


26-5683 ORIGINAL

No. 25-2079



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Hugh mac Lane JR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Village of Cassopolis, MI Adam Jason — RESPONDENT(S)
Dahlgren, Building Inspector

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals
For The Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Hugh mac Lane JR.
(Your Name)

226 North Disbrow st.
(Address)

Cassopolis michigan 49031
(City, State, Zip Code)

269-845-6696
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Timely mailing Is Timely Filing ?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Hugh mac Lane, JR.

Plaintiff - Appellant
vs.

Village of Cassopolis Michigan

Adam Sason DaHLgren, Building Inspector

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 8-12-26.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 8-12-26, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

None That I know of

This mess All started When Cass County Court-House Granted Village Hall Permission To move Forward on The Demoining of my Two Rentals And A garage "After" I spent \$18,500 on one of The Rentals plus landscaping.

I Payed \$425.⁰⁰ To A licensed Contractor To make A Documentary of Pictures Displaying How Nice The Place was Fixed up For my offer OF proof To Trial Judge. Trial Judge Could Have Ruled In Favor of my Documentary Just As Easy As she Ruled In Favor To Demo A Place That was Completely Fixed up. Demo People Informed me That They were Being Held To Contract And That There is NO order To Take Down The garage And offered There Apology For Having To Do so.

The other Irritation I Have with The Village Hall Is: They Demoad my Property Before my Appeal Has Ben Finalized, Inwhich Is A violation of my Consitutional Right To Due Process.

Village Hall Has Offered All That Live on
Dishrow Street Land Bank money For There Homes -
To my knowledge All Have Refused,
In which Angers Village Hall.
Therefore Village Hall Find other ways
to get ones Property.
like Demolish All Buildings on said Property -
so that your Property Cant make you Any money.
Then They Place The Demo Bill on your
Property Taxes - Cant Pay Taxes - They Take
your Property, my Particular Property
Had A \$44,000 Demo Bill Placed on The
Property Taxes. The village voice And channel
(16) News Have Been Breathing Down
Village Hall's Back Because Apparently
Im Not The only one They Have Done This To.
I speak For several Home owners on
Dishrow street when I say:
We Need This Courts Help To stop Village Hall
From Gobbling up Properties unfairly.
Some of us suspect our Town Judge
For Being Bias Because why else would
The Town Judge grant Village Hall Permission
To Demo A Perfectly Working Home, or A Home
That Has Had \$18,500 Invested In it To The Point
Where it Past A Professional Inspection For
New Plumbing - New Electrical - And New

$\frac{3}{4}$ Inch Plywood Flooring All The Way
Through The Home.

Hindsight Now Tell's me why
Village Hall Told me Not To Buy
Property 212 And 214

Because obviously Village Hall Wanted
212 And 214 For There
Land Bank Gobble up Property Deal.

Anyway, I Apologize To This Court For
This lengthy Reed.

Sincerely,

Hugh Mac Lane, Sr.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Michigan Appeals Court Claim That my Appeal Was Not Timely Filed And Therefore Dismissed Said Appeal For Not Being Timely Filed And or Did All Courts Prior To us, Supreme. Based on The Enclosed united states Court Rule Regarding Timely mailing Treated As Timely Filing - I Will Ask This Court To order michigan Appeals Court To Reinstate my Appeal Based on Timely mailing Is Timely Filing - And As I Have Always Displayed Receipts Proving That I Have Always Ben In The mail Prior To The Closing of Any Deadline. Therefore I will Ask This Court once Again - Please Reinstate my Appeal with The michigan Appeals Court, so I Can Finish my Actual Appeal.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Hugh Mac Lane, Jr.

Date: 8/28/26