFENDANT—IS PERMITTED TO ACT
IN (FARETTA) STATUS IN:

A) WESTBROOK V. ARIZONA 384 US 150 (1966)
B) FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 806 (1975)
C) MCKAY V. WIGGINS 465 US 168 (1984)

WHICH IS FURTHER DETAILED IN
LAYMEN TERMS IN UNITED
STATES CODE TITLE §1654.

* TO WIT—AS AVERED IN STATEMENT
OF CASE (PAGES 5 TO 19) ET IN APPENDIX
< D >—WHEN PETITIONER HAD

CONDUCTED CRIMINAL CASE DEFENSE
IN (PROPER—FARETTA) STATUS—TO
FILE A (SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION
ET (PROSECUTION BAD FAITH) DISMISSAL
MOTION ET MOTION TO ENFORCE

§2254 RELEASE CONDITIONS AS
A (ROA/§745) MOTION—PETITIONER
WAS IMMEDIATELY FORCED ET
COERCED INTO CA INCOMPETENT TO

* TO WIT—THE SUPERIOR COURT
STAND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
VIA CA PENAL CODE §1368.

37/40

OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY JUDGES
UPON RESPONDANT PROSECUTOR
FILING MOTION TO TERMINATE

PETITIONER (FARETTA) STATUS—AFTER
HE FILED SPEEDY TRIAL VIOLATION
MOTIONS AVERED SUPRA—TERMINATED
PETITIONER (FARETTA) STATUS—ET AS
SHOWN UPON THE FACE OF (APPENDIX C)
FORCED ET COERCED PETITIONER TO
BE EXAMINE BY 2 MENTAL HEALTH
EXPERTS—WHO THEN FOR NO LEGITIMATE
PURPOSE—BASED ON FACTS IN THEIR
OWN REPORTS OF NOEL JACKSON—
DEEMED HIM INCOMPETENT TO
STAND TRIAL—TO BE ABLE TO
REPRESENT SELF—CONCISELY ON STATEMENTS
PETITIONER MADE ABOUT HIS \$2254—
GRANTED BY 4 FEDERAL JUDGES ET
NOT BEING HONORED BY RESPONDANT.
*TO WIT—RESPONDANT HAS SINCE
THE 2 MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT EXAMS—
REFUSED TO RULE ON PETITIONER
SPEEDY TRIAL/BAD FAITH PROSECUTION
MOTION HE FILED—ET DENIED HIS
FARETTA DEMANDS—TO RETURN BACK
TO SUCH STATUS—AS VERIFIED IN
(APPENDIX D)—NOR HONOR HIS SPEEDY TRIAL
DEMANDS MADE IN COURT PROCEEDINGS.
*TO WIT—RESPONDANT HAS EXECUTED THE
SUPRA (FARETTA) VIOLATION UPON NOEL—TO PREVENT
HIM FROM RAISING CLAIMS OF RELEASE HIMSELF IN COURT.

38/40 >> §2241 D F WAIT
FOR PRETRIAL DETAINEE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN ERROR

*CVMS NOW-RESPONDANT HAS TERMINATED
PETITIONER (FARETTA) STATUS IN BA D
FAITH-WITH USE OF STATE MENTAL
HEALTH PROCEEDINGS-ET EVERY ATTORNEY
PETITIONER HAS BEEN APPOINTED BY
RESPONDANT-IN CASE-FOR RETRIAL HAS
ATTEMPTED TO FORCE ET COERCE NOEL
INTO PLEATING GUILTY-IN ORDER TO
PREVENT RIGHTEOUS RELEASE ET AVOID
A RETRIAL PURSUANT TO §2254 GRANTED

*TO WIT-PETITIONER DENIED AID OF
COUNSEL-AS SET FORTH IN CASES:
I) JOHNSON V. ZERBST 304 US 458 (1938)
II) GIDEON V. WAINWRIGHT 372 US 335 (1963)
III) FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA 422 US 806 (1975)
IV) STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON 466 US 668 (1984)
ONLY REMEDY AS OF (8/19/2026) IS TO ABATE
HIS ILLEGAL CONFINEMENT ET ENFORCE
§2254 RELEASE CONDITIONS- IS
TO FILE A §2241 PETITION-AS HE
EXHAUSTED ALL STATE COURT REMEDIES
OF WAIT AS A (PRETRIAL DETAINEE)
ET IS NO LONGER A (CONVICTED)
PRISONER-ET SEEKS TO ATTACK CURRENT
STATE COURT JUDGMENT ET CUSTODY-WHICH AS
DECLARED IN (MADANWOOD V. PATTERSON 561 US 320 (2010))
NOEL MUST FILE NEW §2241 SUIT TO SUCCESSFULLY DO.

39/40



PRAYER FOR RELIEF



* WHEREFORE PETITIONER HAS SHOWN GOOD CAUSE FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO ISSUE THAT SHALL BE BENEFICIAL TO THE PEOPLE ET SUBJECTS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AS YHWH IS HIS SOLE CREATOR ET WITNESS, HE HUMBLY PRAYS TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS ET HONORABLE ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES FOR THE FOLLOWING RELIEF:

I) ISSUE A STAY OF ALL CRIMINAL COURT CASE NO. CR-23480 PROCEEDINGS AT RIVERSIDE HALL OF JUSTICE UNTIL FINAL DISPOSITION OF THIS PETITION ET ANY OTHER MATTER RELATED TO THIS CASE OF INJUSTICE.

II) APPOINT COUNSEL TO SUBMIT A BRIEF, ET APPLICATION FOR BAIL RELEASE ON PETITIONER BEHALF, ET ASSIST THIS COURT JURISDICTION WITH ANY FURTHER MATTERS JUSTICE DEEMS WARRANTED.

III) ORDER NOEL JACKSON, SUBMITS TO A LIE DETECTOR ET DNA TEST IF, JUSTITIA SO DEMANDS ET;

IV) UPON VERIFICATION OF FACTS IN PETITION, DISMISS PETITIONER CRIMINAL CASE WITH PREJUDICE ET RESTORE HIM BACK TO CONDITION HE WAS BEFORE ARREST IN 1984.

40/40

* CONCLUSION *

* THE PETITION FOR A
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
SHOULD BE GRANTED
ET RELIEF PRAYED UPON
GRANTED IN THE INTEREST
OF JUSTITIA.

* RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

< #2024 22803
Noel, Jackson >

< #2024 22803
Noel Jackson >

* DATE: AUGUST 19TH, 2026