

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE NELSON E. WILLIS,
Petitioner.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A — United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit,
unpublished order denying mandamus relief in In re Nelson Willis,
No. 25-40689 (Dec. 9, 2025). App. A-1

Appendix B — United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit,
unpublished order denying further relief in In re Nelson Willis,
No. 25-40689 (Dec. 22, 2025). App. B-1

Appendix C — United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit,
judgment in Willis v. Aron, No. 24-40585 (Nov. 26, 2025). App. C-1

Appendix D — United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit,
order denying rehearing in Willis v. Aron, No. 24-40585
(Oct. 14, 2025). App. D-1

Appendix E — United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit,
order denying reconsideration in Willis v. Aron, No. 24-40585
(Nov. 3, 2025). App. E-1

Appendix F — Fifth Circuit Clerk's correspondence declining
further action. App. F-1

Appendix G — Relevant official state-court record excerpts,
including the hearing record, docket entries, subpoena,
service materials, and Notice of Nonsuit chronology. App. G-1

Appendix H — United States District Court for the Eastern

District of Texas, order denying judicial notice and
reconsideration (Jan. 14, 2025). App. H-1

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

APPENDIX
A

No. 25-40689.

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 9, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

IN RE NELSON WILLIS,

Petitioner.

Petition for a Writ of Mandamus
to the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:23-CV-732

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

Before ELROD, *Chief Judge*, and SMITH and GRAVES, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

Construing the petition for writ of mandamus and subsequent filings as motions for recall of the mandate and reconsideration of various previous opinions and orders, IT IS ORDERED that the motions are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to the extent that the petition for writ of mandamus seeks mandamus against the Clerk's Office, the petition is DENIED. The court has reviewed the filings in Petitioner's case and has found no grounds for mandamus. To the extent that a single filing was misfiled in Petitioner's appeal rather than the instant mandamus, that filing was corrected, and Petitioner is reminded to label all communications with the court with the appropriate case number.

The matters raised in this motion regarding judicial conduct have been referred to the Office of General Counsel and Mediation as a Judicial Conduct and Disability complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to the extent the petition seeks action from the Supreme Court of the United States, the petition is DENIED for lack of jurisdiction. Nothing in this order or any prior opinions or orders in Petitioner's original appeal should be construed as denying Petitioner's right to seek review by the Supreme Court of the United States.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in future, Petitioner shall communicate with the Clerk's Office exclusively in writing.

APPENDIX
B

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 25-40689

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 22, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

IN RE NELSON WILLIS,

Petitioner.

Petition for a Writ of Mandamus
to the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:23-CV-732

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

Before ELROD, *Chief Judge*, and SMITH and GRAVES, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to recall the mandate, vacate the mandamus denial, vacate all orders in 24-40585, *Willis v. Aron* and 25-40689, *In Re Nelson Willis*, correct the record, enter judgment in his favor, and refer the matter or transmit materials to the Department of Justice, the Attorney General of the United States, the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Texas, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation is DENIED.

The stay of the mandate requested in Document 9 was addressed by this court's decision to deny the recall of the mandate addressed in the December 9, 2025, order.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**